

Evaluation of the Environmental Impact and Biodegradability of Natural and Synthetic Dyes

Anju Mishra¹ and Dr. Sanjeev Kumar²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Chemistry

²Supervisor, Department of Chemistry

Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *The global textile dyeing industry discharges an estimated 200,000 tonnes of synthetic dyes into waterways annually, raising urgent questions about persistent organic pollution and ecotoxicological risk. This paper provides a structured evaluation of the environmental impact and biodegradability of natural and synthetic dyes, synthesizing evidence from comparative studies, life cycle assessments, and biodegradation assays. The analysis reveals a nuanced picture: while natural dyes generally exhibit superior ready biodegradability and lower effluent chemical oxygen demand, certain natural colorants can display non-trivial aquatic toxicity, and synthetic dyes continue to dominate due to unmatched fastness and cost-efficiency. A critical methodological gap is identified—the near-absence of standardized, dye-specific biodegradation data under ecologically relevant conditions. The paper concludes by proposing a doctoral research framework integrating OECD 301 biodegradation protocols, life cycle assessment, and ecotoxicological endpoints to generate the comparative data necessary for evidence-based substitution policies.*

Keywords: natural dyes, synthetic dyes, biodegradability, life cycle assessment, textile effluent, ecotoxicology

I. INTRODUCTION

Dyes play an important role in various industrial and commercial activities, particularly in textile manufacturing, paper production, leather processing, cosmetics, food processing, printing, and pharmaceutical industries. They are used to impart colour to materials and improve their appearance, functionality, and commercial value. With the rapid growth of industrialization, urbanization, and consumer demand for coloured products, the production and consumption of dyes have increased significantly. However, the extensive use of dyes has raised serious environmental concerns because a considerable proportion of these substances may enter the environment through industrial wastewater, accidental discharge, and improper waste disposal. Many dye-containing effluents are released into rivers, lakes, ponds, and other aquatic environments, where they can affect water quality, aquatic organisms, soil properties, and ecological balance. The environmental consequences depend on the chemical composition of the dyes, their concentration, the characteristics of the receiving environment, and the effectiveness of wastewater treatment methods. Therefore, evaluating the environmental impact and biodegradability of natural and synthetic dyes has become an important area of environmental research. Such an investigation can help identify environmentally preferable colouring materials and develop sustainable approaches for reducing pollution associated with dye production and application.

Natural dyes are colouring substances obtained from biological and naturally occurring sources, including plants, animals, microorganisms, and certain minerals. Plant-based dyes extracted from leaves, roots, bark, flowers, fruits, seeds, and other plant parts have been used for centuries in traditional textile colouring and handicraft production. Examples include indigo obtained from indigo-producing plants, curcumin extracted from turmeric, anthocyanins present in various fruits and flowers, and tannins obtained from plant materials. Natural dyes have attracted renewed scientific interest because they can be produced from renewable resources, agricultural residues, and other biological materials. Their use may support waste valorization, resource efficiency, and the development of environmentally



responsible production systems. Nevertheless, the natural origin of a dye does not automatically guarantee its environmental safety. Some natural dyes may contain biologically active compounds that affect aquatic organisms, while their extraction may require substantial quantities of water, energy, or organic solvents. In addition, certain natural dyes require mordants, which are substances used to improve colour fixation and durability. Some mordants, particularly those containing certain metals, may introduce additional environmental risks. Consequently, the environmental performance of natural dyes must be evaluated through scientific testing rather than assumed solely on the basis of their biological origin.

