IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

AI-Driven Time-Series Model Selection and Intelligent Forecasting

Golekar Priti Bhagwat and Prof. Y. G. Suryawanshi
Department of Computer Engineering
Adusul Technical Campus, Chas, India
pritigolekar9@gmail.com and yogitasuryavanshi2018@gmail.com

Abstract: *Time-series forecasting is widely used to understand patterns in data and estimate future values. A major challenge is choosing a model that matches the characteristics of the data. This paper presents a clear model-selection approach based on the characteristics produced by Hidden Markov Models (HMMs), artificial neural networks (ANNs), and autoregressive moving-average (ARMA) models. Simulation and empirical analysis are used to study correlation, stationarity, and prediction behavior. The study also examines BPNN, ARMA-GARCH, EMD-LSSVM, and nonlinear ensemble prediction models. The results indicate that different models are appropriate for different time-series structures. The proposed selection strategy uses data pre-analysis and preprocessing to make model selection more systematic. In the reported experiments, nonlinear ensemble prediction using SVM produced the strongest overall performance among the compared integrated models, while the neural-network model showed strong extrapolation performance in the comparison example. The approach can reduce unsuitable model choices and support more targeted time-series forecasting.*

Keywords: Time series, artificial intelligence, model selection, hidden Markov model, artificial neural network, ARMA, BPNN, SVM, prediction

I. INTRODUCTION

Time-series prediction focuses on discovering useful patterns in observations collected over time and using those patterns to estimate future values. It is important in areas such as finance, temperature forecasting, hydrology, power demand, and network traffic. Artificial intelligence methods are attractive because they can learn nonlinear relationships and complex patterns from historical observations.

A recurring problem in time-series research is that a model is sometimes selected before the characteristics of the data are examined carefully. However, time series can differ substantially in their short-, medium-, and long-term dependence, as well as in their stationarity and noise behavior. A model designed for short-term dependence may therefore be unsuitable for a series with strong long-term dependence.

The source study addresses this issue by comparing three important model families: HMMs, artificial neural networks, and ARMA models. It uses simulation to understand the mathematical characteristics of the sequences generated by these models and then uses those characteristics as a basis for model selection. The broader framework also considers PCA-based BPNN modeling, EMD-LSSVM, and nonlinear ensemble prediction.

The main objective is to make model selection more systematic. Instead of choosing a forecasting model only because it is popular or familiar, the approach first examines the data and then selects a model whose behavior is compatible with the observed characteristics.

Copyright to IJARSCT
www.ijarsct.co.in
DOI: 10.48175/568

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

II. RELATED MODEL CHARACTERISTICS

A. Hidden Markov Model

An HMM represents a system through hidden states and observed outputs. Its structure includes a set of hidden states, transition probabilities between states, an observation set, state-dependent output probabilities, and an initial-state distribution. HMMs are useful when observations are influenced by an underlying sequence of changing states. In the reported simulations, HMM-generated sequences show short-term dependence and non-stationary behavior.

B. Artificial Neural Network

Artificial neural networks learn relationships between inputs and outputs through interconnected processing nodes. In a feedforward network, information moves from the input layer through one or more hidden layers to the output layer. During training, the weights are adjusted to reduce prediction error. The source study reports that neural-network-generated sequences can show long-range dependence and that their stationarity depends on the network structure and selected weights.

C. Autoregressive Moving-Average Model

ARMA combines autoregressive and moving-average components. The autoregressive part represents dependence on previous observations, while the moving-average part represents the effect of earlier error terms. For a stationary series, ARMA provides a compact linear representation of autocorrelation and is widely used for forecasting.

III. MODEL SELECTION APPROACH

The central idea is straightforward: the characteristics of the observed time series should be compatible with the characteristics of the model used to represent it. The study examines autocorrelation, partial autocorrelation, and stationarity before model selection. For example, long-memory behavior is treated differently from short-term correlation, while stationarity is considered when deciding whether an ARMA-type model is appropriate.

The approach can be summarized as follows: (1) examine the observed time series; (2) measure correlation and stationarity characteristics; (3) compare these characteristics with those associated with candidate models; (4) select a compatible model; (5) estimate and train the selected model; and (6) evaluate prediction performance on test data.

For ARMA modeling, ACF and PACF are used to help determine lag orders. In the reported empirical experiment, the ARMA order was set to p=4 and q=6, while the GARCH orders were selected as 3 and 2. For the neural-network model, six input variables were selected using correlation analysis, followed by PCA and hypothesis testing. The resulting BPNN structure was 6-2-1.

IV. PREDICTION MODELS AND ENSEMBLE LEARNING

A. ARMA-GARCH

ARMA-GARCH combines a conditional-mean model with a conditional-variance model. This is useful when the series contains both temporal dependence in its mean and changing volatility. The study uses ARMA(4,6)-GARCH(3,2) in its empirical comparison.

B. PCA-Based BPNN

The BPNN receives lagged observations as inputs and learns a nonlinear mapping to the target value. PCA is introduced to transform correlated inputs into orthogonal components. This reduces redundancy and can simplify the network structure. The source experiment retained two principal components in the conditional-mean prediction structure and obtained a 6-2-1 network.

