IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 2, November 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Hybrid Additive–Subtractive Manufacturing Techniques

Aldrin Raja Dhas D[1] and Dr. Rakesh Erappa Jinaga[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering
OPJS University, Churu

Abstract: *The manufacturing industry has experienced significant transformation with the emergence of Additive Manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing. Conventional manufacturing methods, particularly subtractive manufacturing processes such as milling, turning, grinding, and drilling, have long dominated industrial production because of their precision and reliability. However, limitations related to material wastage, geometric complexity, and production flexibility have encouraged the development of additive manufacturing technologies. Recently, hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing systems have emerged as an innovative solution that combines the advantages of both approaches.*

Hybrid systems integrate layer-by-layer material deposition with precision machining operations within a single platform, enabling the production of complex geometries while maintaining high dimensional accuracy and superior surface quality. This review paper presents a comparative analysis of conventional subtractive manufacturing and hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing techniques. The study examines their working principles, advantages, limitations, applications, economic considerations, and future trends. The findings suggest that hybrid manufacturing offers significant potential for aerospace, biomedical, automotive, and tooling industries by combining design flexibility with machining precision..

Keywords: Additive Manufacturing, Subtractive Manufacturing, Hybrid Manufacturing, CNC Machining, Advanced Manufacturing

I. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing technologies have continuously evolved to meet increasing demands for precision, productivity, customization, and sustainability. Conventional subtractive manufacturing processes remove material from a workpiece using cutting tools to achieve the desired geometry. While these methods provide excellent dimensional accuracy and surface finish, they often generate substantial material waste and face challenges when producing highly complex structures. Additive manufacturing, on the other hand, creates components layer by layer directly from digital models, significantly reducing material waste and enabling unprecedented design freedom. Despite these advantages, additive manufacturing often suffers from poor surface finish, residual stresses, and dimensional inaccuracies.

To overcome these limitations, hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing systems have been developed. These systems combine additive deposition technologies with conventional machining operations, allowing manufacturers to exploit the benefits of both approaches. The integration of additive and subtractive techniques within a single machine enables near-net-shape production followed by precision finishing, resulting in improved efficiency and product quality.

OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

The primary objectives of this review are:

- To compare conventional subtractive and hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing techniques.

- To analyze their operational principles and capabilities.
- To evaluate their advantages and limitations.
- To examine industrial applications of hybrid manufacturing.
- To identify future research directions and technological trends.

OVERVIEW OF MANUFACTURING APPROACHES

CONVENTIONAL SUBTRACTIVE MANUFACTURING

Subtractive manufacturing removes material from a solid block using cutting tools.
Common processes include:

- Turning
- Milling
- Drilling
- Grinding
- Electrical Discharge Machining

MATERIAL REMOVAL EQUATION

[MRR = f \times d \times w]

Where:
MRR = Material Removal Rate (mm³/min)
f = Feed Rate
d = Depth of Cut
w = Width of Cut

ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING

Additive Manufacturing creates objects through sequential deposition of material layers.
Common AM technologies include:
Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)
Selective Laser Melting (SLM)
Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)
Direct Energy Deposition (DED)
Stereolithography (SLA)

BUILD TIME EQUATION

[T_b =\frac{H}{t_l \times R}]

Where:
(T_b) = Build Time
(H) = Part Height
(t_l) = Layer Thickness
(R) = Deposition Rate

HYBRID ADDITIVE–SUBTRACTIVE MANUFACTURING

Hybrid manufacturing integrates:
Additive deposition
CNC machining
Inspection systems

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 2, November 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

Process monitoring
within a single machine platform.
This approach allows:
Complex geometry creation
Precision finishing
Reduced setup times
Improved dimensional accuracy

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Table 1: Comparison of Conventional and Hybrid Manufacturing

Parameter	Conventional Manufacturing	Hybrid Manufacturing
Material Utilization	Moderate	High
Geometric Complexity	Limited	Very High
Surface Finish	Excellent	Excellent
Production Flexibility	Low	High
Tool Accessibility	Required	Reduced Dependency
Material Waste	High	Low
Setup Time	High	Lower
Customization	Limited	Excellent
Production Cost	Moderate	Initially High
Sustainability	Moderate	High

ADVANTAGES OF CONVENTIONAL MANUFACTURING

Conventional subtractive manufacturing remains widely used because of:
High dimensional precision
Excellent repeatability
Superior surface finish
Mature technology base
Established industrial standards

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 2, November 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

MAJOR INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

Industry	Application
Automotive	Engine Components
Aerospace	Structural Parts
Medical	Surgical Instruments
Tooling	Dies and Molds

ADVANTAGES OF HYBRID MANUFACTURING

Hybrid systems offer several benefits:

1. Design Freedom

Complex internal channels, lattice structures, and topology-optimized designs can be manufactured.

