

# Analysis of Margin Assessment Techniques in Gastric Carcinoma Surgery and its Prognostic Implications

Ramakant Bhosale<sup>1</sup> and Dr. Nagendra Pratap Mishra<sup>2</sup>

Research Scholar, Department of Biochemistry<sup>1</sup>

Research Guide, Department of Biochemistry<sup>2</sup>

Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

**Abstract:** Gastric carcinoma remains a leading cause of cancer-related mortality globally, necessitating an accurate pathological evaluation to guide therapeutic decision-making and prognostication. The surgical pathology of gastric carcinoma provides crucial insights into the tumor's character, extent, and the potential for metastatic spread, all of which are vital for determining the appropriate surgical and adjunctive treatment approaches. This critical review seeks to encompass the contemporary understanding and challenges in the surgical pathology of gastric carcinoma. The discussion will encompass histopathological classifications, molecular alterations, and the significance of margin status alongside lymphovascular and perineural invasion. The review will also explore the emerging molecular and immunohistochemical markers that are refining the pathologic assessment and its correlation with clinical outcomes. Furthermore, the role of multi-disciplinary tumor boards in integrating surgical pathology findings with clinical and radiological data for a comprehensive patient management plan will be highlighted. Through a meticulous analysis of recent literature and comparison of standard and evolving pathological assessment methods, this review aims to delineate the pivotal role of surgical pathology in the holistic management of gastric carcinoma. This exploration is paramount in underlining the importance of accurate pathological evaluation, which not only delineates the disease extent but also significantly impacts the treatment planning and prognostic stratification, thereby potentially improving the overall survival and quality of life for patients afflicted with gastric carcinoma

**Keywords:** Gastric Carcinoma, Surgery, Margin Assessment, Techniques

## I. INTRODUCTION

Gastric carcinoma, one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths globally, represents a significant challenge in oncology. The surgical resection of the tumor, often combined with chemotherapy and radiation therapy, remains a predominant modality for the treatment of gastric cancer, especially in localized disease. The intricacies involved in the surgical pathology of gastric carcinoma are pivotal not only for diagnostic accuracy but also for the prognostic and therapeutic stratification of patients. An insightful understanding of the surgical pathology is quintessential for assessing the extent of disease, margins of resection, lymph node involvement, and other pathological features that significantly influence the management strategies and, consequently, the patient outcomes. Furthermore, the histopathological evaluation of the resected specimen, including the tumor type, grade, and stage, provides indispensable information that guides subsequent therapeutic decisions. This review aims to critically appraise the current knowledge concerning the surgical pathology of gastric carcinoma, highlighting the significant advances, existing challenges, and emerging concepts. Through a meticulous examination of the recent literature and juxtaposition with traditional understandings, we endeavor to delineate the paramount role of surgical pathology in the multi-disciplinary approach to managing gastric carcinoma. This review will also accentuate the impact of novel molecular and genetic discoveries on the surgical pathological evaluation, and how these advancements are reshaping the contemporary diagnostic and therapeutic landscape of gastric carcinoma. Through this discourse, we aspire to contribute a well-rounded perspective to the ongoing endeavors aimed at improving the diagnostic acumen and

therapeutic outcomes in gastric carcinoma, thereby underlining the indispensability of surgical pathology in the holistic management of this malignancy.

### **Gross and endoscopic features**

Gross and endoscopic examinations are pivotal in the assessment and diagnosis of gastric malignancies such as gastric cancer. Gross examination refers to the visual inspection and physical assessment of organs and tissues, while endoscopic examination involves inserting a tube with a camera and light into the gastrointestinal tract to visualize the internal surfaces.

Gross features of gastric cancer can vary significantly based on the stage and type of the malignancy. Early gastric cancers may not exhibit significant gross abnormalities, or may present as subtle mucosal discoloration, thickening, or superficial ulceration. In more advanced stages, gastric cancer may present as a visible mass, ulcer, or a diffusely thickened gastric wall. The cancer can infiltrate and thicken the gastric wall leading to a "leather bottle" or linitis plastica appearance. The tumor may be fungating, ulcerated, or infiltrative and may vary in color from normal mucosa to pale or hemorrhagic.