C. EMD-LSSVM

Empirical Mode Decomposition separates a time series into components using local extrema and envelope calculations. The resulting components can then be modeled using least-squares support vector machines. This combination is intended to handle complex, nonlinear, and non-stationary patterns.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

D. Nonlinear Ensemble Prediction

Instead of relying on one forecasting model, the study combines outputs from several individual predictors. A nonlinear function learns how much each prediction should contribute. BPNN and SVM are used to learn the combination weights. The training data are separated from the test data so that the ensemble can be evaluated on unseen observations.

V. HMM-BASED TIME-SERIES CLUSTERING

The study also considers clustering of time series using HMM representations. The HBHCTS approach forms initial partitions by comparing each incoming sequence with existing models and then applies hierarchical aggregation. One benefit is that the initial number of clusters does not need to be fixed in advance. The method is also designed to support incremental processing when new sequences arrive.

In the reported experiment, the distance threshold was set to 0.08. Increasing the threshold can merge sequences from different classes, while a very small threshold can create too many initial partitions and increase later computational cost. The experiments therefore illustrate the importance of threshold selection in clustering quality.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The empirical comparison includes ARMA-GARCH, S-BPNN, EMD-LSSVM, simple-average integration, BPNN integration, and SVM integration. The reported experiment uses MATLAB for implementation. The nonlinear SVM ensemble is reported as having the strongest performance among the six compared models, with the smallest NMSE and the largest Dstat in the reported table.

The fitted-versus-real comparison reported in the source shows a maximum difference of approximately 0.8, with the average difference fluctuating within about ±0.8 for the discussed sample. In a separate extrapolation comparison, the neural-network model produced a maximum reported deviation of 0.06, whereas the ARMA model produced a maximum deviation of 0.08. These results are specific to the experimental data and settings described in the source paper.

VII. DISCUSSION

The results support the main principle that model selection should follow data analysis. HMM, neural-network, and ARMA approaches do not generate identical temporal behavior, so applying one model indiscriminately can lead to poor fit or prediction. A preliminary analysis of dependence and stationarity can therefore help narrow the set of suitable models.

The ensemble results also show why combining complementary models can be useful. Different predictors can capture different aspects of the same series, and a nonlinear combination can learn relationships among their outputs rather than assigning fixed equal weights. At the same time, model selection and parameter tuning remain important because inappropriate structures can increase computational cost or lead to overfitting.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a human-readable reformulation of the source study's approach to intelligent time-series model selection. The central contribution is the use of observable time-series characteristics—particularly correlation and stationarity—to guide the choice of a forecasting model. The reported experiments show that different model families are suited to different temporal structures and that integrated prediction can improve overall performance in the studied setting.

The study reports that EMD-LSSVM performed strongly among the individual models and that the SVM-based nonlinear ensemble produced the strongest performance among the integrated models in the reported experiment. These findings should be interpreted within the specific datasets, preprocessing choices, parameter settings, and evaluation measures used in the source study.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

REFERENCES

[1] A. M. Durán-Rosal, P. A. Gutiérrez, S. Salcedo-Sanz, and C. Hervás-Martínez, "Dynamical memetization in coral reef optimization algorithms for optimal time series approximation," Progress in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 253–262, 2019.

[2] K. Li, L. Liu, and J. Zhai, "The improved grey model based on particle swarm optimization algorithm for time series prediction," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 55, pp. 285–291, 2016.

[3] R. L. Talavera-Llames et al., "A nearest neighbours-based algorithm for big time series data forecasting," in Hybrid Artificial Intelligent Systems, vol. 9648, 2016, pp. 174–185.

[4] Z. Ismail, R. Efendi, and M. M. Deris, "Application of fuzzy time series approach in electric load forecasting," New Mathematics and Natural Computation, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 229–248, 2015.

[5] N. Baklouti, A. Abraham, and A. M. Alimi, "A beta basis function interval type-2 fuzzy neural network for time series applications," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 71, pp. 259–274, 2018.

[6] W. Wang, W. Pedrycz, and X. Liu, "Time series long-term forecasting model based on information granules and fuzzy clustering," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 41, pp. 17–24, 2015.

[7] L. Stopar, P. Skraba, M. Grobelnik, and M. Mladenic, "StreamStory: Exploring multivariate time series on multiple scales," IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1788–1802, 2019.

[8] A. Patra, S. Das, S. N. Mishra, and M. R. Senapati, "An adaptive local linear optimized radial basis functional neural network model for financial time series prediction," Neural Computing and Applications, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 101–110, 2015.

[9] A. Jalalkamali, M. Moradi, and N. Moradi, "Application of several artificial intelligence models and ARIMAX model for forecasting drought using the standardized precipitation index," International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 12, no. 4,
pp. 1201–1210, 2014.

[10] K. Wang, "Artificial Intelligence Algorithm for Optimal Time Series Data Model," IEEE Access, vol. 13, pp. 124211–124222, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2981488.