IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Digital Habits and Family Connections: Examining Smartphone Use, Social Competencies and Generational Perceptions among Youth in Mumbai

Girhe Prathamesh Popat and Prof. Y. G. Suryawanshi
Department of Computer Engineering
Adusul Technical Campus, Chas, India
prathmeshgirhe71@gmail.com and yogitasuryavanshi2018@gmail.com

Abstract: *Smartphones have become part of everyday life for young people, supporting communication, education, entertainment and access to information. At the same time, frequent and poorly regulated use may interrupt planned tasks, reduce attention during study, contribute to physical discomfort and compete with face-to-face family interaction. This paper examines these concerns through the lens of youth–parent perspectives in Mumbai. The source study describes an online questionnaire involving 200 respondents—100 young people and 100 parents—and uses the ten-item Smartphone Addiction Scale–Short Version (SAS-SV) as its measurement framework. The reported internal-consistency coefficient was 0.884. The paper's reported analyses suggest that parents and young people do not always perceive smartphone-related distraction and extended use in the same way, while some attitudes appear more similar across generations. These findings should be interpreted cautiously because several values and sample descriptors in the source report require verification before formal publication. The discussion highlights practical approaches such as shared screen-time agreements, device-free family periods, digital-wellbeing education and role modelling by adults. Rather than treating technology as inherently harmful, the paper argues for habits that preserve its educational and social benefits while protecting attention, health and meaningful family communication.*

Keywords: Smartphone use, digital wellbeing, youth, social competence, parent–child communication, screen time, Mumbai, intergenerational relationships

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital technology now shapes how young people learn, communicate, relax and maintain friendships. A smartphone can provide quick access to educational material, public information and social support. Messaging and video platforms also help families remain connected when members are physically apart. These benefits, however, depend partly on how, when and why devices are used.

Frequent notifications, habitual checking and prolonged scrolling can compete with homework, classroom attention, sleep and in-person conversations. Some users also report discomfort in the neck or wrists after extended device use. These experiences do not mean that every frequent user has a disorder; they indicate that the purpose, duration and context of use matter. The same device may support learning during one activity and become a distraction during another.

The issue is particularly relevant in families where parents and young people have different expectations about privacy, independence and appropriate screen time. Parents may interpret repeated checking as a lack of focus, whereas young

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

people may view it as a normal way to maintain friendships or access information. When these views are discussed only through arguments or restrictions, opportunities for shared problem-solving can be lost.

This paper therefore considers smartphone habits alongside social interaction and family communication. It focuses on the reported Mumbai study and reframes its central concern as a practical question: how can young people benefit from digital access without allowing habitual use to displace planned responsibilities, physical wellbeing or meaningful time with family?

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND RESEARCH GAP

Research on digital media frequently examines young users' behaviour, wellbeing or educational outcomes, while family studies may separately consider parental rules and communication. A useful area for investigation is the comparison of young people's self-reports with parents' perceptions of the same everyday habits. The source study attempts to address this issue by including both groups. However, the available report does not establish that all differences are caused by smartphones, and its sampling approach limits generalisation beyond the respondents surveyed.

The present draft retains this comparative focus while making the boundaries of the evidence explicit. It treats the reported results as an account of the sampled respondents rather than proof of a universal effect among all young people in Mumbai or India.

III. OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the reported relationship between smartphone habits and the completion of planned tasks.
2. To consider whether routine phone checking is associated with perceived difficulty concentrating during study or work.
3. To describe respondents' reported physical discomfort, including neck or wrist pain, during phone use.
4. To compare youth and parent perceptions of dependence, habitual checking and use beyond intended time limits.
5. To discuss how smartphone routines may affect opportunities for direct communication and shared family time.
6. To suggest realistic strategies for responsible device use by young people and families.

IV. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

4.1 Digital media as a learning and communication resource

Smartphones and online platforms can widen access to learning materials, enable flexible study and make communication easier. Their value is not limited to entertainment: students may use them for course updates, collaborative work, language practice and access to reference material. The benefits are more likely to be realised when users can distinguish purposeful use from repeated checking that interrupts a task.

