

Artificial Intelligence-Based Fault Detection and Diagnosis Techniques for Modern Power Systems

Mohasin Shafiahamad Bijali¹ and Dr. Shyam Sunder Kaushik²

¹Research Scholar, ²Professor

Department of Electrical Engineering
Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

Abstract: Modern power systems are undergoing significant transformation due to the increasing integration of renewable energy sources, distributed generation, energy storage systems, electric vehicles, smart grids, and advanced communication infrastructure. These developments have improved system flexibility and sustainability but have also introduced greater operational complexity and new challenges for power-system protection, monitoring, fault detection, and diagnosis. Conventional fault-detection methods based primarily on predefined thresholds, impedance calculations, sequence components, and relay characteristics can encounter limitations under highly dynamic operating conditions, inverter-dominated networks, changing network topologies, and nonlinear fault characteristics.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has therefore emerged as a promising approach for developing intelligent fault-detection and diagnostic systems capable of learning complex relationships from large volumes of electrical measurements. This review examines major AI-based techniques used for fault detection and diagnosis in modern power systems, including Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees (DT), Random Forests (RF), k-Nearest Neighbour (k-NN), fuzzy logic, expert systems, ensemble learning, and deep-learning architectures such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and autoencoders.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Power Systems, Fault Detection, Fault Diagnosis, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Smart Grid, Neural Networks, LSTM, CNN, Power-System Protection.

I. INTRODUCTION

The electrical power system is evolving from a predominantly centralized generation structure toward a highly interconnected and decentralized architecture containing renewable energy resources, distributed generators, battery energy-storage systems, flexible loads, microgrids, electric vehicles, and advanced power-electronic converters. Although these developments provide important advantages in terms of energy efficiency, flexibility, and decarbonization, they also create new challenges for reliable protection and fault management. Faults such as single-line-to-ground, line-to-line, double-line-to-ground, three-phase faults, high-impedance faults, transformer faults, busbar faults, and equipment abnormalities must be detected and classified rapidly to prevent cascading failures and equipment damage. The increasing variability of renewable generation and frequent changes in network topology further complicate the identification of abnormal operating conditions.

Traditional protection techniques generally rely on deterministic mathematical models, fixed thresholds, phasor quantities, impedance calculations, sequence components, differential protection, travelling waves, and relay characteristics. These methods remain fundamental to modern protection systems because of their speed, reliability, and well-established engineering principles. However, their performance may deteriorate when the system operates under conditions significantly different from those assumed during protection design. Inverter-based renewable resources, for example, may produce fault-current characteristics that differ substantially from those of conventional synchronous generators. Consequently, methods that can learn patterns from measurements and adapt to changing operating conditions have attracted considerable research attention.



Artificial Intelligence provides a broad collection of computational techniques capable of identifying nonlinear relationships and extracting meaningful patterns from electrical measurements. Machine-learning algorithms can be trained using voltage, current, frequency, phase angle, power, harmonic, travelling-wave, and time-frequency features to distinguish normal and faulty operating states. More recently, deep-learning approaches have enabled direct learning from relatively high-dimensional signals and time-series data, reducing dependence on manually designed features. AI-based systems can potentially perform multiple functions simultaneously, including fault detection, fault classification, fault-location estimation, equipment-condition assessment, and prediction of impending failures.

The application of AI to power-system protection has expanded alongside the development of synchronized measurement technologies, intelligent electronic devices, phasor measurement units, digital substations, Internet-of-Things sensors, and edge-computing platforms. These technologies generate large quantities of high-resolution operational data that can be used for training intelligent diagnostic models. However, successful implementation requires more than achieving high classification accuracy on a laboratory dataset. An AI protection system must also provide rapid response, robustness against noise and changing operating conditions, explainable decisions, resistance to adversarial manipulation, and reliable operation when previously unseen faults occur.

This review therefore examines the principal AI methodologies employed for fault detection and diagnosis in modern power systems and evaluates their advantages, limitations, and practical applicability.

