IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Review of Lean Manufacturing Practices for Enhancing Organizational Competitiveness in the Global Marketplace

Patil Suraj Dhondiram[1] and Dr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering
[2]Research Guide, Department of Mechanical Engineering
Vikrant University, Gwalior, M.P, India

Abstract: *In the contemporary global marketplace, manufacturing organizations operate under intense competitive pressure arising from changing customer expectations, shorter product life cycles, international competition, supply-chain uncertainty, increasing quality requirements, and the need for cost-effective production. Lean manufacturing has emerged as an important managerial and operational philosophy for addressing these challenges through systematic waste elimination, continuous improvement, flow optimization, quality enhancement, employee involvement, supplier integration, and customer-focused value creation. This review paper examines the role of major lean manufacturing practices in enhancing organizational competitiveness in the global marketplace.*

Keywords: Lean Manufacturing, Organizational Competitiveness, Operational Performance, Global Marketplace

I. INTRODUCTION

Globalization has fundamentally transformed the competitive environment in which manufacturing organizations operate. Organizations are increasingly required to deliver high-quality products at competitive prices while simultaneously reducing lead times, responding rapidly to changing customer requirements, improving flexibility, and controlling operational costs.

Competition is no longer restricted to firms operating within the same geographical region because organizations increasingly compete through international supply chains and global production networks. Under these circumstances, manufacturing organizations require management philosophies that can improve operational efficiency while creating sustainable value for customers. Lean manufacturing has consequently become one of the most influential approaches in operations and production management.

Lean manufacturing is generally associated with the systematic elimination of activities that do not create value for customers while improving the flow of products, information, and resources throughout the production system. Its major principles include identifying customer value, mapping the value stream, establishing continuous flow, implementing pull-based production, and pursuing continuous improvement.

Contemporary research demonstrates that lean manufacturing has expanded beyond traditional shop-floor techniques and increasingly incorporates supply-chain management, human-resource practices, information technology, environmental sustainability, performance measurement, and strategic management. Jasti and Kodali (2015), in a review of 546 research articles, observed that lean literature had developed across multiple research streams and emphasized the need to consider lean elements as interconnected systems rather than isolated practices.

The competitive significance of lean manufacturing arises from its potential to simultaneously influence several dimensions of organizational performance. Lean practices can reduce unnecessary inventory, waiting time, defects, excess movement, overproduction, transportation, processing, and unused employee capabilities.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

At the same time, they can improve product quality, production flexibility, delivery reliability, productivity, and customer satisfaction. Research involving international manufacturing firms has also connected lean manufacturing with environmental management and business performance, indicating that lean experience may contribute to the development of broader organizational capabilities (Yang et al., 2011).

However, the relationship between lean manufacturing and competitiveness is not universally automatic. Lean practices may fail when organizations treat them as isolated tools rather than as components of an integrated management philosophy. Negrão et al. (2017), after reviewing 83 studies, found that lean practices were frequently implemented in fragmented ways. Although many studies reported positive operational, financial, or environmental effects, some studies also identified negative or inconsistent performance effects associated with demand variability, organizational culture, and difficulties in evaluating the financial consequences of lean implementation.

Therefore, the purpose of this review is to critically examine how lean manufacturing practices contribute to organizational competitiveness in the global marketplace, identify the major practices responsible for performance improvement, examine implementation barriers, and identify important research gaps in the literature published from 2010 to 2022.

OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

The major objectives of this review are to examine the conceptual development of lean manufacturing during 2010–2022; identify major lean manufacturing practices used to improve organizational competitiveness; examine the relationship between lean practices and operational, financial, market, environmental, and supply-chain performance; assess the importance of human and organizational factors in successful lean implementation; identify implementation challenges and limitations; and propose future directions for organizations seeking to use lean manufacturing as a strategic source of global competitiveness.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

This paper adopts a structured narrative review approach. Research articles, systematic literature reviews, empirical studies, meta-analyses, and conceptual contributions published between 2010 and 2022 were considered. The literature was examined around major themes including lean manufacturing practices, operational performance, organizational competitiveness, financial performance, supply-chain performance, employee involvement, technology integration, and sustainability. Particular attention was given to studies that investigated the relationship between lean practices and measurable organizational outcomes. Reviews by Jasti and Kodali (2015), Negrão et al. (2017), Bellisario and Pavlov, and later meta-analytic studies provide an important basis for synthesizing the development of lean research.

CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION OF LEAN MANUFACTURING

Lean manufacturing has developed from an emphasis on production efficiency toward a broader organizational philosophy. The literature during 2010–2022 increasingly treats lean as a system of interdependent technical and social practices. Technical practices include Just-in-Time production, standardized work, cellular manufacturing, setup reduction, preventive maintenance, quality management, Value Stream Mapping, visual management, pull systems, and continuous-flow production. Social practices include employee involvement, empowerment, teamwork, training, leadership support, communication, and development of a continuous-improvement culture.

This systemic perspective is important because isolated implementation of individual lean tools may produce short-term operational improvements without generating sustainable competitive advantage. Research has repeatedly emphasized the importance of bundles of complementary practices. For example, research on lean supply chain management identified interconnected practice bundles and found that their concurrent implementation can contribute significantly to supply-chain performance (Tortorella et al., 2017).

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 1. Major Lean Manufacturing Practices and Their Competitive Contribution

Lean manufacturing practice	Primary purpose	Major performance effect	Contribution to competitiveness
Just-in-Time	Reduce excess inventory and synchronize production	Lower inventory and lead time	Cost and delivery advantage
Total Quality Management	Prevent defects and improve quality	Higher quality and lower rework	Customer satisfaction and reputation
Total Productive Maintenance	Improve equipment reliability	Reduced downtime	Higher productivity and delivery reliability
Continuous Improvement/Kaizen	Incremental improvement	Productivity and waste reduction	Long-term operational capability
Value Stream Mapping	Identify waste and process constraints	Improved process flow	Faster and more efficient operations
Standardized Work	Establish consistent processes	Lower variation	Stable quality and productivity
Cellular Manufacturing	Improve product/process flow	Reduced movement and waiting	Faster production response
Employee Involvement	Utilize employee knowledge	Problem solving and innovation	Organizational learning
Supplier Integration	Improve coordination with suppliers	Better supply reliability	Supply-chain competitiveness
Lean-Green Practices	Combine efficiency with environmental improvement	Resource and waste reduction	Sustainable competitive advantage

JUST-IN-TIME MANUFACTURING AND COST COMPETITIVENESS

Just-in-Time is one of the central elements of lean manufacturing. Its fundamental objective is to ensure that materials and components are available when required rather than maintaining unnecessarily large inventories. The reduction of inventory can reduce storage costs, material handling, obsolescence, and working-capital requirements. At the same time, JIT can expose production problems that are hidden by excessive inventories, thereby encouraging organizations to identify and resolve underlying process problems.

A meta-analytic investigation of JIT practices found a positive relationship between JIT manufacturing practices and aggregate performance, although the researchers also emphasized that individual JIT practices do not necessarily influence every performance dimension equally. The results demonstrate the importance of contextual and moderating factors in determining the effectiveness of JIT implementation (Cua et al., 2010).

The competitive contribution of JIT therefore extends beyond inventory reduction. When appropriately implemented, JIT can improve production responsiveness, shorten lead times, improve process visibility, and facilitate faster reactions to customer demand. Nevertheless, excessive inventory reduction can create vulnerability when supply disruptions, demand fluctuations, or unreliable suppliers occur. Eroglu and Hofer (2011) found that the inventory-performance relationship differs across industries and suggested that excessive inventory leanness can eventually reduce performance.

TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY COMPETITIVENESS

Quality is a fundamental source of competitive advantage because customers increasingly expect reliable products with consistent performance. Lean manufacturing incorporates quality at the source, defect prevention, standardized processes, root-cause analysis, visual control, and continuous improvement. Rather than relying primarily on final inspection, lean systems seek to prevent defects from occurring.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

The integration of lean practices with quality-management principles allows organizations to reduce scrap, rework, warranty costs, customer complaints, and production interruptions. Evidence from empirical studies indicates that lean practices can be associated with improvements in quality and other operational dimensions. Research on lean manufacturing and operational performance has particularly emphasized waste reduction and flexibility as important outcomes of successful lean implementation (Hernandez-Matias et al., 2019).

