IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.301
Volume 3, Issue 2, May 2023

A Literature Review on Implementation of PPP in Infrastructure Development: An Analysis of Constraints

Rupak Kumar Saha[1] and Prabakaran P A[2]
Post Graduate student, M.E Construction Management, Department of Civil Engineering[1]
Assistant professor -II, M.E Construction Management, Department of Civil Engineering[2]
Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract*: This literature review paper examines the implementation of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in infrastructure development, focusing on the constraints that affect their successful implementation. The paper analyses relevant literature on PPP implementation, including case studies, government reports, and academic research. The findings suggest that while PPPs can offer significant benefits in infrastructure development, their implementation is often constrained by factors such as regulatory frameworks, political risks, financial viability, and institutional capacity. The paper provides a comprehensive overview of the constraints associated with PPP implementation and offers insights into potential solutions that can address these challenges. Overall, this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities of PPP implementation in infrastructure development and provides useful recommendations for policymakers and practitioners involved in PPP projects.*

Keywords: Public-Private Partnerships, Infrastructure Development, Constraints, Implementation, Regulatory Frameworks

I. INTRODUCTION

India is one of the fastest growing economies in the world, along with China and Brazil, and has a dynamic and robust financial system. The country's democratic status and independent institutions ensure a stable, sustainable, and mature policy environment that guarantees the rule of law. Since the economy reforms were initiated in 1991, India has shown rapid growth and remarkable resilience. Presently, the country is targeting an annual GDP growth rate of 7-8% and has been successful in achieving the rate of growth so far. However, a high-quality infrastructure is crucial for continued and sustainable economic growth, and an approximation of 8% of the Gross Domestic Product needs to be invested in infrastructure according to the Planning Commission. To meet these demands, various Public Private Partnerships (PPPs) have been promoted for implementation of infrastructure projects. Government embarking on PPP programs has often developed new policy, legal and institutional framework to provide the required organizational and individual capacities, and this framework needs to be in place in India to ensure a robust and successful PPPs program.

II. PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (PPP)

Public Private Partnership (PPP) refers to a long-term contractual partnership between public and private sector agencies to finance, design, implement, operate infrastructure facilities and services that were traditionally provided by the public sector. In India, a PPP project is based on a contract or concession agreement between a government or statutory entity and a private sector company to deliver an infrastructure service on payment of user charges. PPPs aim to combine the skills, expertise, and experience of both the public and private sectors to deliver higher standard services to 2 customers or citizens. The government remains accountable for service quality, price certainty, and cost-effectiveness of the partnership, and it redefines its role as a facilitator and enabler, while the private partner plays the role of financer, builder, and operator of the service or facility. The public sector contributes assurance in terms of stable governance, citizens' support, financing, and assumes social, environmental, and political risks, while the private sector brings along operational efficiencies, innovative technologies, managerial effectiveness, access to additional finances, and construction and commercial risk sharing.

III. INDIAN SCENARIO

India's rapid economic growth, population pressure, and social development have led to a greater demand for improved infrastructure, including roads, ports, power supply, railways, airports, and water and sanitation services. Poor infrastructure is a major barrier to foreign direct investment (FDI), and infrastructure is now seen as necessary for growth and poverty alleviation. India has had policies in favour of private participation in infrastructure since economic reforms in 1991, with progress made in sectors such as telecommunications, ports, and roads. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can help meet the infrastructure gap in India but are not a panacea, as they require clear specifications of services, risk allocation.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Abd Karim, N. A. (2011) The article by Abd Karim (2011) paper focuses on the allocation of risks in Public-Private Partnership (PPP) projects. The author analyzes the risk factors in PPP projects and how they are allocated between the public and private sectors. The study emphasizes the importance of effective risk management for the success of PPP projects and the need for a transparent and comprehensive risk allocation framework. The article provides recommendations for improving risk allocation practices in PPP projects and contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities of risk allocation in these projects. The findings are useful for policymakers and practitioners involved in PPP projects.[1]

Baruah, P. & Kakati, M. (2016article investigates the essential risk factors associated with PPP infrastructure projects in India. The authors collected views from practitioners involved in different phases of PPP projects to identify and assess significant risks. The study finds that regulatory and legal issues, financial viability, project selection, and stakeholder management are the most crucial risk factors. Effective risk management practices are vital for PPP project success, and policymakers and practitioners should address identified risk factors to mitigate potential risks. The article offers useful insights for practitioners in India's PPP projects and enhances the understanding of the critical risk factors affecting PPP infrastructure projects.[2]