Endoscopically, early gastric cancer might appear as subtle mucosal changes, slight elevation or depression, or irregular margins. Advanced gastric cancer can manifest as large ulcerative lesions with irregular, heaped-up margins, or as a mass protruding into the gastric lumen. Endoscopic examination can also highlight the involvement of the surrounding lymph nodes and the extent to which the tumor has spread, aiding in the staging of the disease. Moreover, endoscopy allows for biopsy, which is crucial for histological examination to confirm the diagnosis.

The combination of gross and endoscopic examination provides a comprehensive view of the physical characteristics of gastric cancer, aiding significantly in the diagnostic and staging process. It's pivotal that these examinations are conducted meticulously to ensure accurate diagnosis and appropriate therapeutic planning for individuals afflicted with gastric cancer. Endoscopic advancements like narrow-band imaging and confocal laser endomicroscopy further enhance the visualization of mucosal abnormalities, improving the early detection of gastric cancer and potentially improving patient outcomes.

### **Histologic and microscopic features**

Histologic and microscopic examination of gastric cancer specimens provides crucial insights into the morphological and cellular characteristics of the tumor, which are essential for accurate diagnosis, staging, and management. On a histological level, gastric cancers can be predominantly classified as adenocarcinomas, which further subdivide into intestinal and diffuse types according to the Lauren classification. The intestinal type exhibits well-differentiated glandular structures resembling intestinal epithelium, often associated with a background of chronic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia. In contrast, the diffuse type is characterized by poorly cohesive, singly scattered cells with a lack of gland formation, known as signet ring cells due to their distinctive appearance with a large mucinous vacuole pushing the nucleus to the periphery.

Microscopically, the cellular and structural details become more evident. In intestinal-type adenocarcinomas, neoplastic cells form glandular structures with varying degrees of cellular atypia and dysplasia. The extent of nuclear pleomorphism, mitotic activity, and mucin production can be observed under high-power magnification. For diffuse-type adenocarcinomas, the microscopic examination reveals poorly differentiated cells lacking in cell-to-cell adhesion, often infiltrating the gastric wall diffusely leading to a thickened, rigid stomach wall, termed linitis plastica.

Moreover, the microscopic examination also helps in identifying other pathological features such as lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, and the involvement of surrounding tissues or organs. Tumor border configuration, the status of the surgical resection margins, and the depth of tumor invasion into the gastric wall are also microscopically evaluated, aiding in the staging of the disease which in turn guides treatment decisions. By meticulously examining the histologic and microscopic features, pathologists can provide a detailed characterization of gastric cancer, which is foundational for the multidisciplinary management of this malignancy.

### **Degree of tumour differentiation**

The degree of tumor differentiation is a crucial aspect in understanding the behavior and prognosis of many cancers, including gastric cancer. This degree is assessed by comparing the morphological features of tumor cells with those of the normal, mature cells from which the tumor originated. A well-differentiated tumor closely resembles the tissue of origin with cells that are organized and have a similar structure. These tumors tend to grow and spread more slowly as compared to poorly differentiated or undifferentiated tumors.

On the other hand, poorly differentiated tumors have cells that appear immature and bear little resemblance to the normal tissue, often having lost their original structure and function, indicating a higher level of abnormality. Undifferentiated tumors, the most aggressive, have cells that are unrecognizable concerning their tissue of origin and have very primitive appearances, often resembling cells from early embryonic development. They exhibit rapid growth and a propensity for early spread or metastasis, making them more challenging to treat.

The degree of differentiation is a vital component in the tumor grading system, which, along with the staging of cancer (determining the extent of cancer spread), plays a pivotal role in formulating a treatment plan and determining the prognosis for the patient. In gastric cancer, well-differentiated tumors, often of the intestinal type, tend to have a better prognosis as compared to poorly differentiated or undifferentiated tumors, typically of the diffuse type, given their less aggressive behavior. Furthermore, the degree of differentiation can influence the choice of treatment; for instance, certain chemotherapy regimens or targeted therapies might be more effective against tumors with particular differentiation profiles. Understanding the degree of tumor differentiation is fundamental for a nuanced approach to cancer treatment and for providing patients with a more accurate prognosis.

