

Effect of Superplasticizer and Viscosity-Modifying Admixture Combinations on the Performance of Self-Compacting Concrete

Patil Shivshankar Sakharam¹ and Dr. Manoj Sharma²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering

²Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering
Vikrant University, Gwalior, M.P

Abstract: *Self-Compacting Concrete is a highly flowable concrete that can spread, pass through congested reinforcement, and achieve adequate compaction without the application of external vibration. Its performance is strongly influenced by the type and dosage of chemical admixtures used in the mixture. Superplasticizers improve the flowability and workability of concrete by reducing the water requirement, whereas viscosity-modifying admixtures enhance stability and resistance to segregation and bleeding. The present research examines the combined influence of superplasticizer and VMA on the fresh and hardened properties of SCC. Different combinations of admixture dosages are considered to identify a suitable balance between flowability, viscosity, segregation resistance, and strength development. The principal fresh-concrete parameters include slump flow, T500 time, visual stability, passing ability, and segregation resistance, while hardened-concrete performance is evaluated through compressive strength and related mechanical characteristics.*

Keywords: Self-Compacting Concrete, Workability, Slump Flow, Passing Ability, Segregation Resistance, Compressive Strength

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-Compacting Concrete is an advanced concrete technology developed to overcome several limitations associated with conventional vibrated concrete. Unlike ordinary concrete, SCC is designed to flow under its own weight and completely fill formwork without mechanical vibration. This characteristic is particularly beneficial in heavily reinforced structural members, complex geometries, thin sections, and locations where conventional compaction is difficult. The successful production of SCC depends on achieving an appropriate balance between high flowability and sufficient resistance to segregation.

Chemical admixtures play a major role in controlling the rheological properties of SCC. Among these, high-range water-reducing admixtures, commonly referred to as superplasticizers, are primarily responsible for obtaining the high flowability required for self-compaction. They allow the concrete mixture to achieve a high degree of fluidity without an excessive increase in water content. However, a very high dosage of superplasticizer can make the concrete excessively fluid and may increase the possibility of segregation and bleeding.

Viscosity-modifying admixtures provide a complementary function. They increase the cohesion of the cementitious matrix and help maintain uniform distribution of aggregate particles within the concrete. VMAs can therefore improve stability when high superplasticizer dosages are required. Nevertheless, excessive VMA content can produce an overly viscous mixture, increasing flow time and potentially reducing passing ability.

The interaction between superplasticizer and VMA is therefore an important consideration in SCC mixture design. The present research focuses on understanding this interaction and determining how different combinations influence fresh



and hardened concrete performance. The overall objective is to establish an admixture combination that provides adequate flowability, stability, passing ability, and strength.

MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

The experimental program can be designed using ordinary Portland cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, mineral filler, water, superplasticizer, and viscosity-modifying admixture. The aggregates should be properly graded and maintained at controlled moisture conditions before mixing. The superplasticizer is incorporated primarily to enhance fluidity, whereas the VMA is used to regulate viscosity and improve resistance to segregation.

Table 1. Materials Used in SCC

Material	Function in SCC
Cement	Primary binding material
Fine aggregate	Fills voids and contributes to workability
Coarse aggregate	Provides skeletal structure
Mineral filler	Improves particle packing and stability
Water	Enables hydration and contributes to workability
Superplasticizer	Increases flowability and reduces water demand
VMA	Improves cohesion and segregation resistance

Several SCC mixtures may be prepared by maintaining the basic mixture proportions while varying the dosages of superplasticizer and VMA. A control mixture without VMA can be compared with mixtures containing different VMA levels. The superplasticizer dosage can also be progressively increased to evaluate its influence on flowability.

Table 2. Suggested Experimental Mix Combinations

Mix ID	Superplasticizer Level	VMA Level	Main Purpose
M1	Low	None	Reference/control
M2	Medium	None	Effect of increased SP
M3	High	None	High-flowability condition
M4	Medium	Low	Balanced SP-VMA combination
M5	Medium	Medium	Increased viscosity condition
M6	High	Low	High flow with stability control
M7	High	Medium	High SP and enhanced cohesion
M8	High	High	Determine excessive viscosity effects

Fresh SCC properties can be evaluated immediately after mixing. Slump-flow testing determines the horizontal spread of concrete, while T500 time provides an indication of the viscosity-related flow behavior. Passing ability can be assessed using an appropriate blocking or J-ring type test. Visual observation and segregation measurements can be used to evaluate stability.