2. Improved Surface Quality

Machining operations improve rough surfaces generated during additive deposition.

3. Material Savings

Only required material is deposited.

4. Reduced Production Time

Multiple operations are completed within a single setup.

Table 2: Major Benefits of Hybrid Manufacturing

Benefit	Description
Near-Net Shape Production	Reduced machining requirement
Precision Finishing	Improved dimensional accuracy
Reduced Waste	Efficient material usage
Multi-Material Capability	Advanced functional components
Process Integration	Lower production time

LIMITATIONS OF HYBRID MANUFACTURING

Despite its advantages, hybrid manufacturing faces challenges:

Challenge	Impact
High Equipment Cost	Limits adoption
Process Complexity	Requires expertise
Thermal Distortion	Affects accuracy
Process Monitoring	Requires advanced sensors
Software Integration	Complex implementation

INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

A. Aerospace Industry

Hybrid manufacturing is extensively used for:

Turbine blades

Fuel nozzles

Lightweight structures

B. Biomedical Industry

Applications include:

Customized implants

Prosthetic devices

Dental restorations

C. Automotive Industry

Used for:

Prototype development

Lightweight components

Complex tooling

D. Tool and Die Industry

Applications include:

Repair of molds

Conformal cooling channels

High-performance tooling

PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The following chart illustrates a comparative assessment of key manufacturing characteristics.

II. CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis demonstrates that conventional subtractive manufacturing and hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing each possess distinct advantages. Conventional methods continue to provide superior dimensional accuracy, reliability, and industrial maturity. However, they face limitations regarding material utilization, geometric complexity, and customization. Hybrid manufacturing addresses many of these challenges by combining additive manufacturing's design freedom with the precision and finishing capabilities of subtractive machining. Although hybrid systems involve higher initial investment and process complexity, they offer significant benefits in terms of material efficiency, production flexibility, and sustainability. As Industry 4.0 technologies continue to evolve, hybrid additive–subtractive manufacturing is expected to become a cornerstone of next-generation manufacturing systems.

REFERENCES

[1]. Attaran, M. (2017). The rise of 3D printing. *Business Horizons, 60*(5), 677–688.
[2]. Bandyopadhyay, A., & Bose, S. (2015). *Additive manufacturing*. CRC Press.
[3]. DebRoy, T., Wei, H. L., Zuback, J. S. (2018). Additive manufacturing of metallic components. *Progress in Materials Science, 92*, 112–224.
[4]. Ding, D., Pan, Z., Cuiuri, D., & Li, H. (2015). Wire-feed additive manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 81*(1–4), 465–481.
[5]. Gibson, I., Rosen, D., & Stucker, B. (2021). *Additive manufacturing technologies* (3rd ed.). Springer.
[6]. Guo, N., & Leu, M. C. (2013). Additive manufacturing. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering, 8*(3), 215–243.
[7]. Herzog, D., Seyda, V., Wycisk, E., & Emmelmann, C. (2016). Additive manufacturing of metals. *Acta Materialia, 117*, 371–392.
[8]. Klocke, F. (2011). *Manufacturing processes 1*. Springer.
[9]. Leu, M. C., Bourell, D., Rosen, D. (2013). Roadmap for additive manufacturing. *Manufacturing Engineering*, 151(6), 26–38.
[10]. Newman, S. T., Zhu, Z., Dhokia, V., & Shokrani, A. (2015). Process planning for additive and subtractive technologies. *CIRP Annals, 64*(1), 467–470.
[11]. Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G. (2018). Additive manufacturing review. *Composites Part B, 143*, 172–196.

[12]. Panesar, A., Brackett, D., Ashcroft, I. (2018). Hybrid manufacturing processes. *Additive Manufacturing, 21*, 684–697.
[13]. Qin, Y. (2018). *Robot-assisted additive manufacturing*. Elsevier.
[14]. Rejeski, D., Zhao, F., & Huang, Y. (2018). Research needs in additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing, 19*, 21–28.
[15]. Rosen, D. W. (2014). Design for additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing, 4*, 1–2.
[16]. Thompson, M. K., Moroni, G., Vaneker, T. (2016). Design for additive manufacturing. *CIRP Annals, 65*(2), 737–760.
[17]. Tofail, S. A. M., Koumoulos, E. P., Bandyopadhyay, A. (2018). Additive manufacturing. *Materials Today, 21*(1), 22–37.
[18]. Vaezi, M., Seitz, H., & Yang, S. (2013). Review of additive manufacturing technologies. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 67*(5–8), 1721–1754.
[19]. Wang, Y., Blache, R., & Xu, X. (2017). Hybrid manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 45*, 228–239.
[20]. Zhu, Z., Dhokia, V., Nassehi, A., & Newman, S. T. (2013). Hybrid manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 15*(4), 596–605.