II. FAULT DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS IN MODERN POWER SYSTEMS

Fault detection refers to identifying whether the electrical network or a particular component is operating normally or abnormally. Fault diagnosis goes a step further by determining the nature, location, severity, or probable cause of the abnormality. A complete intelligent protection framework can therefore be represented as:

Electrical measurements → Signal processing → Feature extraction/representation → AI model → Fault detection → Fault classification → Fault location → Protection decision

The most frequently investigated fault categories include:

Fault Category	Typical Examples	Common Measurements
Transmission-line faults	LG, LL, LLG, LLL	Voltage, current, phase angle
Distribution-system faults	Phase-to-ground, phase-to-phase	RMS voltage/current, harmonics
Transformer faults	Internal winding, inter-turn, insulation	Differential current, temperature, gas data
Busbar faults	Phase-to-ground, three-phase	Bus currents and voltages
Cable faults	Short circuit, insulation degradation	Current, voltage, travelling waves
High-impedance faults	Arcing faults	Current waveform, harmonics
Generator faults	Stator/rotor abnormalities	Current, voltage, vibration
Converter faults	Switch/device failures	DC-link voltage, current, switching signals
Microgrid faults	Islanded/grid-connected faults	Local voltage/current, frequency
Equipment degradation	Bearing, insulation, thermal problems	Electrical and sensor data

III. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES FOR FAULT DETECTION

1. Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial Neural Networks are among the earliest AI techniques extensively investigated for power-system fault classification. An ANN consists of interconnected computational neurons organized into input, hidden, and output layers. The model learns the relationship between electrical measurements and fault classes by adjusting connection weights during training.

ANNs can process voltage and current measurements directly or use extracted features such as RMS values, sequence components, wavelet coefficients, harmonic magnitudes, and phase-angle information. Multilayer perceptrons are particularly suitable for classification problems involving several fault categories.



The principal advantages of ANN-based protection include nonlinear mapping capability, relatively rapid inference after training, and the ability to classify multiple fault types. However, ANN performance depends heavily on the representativeness of the training dataset. An ANN trained only under limited loading conditions may perform poorly when the network topology, generation pattern, fault resistance, or renewable penetration changes.

2. Support Vector Machines

Support Vector Machines classify data by determining an optimal decision boundary between different classes. Kernel functions allow SVMs to model nonlinear relationships without explicitly transforming the data into a high-dimensional space.

SVMs have been used for transmission-line fault classification, fault detection in distribution networks, transformer diagnostics, and equipment-condition monitoring. Their effectiveness with relatively small datasets is an important advantage compared with data-hungry deep-learning methods. Nevertheless, computational complexity can increase with very large training datasets, and selection of the kernel and hyperparameters can substantially affect performance.

3. Decision Trees and Random Forests

Decision Trees classify observations through a sequence of hierarchical decisions based on selected features. Their structure is relatively easy to interpret, making them attractive for applications in which protection engineers require an understandable decision process.

Random Forests improve upon individual decision trees by combining many trees and aggregating their predictions. This ensemble structure can increase robustness and reduce overfitting. RF models can accommodate nonlinear relationships and mixed feature types and are particularly useful when manually extracted electrical features are available.

4. k-Nearest Neighbour

The k-Nearest Neighbour algorithm determines the class of a new observation according to the classes of nearby training observations. It is conceptually simple and does not require an extensive model-training stage. However, prediction can become computationally expensive for very large datasets, and its performance can be affected by feature scaling and the choice of distance metric.

5. Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy-logic systems are particularly valuable when the relationship between input measurements and protection decisions cannot be represented conveniently through rigid thresholds. Fuzzy rules can incorporate expert knowledge such as:

IF current increase is high AND voltage reduction is severe THEN fault probability is high.

Fuzzy systems provide greater interpretability than many black-box AI models. Their main limitation is that developing a comprehensive rule base can become difficult for highly complex systems.

IV. DEEP LEARNING FOR INTELLIGENT FAULT DIAGNOSIS

Deep learning has expanded AI-based power-system fault diagnosis by enabling automatic representation learning from raw or minimally processed signals.

1. Convolutional Neural Networks

CNNs can identify spatial or temporal patterns within electrical signals. One-dimensional CNNs are particularly appropriate for current and voltage waveforms, while two-dimensional representations can be created using spectrograms, wavelet transforms, or other time-frequency transformations.

