

A Study of the Relationship between Procrastination, Stress, Anxiety, and Academic Performance among University Students

KM. Manju Yadav¹ and Dr. P. Valson²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Psychology

²Supervisor, Department of Psychology

Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *University students navigate a complex psychological landscape in which procrastination, stress, and anxiety intersect to shape academic outcomes. This paper presents a doctoral-level research proposal for examining the structural relationships among these three constructs and their collective impact on academic performance. Drawing on existing empirical evidence, the proposed study addresses a critical gap: while bivariate relationships are well-documented, the mediating and moderating mechanisms remain insufficiently specified. The research adopts a correlational design with structural equation modeling, sampling approximately 500 university students across disciplines. Standardized instruments—the Procrastination Scale, Perceived Stress Scale, and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory—will measure predictor variables, while academic performance will be operationalized through GPA and self-reported achievement. The study hypothesizes that procrastination exerts both direct effects on performance and indirect effects through stress and anxiety, with self-regulation and gender examined as potential moderators. Findings are expected to inform targeted interventions that address the psychological precursors of academic underperformance rather than treating procrastination as a purely behavioral deficit.*

Keywords: academic procrastination, perceived stress, anxiety, academic performance, university students, structural equation modeling

I. INTRODUCTION

The transition to university life exposes students to unprecedented academic demands, social pressures, and personal responsibilities. Within this context, three psychological phenomena—procrastination, stress, and anxiety—have emerged as particularly salient correlates of academic difficulty. Procrastination, defined as the irrational delay of essential tasks despite awareness of negative consequences, affects an estimated 50 to 95 percent of university students to varying degrees. Stress, understood as the perception that demands exceed one's coping resources, and anxiety, characterized by excessive worry and physiological arousal in anticipation of threat, frequently co-occur with procrastinatory behavior.

The empirical literature has established consistent negative associations between each of these variables and academic performance. A doctoral study conducted at Aligarh Muslim University found that procrastination, stress, and anxiety—along with their respective dimensions—were all significantly and negatively correlated with students' academic achievement. Regression analyses further revealed that specific facets, such as dissatisfaction and personal consequences of procrastination, and situational anxiety, emerged as critical predictors of performance decrements. Similarly, research among Nigerian undergraduates confirmed significant negative relationships between stress, anxiety, and academic performance, with anxiety demonstrating a particularly strong correlation.



However, despite these consistent bivariate findings, the field lacks a comprehensive model specifying how these constructs operate in concert. Do stress and anxiety merely accompany procrastination, or do they serve as mediating mechanisms through which procrastination impairs performance? Conversely, might procrastination function as a maladaptive coping strategy that temporarily alleviates anxiety while exacerbating stress over time? Recent longitudinal evidence suggests reciprocal dynamics: procrastination at the beginning of a semester predicted increased learning-related anxiety at midterm, which in turn predicted further procrastination. This reciprocal pattern implies that simple correlational designs may obscure the temporal ordering of effects.

The present proposal addresses this gap by specifying a structural model in which procrastination serves as the exogenous predictor, stress and anxiety function as parallel mediators, and academic performance is the ultimate outcome. Additionally, the study examines self-regulation as a potential protective factor and gender as a control variable, given evidence that anxiety and stress responses may differ across demographic groups. The research questions are: (1) What are the direct and indirect effects of procrastination on academic performance through stress and anxiety? (2) Do stress and anxiety mediate the procrastination–performance relationship? (3) To what extent does self-regulation moderate the relationship between procrastination and its psychological consequences?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Procrastination and Academic Performance

The relationship between procrastination and academic performance has been extensively investigated, though findings require nuanced interpretation. A large-scale meta-analysis encompassing 96 articles and over 55,000 participants found a modest negative overall correlation between procrastination and performance. Critically, this analysis distinguished between active and passive procrastination: active procrastination—characterized by deliberate delay and effective time pressure utilization—demonstrated a small positive effect on performance, whereas passive procrastination, the more common form involving avoidance and task aversion, showed a negative effect. This distinction is essential for the proposed study, which focuses on passive procrastination as the maladaptive variant.

The Aligarh study provided finer-grained analysis by examining dimensions of procrastination. Negative consequences related to academic performance, personal consequences, dissatisfaction, and health consequences were all negatively correlated with achievement. Notably, dissatisfaction and personal consequences emerged as significant independent predictors, suggesting that the emotional aftermath of procrastination—guilt, self-reproach, and diminished self-efficacy—may be as consequential as the behavioral delay itself.

2.2 Stress, Anxiety, and Academic Performance

Stress and anxiety, while conceptually related, represent distinguishable constructs with different temporal profiles. Stress typically refers to a transactional process in which individuals appraise environmental demands as exceeding their resources. The Perceived Stress Scale, developed by Cohen and colleagues, operationalizes this definition by assessing the degree to which situations are appraised as unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overwhelming. Anxiety, by contrast, involves anticipatory apprehension and physiological arousal in response to perceived threat, with state anxiety reflecting transient reactions and trait anxiety denoting a stable predisposition.

