

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Impact Factor: 6.252

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

Comparative Study of Green Rating Systems in India

Pratibha B. Patil¹, Alka Avasthi², Vijeta Kundlikar³, Sampat Nanaware⁴, Prasad Joshi⁵

Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering^{1,3,4,5}
Head of Department, Department of Civil Engineering²
Pimpri Chichwad Polytechnic, Akurdi, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract:Indian construction industry is highest growth rate from last years, which is having a negative impact on the environment and natural resources available for construction. Following this problem of carbon imprint, concept of sustainable development in construction industry is the need of an hour. With increase in demand of sustainable buildings or green buildings demand of green rating and assessment tools is also increasing. Rating tools set benchmark for green building measurement, which are helpful in reducing negative impact on environment by promoting quality green building. India has two main building environment assessment tools i.e., Indian Green Building Council (IGBC) and Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment (GRIHA). The former is benchmarked with global standards while later is indigenously developed. This paper aims to focus on comparative study of IGBC (LEED India) and GRIHA rating system and compare both with regards to their certification-cost, influence and popularity, performance criteria and benchmarks 9rating score). Through this study, an attempt is made to make clear understanding of IGBC and GRIHA rating system assessment criteria that need to be considered before certification.

Keywords: Green Rating System, GRIHA, LEED, IGBC, etc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to rapid urbanization and increased population, there is a huge demand of residential and commercial buildings. Traditional materials and methods of construction are harmful for our environment and society because they emit greenhouse gases (GHGs), dust, consumes more energy and water. A green building is one which utilizes less water, improves vitality productivity, saves common assets, creates less waste and gives more advantageous spaces to tenants when contrasted with a regular building. Use of Innovative materials and non-renewable energy resources in green or sustainable building reduces environmental impact. A Green Building advances productive utilization of natural assets and reused or recyclable materials. Sustainable building has three fundamental measurements - ecological, cultural and economic sustainability [1]. It Depend upon the kind of nation, due to regional differences expectation of people also changes, likewise culture is also essential angle in accomplishing sustainability.

The traditional buildings satisfy the necessities of well-being, but utilize excess energy and other natural assets, but Green Buildings adopts variety of eco-friendly concepts and provide same comfort with healthy environment. The sustainable building demand has expanded quickly worldwide in recent decades. With increase in green building demand assessment and benchmarking tools were needed [2]. In view of the extent of green measures embraced, credits are granted to a project and, after applying required weightage; a final score is a calculated to determine the certification Level of the building. This helps to know the range of utilization of green practices in building development. The BREEAM (Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method) was launched in UK in 1990 and first guide was published in 1996, after BREEAM every country started developing their own rating system, the Hong Kong Building Environmental Assessment Method (HK-BEAM) was introduced in the same year in Hong Kong in 1996. In the year 1998, the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design green building rating system was introduced in US. In year 2002 Green building council of Australia introduced Green Star rating system.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-5105



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Impact Factor: 6.252

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

In year 2003 the Indian Green Building Council was introduced BY CII. In year 2005, the Green Mark rating system was introduced by the building and Construction Authority of Singapore. In year 2005 TERI developed Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment in India which was adopted as national rating system for green building in November 2007.

II. AN OVERVIEW OF GREEN BUILDING RATING SYSTEM

A. Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM)

BREEAM was founded almost three decades back in the United Kingdom in 1990 by the Building Research Establishment (BRE), and it stands for Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method. It is one of the most popular and internationally recognized green building rating systems in the world that sets standards and measures the environmental performance of buildings – both new as well as existing. In other words, BREEAM is the world's leading sustainability assessment method for master-planning projects, infrastructure and buildings. It recognizes and reflects the value in higher performing assets across the built environment lifecycle, from new construction to in-use to renovation and refurbishment. BREEAM does this through third party certification of the assessment of an asset's environmental, social and economic sustainability performance, using standards developed by BRE. This means BREEAM-rated developments are more sustainable environments that enhance the well-being of the people who live and work in them, help protect natural resources and make for more attractive property investments. BREEAM rating system is divided in 10 categories, namely Energy, Health and wellbeing, Innovation, Transportation, Material, Waste, Land use and Ecology, Water, Pollution, Management [3].

B. Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design

LEED is an American green building rating system developed by the U.S. Green Building Council whereas BREEAM is English and was introduced by the UK-based Building Research Establishment (BRE). The first LEED building appeared in 1998 – only 8 years after the creation of the BREEAM system. Technically, these two systems are pretty similar (almost 70-80%). They are constantly improving and taking the best world practices in the design, construction and operation of buildings. But the approach to certification of buildings is very different.

In case of LEED, the project team (usually with the help of a LEED Accredited Professional) is engaged in the design and construction of the building. The team itself can register the project, take into account the requirements of LEED standards and prepare the necessary documentation. It forms a package of project documentation and sends it to the USGBC, where the examination is conducted in different sections. If everything is in order, it results in a certificate with a certain level. BREEAM has a different approach, and if there are similarities with the technical criteria with LEED, the processes vary considerably. In BREEAM there is the concept of an "appraiser", and when a project is developed (usually by involving a BREEAM consultant), it is checked by an appraiser – one person, not a group of experts. The evaluator acts very formally: he is provided with documents for checking compliance with the established criteria, and if everything is in order, he approves them. Appraiser appears twice – at the design stage and at the stage of putting the object into operation. Based on the results of the compliance check, he prepares a report, which is then sent to the UK for a selective audit. The evaluation results in a certificate.

