IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Relationship of Reaction Ability, Orientation Ability, and Differentiation Ability with Hockey Performance among Inter-University Players

Babulal Meena[1] and Dr. Ganga Shyam Gurjar[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education
[2]Research Guide, Department of Physical Education
Shri Jain Teachers Training College, Alwar

Abstract: *The purpose of the proposed study is to examine the relationship of reaction ability, orientation ability, and differentiation ability with hockey performance among inter-university hockey players. Field hockey is a fast, open-skill team sport in which players must perceive changing situations, select an appropriate response, control the stick and ball, and execute movements accurately under time and spatial constraints. These demands suggest that coordinative abilities may contribute to effective performance. The study is framed as a quantitative, cross-sectional correlational investigation.*

Keywords: Orientation Ability, Coordinative Abilities, Field Hockey, Inter-University Players, Sports Performance

I. INTRODUCTION

Field hockey is an intermittent, high-intensity team sport that combines repeated accelerations, decelerations, changes of direction, technical ball control, passing, receiving, tackling, and shooting. Players perform these actions while opponents and teammates continuously change position. Successful performance therefore depends not only on physical capacities such as speed, strength, and endurance but also on the ability to perceive relevant information and coordinate precise movements in response to rapidly changing situations. The player must detect the ball and surrounding players, interpret available space, anticipate an opponent's action, and select an appropriate motor response within a short period.

Coordinative abilities are commonly understood as the capacities that support the organization and regulation of movement. They are expressed through the efficient interaction of sensory information, central processing, and muscular action. In a game such as hockey, coordination is visible when a player adjusts the force of a pass, changes body orientation to receive the ball, or responds rapidly to an unexpected deflection. The present study focuses on three specific abilities: reaction ability, orientation ability, and differentiation ability. These constructs are related but distinct. Reaction ability concerns responding promptly and appropriately to a stimulus; orientation ability concerns determining and adjusting the body's position in relation to the playing environment; and differentiation ability concerns regulating the magnitude, timing, and precision of muscular force and movement.

The relevance of perceptual and cognitive processes to field hockey is supported by research on visual skills, anticipation, and decision-making. Wimshurst, Sowden, and Cardinale (2012) examined visual skills in Olympic hockey players and reported improvement following a structured visual-training programme. Starkes (1987) also highlighted the importance of cognitive attributes in distinguishing levels of field-hockey expertise. Research on talented youth players has further shown that performance level is multidimensional, involving technical, tactical, physiological, and psychological characteristics (Elferink-Gemser et al., 2004, 2007). These findings support a broad view of hockey performance rather than treating it as the product of one physical quality.

Reaction ability is relevant when a player responds to a pass, interception opportunity, tackle, rebound, or sudden change in possession. A rapid response alone, however, is not sufficient: the response must also be accurate and

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

appropriate to the game situation. Orientation ability supports spatial awareness, positioning, movement into open space, and adjustment of the body to the ball, opponents, and teammates. Differentiation ability is important for controlling the force and precision of passes, receiving the ball softly, dribbling at variable speeds, and shooting with suitable power and direction. Together, these abilities may contribute to the technical and tactical effectiveness of a player.

Although the contribution of perceptual-cognitive and coordinative characteristics to sport performance is widely acknowledged, the specific association of these three abilities with overall hockey performance among inter-university players requires systematic examination. University-level players represent a competitive group with varied training histories, playing positions, and levels of experience. Identifying the relationships may help coaches design more focused assessment and training programmes. Accordingly, this study proposes to investigate the independent and combined relationships of reaction ability, orientation ability, and differentiation ability with hockey performance.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The problem of the study is stated as: "Relationship of Reaction Ability, Orientation Ability, and Differentiation Ability with Hockey Performance Among Inter-University Players." The investigation will determine whether measurable differences in these coordinative abilities are statistically associated with differences in hockey performance among eligible inter-university players.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

1. Perceptual-cognitive demands in field hockey

Starkes (1987) compared skilled and less-skilled groups on field-hockey-related perceptual and cognitive tasks, including reaction time, anticipation, ball detection, decision speed, and recall. The study emphasized the contribution of cognitive processing to expertise. This is important because hockey players must do more than react mechanically: they must recognize meaningful cues and choose actions that fit the evolving tactical situation.

2. Visual skills and reaction-related performance

Wimshurst et al. (2012) assessed 21 Olympic field-hockey players on a range of visual tasks before and after a 10-week visual-training programme. Improvements across the visual-task battery suggested that selected visual skills may be trainable even among elite players. The findings are relevant to the present study because visual detection and response selection are closely connected to the demands underlying reaction ability, although visual-task scores should not be treated as identical to a general reaction-ability measure.

3. Multidimensional nature of hockey performance

Elferink-Gemser et al. (2004) compared talented elite and sub-elite youth field-hockey players across anthropometric, physiological, technical, tactical, and psychological characteristics. Their work illustrates that performance level is associated with several interacting domains. In a longitudinal study, Elferink-Gemser et al. (2007) reported differences in technical and tactical characteristics between elite and sub-elite players over successive seasons. These studies support the need to define hockey performance through reliable, sport-specific indicators.