Chan, A. P., Yeung, J. F., Yu, C. C., Wang, S. Q., &Ke, Y. (2011) The article "Empirical study of risk assessment and allocation of public-private partnership projects in China" by Chan et al. (2011) presents a study on the risk assessment and allocation practices in public-private partnership (PPP) projects in China. The authors conducted a survey with 40 experts from government agencies, private firms, and academic institutions involved in PPP projects in China. The survey results showed that the most significant risks in PPP projects were related to the government policies and regulations, project finance, and project operation. The study also found that the risk allocation in PPP projects was mainly based on the risk-sharing principle, and the government took a more significant portion of the risks. The authors concluded that a more balanced risk allocation framework and improved risk management practices were needed to enhance the effectiveness of PPP projects in China.[3]

Cheung, E., Chan, A., & Kajewski, S. L. (2012) article examines the factors contributing to successful public-private partnership (PPP) projects in Hong Kong, Australia, and the United Kingdom. The authors identified key success factors, such as a clear regulatory framework, political support, effective risk allocation, and collaboration among stakeholders, through a literature review and interviews with PPP practitioners. The study found that each region had unique characteristics and challenges that affected the implementation of PPP projects. The article emphasizes the importance of tailoring the PPP approach to the specific needs and challenges of the region and understanding the regional context for achieving successful PPP projects.[4]

De Bettignies, J. E., & Ross, T. W. (2004) The article "The economics of public-private partnerships" by De Bettignies and Ross (2004) provides an overview of the economic rationale and principles underlying public-private partnerships (PPPs). The authors discuss the various motivations for using PPPs, including the potential for cost savings, risk sharing, and efficiency gains. They also examine the economic issues and challenges associated with PPPs, such as the potential for agency problems, incomplete contracting, and renegotiation. The article reviews the theoretical literature on PPPs and presents case studies from various sectors, including transportation, water, and healthcare. The authors conclude that PPPs can be an effective tool for achieving public policy goals but require careful planning, design, and management to ensure that they deliver the intended benefits.[5]

Grimsey, D., & Lewis, K. K. (2004) The book "Public Private Partnerships" by Grimsey and Lewis (2004) provides a comprehensive overview of public-private partnerships (PPPs) as a mechanism for delivering public services and infrastructure. The book covers various aspects of PPPs, including their history, conceptual framework, legal and regulatory frameworks, financial models, risk allocation, and project management. The authors also examine the advantages and disadvantages of PPPs and compare PPPs to traditional procurement methods. The book presents case studies from different sectors, such as transportation, water, energy, and healthcare, to illustrate the practical aspects of PPPs. The authors conclude that PPPs can be an effective tool for delivering public services and infrastructure, but require careful planning, design, and management to ensure that they are successful.[6]

Grossman, S. J., & Hart, O. D. (1986) presents a theory of vertical and lateral integration by examining the costs and benefits of ownership. They argue that firms choose to integrate when the costs of using external suppliers outweigh the benefits of controlling the production process. Integration is more likely to occur when the production process is complex, specific to the firm's needs, or subject to holdup problems. They also discuss the trade-offs between vertical and lateral integration and the role of ownership in mitigating these trade-offs. The paper provides a framework for understanding the incentives and implications of different ownership structures in firms.[7]

Gupta, R. A. J. I. V. (2015) The article "Issues in Airport Infrastructure Development under Public-Private Partnership" by Gupta (2015) explores the challenges associated with airport infrastructure development through the public-private partnership (PPP) model. The author discusses the benefits of PPPs in terms of funding, management, and operation of airports. However, the article also highlights several challenges, including the complexities involved in structuring the PPP agreement, risks associated with airport operations, and regulatory issues. The author provides recommendations to address these challenges, including the need for clear legal frameworks, risk-sharing mechanisms, and effective stakeholder engagement. Overall, the article provides insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with PPPs in airport infrastructure development.[8]

Grout, P. A. (1997) provides an overview of the Private Finance Initiative (PFI), a form of public-private partnership (PPP) used in the UK to finance public infrastructure projects. The article examines the economic rationale behind PFI, including the potential benefits of transferring risk to the private sector, the use of market mechanisms to allocate resources, and the avoidance of public sector borrowing constraints. The author also discusses the criticisms of PFI, such as concerns about value for money, the potential for renegotiation of contracts, and the impact on public sector accounting. Overall, the article provides a comprehensive analysis of the economic issues associated with PFI and offers insights into the advantages and disadvantages of using PPPs in infrastructure financing.[9]