Synthetic dyes are manufactured through chemical processes and are widely used because of their extensive colour range, relatively consistent quality, high colour strength, and suitability for large-scale industrial applications. Important classes include azo dyes, anthraquinone dyes, triphenylmethane dyes, and other chemically synthesized colouring compounds. These dyes have become essential to modern textile production because they can provide specific shades, reproducible results, and desirable properties such as lightfastness and wash resistance. However, some synthetic dyes and their transformation products may persist in the environment or exhibit toxicity under particular exposure conditions. Certain azo dyes, for example, may undergo reductive cleavage and produce aromatic amines, some of which are of toxicological concern. The environmental behaviour of synthetic dyes varies considerably according to molecular structure, functional groups, solubility, adsorption characteristics, and resistance to chemical or biological degradation. It would therefore be scientifically inaccurate to classify all synthetic dyes as hazardous or all natural dyes as safe. A meaningful comparison requires the evaluation of individual dye compounds under clearly defined experimental conditions.

The discharge of dye-containing wastewater is particularly problematic because colour can reduce the penetration of sunlight into aquatic systems. Reduced light availability may interfere with photosynthesis in aquatic plants and algae, thereby influencing primary productivity and the balance of aquatic ecosystems. In addition to visible colour, dye-containing wastewater may contain organic matter, salts, surfactants, processing chemicals, and other contaminants that contribute to its overall pollution load. Depending on the composition of the effluent, these substances may alter pH, increase chemical oxygen demand, affect dissolved oxygen levels, and influence the survival, growth, or reproduction of aquatic organisms. Certain dyes may also interact with sediments and soil particles, potentially changing their mobility and persistence in the environment. In some circumstances, degradation products may pose environmental risks that differ from those associated with the original dye. For this reason, environmental assessment should extend beyond the measurement of colour removal and include chemical, biological, and ecological indicators that provide a more complete understanding of dye-related pollution.

Biodegradability is a central parameter in determining the environmental fate of dyes. It refers to the capacity of microorganisms, including bacteria and fungi, to transform or break down chemical substances through biological processes. Depending on the compound and environmental conditions, biodegradation may produce intermediate metabolites or, under suitable conditions, lead to substantial mineralization into simpler end products. The biodegradability of a dye depends on several factors, including its molecular structure, molecular size, functional groups, water solubility, microbial community, temperature, pH, oxygen availability, nutrient supply, and exposure duration. Certain natural dyes may be readily transformed by microorganisms, while others can be resistant to degradation because of their complex structures or interactions with other substances. Similarly, some synthetic dyes can be degraded effectively under appropriate biological conditions, whereas others may resist conventional wastewater treatment. Therefore, biodegradability should be investigated experimentally using standardized methods and suitable controls rather than inferred from whether a dye is natural or synthetic.

Different microorganisms and biological treatment systems have been investigated for the removal and degradation of dyes from contaminated water. Bacteria may degrade certain dye compounds through enzymatic reactions, while fungi can produce extracellular enzymes capable of transforming complex organic molecules. Enzymes such as laccases, peroxidases, and azoreductases have attracted research attention because of their potential roles in dye transformation. Aerobic and anaerobic treatment conditions can produce different degradation pathways, depending on the chemical



properties of the dye and the microorganisms involved. In some cases, anaerobic conditions may facilitate the cleavage of azo bonds, but the resulting aromatic amines may require subsequent aerobic treatment. This illustrates an important distinction between decolourization and complete detoxification. A reduction in visible colour does not necessarily demonstrate that a dye has been completely degraded or that the treated wastewater is environmentally safe. Consequently, biodegradation studies should include measurements of residual dye concentration, transformation products, organic pollution indicators, and relevant toxicity endpoints wherever feasible.

Conventional wastewater treatment technologies, including coagulation, adsorption, membrane filtration, oxidation, and biological treatment, are employed to control dye pollution. These methods differ in their removal efficiency, operational cost, energy requirements, chemical consumption, and waste-generation potential. Adsorption may effectively transfer dyes from water to a solid material, but the resulting adsorbent must be regenerated, reused, or disposed of appropriately. Oxidation processes may transform persistent compounds, although treatment conditions and reaction by-products require careful evaluation. Biological processes can provide cost-effective treatment for suitable dye-containing wastewater, but their performance may be affected by toxicity, salinity, dye concentration, and the presence of other industrial chemicals. Therefore, a comprehensive environmental evaluation should consider not only the effectiveness of dye removal but also the potential for secondary pollution, resource consumption, and the overall sustainability of the treatment process. Comparing natural and synthetic dyes can help clarify whether differences in their environmental behaviour are associated with their chemical structures, production methods, degradation pathways, or treatment requirements.