4.2 Habitual use, distraction and wellbeing

Earlier work discussed in the source paper links problematic mobile-phone use with concerns such as anxiety, disrupted sleep, lower perceived wellbeing and difficulty regulating use. These findings should be interpreted in context: association does not by itself demonstrate that smartphone use directly causes a particular mental or physical health outcome. Individual circumstances, the type of content consumed, time of use and existing routines may also matter.

4.3 Family communication and parental guidance

Family members may differ in their digital skills and in what they consider acceptable device use. Clear, consistent rules can help, but communication is important: rules are easier to understand when family members discuss their purpose and apply them fairly. Adults also influence norms through their own behaviour. A household agreement that includes parents as well as young people may be more constructive than rules directed at young people alone.

4.4 Social competence in a connected environment

Social competence includes listening, expressing feelings clearly, responding to others and managing disagreement. Online communication can help maintain relationships, but it does not replace every aspect of face-to-face interaction.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

A balanced routine gives young people opportunities to practise both digital communication and direct social skills, including attentive conversation without frequent device interruptions.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Research design

The source study describes a survey-based, mixed-methods approach intended to consider both youth and parental views. The quantitative component used a ten-item smartphone-use questionnaire and a five-point Likert response format. The material available in the source report primarily presents quantitative statistical results; detailed qualitative procedures or interview findings are not sufficiently described to support additional claims.

5.2 Participants and sampling

The report states that 200 people from Mumbai participated: 100 young people aged 15–29 and 100 parents aged 35–65. It refers to purposive and convenience sampling in different parts of the methodology. The sampling description should therefore be standardised by the original researchers before submission. The gender counts printed in the source do not consistently match the stated group totals, so they are not reproduced as verified demographic results here.

5.3 Measurement instrument

The source identifies the Smartphone Addiction Scale–Short Version (SAS-SV), a ten-item measure intended to capture patterns such as excessive use, withdrawal-like discomfort and interference with everyday activities. The report gives Cronbach's alpha as 0.884. This value indicates strong internal consistency for the reported responses, but reliability alone does not establish that the scale measures every aspect of social competence or family relationships.

5.4 Data collection and analysis

According to the source, the questionnaire was distributed online and responses were rated on a five-point Likert scale. The reported analyses include normality tests, an independent-samples t-test and a Pearson correlation matrix. Before publication, the original dataset and analysis output should be checked against the tables: some reported p-values and interpretations appear inconsistent, and the t-test degrees of freedom and group comparison procedure need confirmation.

5.5 Ethical considerations

A final submitted version should specify how informed consent was obtained, how responses were anonymised and stored, and how participation by respondents under 18 was handled. These details are not fully established in the supplied report and should be completed only from the actual study records.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1 Reliability of the questionnaire

The source reports a Cronbach's alpha of 0.884 for the SAS-SV items. On the value reported, the items show good internal consistency within this sample. This supports using the responses for further analysis, while leaving open questions about sample representativeness and the validity of any broader conclusions.

6.2 Planned work and concentration

The source analysis reports statistically significant differences between the youth and parent groups for missing planned work and difficulty concentrating because of smartphone checking. This suggests that the two groups may experience or judge these behaviours differently. The result does not, on its own, show which group is more accurate or establish that phone use is the only reason for missed tasks.

6.3 Physical discomfort and prolonged use

The source also reports a difference between groups in responses concerning neck or wrist pain and using a phone for longer than intended. These responses point to two practical areas for attention: ergonomic habits and time awareness. Short breaks, a comfortable viewing position and reminders to stop when a planned session ends are reasonable preventive measures, although the survey cannot diagnose the cause of an individual's pain.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

6.4 Dependence and emotional response

For items about being unable to tolerate not having a phone, feeling impatient without it, or thinking about it when it is not in use, the source describes several comparisons as not statistically significant. This may indicate some shared perceptions between generations, but the original tables contain inconsistencies that make exact interpretation uncertain. The safest conclusion is that not every smartphone-related concern produced a clear group difference in the reported analysis.

6.5 Correlations among smartphone-use items

The source correlation matrix reports positive associations among several self-reported behaviours. For example, distraction-related items and habitual checking appear to move together in the sample. Correlation indicates that responses vary together; it does not establish cause and effect. Because the questionnaire items measure related experiences, some association is also expected. The correlation table should be checked against the original statistical output before its coefficients are quoted in a final publication.