4. Practice and perceptual-cognitive expertise

Drake and Breslin (2017) investigated developmental activities associated with perceptual-cognitive expertise in international field-hockey players. Their findings indicated that higher-performing players had accumulated greater amounts of practice and match-play experience in several categories. This suggests that performance-related perceptual and decision-making abilities develop within a wider context of deliberate practice, coaching, and competitive exposure.

5. Coordinative abilities and hockey

A study by Sharma and Gangwar (2014) compared selected coordinative abilities in male football and hockey players and included reaction, orientation, and differentiation ability among its variables. Ramani and Channappa (2024) specifically examined reaction ability in relation to offensive skill performance among Mysore University inter-

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

collegiate male hockey players. Such work provides a sport-specific rationale for studying reaction ability, while also indicating the value of examining technical outcomes. However, findings from different samples, tests, and performance definitions should not be assumed to generalize automatically to all inter-university players.

Overall, the literature supports the importance of perceptual, cognitive, technical, and coordinative factors in hockey. Nevertheless, evidence concerning the simultaneous relationship of reaction, orientation, and differentiation ability with a clearly operationalized overall performance measure in inter-university players remains limited. The present study is designed to address this focused question.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research design

A quantitative, cross-sectional correlational design is proposed. All variables will be measured during a defined testing period without manipulating the players' training or assigning them to experimental conditions. A correlational design is appropriate for estimating the direction and strength of associations; it does not establish that one ability causes improvement in hockey performance.

2. Population and sample

The target population will consist of male and female hockey players who have represented their respective universities in recognized inter-university competitions. A practical target of 100–150 participants is proposed, but the final sample size should be established through an a priori power analysis based on the smallest meaningful correlation, desired statistical power (normally .80 or higher), significance level, and anticipated missing data. If the accessible population is smaller, the study should report the actual number recruited and justify the sample accordingly.

3. Sampling technique

Where a list of eligible players is available, proportionate stratified sampling by university and, where feasible, sex or playing position is recommended. If access is limited to teams that consent to participate, purposive or convenience recruitment may be used and clearly acknowledged as a limitation. Inclusion criteria should include current inter-university participation, adequate playing experience, informed consent, and fitness to complete the tests. Players with an acute injury or medical restriction that makes testing unsafe should be excluded.

4. Variables and operational definitions

Table 1. Study variables and operational definitions

Variable	Operational definition	Suggested score / unit
Reaction ability	Speed and accuracy of response to a defined visual or auditory stimulus; use a validated choice-reaction test relevant to sport.	Reaction time (ms) and/or correct responses
Orientation ability	Ability to perceive and adjust body position and movement in relation to changing spatial references.	Validated spatial-orientation test score; direction/position errors
Differentiation ability	Ability to grade movement force, amplitude, and timing accurately.	Validated force/precision task score; absolute error
Hockey performance	Standardized measure of technical and game performance using a validated skill battery or trained-expert rating rubric.	Composite score; higher score = better performance

The exact test names, protocols, equipment, scoring direction, and reliability evidence must be finalized before data collection. Researchers should not select a test solely because it is convenient. The test must match the intended

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

construct, be appropriate for the age and playing level, and have acceptable validity and test–retest reliability. For performance scoring, use a pre-specified rubric with trained raters and report inter-rater reliability.

5. Tools and tests

Reaction ability may be assessed using a standardized computerized or field-based choice-reaction test. A choice-reaction protocol is preferable to a simple button-press test if the research question concerns selecting among competing responses. Orientation ability may be assessed through a validated spatial-orientation test or a standardized field test requiring movement toward changing spatial targets. Differentiation ability may be assessed through a validated force-grading or movement-precision test. Hockey performance should be assessed through a standardized battery covering relevant skills such as dribbling, passing accuracy, receiving, shooting accuracy, and/or structured match-performance indicators. The selected components should be combined only when a defensible scoring rule is established.

6. Control of extraneous variables

Testing time, surface, equipment, footwear, warm-up, instructions, rest intervals, and environmental conditions should be kept as consistent as possible. Recent match participation, fatigue, injury status, training load, playing position, age, sex, and years of experience may influence scores and should be recorded. Where sample size permits, relevant variables can be included as covariates in regression analysis. Assessors should be trained, and performance raters should use a common scoring manual.

STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES

Data will be screened for missing values, outliers, and implausible observations before analysis. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum) will summarize the study variables. Distributional assumptions should be examined using plots and appropriate tests. Pearson's product–moment correlation will be used when variables are continuous and the assumptions of linearity and approximate normality are reasonable.

Spearman's rank correlation may be used when these assumptions are not met or when scores are ordinal. Multiple linear regression will estimate the combined association of reaction, orientation, and differentiation ability with hockey performance. Relevant covariates may be entered in a first block, followed by the three focal predictors.