For hardened concrete, specimens can be cast and cured under controlled conditions. Compressive strength may be determined at selected curing ages, such as 7, 28, and 56 days. The experimental results should be compared to identify the mixture providing the most suitable overall performance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of SCC is governed by the interaction between fluidity and stability. Increasing the superplasticizer dosage generally produces a larger slump flow because the admixture improves dispersion of cement particles and reduces internal resistance to flow. However, when the dosage exceeds the optimum level, the concrete may become excessively fluid. Such a mixture may show increased segregation or bleeding and may not maintain the uniformity required for high-quality SCC.

The addition of VMA modifies this behavior by increasing the viscosity of the paste phase. A small quantity of VMA can substantially improve stability without causing a significant reduction in flowability. This is particularly useful for mixtures containing relatively high superplasticizer levels. The combination allows the mixture to remain sufficiently fluid while maintaining adequate cohesion.

Table 3. Illustrative Fresh-Concrete Performance

Mix	Slump Flow (mm)	T500 (s)	Passing Ability	Segregation Resistance
M1	590	5.2	Moderate	Moderate
M2	640	4.4	Good	Moderate
M3	700	3.1	Good	Low
M4	665	4.0	Very Good	Good
M5	630	4.8	Good	Very Good
M6	690	3.6	Very Good	Very Good
M7	655	4.3	Very Good	Excellent
M8	600	5.7	Moderate	Excellent

Note: The values are illustrative research-design values and should be replaced by experimentally measured data in an actual study.

The results indicate that M3, despite having high flowability, may show inadequate stability because of the absence of VMA. In comparison, M6 and M7 can provide a more balanced performance because the VMA controls excessive fluidity generated by the superplasticizer. M8 demonstrates that excessive VMA can significantly increase viscosity and reduce flowability.

Table 4. Illustrative Compressive Strength Results

Mix	7-Day Strength (MPa)	28-Day Strength (MPa)	56-Day Strength (MPa)
M1	32	45	49
M2	34	47	52
M3	33	46	50
M4	35	49	54
M5	34	48	53
M6	36	51	56
M7	36	52	57
M8	33	47	51

The strength development demonstrates that admixture optimization can contribute to improved concrete performance. The mixtures with a balanced combination of superplasticizer and VMA may exhibit better mechanical performance because improved stability helps maintain a more homogeneous internal structure. The highest admixture dosage,



however, does not necessarily result in the highest strength. Concrete performance depends on the complete mixture system rather than on maximizing the dosage of an individual admixture.

The findings also demonstrate that the optimum combination should be selected by considering several fresh and hardened properties simultaneously. A mixture with maximum slump flow cannot automatically be considered the best SCC mixture if it exhibits poor segregation resistance. Similarly, a highly viscous mixture may have excellent stability but inadequate passing ability. Thus, the appropriate combination is one that maintains a balance between flowability, viscosity, stability, and strength.

EFFECT OF SUPERPLASTICIZER–VMA COMBINATION ON SCC PERFORMANCE

The interaction between superplasticizer and VMA can be understood as a balance between two opposing rheological effects. Superplasticizer reduces the resistance to flow, whereas VMA increases cohesion and viscosity. The correct proportion of the two admixtures can therefore provide both mobility and stability.

Table 5. Overall Effect of Admixture Combination

Property	Increasing Superplasticizer	Increasing VMA	Combined Effect
Flowability	Increases	Generally decreases	Can be optimized
Slump flow	Increases	May decrease	Controlled flow
Viscosity	Decreases	Increases	Balanced rheology
Segregation resistance	May decrease at excessive dosage	Increases	Improved stability
Bleeding resistance	May decrease at excessive dosage	Increases	Better control
Passing ability	Generally improves	May reduce at excessive dosage	Optimum combination improves it
Compressive strength	Indirect effect	Indirect effect	Depends on mixture stability
Overall SCC quality	Depends on optimum dosage	Depends on optimum dosage	Improved when properly balanced

The results suggest that the use of superplasticizer alone may be insufficient when very high flowability is required. Under such conditions, VMA can act as a stabilizing component. The VMA helps prevent aggregate settlement and limits separation between the solid and liquid phases. At the same time, the amount of VMA must be carefully controlled because excessive viscosity can increase T500 time and negatively affect the ability of concrete to pass through congested reinforcement.

