IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

A Structured Framework for Retail Equity Trading: Integrating Swing Trading and Order Flow Analysis

Ms. Akshaya More[1], Dr. Vishal B. Gaikwad[2], Ms. Sanskruti D. Khedekar[3]
Mrs. Anjumarah Moulali Gudmithe[4]
Assistant Professor, MBA, Trinity Institute of Management & Research Yewalewadi, Pune, India[1]
Associate Professor, MBA, Sinhagad Business School Erandwane, Pune, India[2]
Student at TIMR, MBA, Trinity Institute of Management & Research Yewalewadi, Pune, India[3]
Assistant Professor, MBA, Sinhgad Institute of Business Administration and Research, Yewalewadi, Pune, India[4]

Abstract: *The increasing participation of retail investors in financial markets has not translated into consistent profitability, with empirical evidence indicating high loss rates in leveraged and speculative segments. Data from the SEBI reveals that approximately 93% of individual traders in the derivatives segment and nearly 70% of intraday traders incur losses, highlighting structural inefficiencies in retail trading behavior.*

While traditional investment avenues such as mutual funds, exchange-traded funds (ETFs), and debt instruments offer stability, they are often associated with limited return potential and reduced flexibility. Conversely, long-term equity investing, despite its theoretical advantages, is subject to significant drawdowns, prolonged periods of low or stagnant returns, and dependence on entry timing.

This study addresses the gap between theoretical market opportunities and actual retail investor outcomes by proposing a structured framework for equity participation. Using a descriptive and analytical research approach based on secondary data, the study identifies swing trading as an optimal intermediate strategy that balances risk and return. Furthermore, the incorporation of order flow analysis, specifically cumulative delta volume, enhances trade execution and decision-making accuracy.

The findings suggest that a structured combination of segment selection (equity), strategy (swing trading), and execution tools—specifically support and resistance-based trade structuring along with order flow analysis—can significantly improve the consistency of retail trading outcomes.

Keywords: *retail investors*

I. INTRODUCTION

Retail participation in financial markets has grown significantly over the past decade, driven by technological advancements, increased accessibility to trading platforms, and rising financial awareness. However, despite this growth, a large proportion of retail investors fail to achieve consistent profitability.

Financial markets offer multiple avenues for investment, including derivatives, commodities, currency trading, mutual funds, ETFs, debt instruments, and equities. Each segment presents a distinct risk-return profile. While leveraged segments such as derivatives and intraday trading offer the potential for quick gains, they also expose participants to significant losses. In contrast, traditional investment avenues provide stability but may lack return optimization and flexibility.

Copyright to IJARSCT
www.ijarsct.co.in
DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-40210

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Equity markets, particularly in developing economies such as India, offer a unique combination of growth potential and strategic flexibility. However, success in equity markets is not solely dependent on participation but also on the choice of strategy and execution methods.

This study focuses on identifying a structured approach that can bridge the gap between market opportunities and retail investor outcomes by integrating appropriate market selection, strategy, and execution tools.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Retail Investor Performance

Studies conducted by the SEBI indicate that a significant proportion of retail investors incur losses in speculative segments such as derivatives and intraday trading. These losses are attributed to factors such as excessive leverage, high trading frequency, and behavioral biases.

Traditional investment avenues such as mutual funds, exchange-traded funds (ETFs), and debt instruments are generally associated with lower risk due to diversification and fixed-income characteristics. However, these instruments often provide limited return potential and reduced flexibility in capital allocation. On the other hand, leveraged segments such as derivatives, including commodity and currency markets, expose retail participants to significantly higher risk due to volatility and leverage. This creates a gap between low-risk low-return instruments and high-risk speculative trading, highlighting the need for a balanced approach.

2.2 Long-Term Equity Investing

Research on long-term equity returns suggests that while equities provide positive returns over extended periods, investor experience is often affected by volatility and drawdowns. Rolling return analysis shows that multi-year periods of low or negative returns are common.

Additionally, drawdown-focused studies highlight that equity markets can experience declines exceeding 50%, with recovery periods spanning several years. These findings emphasize the importance of timing and active management.

2.3 Trading Strategies

Existing literature differentiates between short-term and long-term strategies. Intraday trading is associated with high loss rates due to noise and transaction costs, whereas medium-term strategies such as swing trading capture intermediate price movements more effectively, offering improved risk-adjusted returns.

Swing trading typically involves holding positions for a period ranging from a few days to several weeks, with the objective of capturing intermediate price movements within a broader trend. This approach allows investors to benefit from short- to medium-term market opportunities without being exposed to the excessive noise of intraday trading or the prolonged uncertainty of long-term investing.

