

A Study of Conventional Physiotherapy and Balance Training in the Management of Parkinson's Disease

Abreo Jennifer Cheryl Mervyn¹ and Dr. M. S. Sundaram²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Physiotherapy

²Supervisor, Department of Physiotherapy

Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by motor symptoms including bradykinesia, rigidity, tremor, and postural instability. While pharmacological management remains the primary treatment modality, gait and balance impairments often respond poorly to medication and contribute significantly to falls, reduced mobility, and diminished quality of life. Physiotherapy is recommended as an adjunctive intervention, yet uncertainty persists regarding the optimal therapeutic approach. This paper presents a comparative study protocol designed to evaluate the differential effects of conventional physiotherapy versus targeted balance training in individuals with mild-to-moderate PD. The proposed randomized controlled trial will recruit 60 participants and allocate them to either a conventional physiotherapy group receiving guideline-based multimodal exercise or a balance training group receiving task-specific balance and postural control training. Primary outcomes will include the Berg Balance Scale and the Mini-BESTest; secondary outcomes will encompass gait speed, the Timed Up and Go test, fall frequency, and balance confidence. Assessments will be conducted at baseline, post-intervention (8 weeks), and at 3-month follow-up. The study aims to clarify whether specialized balance training confers superior benefits over generalized physiotherapy for postural stability, thereby informing clinical decision-making and resource allocation in PD rehabilitation.*

Keywords: *Parkinson's disease*

I. INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide, affecting approximately 1% of adults over the age of 60. The cardinal motor features—resting tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, and postural instability—arise from the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. While levodopa and other dopaminergic therapies effectively manage many motor symptoms in early disease stages, axial symptoms such as postural instability and gait dysfunction frequently become refractory to pharmacological treatment as the disease advances.

Postural instability in PD manifests as impaired anticipatory postural adjustments, reduced limits of stability, and ineffective reactive balance responses. These deficits contribute to a fall rate of approximately 60% annually among individuals with PD, with recurrent falls leading to fractures, fear of falling, activity restriction, and loss of independence. Consequently, rehabilitation strategies that specifically target balance and postural control have attracted considerable research interest.

Physiotherapy is widely recommended for PD management. The European Physiotherapy Guideline for Parkinson's Disease identifies core areas including gait, balance, transfers, and physical capacity, recommending multimodal exercise programs tailored to individual impairments. Conventional physiotherapy for PD typically incorporates gait



training, stretching, strengthening, cueing strategies, and functional task practice. Meta-analyses have demonstrated short-term benefits of physiotherapy compared with no intervention for motor symptoms and gait outcomes. However, a critical question remains underexplored: does specialized balance training—delivered as a focused, intensive intervention targeting postural control mechanisms—produce superior outcomes compared with conventional, multimodal physiotherapy that addresses balance as one component among several? The existing literature offers indirect evidence. A systematic review with meta-analysis on group exercise for balance in PD supports the efficacy of structured balance programs. Network meta-analyses comparing exercise modalities have identified balance training among the more effective interventions for gait-related outcomes, though head-to-head comparisons with comprehensive physiotherapy are limited. Furthermore, studies comparing novel interventions (exergaming, virtual reality) with conventional physiotherapy based on European guideline core areas have generally reported comparable outcomes, suggesting that the active ingredients of effective rehabilitation may be common across delivery formats. This study proposes a direct comparison between conventional physiotherapy and dedicated balance training. The rationale is both clinical and economic: if a focused balance intervention demonstrates non-inferiority or superiority, rehabilitation resources may be more efficiently allocated; if conventional multimodal physiotherapy proves superior, the value of comprehensive guideline-based care is reinforced.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conventional Physiotherapy in Parkinson's Disease

Conventional physiotherapy for PD encompasses a broad spectrum of interventions. The European Physiotherapy Guideline recommends that physiotherapists select and combine strategies from core areas—including gait, balance, posture, transfers, and physical capacity—based on individual patient assessment. A randomized controlled trial by Medijainen et al. demonstrated that versatile physiotherapy conducted in accordance with the European guideline significantly improved gait speed, hip range of motion, and freezing of gait in participants with idiopathic PD. Nuvolini et al. compared an exergame-based program with conventional physiotherapy based on guideline core areas and found similar improvements in gait stability and functional mobility, without significant between-group differences. These findings suggest that conventional, guideline-concordant physiotherapy is an active and effective comparator.

2.2 Balance Training as a Targeted Intervention

Balance training for PD typically involves exercises that challenge the sensory and motor systems underlying postural control: standing on compliant surfaces, weight-shifting tasks, perturbation-based training, gait with reduced base of support, and dual-task balance activities. The theoretical basis is that task-specific practice drives neuroplastic adaptation in the neural circuits subserving postural control, including cerebellar and basal ganglia loops. A randomized trial by Mak et al. investigated balance exercise and brisk walking in people with mild-to-moderate PD, reporting benefits for both motor and non-motor symptoms at 6-month follow-up. The group exercise meta-analysis by States et al. further supports the effectiveness of structured group balance programs.

