IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Impact of Forensic Evidence on Criminal Investigation and Judicial Decision-Making

Bharti Sehgal[1] and Dr. Dheerendra Kumar [2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Law
[2]Professor, Department of Law
Vikrant University, Gwalior, M.P, India

Abstract: *Forensic evidence plays a significant role in modern criminal investigation and judicial decision-making by providing scientific information that assists in identifying offenders, establishing facts, reconstructing criminal incidents, and determining criminal responsibility. The development of forensic science has introduced several scientific methods, including DNA profiling, fingerprint examination, forensic toxicology, ballistics analysis, questioned document examination, digital forensics, and biometric identification. These methods have strengthened the investigative process by providing scientific support beyond traditional eyewitness accounts and confessions. Forensic evidence also influences prosecutorial decisions, the admissibility of evidence, expert testimony, and judicial evaluation of guilt or innocence. The present research paper examines the impact of forensic evidence on criminal investigations and judicial decision-making through a review of existing literature, scientific developments, and criminal justice practices.*

Keywords: Forensic Evidence, Criminal Investigation, Judicial Decision-Making, DNA Profiling, Fingerprint Analysis, Expert Testimony, Forensic Science, Criminal Justice

I. INTRODUCTION

Criminal investigation and judicial decision-making are fundamental components of the criminal justice system, as they determine how criminal incidents are examined, how offenders are identified, and how legal responsibility is established. Traditionally, criminal investigations relied heavily on eyewitness testimony, confessions, police interrogation, documentary records, and physical observations. However, these methods may sometimes be affected by human error, memory limitations, personal bias, and insufficient information. The development of forensic science has introduced scientific methods that enable investigators to examine physical, biological, chemical, and digital evidence more systematically.

Forensic evidence includes DNA samples, fingerprints, bloodstains, hair, fibres, firearms, questioned documents, toxicological substances, and electronic records. These forms of evidence can establish connections between suspects, victims, crime scenes, and objects involved in criminal activities. Peterson (2013), in an empirical study involving 4,205 criminal cases from five United States jurisdictions, found that forensic evidence was associated with several case-processing outcomes, including arrest, referral for charges, filing of charges, trial convictions, and sentence length.

Forensic evidence also influences judicial decision-making because judges and juries may consider scientific findings when assessing the credibility and strength of the prosecution's case. However, scientific evidence must be evaluated carefully because its reliability depends on proper collection, laboratory procedures, expert interpretation, and the limitations of the analytical method. Therefore, the integration of forensic science into criminal investigation and judicial proceedings has become an important factor in promoting evidence-based justice, protecting individual rights, and improving the reliability of criminal adjudication.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

To examine the role of forensic evidence in modern criminal investigation.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

To analyse the impact of scientific evidence on judicial decision-making.
To study the contribution of DNA profiling, fingerprint analysis, and forensic toxicology.
To evaluate the importance of forensic laboratories and expert witnesses in criminal proceedings.
To identify the challenges associated with the collection, preservation, and interpretation of forensic evidence.
To suggest measures for improving the reliability and effectiveness of forensic evidence in the criminal justice system.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research methodology based on secondary sources of information. Relevant information has been considered from published research papers, forensic science journals, academic books, government publications, legal reports, and institutional documents relating to criminal investigation and judicial decision-making. The study examines the major categories of forensic evidence and their influence on police investigation, prosecution, courtroom proceedings, and judicial evaluation. A qualitative approach is used to analyse the relationship between scientific evidence, investigative accuracy, evidentiary reliability, and judicial outcomes. Comparative tables are included to explain the applications, benefits, and limitations of different forensic techniques. The research does not claim to collect primary field data or establish new statistical findings; instead, it synthesises existing research and legal-scientific literature to develop a comprehensive understanding of the subject.

MAJOR TYPES OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

1. DNA Profiling and Biological Evidence

DNA profiling is among the most significant developments in modern forensic science. It involves analysing genetic characteristics obtained from biological materials such as blood, saliva, semen, hair roots, bones, and body tissues. DNA comparison can help investigators associate biological material with an individual or exclude a person as a possible contributor. Short Tandem Repeat analysis is commonly used in forensic identification. DNA evidence has particular importance in murder, sexual offences, unidentified-person investigations, and cases involving biological traces. It can also assist in reviewing wrongful convictions when previously collected biological samples are available. However, contamination, mixed DNA profiles, sample degradation, secondary transfer, and interpretation errors must be considered. DNA evidence provides scientific support for an investigative conclusion, but it must not be interpreted as independent proof of the complete circumstances of a crime.

2. Fingerprint Evidence

Fingerprint examination is an established method of personal identification. Fingerprints contain distinctive ridge patterns and minutiae characteristics that can be examined and compared with known reference impressions. Investigators may recover latent fingerprints from glass, metal, plastic, paper, and other surfaces. Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems assist in searching large fingerprint databases. Fingerprint evidence can provide important investigative information, particularly in burglary, robbery, theft, and other offences involving contact with objects or surfaces. Nevertheless, the quality of recovered impressions, examiner procedures, contextual information, and the limitations of comparison methods must be considered when evaluating the strength of a fingerprint conclusion.