Both constructs demonstrate robust negative associations with academic outcomes. In the Nigerian sample, anxiety correlated with performance at $r = -0.957$, a magnitude suggesting near-complete overlap in that particular context, while stress correlated at $r = -0.632$. The Aligarh study reported similar negative relationships across all dimensions of stress and anxiety. Contemporary research has begun to specify mediating mechanisms: a recent model positioned anxiety and emotional exhaustion as sequential mediators between academic stress and GPA, such that stress increases anxiety, which depletes emotional resources, ultimately impairing performance. This specification aligns with the stress-strain-outcome framework and provides theoretical grounding for the mediation hypotheses proposed here.

2.3 The Procrastination–Distress Nexus

The relationship between procrastination and psychological distress is bidirectional and potentially self-reinforcing. Cognitive-behavioral theory posits that maladaptive behaviors like procrastination reinforce negative emotional states



through avoidance conditioning: short-term relief from task-related anxiety negatively reinforces delay, while long-term consequences generate guilt and increased stress. Empirical findings support this framework. The longitudinal study by Gadosey and colleagues demonstrated that procrastination predicted increased anxiety six weeks later, controlling for prior anxiety levels. Interestingly, procrastination also predicted reduced hope, and lower hope subsequently predicted greater procrastination, suggesting that positive affective resources may buffer against the procrastination cycle.

However, findings are not uniform across contexts. A study of 796 college students in the Southern Philippines found that procrastination correlated significantly with depression but not with anxiety or stress. The authors interpreted this pattern as evidence that procrastination may particularly affect self-worth and motivational systems rather than generalized arousal. This contextual variation underscores the need for the proposed study to examine these relationships within a specific institutional and cultural setting, contributing to a more differentiated understanding.

2.4 Theoretical Framework

The proposed study integrates three theoretical perspectives. First, **Cognitive-Behavioral Theory** explains procrastination as a learned avoidance response maintained by negative reinforcement, with maladaptive cognitions (e.g., perfectionism, fear of failure) driving both delay and distress. Second, the **Transactional Model of Stress and Coping** (Lazarus and Folkman) conceptualizes stress as arising from appraisals of demands and resources, providing a framework for understanding why some students experience performance-impairing stress while others do not. Third, **Control-Value Theory** suggests that achievement emotions—including anxiety—arise from appraisals of control and value, which may themselves be influenced by procrastinatory behavior and its consequences. Together, these frameworks support a model in which procrastination influences performance both directly (through reduced preparation and time on task) and indirectly (through increased stress and anxiety).

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study employs a cross-sectional correlational design with structural equation modeling (SEM) as the primary analytic strategy. While cross-sectional data cannot establish temporal precedence, SEM permits simultaneous estimation of direct and indirect effects, providing a more comprehensive picture than separate regression analyses. Where feasible, a subset of participants will be followed longitudinally across one semester to enable cross-lagged panel analysis, addressing the reciprocal relationships suggested by prior research.

3.2 Participants and Sampling

The target population comprises undergraduate and postgraduate students enrolled in public and private universities. A stratified random sampling procedure will recruit approximately 500 participants, stratified by year of study (first through final year) and discipline (humanities, social sciences, natural sciences, engineering, and professional programs). Sample size was determined using power analysis for SEM, assuming a medium effect size and allowing for up to 20 percent attrition in longitudinal components. Students with diagnosed anxiety disorders or receiving psychological treatment will be included but flagged, as their inclusion enhances ecological validity while permitting sensitivity analyses.

3.3 Measures

Academic Procrastination. The Procrastination Scale (Lay, 1986) will assess trait procrastination, with additional items from the Procrastination Perceived Negative Consequences Scale (Haghbin & Pychyl, 2015) capturing the emotional and practical consequences of delay. The scale demonstrates good internal consistency ($\alpha > .80$) in prior studies.

Perceived Stress. The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10; Cohen et al., 1983) measures the degree to which respondents appraise their lives as unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloaded during the past month. Items are rated on a 5-point frequency scale, with established reliability ($\alpha = .83-.90$) and predictive validity for somatic and psychiatric symptoms.



Anxiety. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger et al., 1983) will be administered, with the 20-item trait anxiety subscale serving as the primary measure. The STAI is the most widely used anxiety instrument in student populations, with test-retest reliability of .65–.75 over two months and extensive validity evidence.

Academic Performance. Grade point average (GPA) will serve as the primary outcome, obtained through self-report and verified against institutional records where consent permits. Self-reported performance on recent assessments and a single-item measure of perceived academic standing will provide supplementary indicators.

Self-Regulation. The Short Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SSRQ) will assess goal setting, monitoring, and adjustment capacities, hypothesized to moderate the procrastination–distress relationship.

Demographic Covariates. Age, gender, year of study, discipline, employment status, and living arrangement will be recorded.

3.4 Procedure

Following ethical approval, participants will be recruited via institutional email lists and learning management systems. Informed consent will be obtained electronically, with clear statements regarding voluntariness, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw. Surveys will be administered online using a secure platform, with attention checks embedded to identify careless responding. For the longitudinal component, participants will complete follow-up assessments at mid-semester and end-of-semester, with modest compensation (e.g., course credit or lottery entry) to encourage retention.