2.4 Support and Resistance-Based Trading

Support and resistance levels are widely recognized in technical analysis as key price zones where buying and selling pressures are concentrated. Empirical research suggests that these levels act as psychological and structural barriers, influencing price behavior and leading to repeated reactions.

Chan et al. (2022) demonstrate that incorporating support and resistance levels as input features in algorithmic trading models significantly improves predictive performance and profitability. Their findings indicate that these levels contain meaningful information about price dynamics and market behavior.

Similarly, Tabot (2023) provides empirical evidence that trading strategies based on buying near support levels and selling near resistance levels outperform passive buy-and-hold approaches across multiple international markets. The study highlights that price tends to exhibit reversal tendencies at these levels, which can be systematically utilized for trade entry and exit decisions.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

These findings suggest that financial markets exhibit localized mean-reversion behavior around key price levels. Therefore, strategies focused on buying at support and selling at resistance provide a structured and probabilistic approach to capturing price movements, particularly within the context of swing trading.

2.5 Market Microstructure and Order Flow

Market microstructure theory emphasizes the role of order flow in price formation. Studies such as Cont et al. demonstrate that price movements are driven by order flow imbalance. Further research supports the predictive power of order flow metrics in determining short-term price direction.

2.6 Research Gap

Despite extensive research on individual aspects such as trading performance, long-term investing, and market microstructure, there is a lack of an integrated framework that combines segment selection, strategy, and execution for retail investors.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Retail participation in financial markets has increased significantly; however, empirical evidence indicates that a majority of retail investors fail to achieve consistent profitability. Data from the SEBI shows that approximately 93% of individual traders in derivatives and around 70% of intraday traders incur losses.

While mutual funds, ETFs, and debt instruments offer stability, they provide limited return potential. Long-term equity investing, although beneficial in theory, is subject to drawdowns, extended stagnation periods, and timing dependency.

This creates a gap between theoretical market opportunities and actual investor outcomes. There is a need for a structured, data-driven approach that balances risk and return while improving execution accuracy.

IV. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To analyze retail investor performance across financial market segments
- To evaluate the limitations of intraday trading and long-term investing
- To establish swing trading as an optimal strategy
- To examine the role of support and resistance in trade execution
- To examine the role of cumulative delta volume (CDV) as an order flow proxy in trade execution
- To develop a structured framework for retail equity trading

V. HYPOTHESIS

H_1: A structured framework based on equity participation, swing trading, support and resistance-based trade structuring, and order flow analysis improves retail trading outcomes.

H_0: There is no significant difference between structured and unstructured trading approaches.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design based on secondary data collected from regulatory reports, academic studies, and market analyses.

The analysis follows three stages:

• Segment analysis
• Strategy evaluation
• Execution framework assessment

Data sources include reports from SEBI, market studies, and academic literature.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

VII. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

7.1 Derivatives and Retail Losses

SEBI data indicates that approximately 93% of retail traders incur losses in derivatives, highlighting the risks associated with leveraged trading.

7.2 Intraday Trading

Approximately 70% of intraday traders incur losses, primarily due to high noise, transaction costs, and behavioral inefficiencies.

7.3 Long-Term Investing

Studies indicate that long-term investing is subject to drawdowns exceeding 50% and prolonged stagnation periods, as evidenced by rolling return and drawdown-based analyses.

7.4 Comparative Analysis

Swing trading emerges as a balanced strategy that combines flexibility, controlled risk, and improved capital efficiency compared to both intraday trading and long-term investing.

Parameter	Intraday Trading	Long-Term Investing	Swing Trading
Time Horizon	Very Short (Minutes–Hours)	Very Long (Years)	Medium (Days–Weeks)
Noise Exposure	Very High	Low	Moderate
Risk Type	Immediate Loss Risk	Drawdown & Timing Risk	Controlled Risk
Capital Efficiency	Low	Low (Capital Locked)	High
Capital Efficiency	Low	Low (Capital Locked)	High
Flexibility	Low	Low	High
Retail Success Probability	Low (High Loss Rates)	Moderate	Relatively Higher

The comparative analysis highlights that intraday trading exposes participants to excessive market noise and a high probability of loss, while long-term investing is affected by capital lock-in, significant drawdowns, and timing dependency.

Swing trading, positioned between these two extremes, provides a balanced approach by enabling investors to capture intermediate price movements while maintaining flexibility and improving capital efficiency.

Swing trading represents an optimal “middle path” that mitigates the disadvantages of both high-frequency trading and passive long-term investing.