2. Recurrent Neural Networks

RNNs are designed for sequential data and can capture temporal dependencies in electrical signals. This makes them useful for transient fault identification and dynamic-system monitoring.



3. Long Short-Term Memory Networks

LSTM networks are a specialized form of RNN designed to retain useful information over longer sequences. They are particularly useful for fault diagnosis based on time-series voltage, current, frequency, and equipment-condition measurements.

4. Autoencoders

Autoencoders learn compact representations of input data and can reconstruct normal operating signals. A large reconstruction error may indicate an abnormal condition. This makes them useful for anomaly detection, especially when labelled fault data are limited.

5. Transformer-Based Models

Transformer architectures use attention mechanisms to model relationships across long sequences. Their application to power-system fault diagnosis is an emerging research direction because attention can potentially identify important temporal relationships in high-resolution electrical measurements.

COMPARISON OF AI TECHNIQUES

AI Technique	Main Strength	Data Requirement	Interpretability	Real-Time Suitability	Major Limitation
ANN	Nonlinear classification	Medium–High	Low–Medium	High after training	Sensitive to training data
SVM	Strong classification with limited data	Low–Medium	Medium	High	Hyperparameter dependence
Decision Tree	Simple and interpretable	Low–Medium	High	High	Can overfit
Random Forest	Robust ensemble classification	Medium	Medium–High	High	Larger model size
k-NN	Simple implementation	Medium	Medium	Medium	Expensive prediction for large datasets
Fuzzy Logic	Expert knowledge integration	Low	High	High	Rule-base development
Genetic Algorithm	Optimization	Medium	Low	Medium	Computationally intensive
CNN	Automatic feature learning	High	Low	High with optimized hardware	Requires large datasets
RNN	Sequential-pattern recognition	High	Low	Medium–High	Training complexity
LSTM	Long-term temporal learning	High	Low	High after training	Computational cost
Autoencoder	Unsupervised anomaly detection	High	Low	High	Reconstruction threshold selection
Transformer	Long-range sequence modelling	Very High	Low	Potentially High	High computational/data requirements

V. INPUT DATA AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

The performance of AI-based fault-detection systems depends strongly on the quality and relevance of input data. Common sources include:

1. Three-phase voltage signals



2. Three-phase current signals
3. Positive-, negative-, and zero-sequence components
4. Frequency and rate of change of frequency
5. Active and reactive power
6. Phase-angle measurements
7. Harmonic components
8. Travelling-wave measurements
9. Transformer dissolved-gas information
10. Temperature and vibration measurements

Signal-processing techniques are often applied before AI modelling. Fourier Transform, Short-Time Fourier Transform, Wavelet Transform, Discrete Wavelet Transform, Hilbert Transform, and empirical mode decomposition can convert raw signals into representations containing information about transient and frequency-domain characteristics.

VI. AI-BASED FAULT DETECTION FRAMEWORK

A generalized AI-based fault diagnosis system can be organized into six major stages:

Stage	Function	Examples
1. Data acquisition	Collect electrical measurements	PMU, relay, smart meter, sensors
2. Pre-processing	Remove noise and normalize data	Filtering, normalization
3. Feature extraction	Obtain informative characteristics	DWT, FFT, sequence components
4. Model training	Learn fault patterns	ANN, SVM, RF, CNN, LSTM
5. Diagnosis	Determine fault type/location	LG, LL, LLG, LLL
6. Protection action	Support operational decision	Alarm, isolation, relay trip

This architecture can operate centrally through a control centre or locally through intelligent electronic devices and edge-computing platforms. Distributed architectures are particularly attractive for microgrids and distribution networks because local processing can reduce communication delays.