C. Indian Green Building Council

It is an Indian version of USGBC LEED (Leadership of Energy and Environmental Design) started in 2003 by CII. Indian Green Building Council is a part of CII-Godrej Green Business Centre. The vision of the IGBC is to serve as main solution provider and be a key institution to facilitate all Green Building activities in India [7], the association spreading and promoting sustainability through sustainable construction. IGBC is most popular rating system in India with over 2000building registered for rating. IGBC is a rating tool for surveying building performance according set criteria and standard norms. In the year 2000 the benchmarks for the IGBC (LEED

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-5105

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

25



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Impact Factor: 6.252

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

India) Green Building Rating System were created and final rating was released in 2003 and is as of now accessible for new and existing developments.

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Cost: For non-members and 5000 m² or less built-up area.

Table 1: Total Cost of Certification

IGBC		GRIHA	
Membership fee	30000	Membership fee	N.A.
Precertification	185000	Precertification	-
Certification	160000	Certification	314000
Total	375000	Total	314000

IGBC charges membership fee results in increased cost, on the other hand GRIHA do not charge such membership cost.

Popularity and Influence: Popularity is measured in terms of number of projects registered under each rating system and their contribution towards green footprint.

Table 2: Influence

	IGBC	GRIHA
Inception Year	2001	2005
Number of projects Registered	4077	900
Green Footprint	4.53 Billion sq. ft.	387.501 million sq. ft. (approx.)

IGBC is more popular both in terms of numbers of project and green footprint. IGBC follow LEED rating system, which has higher publicity toward the West, making it more adequate to multinationals who are prime purchasers/investors of properties.

Assessment Criteria for New Construction

IGBC has divided its whole rating system into 7 basic criteria [6] and GRIHA has divided its rating system into 4 main groups which are further divided into 34 criteria [5]. To compare both the rating systems 34 criteria of GRIHA has been summarized in 9 group criteria.

Table 3: Assessment Criteria

IGBC		GRIHA		
Criteria	Points allotted	Grouped Criteria	Point allotted	
Sustainable architecture and design	05	Site Planning		
Site selection and Planning	14	Water Management	13	
Water Conservation	18	Energy Optimization	35	
Energy Efficiency	28	Sustainable Building Materials	14	
Building material and resources	16	Waste Management 0		
Indoor Environmental Quality	12	Health and Wellbeing		
Innovation and development	07	Building Operation and Maintenance 02		
Total	100	Total	100	

LEED allot 10 extra points, 6 for Innovation in Design and4 for Regional Priority respectively therefore there are 110maximum numbers of points that can be achieved. Meanwhile GRIHA allots 4 points for Innovation in Design, so maximum104 points can be achieved under GRIHA ratings.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-5105

26



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-5105

Impact Factor: 6.252

No. Category Category Category Category
A Site selection/Land reuse/Reclaimed land/Sustainable construction Safeguard and protect the landscape during construction / Preserve top soil/ Existing vegetation Soil conservation/Top soil laying & stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment
A land/Sustainable construction Safeguard and protect the landscape during construction / Preserve top soil/ Existing vegetation Soil conservation/Top soil laying & stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment E Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation & # Building & site operation & # Project management E ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
B during construction / Preserve top soil/ Existing vegetation Soil conservation/Top soil laying & stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment E Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation &
B soil/ Existing vegetation Soil conservation/Top soil laying & stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment E Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation &
C Soil conservation/Top soil laying & stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment E Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation &
C stabilization/Hard landscaping & boundary protection D Brownfield redevelopment
Building & site operation & Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation & maintenance g Project management & 2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy **A **A
E Design to include existing site features f Building & site operation & g Project management 2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy V
features f Building & site operation & g Project management 2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
features f Building & site operation & maintenance g Project management 2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
f Building & site operation & maintenance g Project management
g Project management 2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
2 ENERGY/ENERGY EFFICIENCY/ENERGY USE a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy b performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy b performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
a Renewable energy utilization Minimum energy b performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
b Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
b Minimum energy performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
b performance/Optimize ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
ozone depletion Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
Fundamental building commissioning/Measurement c & verification/ Energy
c & verification/ Energy ✓ ✓
momoring/incicing & monitoring
d Ozone depletion
e Additional commissioning
e Additional commissioning ✓ 🕏
f Energy improvement/Green power
2 INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL
3 QUALITY
Optimize building design to reduce the conventional energy
a demand/Naturally ventilated ✓ ✓
design/Localizedventilation
Day lighting & views / Vival
Day lighting & views / Visual comfort
/ Day lighting / External views
b / Artificial lighting ✓ ✓
Interior lighting normally specified.