The assessment of environmental impact and biodegradability also requires a systematic experimental framework. Physicochemical characterization can establish the initial properties of selected dyes, including colour intensity, absorption characteristics, solubility, and stability under different environmental conditions. Biodegradation experiments can then be performed using selected microbial cultures, activated sludge, or other appropriate biological systems. Parameters such as pH, temperature, incubation period, dye concentration, and oxygen availability should be controlled to support reliable comparisons. Analytical techniques, including ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy, chemical oxygen demand measurements, and suitable chromatographic methods, may be used to monitor dye removal and investigate transformation products. Ecotoxicity tests involving appropriate aquatic organisms or other validated biological models can provide additional evidence about the potential effects of the dyes and their treated residues. The selection of methods should be guided by recognized standards, laboratory capabilities, and the specific objectives of the investigation. Replication, appropriate controls, statistical analysis, and transparent reporting are essential to ensure the reliability and reproducibility of the findings.

Despite growing interest in sustainable colouring materials, the environmental comparison of natural and synthetic dyes remains complex. Studies may use different dye concentrations, microbial communities, treatment conditions, analytical methods, and definitions of biodegradation, making direct comparisons difficult. Furthermore, high decolourization percentages are sometimes reported without sufficient information about mineralization, residual toxicity, or the identification of degradation products. Natural dyes are also chemically diverse, meaning that findings from one plant extract cannot automatically be generalized to all natural colouring substances. Likewise, synthetic dyes represent a broad range of chemical structures with substantially different environmental properties. These differences create a need for comparative research that evaluates selected natural and synthetic dyes using consistent experimental conditions and multiple environmental indicators. A structured assessment can help distinguish the effects of dye origin from those of molecular structure, treatment conditions, and environmental exposure.

In this context, the present Ph.D. research, entitled "Evaluation of the Environmental Impact and Biodegradability of Natural and Synthetic Dyes," aims to investigate the environmental behaviour of selected natural and synthetic dyes through systematic physicochemical characterization, biodegradation assessment, and evaluation of relevant environmental effects. The study will seek to compare their degradation patterns under controlled conditions, determine the influence of selected environmental and biological factors, and examine whether the transformation processes reduce the potential toxicity of dye-containing solutions. It will also consider the limitations of conventional treatment



approaches and the potential role of biodegradable colouring materials in sustainable industrial practices. The findings are expected to contribute to a better scientific understanding of the relationship between dye composition, biodegradability, and environmental impact. By providing evidence-based comparisons rather than relying on assumptions about natural and synthetic origins, the research may support improved dye selection, wastewater management, pollution prevention, and the development of more sustainable industrial processes. Ultimately, the study aims to contribute to environmental protection by promoting scientifically validated approaches for reducing the ecological risks associated with dye use and disposal.

II. COMPARATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: BEYOND THE BINARY

2.1 Effluent Load and Water Pollution

Synthetic dye effluents are characterized by high chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), and persistent color that reduces light penetration in receiving waters, impairing photosynthesis and disrupting aquatic food webs. Azo dyes, constituting approximately 70% of the global textile dye market, are particularly problematic: their reductive cleavage can generate aromatic amines classified as carcinogens. Conventional treatment—adsorption, coagulation, membrane filtration—is costly and often transfers pollutants to sludge rather than mineralizing them. Natural dye effluents, by contrast, are generally reported to exhibit 80–90% lower COD/BOD loads in comparative laboratory assessments. A study of beetroot-derived betacyanin dye projected substantially reduced environmental impact relative to Sudan I azo dye, with spent dyebaths amenable to biological treatment. However, these projections derive from bench-scale extraction and dyeing; full life cycle inventories incorporating agricultural inputs (water, fertilizer, land) for dye crops remain scarce.