2.3 Comparative Evidence and the Knowledge Gap

Direct comparisons between conventional physiotherapy and balance-focused training are scarce. Most comparative trials in PD rehabilitation have pitted novel technologies (virtual reality, exergaming, telerehabilitation) against conventional therapy, rather than comparing two “low-technology” approaches with differing specificity. The Cochrane network meta-analysis by Ernst et al. concluded that physical exercise is effective for PD management but that the relative benefit of different exercise types remains unclear. This uncertainty is particularly pronounced for balance outcomes, where both multimodal and targeted approaches have demonstrated efficacy, yet the optimal strategy for improving postural stability remains undefined.

The proposed study addresses this gap by isolating the specificity of balance training as the experimental variable while holding constant the dose, duration, and therapist contact time.



III. RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES

3.1 Primary Research Question

In adults with mild-to-moderate idiopathic Parkinson's disease, does an 8-week program of targeted balance training produce greater improvement in postural stability, as measured by the Berg Balance Scale and Mini-BESTest, compared with an 8-week program of conventional physiotherapy?

3.2 Secondary Research Questions

1. Do the two interventions differ in their effects on gait speed, functional mobility (Timed Up and Go), and fall frequency?
2. Does balance confidence (Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale) improve differentially between groups?
3. Are any observed benefits maintained at 3-month follow-up?

3.3 Hypotheses

H1: The balance training group will demonstrate significantly greater improvement on the Mini-BESTest (a measure sensitive to dynamic balance and postural responses) compared with the conventional physiotherapy group at post-intervention.

H2: The conventional physiotherapy group will demonstrate comparable or greater improvement in gait speed and functional mobility, reflecting the broader scope of multimodal training.

H3: Both groups will show improvements in balance confidence, with no significant between-group difference, suggesting that confidence gains are mediated by exercise participation generally rather than by training specificity.

IV. METHODS

4.1 Study Design

This study will employ a single-blind, parallel-group randomized controlled trial with two active intervention arms. Assessments will be conducted at baseline (T0), immediately post-intervention (T1, week 8), and at 3-month follow-up (T2, week 20). The trial will be registered prospectively with the Clinical Trials Registry of India (CTRI) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

4.2 Participants

Inclusion criteria: (1) Diagnosis of idiopathic PD according to the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank Clinical Diagnostic Criteria; (2) Hoehn and Yahr stage 2–3; (3) age 40–80 years; (4) stable dopaminergic medication regimen for at least 4 weeks prior to enrollment; (5) ability to walk 10 meters without physical assistance; (6) Mini-Mental State Examination score ≥ 24 .

Exclusion criteria: (1) Atypical parkinsonism or secondary parkinsonism; (2) significant orthopedic, vestibular, or visual conditions affecting balance independent of PD; (3) deep brain stimulation or other neurosurgical intervention; (4) uncontrolled cardiovascular or respiratory disease; (5) participation in structured physiotherapy within the preceding 8 weeks.

Sample size: A power analysis based on a between-group difference of 4 points on the Mini-BESTest (estimated standard deviation 5.5, effect size $d = 0.73$), with 80% power and $\alpha = 0.05$ (two-tailed), yields 28 participants per group. Allowing for a 15% attrition rate, 34 participants will be recruited per group, for a total of 68.

4.3 Randomization and Blinding

Participants will be randomized in permuted blocks of four using a computer-generated sequence. Allocation concealment will be maintained through sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes prepared by an investigator not involved in recruitment. Outcome assessors will be blinded to group allocation. Due to the nature of exercise interventions, blinding of participants and treating therapists is not feasible; however, participants will be instructed not to disclose their group assignment to assessors.



4.4 Interventions

Both groups will receive 16 supervised sessions over 8 weeks (twice weekly, 60 minutes per session), delivered by a neurological physiotherapist with at least 5 years of experience. Sessions will be conducted in the “on” medication state to standardize testing and training conditions.

Group A: Conventional Physiotherapy (n = 34). The protocol will follow the European Physiotherapy Guideline for Parkinson’s Disease, incorporating core areas of gait, transfers, posture, and physical capacity . Each session will include: (a) warm-up and flexibility exercises (10 minutes); (b) gait training with external cueing (auditory or visual) and practice of turning, obstacle negotiation, and dual-task walking (20 minutes); (c) functional strengthening for lower extremities and trunk (15 minutes); and (d) balance exercises of moderate challenge incorporated within the session (10 minutes), followed by cool-down (5 minutes). Balance activities in this arm will be generalized and not progressively individualized beyond the guideline standard.