3. Forensic Toxicology

Forensic toxicology examines the presence and concentration of drugs, alcohol, poisons, and other chemical substances in biological samples. It is important in cases involving suspected poisoning, drug-related offences, impaired driving, suspicious deaths, and substance-related criminal activities. Techniques such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry and Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry provide analytical information about chemical substances. Toxicological findings may assist courts in understanding whether a substance was present and whether its concentration could have had a relevant effect. However, the interpretation must consider dosage, metabolism, sample timing, and the limitations of the testing procedure.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

4. Ballistic and Firearms Evidence

Forensic ballistics examines firearms, bullets, cartridge cases, and firearm-related marks. The examination of rifling impressions, firing-pin marks, breech-face characteristics, and other microscopic features may help determine whether ammunition components are associated with a particular firearm. Ballistic evidence is especially important in firearm-related offences, homicide, armed robbery, and accidental shooting investigations. Scientific examination can assist in reconstructing aspects of a shooting incident, but conclusions must be based on validated procedures and appropriately qualified expert interpretation.

5. Digital and Electronic Evidence

Digital forensic evidence has become increasingly important because many criminal activities involve electronic devices and communication platforms. Computers, mobile phones, storage devices, cloud services, and social media accounts may contain relevant evidence. Digital forensic examination can involve recovering deleted information, examining metadata, analysing communication records, identifying suspicious files, and verifying the authenticity of electronic material. Such evidence is particularly relevant to cybercrime, online fraud, financial offences, harassment, and organised criminal activities. Proper acquisition, preservation, documentation, and verification are essential to maintaining the integrity of digital evidence.

6. Questioned Document and Handwriting Evidence

Questioned document examination is used to identify possible forgery, alteration, falsification, and manipulation of written or printed documents. Experts may examine handwriting, signatures, ink, paper, printing characteristics, and indentation marks. This evidence is relevant to financial fraud, forged agreements, identity-related offences, disputed wills, and fraudulent certificates. Scientific examination can assist the court in evaluating whether a document contains characteristics consistent or inconsistent with a claimed source.

IMPACT OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE ON CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

Forensic evidence improves criminal investigation by providing scientific information that supports the identification of suspects, verification of investigative hypotheses, and reconstruction of criminal events. Biological evidence can establish associations between individuals and crime scenes, while fingerprint and trace evidence may connect objects to particular activities. Digital evidence can reveal communication patterns, electronic transactions, and timelines of events. These findings may help investigators identify new suspects, eliminate innocent individuals, locate additional witnesses, and develop alternative explanations. Peterson (2013) demonstrated that forensic evidence can influence several stages of criminal case processing, although its effects differ according to the stage and type of decision.

Another important contribution is the reduction of excessive dependence on confessions and eyewitness statements. Scientific evidence can independently support or challenge information obtained during interrogation and witness examination. It can also reveal inconsistencies between an initial account and the physical evidence. Nevertheless, forensic findings should not be treated as automatically conclusive. Investigators must avoid confirmation bias, ensure that alternative hypotheses are considered, and preserve evidence that may support the defence as well as the prosecution.

IMPACT OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE ON JUDICIAL DECISION-MAKING

Forensic evidence can influence judicial decision-making by providing scientific information relevant to the determination of facts and the evaluation of competing explanations. Judges may consider forensic laboratory reports, expert testimony, scientific methodologies, and the relationship between physical evidence and other evidence presented during a trial. The importance of forensic evidence is particularly visible in cases where eyewitness accounts are inconsistent, physical evidence is disputed, or the identity of an offender is uncertain.

Ling (2021) examined public decisions concerning criminal guilt and found that forensic evidence, particularly DNA evidence, was associated with more guilty verdicts and higher confidence in those verdicts in their experimental study. Their findings also indicated that the effect of forensic evidence was not uniform across verdict and sentencing decisions.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

This demonstrates that forensic findings can have a substantial influence on how evidence is evaluated, although public experimental responses should not be treated as direct measurements of actual judicial behaviour.

The reliability of forensic evidence is equally important. Rakoff and Liu (2023) discussed the development of DNA profiling, judicial scrutiny of scientific evidence, and concerns about the validity of several forensic disciplines. They emphasised the continuing importance of judicial evaluation of scientific reliability. Therefore, courts should assess the scientific foundation, validation, limitations, and relevance of forensic findings rather than accepting every expert conclusion without examination.

ROLE OF FORENSIC EXPERTS IN JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

Forensic experts play an important role in presenting scientific findings before courts. They examine evidence, prepare laboratory reports, explain analytical procedures, and provide professional opinions concerning the results of forensic examinations. Their responsibilities include maintaining scientific objectivity, documenting examination procedures, identifying limitations, and explaining the significance of findings in understandable language. Expert witnesses help judges understand technical matters that may be difficult to evaluate without specialised scientific knowledge.