VII. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION METRICS

AI-based fault-diagnosis systems should not be evaluated solely on accuracy. Important performance indicators include:

Metric	Formula/Meaning	Importance
Accuracy	Correct predictions / total predictions	Overall classification performance
Precision	$TP/(TP+FP)$	Reliability of positive fault predictions
Recall	$TP/(TP+FN)$	Ability to detect actual faults
F1-score	Harmonic mean of precision and recall	Balanced classification evaluation
Specificity	$TN/(TN+FP)$	Ability to identify healthy conditions
Detection time	Time required to identify fault	Critical for protection
Localization error	Difference between actual and estimated fault position	Fault-location assessment
False-trip rate	Incorrect protection operations	System reliability
Missed-fault rate	Faults not detected	Protection security

For practical power-system protection, low detection latency and low false-trip/missed-fault rates are often as important as high classification accuracy.

VIII. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN MODERN POWER SYSTEMS

AI-based fault diagnosis has applications across several parts of the power network.



1. Transmission Systems

AI models can identify and classify transmission-line faults using synchronized voltage and current measurements. They can also assist with fault-location estimation.

2. Distribution Networks

The increasing penetration of distributed energy resources creates bidirectional power flows, making traditional protection coordination more challenging. AI can support adaptive fault classification and protection settings.

3. Renewable Energy Systems

Wind and photovoltaic systems contain power-electronic interfaces whose fault responses can differ from conventional generation. AI can identify abnormal converter and electrical operating conditions.

4. Smart Grids

Smart-grid infrastructure produces large volumes of heterogeneous measurements. AI can combine information from multiple devices to identify abnormal network conditions.

5. Microgrids

Microgrids may operate in grid-connected or islanded modes. AI-based protection can potentially adapt its classification process to the changing operating state.

6. Transformers and Rotating Machines

AI techniques can combine electrical, thermal, vibration, and condition-monitoring information to detect incipient equipment faults before catastrophic failure.

IX. EMERGING RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research is increasingly moving toward hybrid and intelligent architectures rather than relying on a single AI algorithm. Physics-informed machine learning can combine physical laws with data-driven learning, potentially improving generalization and reducing the amount of training data required. Explainable AI can provide information about why a particular fault classification was generated, which is valuable for protection engineers and system operators.

Graph Neural Networks are another promising direction because power networks naturally have a graph structure consisting of buses, lines, generators, transformers, and other components. GNNs can potentially exploit spatial relationships between electrical components while simultaneously processing measurement data.

Federated learning may enable multiple utilities or substations to collaboratively train models without sharing sensitive raw operational data. Digital twins can provide realistic virtual environments for generating fault scenarios and testing AI protection strategies. Edge AI can also move model inference closer to sensors and protection equipment, reducing communication latency.

Hybrid systems combining conventional protection logic with AI may be particularly practical. In such architectures, established protection mechanisms provide a dependable primary layer while AI supplies adaptive classification, anomaly detection, fault-location assistance, and decision support.

X. OVERALL COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT

Criterion	Conventional Methods	Traditional ML	Deep Learning	Hybrid AI-Protection
Detection speed	Very high	High	High after training	Very high
Adaptability	Low–Medium	Medium–High	High	High
Feature engineering	High	Usually required	Limited	Moderate
Data requirement	Low	Medium	High	Medium–High
Interpretability	High	Medium–High	Low	Medium–High
Nonlinear modelling	Limited	High	Very high	Very high



Changing topology	Limited	Moderate	Moderate–High	High
Fault classification	High	High	Very high	Very high
Fault localization	High with suitable models	High	High	Very high
Deployment complexity	Low	Medium	High	High
Engineering maturity	Very high	High	Developing	Developing
Recommended role	Primary protection	Intelligent decision support	Advanced diagnosis	Integrated protection

XI. CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence has become an important research direction for improving fault detection and diagnosis in modern power systems. ANN, SVM, decision trees, random forests, fuzzy systems, and other conventional machine-learning methods have demonstrated their ability to identify nonlinear fault patterns from electrical measurements. Deep-learning architectures such as CNN, RNN, LSTM, and autoencoders further extend these capabilities by automatically learning complex spatial, temporal, and frequency-domain representations. Their application is particularly relevant to modern grids characterized by distributed generation, renewable-energy integration, power-electronic converters, microgrids, and rapidly changing operating conditions.

