IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Addressing Legal Frameworks Around Health Crises and Ensuring Access to Health Care in Times of Emergency

SGP Jayashree and Dr. A. Balamurugan
Research Scholar, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, TN.
Prof, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, TN

Abstract: *Strong legal frameworks that guarantee fair access to healthcare are desperately needed, as evidenced by the rising frequency of global health crises, which can range from pandemics to environmental health catastrophes. Particularly, the COVID 19 pandemic exposed structural flaws in national health equality, legal readiness, and public health governance.With an emphasis on access to healthcare as a fundamental human right safeguarded by international law, this paper examines the legal aspects of health crisis management. It looks at international agreements like the World Health Organization's, International Health Regulations (IHR) and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which together require states to protect the right to health, guarantee nondiscrimination, and maintain accountability in times of emergency. It looks at international agreements that require states to protect the right to health, guarantee nondiscrimination, and maintain accountability in times of emergency, such as the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) and the World Health Organization's International Health Regulations (IHR). The conversation emphasizes how national legal systems frequently lack thorough mechanisms to operationalize these promises, particularly for marginalized communities, the elderly, and vulnerable groups like the impoverished.*

During emergencies, problems with the cost, accessibility, and availability of medical care become more pressing, necessitating the implementation of legislative measures that support universal health coverage and fair resource distribution.Additionally, the article examines how public health legislation and governance are strengthened by constitutional guarantees, judicial interventions, and policy frameworks.Countries can establish a more robust and inclusive legal framework for crisis response by incorporating human rights concepts into health emergency legislation. In order to ensure readiness, accountability, and openness in handling upcoming health emergencies the study promotes harmonization between domestic and international health laws. In the end, resolving legislative frameworks pertaining to health care access is crucial for both effective crisis management and achieving the more general objectives of human dignity and health justice..

Keywords: Health law; access to health care; human rights; health crisis; legal framework; public health governance; health equity; pandemic preparedness

I. INTRODUCTION

Global health crises, from pandemics like COVID 19 to environmental health disasters and new infectious disease outbreaks, have become more frequent in recent years. These incidents have brought to light serious flaws in public health governance, legal preparedness, and national health care systems.They also bring up fundamental issue

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

s regarding emergency access to healthcare, such as who is entitled to care, how the law safeguards that right, and whether the current legal frameworks are sufficient to meet the challenge.[1]

One of the most important tools in the international human rights framework is the ICESCR. The States Parties acknowledge "everyone's right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health" under Article 12. The UN Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (CESCR) clarifies in its General Comment No. 14 that the right to health must be viewed as an inclusive right, encompassing not only timely and appropriate medical care but also underlying determinants of health like access to safe water, adequate sanitation, nutrition, healthy work and environmental conditions, and health-related information and education. This formulation may seem aspirational.

Nondiscrimination, involvement, transparency, and accountability are also emphasized in General Comment 14 as crucial components of the framework for the right to health.WHO Extranet+1States have three distinct obligations: to protect (i.e., to keep third parties from interfering), to fulfill (i.e., to implement legislative, administrative, budgetary, and other actions), and to respect (i.e.,not interfere with the enjoyment of the right). States must implement a plan that makes the most of their resources while gradually achieving the right to health.Therefore, ICESCR offers the normative foundation: States must enact legislative and regulatory frameworks that are consistent with human rights principles in order to ensure that access to healthcare during emergencies is within the right to health.[2]

The World Health Organization (WHO) endorsed the IHR (2005), which became a legally binding international agreement for 196 States Parties on June 15, 2007. "Preventing, protecting against, controlling, and providing a public health response to the international spread of disease in ways commensurate with and restricted to public health risks and which avoid unnecessary interference with international traffic and trade" is its main goal.[3]

Crucially, the IHR applies to all possible public health emergencies of international concern (PHEIC) and is not restricted to any particular disease. Establishing, enhancing, and maintaining core public health capacities for surveillance, early warning, assessment, and response are among the States Parties' primary responsibilities. Other duties include appointing National IHR Focal Points,alerting the WHO to events that might qualify as a PHEIC, promptlyresponding to the risk, upholding human rights protections when implementing health measures (such as Non discrimination , informed consent, and personal data protection)'

Legally speaking, the IHR offers a framework for responding to and preparing for pandemics and,more importantly, integrates human rights duties within the framework of medical emergencies.The IHR is referred to as "an historic development for international law and public health" in the scholarly discussion.[4]

Connecting ICESCR and IHR:

An Emergencies Based Human Rights Perspective. It is imperative that these tools be discussed.The IHR outlines duties in the emergency environment, including detection, notification response and capacity building, whereas the ICESCR outlines the right to health (with extensive requirements on States even in non-emergency contexts). The intersection is in making sure that States continue to uphold and fulfil the right to health in the face of a health crisis

[1] World Health Organization, *World Health Report 2022: Strengthening Health Systems for Universal Health Coverage and Health Security* (WHO, 2022).