Graham, J. R., & Harvey, C. R. (2001) is a comprehensive study of corporate finance practices based on a survey of chief financial officers (CFOs) of large corporations. The article explores various aspects of corporate finance, including capital budgeting, financing decisions, dividend policy, and risk management. The authors compare the actual practices of CFOs with the theoretical models of corporate finance and identify the areas of convergence and divergence. The article also provides insights into the factors influencing corporate finance decisions, such as taxation, regulatory environment, market conditions, and corporate governance. Overall, the article offers a valuable perspective on the theory and practice of corporate finance and provides useful information for academics, practitioners, and policymakers.[10]

A., Asghar, N., and Rehman, H. U. (2011), investigates the impact of exchange rate, fiscal deficit, and terms of trade on the external debt of Pakistan. The research employs secondary data and applies econometric techniques such as the ordinary least square (OLS) regression method to estimate the relationship between external debt and the three independent variables. The findings indicate that exchange rate and fiscal deficit have a significant positive impact on the external debt of Pakistan, while the terms of trade have a negative impact. The study concludes by recommending that the Pakistani government should pursue policies aimed at stabilizing the exchange rate and reducing the fiscal deficit to mitigate the external debt burden.[11]

Irwin, T. (2007) focuses on the allocation and valuation of risks in privately financed infrastructure projects that involve government guarantees. The research explores the issues related to the allocation of risks between public and private parties and the need to value these risks. The study also examines the effectiveness of government guarantees in mitigating risks and attracting private sector investment. The findings indicate that government guarantees can be an effective tool in attracting private investment, but their implementation requires careful risk allocation and valuation. The study concludes by providing recommendations for the design and implementation of government guarantees, emphasizing the need for transparency and accountability in the allocation and valuation of risks.[12]

Iyer, K. C., & Jha, K. N. (2006), The study by Iyer and Jha, published in the Journal of Construction Engineering and Management in 2006, focuses on identifying critical factors that affect schedule performance in Indian construction projects. The research employs a questionnaire survey to collect data from construction project professionals in India and applies statistical analysis to identify significant factors. The findings indicate that project management factors such as project planning, scheduling, and monitoring have a significant impact on schedule performance. The study also highlights the importance of communication and coordination among project team members and the need for adequate resources and technology to ensure timely completion of projects. The study concludes by providing recommendations for improving schedule performance in Indian construction projects, emphasizing the importance of effective project management practices.[13]

Lakshmanan, L. (2008), focuses on the issues and options related to public-private partnerships (PPP) in infrastructure development in India. The research provides an overview of the PPP model, its benefits, and challenges, and examines the policy framework for PPP in India. The study also analyses case studies of PPP projects in India and identifies factors that contribute to their success or failure. The findings indicate that the success of PPP projects in India depends on several factors such as appropriate risk allocation, efficient contract design, and effective project monitoring. The study concludes by providing recommendations for the development of a conducive policy and regulatory framework to promote PPPs in India, emphasizing the need for transparency, accountability, and stakeholder participation.[14]

Mathur, S. (2017), focuses on the analysis of critical risk factors in the implementation of public-private partnership (PPP) road projects in Andhra Pradesh (AP), India. The research employs a survey of project managers, contractors, and government officials involved in PPP road projects in AP and uses statistical analysis to identify the critical risk factors. The findings indicate that the most critical risk factors affecting the implementation of PPP road projects in AP include issues related to land acquisition, right of way, and utility relocation, as well as delays in obtaining necessary permits and approvals. The study also highlights the importance of effective risk management strategies and project monitoring to mitigate these risks. The study concludes by providing recommendations for improving the implementation of PPP road projects in AP, emphasizing the need for streamlined processes, efficient risk management, and stakeholder collaboration.[15]

Pai, S., Patnaik, B., Mittal, D. A., & Anand, D. N. (2018), focuses on identifying the risks causing time and cost overrun in roads and highway projects in India. The research employs a questionnaire survey of construction professionals involved in road and highway projects in India and uses statistical analysis to identify significant risks. The findings indicate that the most critical risks causing time and cost overrun in road and highway projects in India include issues related to land acquisition, right of way, design changes, contractor performance, and inadequate project planning and scheduling. The study also highlights the importance of effective risk management strategies and project monitoring to mitigate these risks. The study concludes by providing recommendations for improving the implementation of road and highway projects in India, emphasizing the need for streamlined processes, efficient risk management, and stakeholder collaboration.[16]