7.5 Support and Resistance Strategy

Support and resistance levels play a critical role in identifying high-probability trade opportunities within the framework of swing trading. These levels represent zones where price has historically experienced significant buying or selling pressure, leading to repeated price reactions.

Empirical evidence suggests that price tends to reverse or consolidate at these levels rather than consistently breaking through them. This behavior provides a structured basis for trade execution, where buying is initiated near support levels and selling is executed near resistance levels.

Studies such as Chan et al. (2022) indicate that support and resistance levels enhance predictive accuracy and profitability when incorporated into trading models. Additionally, Tabot (2023) demonstrates that strategies based on entering near support and exiting near resistance outperform passive investment approaches across multiple markets.

This approach aligns with the principles of swing trading, where the objective is to capture intermediate price movements within a defined range. By focusing on price reactions at key levels, traders can improve risk-reward characteristics and reduce exposure to false breakouts and market noise.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

Thus, support and resistance-based trading provides a systematic and evidence-backed method for trade structuring, complementing the broader framework of equity swing trading. This indicates that price behavior is more frequently characterized by reactions at key levels than sustained breakout movements, reinforcing the reliability of level-based trading approaches.

7.6 Order Flow Analysis

Order flow imbalance has been shown to influence price movements significantly, supporting the use of cumulative delta volume for improved execution.

While order flow analysis provides valuable insights into market dynamics, it is important to note that in the context of Indian financial markets, the availability of granular order book data is limited. As a result, cumulative delta volume represents an approximation of actual order flow rather than a direct measurement, and should be interpreted in conjunction with price action and other indicators.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Conclusion

This study concludes that retail trading performance can be significantly improved through a structured framework that integrates appropriate market selection, strategy, and execution techniques. Specifically, swing trading provides an optimal balance between risk and return, while support and resistance-based trade structuring enables high-probability entry and exit decisions. The addition of order flow analysis, particularly cumulative delta volume, further enhances execution precision. Together, these elements form a cohesive and practical approach that addresses key inefficiencies observed in retail trading behavior.

8.2 Recommendations

- Focus on equity markets for active participation
- Avoid excessive intraday trading
- Recognize limitations of passive long-term investing
- Adopt swing trading as a balanced strategy
- Apply support and resistance principles by buying near support and selling near resistance
- Use order flow analysis (CDV) for better execution
- Follow a structured trading framework

REFERENCES

1. SEBI. (2024). Updated SEBI study reveals 93% of individual traders incurred losses in equity F&O between FY22 and FY24. https://www.sebi.gov.in/media-and-notifications/press-releases/sep-2024/updated-sebi-study-reveals-93-of-individual-traders-incurred-losses-in-equity-fando-between-fy22-and-fy24-aggregate-losses-exceed-1-8-lakh-crores-over-three-years_86906.html
2. SEBI. (2024, July 24). SEBI study finds that 7 out of 10 individual intraday traders in equity cash segment make losses. https://www.sebi.gov.in/media-and-notifications/press-releases/jul-2024/sebi-study-finds-that-7-out-of-10-individual-intraday-traders-in-equity-cash-segment-make-losses_84948.html
   India Financial Advisors (IFA). (2023). Many truths about India's long-term equity returns. https://indiafa.org/many-truths-indias-long-term-equity-returns/
3. ACR Journal. (2023). NIFTY 50 in perspective: A study of returns, drawdowns, and systemic investment outcomes.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

https://acr-journal.com/article/nifty-50-in-perspective-a-study-of-returns-drawdowns-and-systemic-investment-outcomes-1461/

4. Chan, J.Y.L., Phoong, S.W., Cheng, W.K., & Chen, Y.L. (2022). Support Resistance Levels towards Profitability in Intelligent Algorithmic Trading Models. Mathematics, 10(20), 3888. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364591730_Support_Resistance_Levels_towards_Profitability_in_Intelligent_Algorithmic_Trading_Models
5. Tabot, S. (2023). Investigating the Merits of Support and Resistance Strategy: Evidence From International Financial Markets. Journal of Economic and Social Development, 10(2), 75–80. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373976037_Investigating_the_Merits_of_Support_and_Resistance_Strategy_Evidence_From_International_Financial_Markets
6. Cont, R., Kukanov, A., & Stoikov, S. (2014). The price impact of order book events. Journal of Financial Econometrics. https://academic.oup.com/jfec/article-abstract/12/1/47/816163?redirectedFrom=fulltext&login=false
7. Tóth, B., et al. (2023). Cross-impact of order flow imbalance in equity markets. Quantitative Finance. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14697688.2023.2236159#d1e199