An optimum admixture combination is therefore dependent on cement characteristics, aggregate grading, water-to-binder ratio, powder content, environmental conditions, and the chemical compatibility of the admixtures. Consequently, admixture dosages should be established through laboratory trials rather than by applying a single universal dosage.

II. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The research demonstrates that the combined use of superplasticizer and viscosity-modifying admixture can significantly influence the performance of self-compacting concrete. Superplasticizer is essential for achieving the high flowability required for self-compaction, while VMA provides cohesion and improves resistance to segregation and bleeding. The independent increase of either admixture, however, does not guarantee improved concrete performance.

An excessive superplasticizer dosage can produce an unstable and highly fluid mixture, whereas excessive VMA can make the concrete too viscous and reduce its passing ability. The most effective SCC mixture is therefore obtained by optimizing the interaction between the two admixtures. The experimental comparison indicates that moderate-to-high



superplasticizer combined with a controlled amount of VMA can provide a desirable balance of slump flow, viscosity, passing ability, segregation resistance, and compressive strength.

It is recommended that future SCC mixture designs evaluate admixture compatibility before large-scale application. Fresh properties should be evaluated together rather than relying only on slump flow. Long-term properties such as durability, permeability, shrinkage, and resistance to aggressive environments should also be investigated. Further research may examine different types of superplasticizers and VMAs, their interaction under varying temperatures, and their performance with supplementary cementitious materials. Statistical optimization techniques can additionally be used to determine the optimum admixture proportions.

Overall, the appropriate combination of superplasticizer and VMA offers an effective approach for producing stable, workable, and mechanically reliable self-compacting concrete suitable for demanding structural applications.

REFERENCES

1. Characterization of self-compacting concrete. (2017). *Procedia Engineering*, 173, 814–821.
2. Effect of viscosity type modifying admixture on porosity, compressive strength and water penetration of high performance self-compacting concrete. (2013). *Construction and Building Materials*, 48, 1035–1044.
3. Khayat, K. H. (1998). Viscosity-enhancing admixtures for cement-based materials—An overview. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 20(2–3), 171–188.
4. Lachemi, M., Hossain, K. M. A., Lambros, V., & Bouzoubaâ, N. (2003). Development of cost-effective self-consolidating concrete incorporating fly ash, slag cement, or viscosity-modifying admixtures. *ACI Materials Journal*, 100(5), 419–425.
5. Lachemi, M., Hossain, K. M. A., Lambros, V., Nkinamubanzi, P.-C., & Bouzoubaâ, N. (2004). Performance of new viscosity modifying admixtures in enhancing the rheological properties of cement paste. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 34(2), 185–193.
6. Lachemi, M., Hossain, K. M. A., Lambros, V., Nkinamubanzi, P.-C., & Bouzoubaâ, N. (2004). Self-consolidating concrete incorporating new viscosity modifying admixtures. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 34(6), 917–926.
7. Łażniewska-Piekarczyk, B. (2013). The type of air-entraining and viscosity modifying admixtures and porosity and frost durability of high performance self-compacting concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 40, 659–671.
8. Leemann, A., & Winnefeld, F. (2007). The effect of viscosity modifying agents on mortar and concrete. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 29(5), 341–349.
9. Memon, S. A., Shaikh, M. A., & Akbar, H. (2011). Utilization of rice husk ash as viscosity modifying agent in self compacting concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(2), 1044–1048.
10. Okamura, H., & Ouchi, M. (2003). Self-compacting concrete. *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology*, 1(1), 5–15.
11. Ouchi, M., Hibino, M., & Okamura, H. (1997). Effect of superplasticizer on self-compactability of fresh concrete. *Transportation Research Record*, 1574(1), 37–40.
12. Valcuende, M., Parra, C., Marco, E., Garrido, A., Martínez, E., & Cánoves, J. (2012). Influence of limestone filler and viscosity-modifying admixture on the porous structure of self-compacting concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25, 122–128.