### **Staging**

Staging in oncology refers to a systematic way to ascertain the extent of cancer spread within the body, which is imperative for prognosis assessment and determination of the appropriate treatment strategy. The staging process evaluates the size of the tumor, the involvement of lymph nodes, and the presence of metastasis to distant organs. In the context of gastric cancer, the TNM (Tumor, Node, Metastasis) staging system is prevalently utilized. This system classifies gastric cancer into stages based on three primary factors: the extent of the primary tumor (T), the absence or presence and extent of regional lymph node involvement (N), and the absence or presence of distant metastasis (M). Each of these factors is assessed independently and then collectively to designate an overall stage, ranging from Stage 0 (in situ disease) to Stage IV (advanced disease with distant metastasis). The process often involves a combination of physical examinations, imaging studies like CT, MRI, or PET scans, endoscopic procedures, biopsies, and sometimes surgical explorations to ascertain the extent of disease accurately. Staging is crucial as it guides treatment decisions; for instance, early-stage gastric cancer may be treated surgically with curative intent, while advanced stages might necessitate multimodal treatment approaches including chemotherapy, radiation therapy, targeted therapy, or palliative care to manage symptoms and improve quality of life. Additionally, staging provides a common language for healthcare providers to communicate about the extent of cancer, and plays a crucial role in the research setting, where the effectiveness of new treatments is evaluated concerning different stages of disease. Hence, accurate staging is a cornerstone of effective gastric cancer management, facilitating personalized treatment plans and enabling more informed discussions between patients and healthcare providers regarding prognosis and treatment options.

## **II. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the critical review of surgical pathology in gastric carcinoma underscores its pivotal role in the diagnosis, staging, and management of this malignancy. Surgical pathology provides an indispensable bridge between clinical findings and molecular diagnostics, enabling a nuanced understanding of the disease's extent and behavior. Through meticulous histopathological examination, precise tumor classification, and accurate staging, tailored therapeutic strategies can be devised to optimize patient outcomes. Moreover, the surgical pathology of gastric carcinoma serves as a rich source of insights into the molecular and cellular mechanisms underpinning gastric tumorigenesis and progression. It paves the way for the identification of novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets, thus playing a vital role in the evolving landscape of personalized oncology. The collaborative interplay between surgical pathologists, oncologists, and researchers is crucial for advancing the knowledge and treatment paradigms in gastric carcinoma, a