Group B: Balance Training (n = 34). The protocol will be designed specifically to target postural control mechanisms, with progressive challenge to sensory integration, anticipatory postural adjustments, and reactive balance. Session structure: (a) warm-up (5 minutes); (b) static and dynamic balance tasks with progressive reduction of base of support and sensory manipulation (e.g., eyes closed, foam surface) (20 minutes); (c) anticipatory postural adjustment training involving voluntary weight shifts, stepping, and reach tasks (15 minutes); (d) reactive balance training using manual perturbations and unpredictable support surface translations (15 minutes); and (e) cool-down (5 minutes). Gait training will be minimal and used only as a context for balance challenge.

4.5 Outcome Measures

Primary outcomes:

- **Berg Balance Scale (BBS):** 14-item functional balance measure with established reliability and validity in PD.
- **Mini-BESTest:** 14-item assessment of dynamic balance encompassing anticipatory, reactive, sensory orientation, and gait stability domains; recommended for PD by recent consensus.

Secondary outcomes:

- **Gait speed:** 10-meter walk test at comfortable and fast pace.
- **Functional mobility:** Timed Up and Go test (single and dual-task conditions).
- **Fall frequency:** Prospective falls calendar monitored over the study period.
- **Balance confidence:** Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale.
- **Disease-specific motor severity:** MDS-UPDRS Part III (motor examination), administered by a blinded assessor.

4.6 Statistical Analysis

Analyses will follow the intention-to-treat principle. Between-group differences at T1 and T2 will be examined using linear mixed-effects models with group, time, and group $\times$ time interaction as fixed effects, and participant as a random effect. Baseline scores will be included as covariates. Missing data will be handled using multiple imputation. Effect sizes will be reported as adjusted mean differences with 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance will be set at $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed), with Bonferroni correction for multiple secondary comparisons.

V. EXPECTED OUTCOMES AND SIGNIFICANCE

This study is expected to generate several contributions to the evidence base. First, it will provide direct comparative data on two commonly used physiotherapy approaches for PD, addressing a gap identified in the Cochrane network meta-analysis . Second, by employing the Mini-BESTest as a primary outcome, the study will yield nuanced information about dynamic balance—an outcome domain less frequently examined than static balance or gait speed in



comparative trials. Third, the 3-month follow-up will provide preliminary data on retention of benefits, which is clinically important given the progressive nature of PD.

From a clinical perspective, the findings will inform physiotherapy prescription. If balance training proves superior for postural stability while conventional physiotherapy is superior for gait and functional mobility, a combined or sequenced approach may be indicated. If the interventions produce comparable outcomes, the decision may be guided by resource availability, patient preference, and therapist expertise rather than by assumed specificity of effect.

VI. LIMITATIONS

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment. First, the single-blind design introduces potential performance and detection bias, although blinded outcome assessment mitigates the latter. Second, the 8-week intervention duration, while consistent with comparable trials, may be insufficient to detect disease-modifying effects; the study is powered for symptomatic improvement, not neuroprotection. Third, generalization to individuals with advanced PD (Hoehn and Yahr stage 4–5) or significant cognitive impairment is limited by the inclusion criteria. Fourth, the comparison of two active interventions precludes inference about efficacy relative to no treatment, though this is justified by ethical considerations and existing evidence supporting physiotherapy for PD.

VII. CONCLUSION

Postural instability is among the most disabling and therapeutically challenging aspects of Parkinson's disease. While physiotherapy is broadly recommended, the comparative value of conventional multimodal rehabilitation versus targeted balance training remains empirically unresolved. The proposed randomized controlled trial is designed to address this question with methodological rigor, employing validated outcome measures, blinded assessment, and follow-up evaluation. The findings will contribute to the rational selection of rehabilitation strategies, optimizing functional outcomes for individuals living with Parkinson's disease.

REFERENCES

1. Santos RF, et al. Effects of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) associated with balance training in individuals with Parkinson's: Study protocol for a randomized clinical trial. *MethodsX*. 2024;13:103014.
2. Nuvolini RA, et al. Exergame-Based Program and Conventional Physiotherapy Based on Core Areas of the European Guideline Similarly Improve Gait and Cognition in People with Parkinson's Disease: Randomized Clinical Trial. *Games Health J*. 2025;14(5):358-368.
3. Ernst M, et al. Physical exercise for people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2024;4(4):CD013856.
4. Geerse DJ, et al. Cueing-assisted gamified augmented-reality gait-and-balance rehabilitation at home for people with Parkinson's disease: protocol of a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Front Neurol*. 2025;16:1512409.
5. States RA, et al. Group exercise for balance in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2025;41(4):872-889.
6. Li Y, et al. Effects of different exercises on improving gait performance in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2025;17:1496112.
7. Medijainen K, et al. Versatile guideline-based physiotherapy intervention in groups to improve gait speed in Parkinson's disease patients. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2019;44(4):579-586.
8. Mak MKY, et al. Effectiveness of Balance Exercise and Brisk Walking on Alleviating Nonmotor and Motor Symptoms in People With Mild-to-Moderate Parkinson Disease: A Randomized Clinical Trial With 6-Month Follow-up. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2024.
9. Tomlinson CL, et al. Physiotherapy intervention in Parkinson's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e5004.