2.2 Toxicity: The Overlooked Dimension

The presumption of natural dye safety warrants scrutiny. A comparative toxicological assessment found that alizarin (from madder) and carminic acid (from cochineal) exhibited higher aquatic toxicity at lower concentrations than certain synthetic acid and reactive dyes in standardized *Daphnia* and fish assays. This finding does not invalidate the case for natural dyes, but it complicates it: toxicity is a function of molecular structure and concentration, not provenance. Furthermore, natural dyeing frequently requires mordants—metal salts such as alum or iron—whose environmental profile may dominate the overall impact of the dyebath.

2.3 Life Cycle Perspective

Nayak's life cycle costing study in the Indian context found that when environmental pollution, health costs, and social externalities are internalized, natural dyeing becomes economically competitive with synthetic dyeing. This aligns with emerging life cycle assessment (LCA) data: a nano-mordant natural dyeing system reported a 67.5% reduction in global warming potential relative to conventional reactive dyeing. Yet such figures are highly sensitive to system boundaries, allocation choices for agricultural residues, and the energy source for mordant production. The doctoral researcher must engage critically with LCA methodology, not merely report headline percentages.

III. BIODEGRADABILITY: EVIDENCE, METHODS, AND GAPS

3.1 What Is Known

The claim that natural dyes are “biodegradable” is widely repeated but unevenly substantiated. Synthetic azo dyes, particularly those with sulfonic acid groups, are generally resistant to aerobic biodegradation; their recalcitrance underpins their environmental persistence. Natural dye molecules—many of which are glycosides, anthocyanins, or flavonoids—possess structures more analogous to natural organic matter and are therefore presumed to be more readily mineralized by environmental microbial communities.

3.2 Methodological Limitations

The evidence base suffers from three deficiencies. First, most studies infer biodegradability from BOD/COD ratios rather than direct measurement of parent compound disappearance or mineralization. Second, standardized ready biodegradability tests (e.g., OECD 301 series) are rarely applied to natural dye extracts; when applied to pure



compounds, results may not reflect the behavior of complex mixtures. Third, the fate of dye-fiber complexes and spent dyebaths containing mordants, surfactants, and pH adjusters—the actual waste streams—is almost never assessed as a whole.

3.3 A Necessary Reframing

Biodegradability should be treated not as a binary property but as a spectrum of rate and extent under specified conditions. A natural dye that biodegrades rapidly in activated sludge may still exert transient toxicity in the receiving water during the degradation window. Conversely, a synthetic dye that resists biodegradation may be effectively removed by adsorption to sludge, reducing its environmental availability. The doctoral research must therefore couple biodegradation kinetics with ecotoxicological monitoring across degradation intermediates.

IV. PROPOSED DOCTORAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

4.1 Objectives

The study should aim to: (1) quantify the primary biodegradation kinetics of selected natural and synthetic dyes under OECD 301F conditions; (2) characterize degradation intermediates and their residual ecotoxicity; (3) integrate these data into a comparative LCA of dyeing processes at pilot scale.

4.2 Dye Selection Rationale

A purposive sample should include: one azo dye (e.g., Reactive Red 195 or Acid Red 151) as the synthetic benchmark; one anthraquinone natural dye (e.g., alizarin from madder); one betalain (betanin from beetroot); and one flavonoid (e.g., from pomegranate rind). This selection spans structural classes with differing expected susceptibilities to microbial attack and permits comparison with published data where available.

4.3 Analytical Protocol

Biodegradation should be assessed via manometric respirometry (OECD 301F), with parallel HPLC-DAD/MS monitoring of parent compound disappearance and intermediate formation. Ecotoxicity of time-point samples should be evaluated using *Daphnia magna* immobilization (OECD 202) and, where appropriate, algal growth inhibition (OECD 201). This multi-endpoint design distinguishes primary biodegradation (structural alteration) from ultimate biodegradation (mineralization) and captures the toxicity of transient metabolites.