Nevertheless, high laboratory classification accuracy does not automatically guarantee dependable field performance. AI models must be robust to unseen faults, measurement noise, changing topology, renewable-generation variability, class imbalance, cyberattacks, and changes in the statistical characteristics of system data. Consequently, future research should focus on models that combine data-driven intelligence with established electrical engineering principles.

The most promising direction is therefore not the complete replacement of conventional protection but the development of hybrid AI-based protection frameworks. Such systems can retain the speed and dependability of established protection mechanisms while using AI for adaptive fault classification, anomaly detection, fault localization, condition assessment, and decision support. Explainable AI, physics-informed learning, graph-based models, federated learning, digital twins, and edge intelligence are expected to play increasingly important roles in creating reliable and adaptive protection systems. With appropriate validation using realistic operational data and hardware-in-the-loop environments, AI has substantial potential to contribute to safer, faster, and more resilient modern power-system operation.

REFERENCES

1. Abdelgawad, A. A., & El-Hag, A. H. (2021). Machine learning applications in power system fault diagnosis: A review. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 196, 107194.
2. Ahmad, T., Zhang, D., Huang, C., Zhang, H., Dai, N., Song, Y., & Chen, H. (2021). Artificial intelligence in sustainable energy industry: Status Quo, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 289, 125834.
3. AsghariGhalebah, A., & Haghifam, M. R. (2019). Intelligent fault diagnosis in power systems using machine learning techniques. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 109, 474–483.
4. Chen, K., Hu, J., Zhang, Y., Yu, Z., & He, J. (2019). Fault location in power distribution systems via deep graph convolutional networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 37(6), 1193–1202.
5. Chen, Y., Wang, X., & Li, Z. (2020). Deep learning-based fault diagnosis of power transmission systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 35(4), 1956–1965.
6. Das, S., & Santoso, S. (2020). A review of machine learning applications in power-system protection. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 35(4), 1825–1837.



7. Dehghani, M., Ghiasi, M., Niknam, T., Kavousi-Fard, A., Siano, P., & Chen, V. (2021). Review of artificial intelligence applications in power systems. *IEEE Access*, 9, 114–135.
8. Gopakumar, P., Mallik, A., & Paital, S. R. (2022). Deep learning approaches for fault detection and classification in modern power systems. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 136, 107706.
9. Jamali, S., & Bahrami, H. R. (2018). Fault classification and location in transmission lines using artificial neural networks. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 161, 1–10.
10. Jiang, J. A., Yang, J. Z., Lin, Y. H., Liu, C. W., & Ma, J. C. (2000). An adaptive PMU based fault detection/location technique for transmission lines. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 15(2), 486–493.
11. Kezunovic, M. (2005). Detecting, classifying, and locating faults in power transmission systems using artificial intelligence. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 20(1), 406–413.
12. Kunjin, L., Chen, X., & Zhang, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence-based fault diagnosis methods for smart grid applications. *IEEE Access*, 10, 45612–45629.
13. Mohammadi, M., Rashidinejad, M., & Khatami, M. (2020). Machine learning-based fault diagnosis in electrical power systems. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, 14(15), 3001–3012.
14. Nandini, R., & Kumar, R. (2021). Machine learning techniques for fault detection and classification in smart grids. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 129, 106825.
15. Ray, P. K., Mohanty, S. R., & Kishor, N. (2011). Disturbance detection in power systems using wavelet transform and artificial neural network. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 33(5), 1255–1260.
16. Samantaray, S. R. (2013). Decision tree-based fault analysis of power transmission lines. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 53, 815–822.
17. Sharifzadeh, M., & Khosravi, A. (2022). Deep learning-based fault detection and classification for power system protection. *IEEE Access*, 10, 78543–78557.
18. Singh, M., & Panigrahi, B. K. (2014). A hybrid intelligent approach for fault detection and classification in power systems. *Electric Power Components and Systems*, 42(10), 1089–1101.
19. Thukaram, D., Khincha, H. P., & Vijaynarasimha, H. P. (2003). Artificial neural network and support vector machine approach for locating faults in transmission lines. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 18(2), 433–439.
20. Yadav, A., & Dash, P. K. (2014). An adaptive artificial neural network based fault classification and location technique for transmission lines. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 57, 324–333.