Quality competitiveness is especially important in global markets because customers can compare products from different countries and suppliers. Consistent quality therefore supports customer retention, brand reputation, international market access, and long-term business relationships.

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING

Continuous improvement, commonly associated with Kaizen, represents one of the most important cultural foundations of lean manufacturing. Instead of regarding improvement as a one-time project, lean organizations attempt to establish an ongoing process through which employees identify problems, analyze their causes, implement corrective actions, and monitor results.

Continuous improvement also contributes to organizational learning. Lean systems encourage employees to make problems visible rather than hiding them, enabling organizations to learn from operational failures. Staats et al. (2011), studying lean principles in an Indian software-services organization, found that lean projects performed better than non-lean projects for most performance outcomes and that lean methods supported learning by improving problem identification and problem resolution.

Thus, Kaizen can contribute to competitiveness not simply through individual process improvements but through the development of organizational capabilities. A company capable of continuously identifying and eliminating inefficiencies may respond more effectively to changing market conditions than an organization dependent on periodic restructuring.

VALUE STREAM MAPPING AND PROCESS EFFICIENCY

Value Stream Mapping (VSM) is widely used to visualize material and information flows and identify non-value-added activities. It helps organizations understand the complete production process rather than examining individual operations separately. The approach can reveal excessive transportation, waiting, inventory, unnecessary processing, bottlenecks, and information delays.

A systematic literature review of VSM research identified its broad application within manufacturing and highlighted its importance as a tool for understanding and improving production systems (Sundar et al., 2017).

From a competitiveness perspective, VSM enables organizations to identify opportunities for reducing cycle time and improving process flow. Its strategic value increases when organizations use it not only to redesign individual production lines but also to analyze the broader value chain involving suppliers, production, logistics, and customers.

TOTAL PRODUCTIVE MAINTENANCE AND EQUIPMENT EFFECTIVENESS

Equipment reliability is essential to lean production because interruptions can disrupt flow, increase waiting time, create delivery delays, and generate additional costs. Total Productive Maintenance seeks to involve operators and maintenance personnel in preventing equipment failures and improving equipment effectiveness.

Lean manufacturing requires stable processes. If machines frequently fail, organizations cannot maintain consistent flow or reliable delivery schedules. TPM therefore supports lean competitiveness by reducing downtime, increasing equipment availability, improving maintenance planning, and supporting consistent production quality. The integration of technical practices such as equipment management with employee involvement illustrates the systemic nature of lean implementation.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

II. CONCLUSION

This review examined the role of lean manufacturing practices in enhancing organizational competitiveness in the global marketplace using literature published between 2010 and 2022. The evidence indicates that lean manufacturing can make an important contribution to competitiveness through waste reduction, cost efficiency, quality improvement, shorter lead times, flexibility, productivity enhancement, supply-chain coordination, employee involvement, and sustainability.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that lean practices are most effective when implemented as an integrated system. Just-in-Time, Total Quality Management, Total Productive Maintenance, continuous improvement, Value Stream Mapping, standardized work, employee involvement, supplier integration, and lean-green practices should therefore be coordinated rather than implemented as independent initiatives. Meta-analytic research provides additional support for a positive relationship between aggregate lean practices and organizational or business performance.

However, lean manufacturing does not guarantee competitiveness automatically. Excessive inventory reduction can create vulnerability, fragmented implementation can limit benefits, weak leadership can undermine adoption, and inadequate organizational culture can prevent continuous improvement. Consequently, successful lean transformation requires long-term leadership commitment, employee participation, supplier collaboration, appropriate performance measurement, organizational learning, and alignment with corporate strategy.

In the global marketplace, the strategic value of lean manufacturing lies in its ability to transform operational efficiency into organizational capability. Organizations that successfully develop a culture of continuous improvement can respond more rapidly to customer requirements, reduce waste, improve quality, control costs, strengthen supply chains, and develop sustainable competitive advantages. Lean manufacturing should therefore be viewed not merely as a production methodology but as a comprehensive strategic approach for achieving operational excellence and long-term organizational competitiveness.