4.4 LCA Integration

Foreground life cycle inventory data should be collected from pilot-scale dyeing trials, with background data from ecoinvent or GaBi. Impact categories should include freshwater ecotoxicity (USEtox), eutrophication, and global warming potential. Sensitivity analysis should test the influence of mordant choice, dye fixation rate, and wastewater treatment assumptions.

4.5 Expected Contributions

The research will produce the first dataset combining standardized biodegradation kinetics with intermediate-specific ecotoxicity for paired natural and synthetic dyes under identical conditions. It will also generate a transparent LCA that moves beyond generic claims to quantify trade-offs under defined assumptions. These outputs are directly relevant to emerging regulatory frameworks—including the EU's textile sustainability initiatives and India's zero-liquid-discharge mandates—that require empirical substantiation of "eco-friendly" claims.

V. CONCLUSION

The environmental case for natural dyes is neither self-evident nor universally established. The evidence supports three qualified conclusions. First, natural dyes typically exhibit lower effluent organic load and greater ready biodegradability than azo synthetics, but these advantages are contingent on dye class, mordant selection, and wastewater management. Second, toxicity is not predicted by provenance; some natural colorants warrant the same ecotoxicological scrutiny applied to synthetics. Third, the most consequential gap in the literature is methodological: the absence of standardized, mixture-relevant biodegradation and ecotoxicity data prevents robust comparison.



For doctoral research, this gap represents an opportunity rather than an obstacle. A study that applies rigorous biodegradation protocols and couples them to ecotoxicological and life cycle assessment endpoints would advance both fundamental understanding of dye fate in the environment and the practical evidence base for sustainable textile policy. The question is no longer whether natural dyes are “better,” but under what conditions, by what metrics, and at what trade-offs—a question amenable to the systematic, quantitative inquiry that defines doctoral-level research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Comparative Analysis of Beetroot Derived Natural Dye & Azo Based Synthetic Dye. *Zenodo*, 2026.
- [2] Review article on natural dyes: classification, sources, and historical significance. *ScienceDirect*, 2026.
- [3] Nano bioaugmentation for textile dye remediation. *ScienceDirect*, 2024.
- [4] Nayak, A.K.J.R. Synthetic Dyeing Versus Natural Dyeing in India: A Life Cycle Costing Approach. In: *Regenerative Ecosystems in the Anthropocene*, Springer, 2024, pp. 439–455.
- [5] Agasthiyaambika, T. Impact of chemical dyes on water eco systems and alternatives like natural dyes. *Muththarasi*, 2025.
- [6] A review on source, chemistry, green synthesis and application of textile colorants. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2019.
- [7] Comparative Analysis of Beetroot Derived Natural Dye & Azo Based Synthetic Dye (conference version). *IJRSEAS*, 2026.
- [8] Bioremediation of industrial dye waste effluents aided by GIS applications. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 2024, 26, 10945–10971.
- [9] Nayak, A.K.J.R. (duplicate entry).
- [10] Affat, S.S. Classifications, Advantages, Disadvantages, Toxicity Effects of Natural and Synthetic Dyes: A review. *University of Thi-Qar Journal of Science*, 2021, 8(1), 130–135.
- [11] A critical review of hazardous waste generation from textile industries. *ScienceDirect*, 2022.
- [12] Nano-Mordant Enhanced Natural Dyeing of Cotton Fabric. *IJAEA*, 2026, 3(3).
- [13] C.I. Acid Red 151 Documentation: Aquatic Toxicity and Biodegradability Assessment. BenchChem Technical Report, 2025.
- [14] Natural Dyed Watch Strap: Chemical Mordant Free Dyeing. *ACS Sustainable Resource Management*, 2024.
- [15] Periyasamy, A.P. Textile Dyes in Wastewater and its Impact on Human and Environment: Focus on Bioremediation. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 2025, 236(9), 562.