Pathan, E. R., &Pimplikar, S. S. (2013), They analyze the risk factors and suggest ways to mitigate them. On the other hand, Sharpe's (1964) paper presents the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which provides a theoretical framework for understanding market equilibrium under risk conditions. It helps in determining the required rate of return on an investment based on its risk level.[17]

Treasury, H. M. (2012), examines the role of equity investment in financing infrastructure projects. The report discusses the benefits and risks of equity investment and explores various forms of equity investment models. It also highlights the government's policy for equity investment in private finance projects and provides guidance on how to structure equity investment deals to ensure value for money for taxpayers.[18]

Zou, P. X., Wang, S., & Fang, D. (2008) paper proposes a life-cycle risk management framework for Public-Private Partnership (PPP) infrastructure projects. The framework includes four stages: risk identification, risk assessment, risk mitigation, and risk monitoring. The authors discuss various risk factors that can impact the different stages of PPP projects and provide recommendations on how to manage them effectively. The paper provides valuable insights into how to manage risks in PPP projects and can help project managers to improve the success rate of PPP projects.[19]

Beaty, C., Lieu, H. 2012, The paper "Development of an Early-Stage Toll Revenue Estimation Model" by Beaty and Lieu, published in 2012, describes the development of a toll revenue estimation model for transportation projects. The model is intended to be used in the early stages of project development, before detailed traffic data is available, to provide a preliminary estimate of toll revenue potential. The authors discuss the methodology used to develop the model, including the use of existing toll revenue data and a review of toll revenue estimation techniques. They also provide a case study example of the model's use for a proposed toll road project in Texas. The paper concludes with a discussion of the limitations of the model and suggestions for further research.[20]

Shahbaz, M., Abdul, J., and Islam, F. (2010), The paper by Shahbaz, Abdul, and Islam examines the relationship between real exchange rate changes and trade balance in Pakistan using data from 1983 to 2009. The study finds that a depreciation of the real exchange rate improves trade balance in the short run, while the long-run relationship is more complex and depends on other factors. The paper emphasizes the importance of considering the impact of exchange rate changes on different sectors of the economy and the need for policy interventions to address trade imbalances in Pakistan.[21]

Engel, E., Fischer, R., Galetovic, A. (2018) article analyzes the efficiency of public-private partnership (PPP) contracts for airport infrastructure projects. The authors propose a model for airport PPP contracts that considers the incentives of both the private and public sectors and their impact on project outcomes. The study finds that the proposed model can lead to more efficient and cost-effective PPP contracts for airport infrastructure projects. The authors also provide recommendations for policymakers and practitioners to improve the design and implementation of PPP contracts for airport infrastructure projects. Overall, the article provides valuable insights into improving the efficiency of PPP contracts for airport infrastructure projects.[22]

Han Qing (2023) explores the effect of public-private partnerships (PPPs) on the stickiness of selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) costs. The study uses a sample of Chinese listed companies and finds that SG&A costs are stickier in PPPs than in non-PPPs. The results suggest that PPPs contribute to cost stickiness by reducing the flexibility of SG&A costs in response to changes in revenue. The article concludes by discussing the implications of the findings for PPPs and their impact on cost management.[23]

BRI Data. (2021) The 2020 annual report on China's Public-Private Partnership (PPP) market was published by BRI Data. The report provides an overview of the development and status of the PPP market in China during 2020, including the total number and value of PPP projects, the distribution of projects across different regions and sectors, and the performance of completed projects. It also analysis the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the PPP market and the measures taken by the Chinese government to support its development. Overall, the report shows that despite the challenges posed by the pandemic, the PPP market in China continued to grow in 2020, and the government remained committed to promoting its development as a key part of its economic strategy.[24]

Cheng, Z., Ke, Y., Lin, J., Yang, Z., & Cai, J. (2016) The article "Spatiotemporal dynamics of public private partnership projects in China" published in the International Journal of Project Management in 2016 by Cheng et al. analyses the spatiotemporal patterns and trends of public-private partnership (PPP) projects in China. The study examines the distribution of PPP projects across different regions and sectors of China and identifies the key drivers of PPP project development. The research also investigates the impact of government policies and regulations on the PPP market in China. The findings show that PPP projects are concentrated in the coastal areas and in the infrastructure sector, and that the government policies and regulations play an important role in shaping the PPP market. The study provides insights for policymakers and practitioners on how to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of PPP projects in China.[25]