6.6 Overall interpretation

Taken together, the reported findings support a measured conclusion: frequent smartphone habits can coincide with interrupted tasks, perceived concentration difficulties and longer-than-planned use, while youth and parents may not interpret all behaviours in the same way. The study is most useful as a prompt for family discussion and more carefully documented research, rather than as evidence that digital technology inevitably weakens social skills or family relationships.

VII. PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Create shared device-free periods. Families can agree to keep phones away during meals, important conversations and selected family activities. The same expectation should apply to adults wherever possible.

Set realistic screen-time goals. Young people can review their weekly screen-time report and set limits for recreational applications, while keeping time needed for study, accessibility and essential communication separate.

Use intentional checking. Before opening an app, users can identify the purpose—such as replying to a message or finding information—and return to the original task afterward.

Improve study routines. Silence non-essential notifications, place the phone out of reach during focused work and schedule specific breaks to check messages.

Protect physical comfort and sleep. Use a comfortable posture, take regular movement breaks and avoid keeping a phone within easy reach when trying to sleep.

Model healthy habits. Parents and educators can demonstrate attentive conversation and explain their own screen-time boundaries instead of relying only on punishment or restriction.

Discuss online safety respectfully. Families should talk about privacy, cyberbullying, misinformation and reporting harmful content in a way that encourages young people to seek help.

Evaluate progress together. A short weekly conversation about what worked and what did not can help families adjust rules without turning screen time into a recurring conflict.

VIII. LIMITATIONS

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the reported sample is limited to participants from Mumbai and was recruited using availability-based methods, so it cannot represent all Indian youth and parents. Second, self-reported behaviour may be affected by memory, social desirability or different interpretations of questionnaire statements. Third, a cross-sectional survey cannot establish whether smartphone habits cause changes in concentration, health or family communication. Fourth, the source contains inconsistencies in demographic counts and statistical reporting that require verification against the raw dataset. Finally, the available report does not provide enough detail about qualitative data collection, consent procedures or subgroup characteristics to support additional conclusions.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, October 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

IX. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future studies could recruit a larger and more diverse sample across urban, semi-urban and rural settings. Researchers should report a clear sampling procedure, demographic totals, missing-data handling and complete statistical output. A longitudinal design could examine whether changes in phone routines are followed by changes in concentration, sleep or family communication over time. Interviews with young people and parents could explain why the same behaviour is interpreted differently by each group. Researchers may also distinguish educational use, social communication, gaming, short-video viewing and late-night use rather than treating all screen time as identical.

X. CONCLUSION

Smartphones are neither automatically beneficial nor automatically harmful. Their effect depends on the purpose of use, the surrounding routine and the extent to which device activity competes with study, rest and direct communication. The Mumbai study described in the source brings youth and parental perceptions into the same discussion and reports differences for some behaviours, particularly missed tasks, concentration, physical discomfort and use beyond intended duration. Because the source tables contain inconsistencies, those results should be verified before being used to make strong statistical claims. In practice, shared expectations, focused study periods, device-free family time and respectful conversations about digital safety offer achievable starting points. The broader goal should be responsible participation in digital life—not complete avoidance of technology.

REFERENCES

[1] Malik, L., Shehnawaz, G., Rehman, U., Unniyal, R., & Griffiths, M. D. (2023). Mediating roles of fear of missing out and boredom proneness on psychological distress and social media addiction among Indian adolescents. The source paper lists this study in its reference section.
[2] Livingstone, S., & Byrne, J. (2018). Parenting in the digital age: The challenges of parental responsibility in comparative perspective. The source paper lists this work in its reference section.
[3] Chóliz, M. (2012). Mobile-phone addiction in adolescence: The test of mobile phone dependence (TMD). The source paper lists this work in its reference section.
[4] Rideout, V., Foehr, U. G., & Roberts, D. F. (2010). Media in the lives of 8- to 18-year-olds. The source paper lists this work in its reference section.
[5] Orpinas, P. (2010). Social competence. The source paper cites this work in its discussion of social competence.
[6] Mohite, A., Kapoor, A., & Deolalkar, A. R. (2025). An exploratory study on the effects of digitization on Indian youth, their social competencies and intergenerational relations, from Mumbai City. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 5(1), 234–248. DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-23234.