REFERENCES

1. Abreu-Ledón, R., Luján-García, D. E., Garrido-Vega, P., & Escobar-Pérez, B. (2018). A meta-analytic study of the impact of Lean Production on business performance. *International Journal of Production Economics, 200*, 83–102.
2. Agus, A., & Hajinoor, M. S. (2012). Lean production supply chain management as driver towards enhancing product quality and business performance: Case study of manufacturing companies in Malaysia. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 29*(1), 92–121.
3. Bellisario, A., & Pavlov, A. (2018). Performance management practices in lean manufacturing organizations: A systematic review of research evidence. *Production Planning & Control, 29*(2), 152–168.
4. Bhasin, S. (2012). Performance of Lean in large organisations. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 31*(3), 349–357.
5. Bhattacharya, A., Nand, A., & Castka, P. (2019). Lean-green integration and its impact on sustainability performance: A critical review. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 236*, 117697.
6. Cherrafi, A., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Kumar, V., Mishra, N., Ghobadian, A., & Elfezazi, S. (2016). Lean, green and six sigma: Integrating methods for higher process performance. *International Journal of Production Research, 54*(8), 2428–2446.
7. Cua, K. O., McKone, K. E., & Schroeder, R. G. (2010). Relationship between just-in-time manufacturing practices and performance: A meta-analytic investigation. *Journal of Operations Management, 28*(4), 283–302.
8. Deshmukh, M., Gangele, A., Gope, D. K., & Dewangan, S. (2022). Study and implementation of lean manufacturing strategies: A literature review. *Materials Today: Proceedings, 62*(3), 1489–1495.
9. Eroglu, C., & Hofer, C. (2011). Lean, leaner, too lean? The inventory-performance link revisited. *Journal of Operations Management, 29*(4), 356–369.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, August 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

10. Fullerton, R. R., Kennedy, F. A., & Widener, S. K. (2014). Lean manufacturing and firm performance: The incremental contribution of lean management accounting practices. *Journal of Operations Management, 32*(7–8), 414–428.
11. Hernandez-Matias, J. C., Ocampo, J. R., Hidalgo, A., & Vizán, A. (2019). Lean manufacturing and operational performance. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 31*(2), 217–235.
12. Jasti, N. V. K., & Kodali, R. (2015). Lean production: Literature review and trends. *International Journal of Production Research, 53*(3), 867–885.
13. Khanchanapong, T., Prajogo, D., Sohal, A. S., Cooper, B. K., Yeung, A. C. L., & Cheng, T. C. E. (2014). The unique and complementary effects of manufacturing technologies and lean practices on manufacturing operational performance. *International Journal of Production Economics, 153*, 191–203.
14. Kumar, N., & Mathiyazhagan, K. (2020). Sustainability in lean manufacturing: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Business Excellence, 20*(3), 295–321.
15. Losonci, D., & Demeter, K. (2013). Lean production and business performance: International empirical results. *Competitiveness Review, 23*(3), 218–233.
16. Negrão, L. L. L., Godinho Filho, M., & Marodin, G. (2017). Lean practices and their effect on performance: A literature review. *Production Planning & Control, 28*(1), 33–56.
17. Panizzolo, R., Garengo, P., Sharma, M. K., & Gore, A. (2012). Lean manufacturing in developing countries: Evidence from Indian SMEs. *Production Planning & Control, 23*(10–11), 769–788.
18. Pinho, C., & Mendes, L. (2017). IT in lean-based manufacturing industries: Systematic literature review and research issues. *International Journal of Production Research, 55*(24), 7524–7540.
19. Staats, B. R., Brunner, D. J., & Upton, D. M. (2011). Lean principles, learning, and knowledge work: Evidence from a software services provider. *Journal of Operations Management, 29*(5), 376–390.
20. Tortorella, G. L., Miorando, R., & Marodin, G. (2017). Lean supply chain management: Empirical research on practices, contexts and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics, 193*, 98–112.
21. Yang, M. G. M., Hong, P., & Modi, S. B. (2011). Impact of lean manufacturing and environmental management on business performance: An empirical study of manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Production Economics, 129*(2), 251–261.