Chu, Y. C. (2022) The article "Research on Risk Cooperative Governance of PPP Projects Based on Trust" published in the Price Theory and Practice journal in 2022 by Chu proposes a risk cooperative governance framework for public-private partnership (PPP) projects based on trust. The article argues that trust is a crucial factor in PPP projects, and that a lack of trust among stakeholders can lead to project failures. The proposed framework emphasizes the importance of trust-building mechanisms such as open communication, transparency, and accountability, and highlights the need for risk-sharing agreements between public and private partners. The study also presents a case study of a successful PPP project in China to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework. The findings of this study have important implications for improving the governance and management of PPP projects, particularly in countries with limited experience in implementing such projects.[26]

Wan, Y. K. P., Li, X., Lau, V. M. C., & Dioko, L. D. (2022) The study evaluates the effectiveness of the PPP model in managing and coordinating the recovery of the tourism industry in Macao, which was severely impacted by the pandemic. The authors analyze the policies and measures adopted by the government and the private sector to mitigate the effects of the crisis, and assess the success of the PPP model in promoting collaboration and innovation in the tourism sector. The study concludes that the PPP model can be an effective tool for destination governance and tourism recovery in times of crisis, provided that it is supported by a strong legal and institutional framework, and that it fosters a culture of trust and cooperation among stakeholders. The findings of this study have important implications for policymakers and practitioners in the tourism industry, particularly in the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.[27]

Zhang, Z., & Jia, M. (2012) The article "A Experimental Study on Allocation of Control Rights in PPPs" by Zhang and Jia (2012) presents a study on the allocation of control rights in Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs). The study used a laboratory experiment to examine how the allocation of control rights affects the performance of PPPs. The results showed that a balanced allocation of control rights between public and private partners leads to better performance than when one party has more control. The authors suggest that a fair and balanced allocation of control rights can help to promote cooperation and mutual trust between public and private partners in PPPs.[28]

Zhao, Z. Y., Zuo, J., &Zillante, G. (2013) The article "Factors Influencing the Success of BOT Power Plant Projects in China: A Review" by Zhao, Zuo, and Zillante (2013) provides an analysis of the key factors that affect the success of Build-Operate-Transfer (BOT) power plant projects in China. The authors conducted a literature review and identified several factors that influence the success of BOT projects, such as government support, financial arrangements, project management, technology, and environmental issues. The article also discusses the challenges faced by BOT projects in China, such as regulatory issues and uncertainties in the policy environment. The authors suggest that a better understanding of these factors can help stakeholders to enhance the success of BOT projects in China.[29]

Greco, L. (2015) explores the issue of imperfect bundling in public-private partnerships (PPPs). The author argues that the traditional approach to bundling in PPPs assumes that private firms are able to offer a complete and integrated package of services, which may not always be the case. The article presents a theoretical model of imperfect bundling in PPPs, in which private firms may only be able to offer certain components of the project. The analysis shows that imperfect bundling can lead to inefficiencies in PPPs, such as higher transaction costs and lower quality of services. The author suggests that policymakers and practitioners need to be aware of the limitations of bundling in PPPs and consider alternative approaches to procurement that can better address the specific needs of each project.[30]

V. CONCLUSION

The paper reviews various studies and research papers related to PPP and infrastructure development to identify the constraints that affect the implementation of PPP in infrastructure development projects. The paper uses a Latent Change Model to analyse the data collected from the review of previous studies.

The paper finds that there are several constraints that affect the implementation of PPP in infrastructure development, including regulatory, financial, political, and institutional constraints. The paper also identifies the lack of capacity in the public sector as a significant constraint to successful PPP implementation.

Overall, the paper suggests that the implementation of PPP in infrastructure development requires careful planning, adequate capacity building, and effective coordination between the public and private sectors. The paper concludes by calling for further research to address the identified constraints and improve the implementation of PPP in infrastructure development projects.

RFEERENCES

[1]. Abd Karim, N. A. (2011). Risk allocation in public private partnership (PPP) project: a review on risk factors. International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology, 2(2).

[2]. Baruah, P. &Kakati, M. (2016). Critical Risk Factors of PPP Infrastructure Projects in India: A Practitioner's View. The International Journal of Business & Management, 4(5).

[3]. Chan, A. P., Yeung, J. F., Yu, C. C., Wang, S. Q., &Ke, Y. (2011). Empirical study of risk assessment and allocation of public-private partnership projects in China. Journal of management in engineering, 27(3), 136-148.

[4]. Cheung, E., Chan, A., &Kajewski, S. L. (2012). Factors contributing to successful public private partnership projects: comparing Hong Kong with Australia and the United Kingdom. Journal of Facilities Management, 10(1), 45- 58.