The credibility of expert testimony depends on the qualifications of the expert, the scientific validity of the method, the quality of the evidence, and the transparency of the examination process. Experts should clearly distinguish between observed facts, scientific interpretations, and conclusions. They should also avoid overstating the certainty of their findings. The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (2016) highlighted the importance of establishing scientific validity and reliability before forensic feature-comparison methods are relied upon in criminal courts.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND RELIABILITY OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE

The chain of custody refers to the documented sequence of possession, handling, transfer, storage, and examination of evidence from its collection until its presentation in court. It is essential for demonstrating that the evidence presented during judicial proceedings is the same material originally collected during the investigation and that its integrity has been appropriately maintained.

A properly maintained chain of custody includes:

Recording the date, time, and location of evidence collection.

Identifying the person responsible for collecting the evidence.

Using appropriate packaging and labelling procedures.

Maintaining secure storage and controlled access.

Documenting every transfer of evidence.

Recording laboratory examination and analytical procedures.

Preserving original records and examination reports.

Failure to maintain proper custody may raise questions regarding authenticity, contamination, substitution, or alteration. Consequently, evidence-handling procedures are essential for protecting the reliability and legal value of forensic findings.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FORENSIC EVIDENCE AND JUDICIAL OUTCOMES

The relationship between forensic evidence and judicial outcomes involves several stages, including investigation, arrest, prosecution, trial, conviction, and sentencing. Forensic evidence may influence decisions at each stage by providing information that strengthens or weakens particular investigative explanations. However, the existence of forensic evidence does not guarantee conviction because courts must consider the admissibility, reliability, relevance, and overall weight of the evidence.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 1. Impact of Different Types of Forensic Evidence on Criminal Investigation

Type of forensic evidence	Investigative application	Major contribution
DNA profiling	Biological sample comparison	Identification and exclusion of individuals
Fingerprint evidence	Ridge pattern examination	Association of individuals with objects or locations
Ballistic evidence	Firearm and ammunition examination	Examination of firearm-related incidents
Toxicological evidence	Chemical analysis	Identification of drugs and poisons
Digital evidence	Electronic device examination	Reconstruction of digital activities
Trace evidence	Examination of fibres, glass, and soil	Association between objects and locations
Document evidence	Handwriting and ink examination	Investigation of forgery and fraud
Forensic odontology	Dental examination	Identification through dental characteristics

II. CONCLUSION

Forensic evidence has become an essential component of modern criminal investigation and judicial decision-making. Scientific methods such as DNA profiling, fingerprint examination, forensic toxicology, ballistics analysis, questioned document examination, and digital forensics provide valuable information for identifying suspects, reconstructing criminal events, and examining the circumstances surrounding offences. These techniques can improve the quality of investigations by supporting or challenging witness statements, strengthening investigative hypotheses, and identifying possible alternative explanations.

Forensic evidence also contributes to judicial decision-making through laboratory reports, scientific analysis, and expert testimony. Nevertheless, scientific evidence should not be regarded as automatically conclusive because its reliability depends on proper collection, preservation, validated examination procedures, and objective interpretation. The misuse or overstatement of forensic findings can create serious risks for the rights of accused persons and the fairness of judicial proceedings. Therefore, the criminal justice system should promote scientific validation, professional training, transparent expert reporting, independent examination, and effective coordination between investigative agencies and courts. The study concludes that the responsible use of forensic evidence can strengthen investigative efficiency, judicial confidence, and the overall credibility of the criminal justice system.

REFERENCES

1. Ling, S., Kaplan, J., & Berryessa, C. M. (2021). The importance of forensic evidence for decisions on criminal guilt. *Science & Justice, 61*(2), 142–149.
2. National Research Council. (2009). *Strengthening forensic science in the United States: A path forward*. The National Academies Press.
3. Peterson, J. L., Hickman, M. J., Strom, K. J., & Johnson, D. J. (2013). Effect of forensic evidence on criminal justice case processing. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 58*(S1), S78–S90.
4. President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. (2016). *Forensic science in criminal courts: Ensuring scientific validity of feature-comparison methods*. Executive Office of the President.
5. Rakoff, J. S., & Liu, G. (2023). Forensic science: A judicial perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120*(41), e2301838120.
6. Saks, M. J., & Koehler, J. J. (2005). The coming paradigm shift in forensic identification science. *Science, 309*(5736), 892–895.
7. Wüllenweber, S., & Giles, S. (2021). The effectiveness of forensic evidence in the investigation of volume crime scenes. *Science & Justice, 61*(5), 542–554.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, September 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

8. de Roo, R. H. D., Stevens, L., & de Poot, C. J. (2025). The impact of institutional authority on forensic evidence evaluation by criminal justice professionals. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*.
9. National Institute of Justice. (2013). *Crime scene investigation: A guide for law enforcement*. U.S. Department of Justice.
10. President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. (2016). *An addendum to the PCAST report on forensic science in criminal courts*. Executive Office of the President.