3.5 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics and bivariate correlations will provide an initial overview. Confirmatory factor analysis will verify the measurement model for each latent construct. The structural model will be tested using maximum likelihood estimation with bootstrapped confidence intervals (5,000 resamples) for indirect effects. Model fit will be evaluated using $\chi^2/df < 3$, CFI $> .95$, RMSEA $< .06$, and SRMR $< .08$. Mediation will be assessed via the indirect effect of procrastination on performance through stress and anxiety, with significance determined by bias-corrected bootstrap confidence intervals. Moderation by self-regulation will be tested using latent interaction terms. Missing data will be handled through full information maximum likelihood, assuming data are missing at random.

IV. EXPECTED CONTRIBUTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Theoretical Contributions

This study advances the literature in three ways. First, it moves beyond bivariate correlations to specify a process model in which stress and anxiety serve as mediating mechanisms linking procrastination to performance. If supported, this model would reframe procrastination from a simple time-management failure to a psychologically embedded pattern with cascading emotional consequences. Second, by examining self-regulation as a moderator, the study identifies conditions under which procrastination is more or less likely to generate distress, informing theories of resilience and coping. Third, the inclusion of both self-reported and objective performance indicators addresses a limitation of prior research, which has often relied exclusively on GPA or single-item measures.

4.2 Practical Implications

Findings will inform intervention design in university counseling and academic support services. If stress and anxiety mediate the procrastination–performance relationship, interventions targeting emotional regulation and cognitive reappraisal may be more effective than conventional time-management training alone. Conversely, if procrastination exerts primarily direct effects, structured behavioral interventions (e.g., implementation intentions, task decomposition) remain indicated. The identification of self-regulation as a protective factor would support skills-based programs that build goal monitoring and adjustment capacities. Given evidence that situational anxiety is a particularly potent predictor of performance decrements, screening for anxiety during high-stakes assessment periods may identify at-risk students for targeted support.

4.3 Limitations and Future Directions

The cross-sectional design of the primary analysis precludes causal inference. The longitudinal component partially addresses this limitation, but a fully powered multi-wave panel study would be required to establish temporal ordering



definitively. Self-selection bias may limit generalizability, as students experiencing severe distress may be less likely to participate. Future research should examine these relationships in clinical samples, across cultural contexts, and with objective performance measures such as standardized test scores or course-specific assessments. Additionally, the role of positive psychological resources—hope, self-efficacy, academic buoyancy—warrants investigation as potential protective factors that interrupt the procrastination–distress cycle .

V. CONCLUSION

Procrastination, stress, and anxiety represent interconnected psychological phenomena that collectively undermine academic achievement among university students. While existing research has documented their individual negative associations with performance, the mechanisms linking them remain underspecified. This proposal outlines a systematic investigation of the structural relationships among these constructs, testing a mediation model in which stress and anxiety transmit the effects of procrastination on academic outcomes. By integrating cognitive-behavioral, transactional stress, and control-value perspectives, the study aims to produce knowledge that is both theoretically coherent and practically actionable. The findings will contribute to a more precise understanding of why students who delay tasks also tend to perform poorly—and, crucially, what can be done to interrupt this cycle.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rizvi, S. Z. S., & Ajjaz, A. (2020). *Academic performance as related to procrastination, stress and anxiety among university students* [Doctoral dissertation, Aligarh Muslim University]. Shodhganga.
- [2] Kooren, N. S., Van Nooijen, C., & Paas, F. (2024). The influence of active and passive procrastination on academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 323.
- [3] From academic stress to academic performance: A sequential mediation model of anxiety and emotional exhaustion among university students. (2026). *Acta Psychologica*.
- [4] The role of academic procrastination in the occurrence of depression, anxiety, and stress among college students. (2026). *Zenodo*.
- [5] Argumedo Bustinza, D., Díaz Cema, K., Calderón, A., Díaz Morales, J. F., & Ferrari, J. R. Assessment of the confiability and factorial structure of three scales measuring chronic procrastination.
- [6] Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 24(4), 385–396.
- [7] Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1983). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Consulting Psychologists Press.
- [8] Rizvi, S. Z. S. (2020). Abstract. In *Academic performance as related to procrastination, stress and anxiety among university students* [Doctoral dissertation, Aligarh Muslim University].
- [9] Gadosey, C. K., Schnettler, T., Scheunemann, A., Bülke, L., Thies, D. O., Dresel, M., Fries, S., Leutner, D., Wirth, J., & Grunschel, C. (2023). Reciprocal relationships between procrastination and emotions. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 38(3), 2005–2031.
- [10] The interplay between mental health and student life in higher education. (2026). *Zenodo*.
- [11] Garba, J. (2023). Stress, anxiety and depression as predictors of academic performance among undergraduate university students in North Western Nigeria. *KIU Journal of Humanities*, 8, 137–142.
- [12] Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). CDC.