[2] Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. (2000). *General Comment No. 14: The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health (Article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights)* (U.N. Doc. E/C.12/2000/4). United Nations.

[3] World Health Organization, *International Health Regulations (2005)*, 3d ed. (Geneva: World Health Organization, 2016), art. 2.

[4] David P. Fidler & Lawrence O. Gostin, *The New International Health Regulations: An Historic Development for International Law and Public Health*, 34 J.L. Med. & Ethics 85 (2006).

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

and employ legal frameworks that operationalize the IHR obligations in a way that is sensitive to human rights, including ensuring non discrimination, equitable access to care, affected communities' participation, transparency, and accountability.[5]

Disparities in Operationalization and National Legal Frameworks

Even with strong international legal foundations, national legal systems frequently fail to operationalize these commitments, especially for vulnerable groups like the elderly and marginalized communities. A number of problems surface.[6]

Older or insufficient emergency health regulations:

The COVID-19 pandemic revealed that many nations lacked current public health regulations appropriate for a contemporary epidemic. For instance, India's reliance on the Epidemic Diseases Act 1897, which dates back to the colonial era, and the general Disaster Management Act 2005 revealed legal inadequacies in a comprehensive emergency law for public health. According to one study, "current legislative measures to deal with a COVID-19-like situation are lacking and require certain amendments to address such situations in the future."[7]

In public health emergency responses, academics around the world have highlighted legislative shortcomings and readiness issues: "rules made it possible to impose lockdowns, declare states of emergency … The elimination of outdated laws, rules, and contingency plans often shown them to be inadequate or outdated.

Inability to convert rights into legally binding assurances:

Despite being widely recognized by international law, the right to health is not expressly protected as a justiciable right in many nations' constitutions or national laws. For example, in India, courts have interpreted the right to life under Art. 21 to encompass health even though the right to health is not expressly mentioned in the Constitution. Vulnerable groups may experience de facto exclusion from services or uneven access because of socioeconomic disadvantage when crises strike because there is no specific regulatory framework in place.[8]

Issues with availability, price, and accessibility in times of crisis:

Existing disparities in access to healthcare are made worse by emergencies. The availability of services may decrease (because to overworked hospitals and supply chain disruptions), costs may increase (due to premium pricing and supply constraints), and accessibility may be impeded (due to travel restrictions, lockdowns, and digital divides). Prioritization and resource allocation frequently disfavor marginalized groups in the absence of legislative requirements ensuring

---

[5] World Health Organization, *International Health Regulations (2005)*, 3d ed. (Geneva: WHO, 2016), arts. 5–13; International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 993 U.N.T.S. 3, art. 12; Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *General Comment No. 14: The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health (Art. 12 of the Covenant)*, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/2000/4 (Aug. 11, 2000)

[6] Audrey R. Chapman, *"The Social Determinants of Health, Health Equity, and Human Rights," Health and Human Rights Journal* 12, no. 2 (2010): 17–30; also see Lawrence O. Gostin and Benjamin Mason Meier, *"Foundations of Global Health and Human Rights"* (Oxford University Press, 2020)

[7] Sarita K. Biswas & Aparajita Mohanty, "COVID-19 and the legislative response in India: The need for a comprehensive health care law," *Indian Journal of Public Health* (2021), 65 (2): 145-150

[8] Alicia Ely Yamin, *Right to Health and the Resource Constraints: The Limits of Progressive Realization*, 8 Yale Hum. Rts. & Dev. L.J. 217 (2005).

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

universal health coverage. For instance, the pandemic's triage, rationing, and priority-setting procedures presented States and courts with ethical and legal conundrums.[9]

Inadequate transparency, responsibility, and inclusion:

Public health governance during emergencies is negatively impacted when legal frameworks are unclear about rights, obligations, and recourse channels. Marginalized voices may be removed from decision-making processes, citizens may not be able to contest judgments, and resource allocation and triage decisions may not be transparent enough. Human rights standards have a strong emphasis on accountability and involvement, but they could be neglected under crisis legislation.[10]

Fortifying Public Health Law and Administration:

States must improve their governance structures and legal frameworks to guarantee that access to medical care in times of crisis is strongly safeguarded. Key components are outlined in this section.