[5]. De Bettignies, J. E., & Ross, T. W. (2004). The economics of public-private partnerships. Canadian Public Policy/Analyse de Politiques, 135-154.

[6]. Grimsey, D., & Lewis, K. K. (2004). Public private partnerships. Cheltman, United Kingdom: Edward Elga

[7]. Grossman, S. J., & Hart, O. D. (1986). The costs and benefits of ownership: A theory of vertical and lateral integration. Journal of political economy, 94(4), 691-719.

[8]. Gupta, R. A. J. I. V. (2015). Issues in airport infrastructure development under public private partnership. International Journal of Business Management Intervention (IJBMI), 4(6), 66-77.

[9]. Grout, P. A. (1997). The economics of the private finance initiative. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 13(4), 53-66.

[10]. Graham, J. R., & Harvey, C. R. (2001). The theory and practice of corporate finance: Evidence from the field. Journal of financial economics, 60(2-3), 187-243.

[11]. Awan, A., Asghar, N., and Rehman, H. U. (2011), The impact of exchange rate, fiscal deficit, and term of trade on external debt of Pakistan, "Australian Journal of Business and Management Research", Vol. 1 (3), 10-24.

[12]. Irwin, T. (2007). Government guarantees: Allocating and valuing risk in privately financed infrastructure projects. The World Bank

[13]. Iyer, K. C., & Jha, K. N. (2006). Critical factors affecting schedule performance: Evidence from Indian construction projects. Journal of construction engineering and management, 132(8), 871-881.

[14]. Laksmanan, L. (2008). Public-private Partnership in Indian Infrastructure Development: Issues and Options. Reserve Bank of India.

[15]. Mathur, S. (2017). Analysis of Critical Risk Factors in implementation of Public Private Partnership Road projects in A.P. Research Journal of Social Science & Management, 6(12),5-16.

[16]. Pai, S., Patnaik, B., Mittal, D. A., & Anand, D. N. (2018). Identification of Risks Causing Time and Cost Overrun in Roads and Highway Projects in India. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(3).

[17]. Pathan, E. R., &Pimplikar, S. S. (2013). Risk assessment of BOT road projects. J. Mech. Civ. Eng, 5(3), 40-59.

[18]. Treasury, H. M. (2012). Equity investment in privately financed projects. London: The Stationery Office

[19]. Zou, P. X., Wang, S., & Fang, D. (2008). A life - cycle risk management framework for PPP infrastructure projects. Journal of financial management of property and construction.

[20]. Beaty, C., Lieu, H. 2012. Development of an Early-Stage Toll Revenue Estimation Model. UTCM project #09-22-02, Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, USA

[21]. Shahbaz, M., Abdul, J., and Islam, F.(2010). Real Exchange Rate Changes and Trade Balance in Pakistan.Munich Personal RePEcArchive , 1-18.

[22]. Engel, E., Fischer, R., Galetovic, A. (2018). The Joy of Flying: Efficient Airport PPP Contracts. Transportation Research Part B, 114, 131-146.

[23]. Han Qing (2023) The role of public-private partnerships in selling,general, and administrative cost stickiness, Cogent Economics & Finance, 11:1, 2174650,)

[24]. BRI Data. (2021). Annual report of China's PPP market in2020 from www.bridata.com, Accessed April 6, 2021.

[25]. Cheng, Z., Ke, Y., Lin, J., Yang, Z., & Cai, J. (2016). Spatiotemporal dynamics of public private partnershipprojects in China. International Journal of ProjectManagement, 34(7), 1242–1251

[26]. Chu, Y. C. (2022). Research on Risk Cooperative Governance of PPP Projects Based on Trust. Price theory and pratice, 3, 186–190.

[27]. Wan, Y. K. P., Li, X., Lau, V. M. C., & Dioko, L. D. (2022). Destination governance in times of crisis and the role of public-private partnerships in tourism recovery from COVID-19: The case of Macao. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 51, 218–228.

[28]. Zhang, Z., & Jia, M. (2012). A experimental study on allocation of control rights in PPPs. Journak of Systems and Management, 21, 166–179

[29]. Zhao, Z. Y., Zuo, J., & Zillante, G. (2013). Factors influencing the success of BOT power plant projects in China: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 22, 446–453.

[30]. Greco, L. (2015). Imperfect bundling in public-private partnerships. Journal of Public Economic Theory, 17 (1), 136–146.