No.	Category	IGBC	GRIHA
4	HEALTH & WELL BEING		
A	Minimum level of sanitation/Safety facilities for construction workers	Ф	✓
В	Reduce air pollution during construction	√	√
5	RECYCLE, RECHARGE & REUSE OF WATER		
A	Water consumption/Water monitoring/Water meter/Water usage monitoring	Ð	✓
В	Waste Water Treatment	✓	✓
С	Water recycle & reuse	Æ	✓
D	Minimize waste generation/Waste segregation/ Storage & disposal/Recovery from waste Innovative waste water	Φ	✓
Е	technologies/ Storm water management / Water recycling effluent discharge to foul sever.		~
6	MATERIALS		
A	Building reuse/Reuse of façade/Reuse of structure	✓	Æ
В	Conservation and efficient utilization of resources	√	√
С	Utilization of fly ash in the building structure	4	√
d	Storage and collection of recyclables/Construction water management / Resource reuse / Recycled content / Construction waste management / Recycled aggregates / Recycled content of concrete / Recycled content of steel / Recycled content of reused products& materials		√
e	Reduce volume, weight & time of construction by adopting an efficient technology	¥	✓
f	Use low energy materials in the interiors	✓	✓
g	Sustainable procurement/Recycling waste storage / Sustainable construction/Sustainable products / Adaptability & Deconstruction / Sustainable Forest products / Waste recycling facilities / Waste management	√	√
h	Local or regional materials	✓	ቝ



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Impact Factor: 6.252

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

	Reduced heat island		
	effects/Thermal comfort/Thermal		
c	insulation/Thermal	✓	Æ
	performance of building		
	Low emitting material/Indoor		
	chemical and pollutant source		
	control/CO2 monitoring and control		
d	/ Hazardous material / Indoor air	✓	✓
	pollutants/ETS control		
	Minimize ozone depleting		
	substance/HCFC & CFC		
	free HVAC/Low & Zero	,	,
e	carbon	v	v
	technology		
	Acceptable indoor & outdoor		
	noise levels / Acoustic		
f	performance	*	✓
	/Background noise		

7	TRANSPORTATION		
a	Alternative transportation / Public transport accessibility / commuting mass transport / Green transport / Local transport / Vehicular access		√
b	Alternative transportation/Cyclist facilities	√	₩
c	Alternative transportation / Travel plan / Fuel efficient transport	√	₩
d	Pedestrian route/ Local transport	✓	✓
e	Proximity to amenities/ Neighborhood amenities/ amenities features	✓	Ā
8	INNOVATION		
a	Innovation in design	✓	✓

GRIHA rating framework is more suited for Indian conditions and it is more elaborated rating system.

Benchmarking (Rating Score)

Benchmark is a set of norms, utilized as a perspective for assessing performance.

Benchmarks for both the rating systems are given below.

Table 5: Certification Level

IGBC		GRIHA	
Rating	Points	Rating	% Points Scored
Certified	40-49	One star	50-60
Silver	50-59	Two stars	61-70
Gold	60-79	Three stars	71–80
Platinum	80 andabove	Four stars	81–90
		Five stars	91–100

IV. CONCLUSION

To rate the green building both the rating systems IGBC and GRIHA are prominently used in India, where former is according to international standards while later is indigenously developed.

IGBC is backed by Confederation of Indian Industry and uses global standards norms of LEED. Meanwhile GRIHA is especially developed according to Indian Condition and supported by Ministry of New and Renewable Energy.

GRIHA is easy but detailed rating system while IGBC uses per capita energy consumption which is not a reliable factor for rating as India's per capita energy consumption is very low.

Over all GRIHA suits and fits in Indian Conditions while good market strategy and international standard are helping IGBC in maintaining their position of most preferred rating systems in India.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Kohler, "The relevance of Green Building Challenge: an observer's perspective." Building Research and Information, 1999, pp. 309 320.
- [2] Poveda, Cesar A., and Ryan Young, "Potential benefits of developing and implementing environmental



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Impact Factor: 6.252

Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2022

- and sustainability rating systems: Making the case for the need of diversification." International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment 4.1, 2015, pp. 1-11.
- [3] BREEAM, BREEAM International New Construction 2014, Publisher: BRE Global Limited, 2014, p (401).
- [4] Council, US Green Building. "LEED v4 User Guide." Retrieved from http://www. usgbc.org/leed (2013).
- [5] Green Star Design and As Built v1.1, Publisher: Green Building Council of Australia, 2015, p (296).
- [6] Shuzo MURAKAMI, Kazuo IWAMURA & Raymond J. COLE, CASBEE A decade of Development and Application of an Environmental Assessment System for the Built Environment, Institute for Building Environment and Energy Conservation (IBEC), 2014.
- [7] Indian Green Building Councils Green New Building rating system version 03, Abridged Reference guide, August 2015.
- [8] Introduction to National Rating System, "GRIHA, an evaluation tool to help design, build, operate, and maintain a resource- efficient built environment", Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India and The Energy and Resources Institute New GRIHA Manual, Vol. 1,2010, pp 1-42.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-5105