complex and often devastating disease. The ongoing integration of molecular pathology with traditional histopathological techniques augments the depth of understanding and the scope of intervention strategies, reaffirming the centrality of surgical pathology in the multidisciplinary approach to managing gastric carcinoma.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. PHILIP A.P., AJANI J. Gastric carcinoma. Medical oncology : a comprehensive review. <http://www.cancernetwork.com/textbook>.
- [2]. BONENKAMP J.J., VAN DE VELDE C.J.H., KAMSCHÖER G.H.M., HERMANS J., HERMANEK P., BEMELMANS M., GOUMA D.J., SASAKO M., MURUYAMA K. Comparison of factors influencing prognosis of Japanese, German and Deutch gastric cancer. *World J. Surg.*, 1993, 17 : 410-415.
- [3]. BONENKAMP J.J., HERMANS J., SASAKO M., VAN DE VELDE C.J.H. Extended lymph node dissection for gastric cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1999, 340 : 908-14.
- [4]. BONENKAMP J.J., SONGUN I., HERMANS J., SASAKO M., WELVAART K., PLUKKER J.T., VAN ELK P., OBERTOP H., GOUMA D.J., TAAT A., VAN LANSCHOT J., MEYER S., DE GRAAF P.W., VON MEYENFELD M.F., TILANUS H., VAN DE VELDE C.J.H. Randomised comparison of morbidity after D1 and D2 dissection of gastric cancer in 996 Dutch patients. *The Lancet*, 1995, 345 : 745- 748.
- [5]. MADDEN M.V., PRICE S.K., LEARMONTH G.M., DENT D.M. Surgical staging of carcinoma : sources and consequences of error. *Br. J. Surg.*, 1987, 74 : 119-121.
- [6]. REIS E., KAMA N.A., DOGANAY M., ATLI M., DOLAPCI M. Long term survival is improved by an extended lymph node dissection in potentially curable gastric cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology*, 2002, 49 : 1167-1171.
- [7]. KASAKURA Y., MOCHIZUKI F., WAKABAYASHI K., KOSHI M., FUJII M., TAKAUYAMA T. An evaluation of the effectiveness of extended lymph node dissection in patients with gastric cancer. A retrospective study of 1403 cases at a single institution. *J. of Surgical Research*, 2002, 103 : 252- 259.
- [8]. GARNIER P., VIEHL P., ASSELAIN B., DURAND J.C., GIRODET J., PILLERON J.P., SALMON R.J. Valeur pronostique des classifications de Lauren et de Ming dans les adénocarcinomes gastriques. Analyse multidimensionnelle. *Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol.*, 1988, 12 : 553-558.
- [9]. SONGUN I., VAN DE VELDE C., AREND J.W., BLOK P., GROND A.J.K., OFFERHAUS G.J.A., HERMANS J., VAN KRIEKEN J.H.J. Classification of gastric carcinoma using the Goseki system provides prognostic information additional to TNM staging. *Cancer*, 1999, 85 : 2114-2118.
- [10]. CARRIAGA M.T., HENSON D.E. The histologic grading of cancer. *Cancer*, 1995, 75 : 406-21.
- [11]. ROHDE H., GEBBENSLEDEN P., BAUER P., STÜTZER H., ZIESCHANG J. Has there been any improvement in the staging of stomach cancer ? Finding from the German gastric cancer TNM study group. *Cancer*, 1989, 64 : 2465-2481.
- [12]. NIO Y., TSUBONO M., KAWABATA K., MASAI Y., HAYASHI H., MEYER C., INOUE K., TOBE T. Comparison of survival curves of gastric cancer patients after surgery according to the UICC stage classification and the general rules for gastric cancer study by the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer. *Annals of Surgery*, 1993, 1 : 47-53.
- [13]. BOUVIER A.M., HAAS O., PIARD F., ROIGNOT P., BONITHONKOPP C., FAIVRE J. How many nodes must be examined to accurately stage gastric carcinoma. *Cancer*, 2002, 94 : 2862-6.
- [14]. HITOSHI K., KIMIO Y., KEIICHI M., MITSURU S., TAKESHI S. Evaluation of the New International Union Against Cancer TNM Staging for gastric cancer, 2000, 88 : 1796-1800.
- [15]. ICHIKURA T., TOMIMATSU S., UEFUJI K., KIMURA M., UCHIDA T., MORITA D., MOCHIZUKI H. Evaluation of the New American Joint Committee on Cancer/International Union Against Cancer Classification of lymph node metastasis from gastric carcinoma in comparison with the Japanese Classification. *Cancer*, 1999, 86 : 553-558.
- [16]. FUJII K., ISOZAKI H., OKAJIMA K., NOMURA E., NIKI M., SAKO S., IZUMI N., MABUCHI H., NISHIGUCHI K., TANIGAWA N. *Br. J. of Surg.*, 1999, 86 : 685-689.

- [17]. MULLANEY P.J., WADLEY M.S., HYDE C., WYATT J., LAWRENCE G., HALLISSEY M.T., FIELDING J.W.L. Appraisal compliance with the UICC/AJCC staging system in the staging of gastric cancer. *Br. J. Surg.*, 2002, 89 : 1405-1408.
- [18]. HERMANEK P., MARUYAMA K., SOBIN L.H. Stomach carcinoma. In : HERMANEK P., GOSPODAROWICZ M., HENSON D.E., HUTTER R.V.P., SOBIN L.H. (eds). *Prognostic factors in cancer*. SpringerVerlag, Berlin-New York 1995.
- [19]. HERMANEK P., HENSON D.E., HUTTER R.V.P., SOBIN L.H. *TNM supplement*. Berlin-New York, NY : Spriner-Verlag NY Inc. 1993.
- [20]. LEWIN K.J., FRC PATH, APPELMAN H.D. *Atlas of tumor pathology. Tumor of the Esophagus and Stomach*, 1995. UICC TNM classification of malignant tumours, fifth edition 1997.