Multicollinearity, residual patterns, influential cases, and model fit should be examined. Statistical significance may be set at $p < .05$, two-tailed, while effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals should also be reported.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics reporting format

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Reaction ability (ms)	—	—	—	—	—
Orientation ability	—	—	—	—	—
Differentiation ability	—	—	—	—	—
Hockey performance	—	—	—	—	—

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 3. Pearson correlation matrix reporting format

Variable	1	2	3	4
1. Reaction ability	—			
2. Orientation ability	r = —	—		
3. Differentiation ability	r = —	r = —	—	
4. Hockey performance	r = —	r = —	r = —	—

Note. Insert the observed correlation coefficients, exact p-values, and confidence intervals after analysis. If reaction ability is recorded as time in milliseconds, a negative coefficient with a higher-is-better performance score may indicate that faster responses are associated with better performance.

Table 4. Multiple regression reporting format

Predictor	B	SE B	β	t	p	95% CI
Reaction ability	—	—	—	—	—	—
Orientation ability	—	—	—	—	—	—
Differentiation ability	—	—	—	—	—	—
Model statistics	R^2 = —	Adjusted R^2 = —	F = —	df = —	p = —	

The regression table should report unstandardized coefficients (B), standard errors, standardized coefficients (β), t statistics, exact p-values, and confidence intervals. Report model R^2, adjusted R^2, F statistic, degrees of freedom, and sample size. Do not interpret a predictor as independently associated with performance unless its coefficient and uncertainty support that conclusion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because no participant-level dataset has been provided, this section does not claim that any relationship was statistically significant or that one ability was the strongest predictor. After data collection, begin by describing the sample and the distribution of each variable. State the number of participants included in each analysis and explain any missing observations. Then report the bivariate correlations, followed by the multiple regression model.

If reaction ability is significantly associated with hockey performance, discuss the result in relation to the demands of responding to passes, deflections, tackles, and changing possession. The interpretation must distinguish reaction speed from response accuracy and decision quality. If the association is not significant, consider whether the selected reaction test measured a general response rather than sport-specific choice and anticipation, and whether restricted variation in a competitive sample reduced the observed relationship.

If orientation ability is associated with performance, relate the finding cautiously to spatial positioning, awareness of teammates and opponents, movement into open space, and adjustment of body position while receiving or controlling

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

the ball. If no association is found, consider whether the orientation test adequately represented the dynamic and team-based spatial demands of hockey.

If differentiation ability is associated with performance, discuss its possible relevance to grading force and movement amplitude during passing, receiving, dribbling, and shooting. Interpret the result in light of the chosen test: a general force-control task may not fully represent stick–ball coordination. A non-significant result should not be interpreted as proof that movement differentiation is unimportant; measurement sensitivity, reliability, and sample size must also be considered.

The combined regression model can indicate whether the three abilities explain variation in performance beyond the included covariates. A significant overall model does not automatically mean that every predictor is significant. Similarly, a statistically significant association does not establish causation. Discussion should compare the observed pattern with previous field-hockey research, including work on cognitive expertise (Starkes, 1987), visual skills (Wimshurst et al., 2012), multidimensional performance (Elferink-Gemser et al., 2004, 2007), and perceptual-cognitive development (Drake & Breslin, 2017).

II. CONCLUSION

This research framework addresses the relationship of reaction ability, orientation ability, and differentiation ability with hockey performance among inter-university players. The selected abilities have clear theoretical relevance to the perceptual and motor demands of field hockey: reacting to changing stimuli, maintaining spatial orientation, and controlling the force and precision of movement. Existing literature indicates that field-hockey expertise is multidimensional and involves perceptual-cognitive, technical, tactical, and physical characteristics. However, the strength and direction of the relationships in the target population must be established through valid measurement and empirical analysis. The final conclusion should therefore be written only after the actual data have been analyzed.

REFERENCES

1. Drake, D., & Breslin, G. (2017). Developmental activities and the acquisition of perceptual-cognitive expertise in international field hockey players. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 13(5).
2. Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Visscher, C., Lemmink, K. A. P. M., & Mulder, T. (2004). Relation between multidimensional performance characteristics and level of performance in talented youth field hockey players. Journal of Sports Sciences, 22(11–12), 1053–1063.
3. Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Visscher, C., Lemmink, K. A. P. M., & Mulder, T. (2007). Multidimensional performance characteristics and standard of performance in talented youth field hockey players: A longitudinal study. Journal of Sports Sciences, 25(4), 481–489.
4. Ramani, & Channappa, C. (2024). Relationship of reaction ability to offensive skill performance of Mysore University intercollegiate male hockey players. Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities, 12(Special Issue 1), 152–157.
5. Sharma, S., & Gangwar, N. (2014). Comparison of selected coordinative abilities between football and hockey male players. International Journal of Physical Education, Health and Social Science, 3(2).
6. Starkes, J. L. (1987). Skill in field hockey: The nature of the cognitive advantage. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 9(2), 146–160.
7. Wimshurst, Z. L., Sowden, P. T., & Cardinale, M. (2012). Visual skills and playing positions of Olympic field hockey players. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 114(1), 204–216.