Legal and constitutional protections for the right to health:

Recognizing health as a fundamental right—or at the very least, a constitutional guarantee—
that is resilient enough to withstand calamities is a good place to start. The legal anchor is stronger in states with constitutions that expressly guarantee a right to health, such as several in Africa or Latin America. Judicial interpretation may bridge the gap in other contexts. According to one analysis, "Every citizen has the fundamental right to health." Although the right to health is not expressly guaranteed by the Indian Constitution, the Court views it as inherent under Article 21.

States must to think about passing health rights laws that outline the responsibilities of the government, create accountability systems, and specify the human rights-compliant exceptional measures that must be taken in times of emergency. Recent thinking, for instance, suggests that a comprehensive national public health law in India should explicitly reference the right to health and make clear the roles and obligations of all governmental levels.[11]

Legal frameworks with rights protections for public health emergencies : Lawmakers must make sure that public health emergency regulations incorporate the human rights approach and are both rights-preserving and coercive (such as travel restrictions, lockdowns, and quarantines). For instance, the IHR specifically includes human rights protections in health initiatives.

Legal definitions of public health emergencies and the requirements for declaring them should be part of emergency health laws.

• Procedural safeguards include systems for review and accountability, preservation of health data, and due process for limitations on liberty.

• Assurance of fair access to necessary health care, including clear and nondiscriminatory prioritization structures.

• Clearly defined guidelines for allocating resources to guarantee care accessibility and affordability (particularly for vulnerable populations).

• Plans for collaboration and coordination across sectors (social welfare, transportation, health, and finance).

---

[9] Lawrence O. Gostin & Benjamin Mason Meier, *Foundations of Global Health & Human Rights* 295–302 (Oxford Univ. Press 2020).

[10] United Nations Human Rights Council, *Human Rights in the Administration of Justice, Including Juvenile Justice*, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/44/22 (June 24, 2020).

[11] Lawrence O. Gostin, Priya Balasubramaniam & Sweta Dash, *National Public Health Law in India: Need for a Comprehensive Legal Framework to Govern Emergencies and Protect Health Rights*, 10 *Indian J. Med. Ethics* 118 (2022).

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

• Systems for community representation and engagement, particularly for underrepresented groups. • Frameworks for reporting and supervision that guarantee accountability and openness both during and after emergencies.

Harmonization of domestic law with international commitments :

As previously mentioned, States are subject to duties under international instruments such as the ICESCR and the IHR. However, the domestic translation of those responsibilities is frequently inadequate. In order to bring domestic law into compliance with these international standards, countries should make sure that the IHR's core surveillance and response capabilities are enshrined in law, that health emergency legislation reflects the ICESCR's right to health (and non-discrimination), and that accountability and redress mechanisms are in place. States can create a legal system that is both founded on rights and responsive to crises by doing this.[12]

Improving governance through accountability, equity, resource allocation, and transparency :

Legal frameworks by themselves are insufficient unless they are combined with governance systems. Among the essential aspects of governance are:

• Independent oversight organizations or compliance committees (for instance, to keep an eye on compliance with domestic laws and the implementation of the IHR).

• Mandates that information about resource distribution, interruptions in health services, triage choices, and emergency response results be made public.

• Ethical principles incorporated into legislation, specifically mentioning marginalized or vulnerable groups, ensure procedural justice in triage and prioritization.

• Quick review and learning processes, such as legal audits of emergency regulations, after-action evaluations, and modifications based on lessons learned.

• Establishing universal health coverage (UHC) frameworks during "peacetime" that act as the cornerstone for expansions during times of crisis. By integrating UHC into everyday life, states create the foundation for fair access.

Suggestions Based on the aforementioned study :

The following suggestions are put forth to create a stronger, more comprehensive legislative framework for medical emergencies and care access:

1. The right to health is explicitly recognized by law, even in emergency situations. States ought to enshrine in law that everyone has an equal right to obtain necessary medical care in times of need.
2. A comprehensive public health emergency law that incorporates participatory methods, role clarity, and human rights protections. This law should provide access to rights-based care and reflect IHR requirements (surveillance, notification, and reaction).
3. Required frameworks for resource distribution based on equity. Laws should mandate that vulnerable groups (elderly people, people with disabilities, and marginalized communities) be included in health emergency preparedness. This should include prioritized access, targeted funding, and monitoring of access inequities.
4. Protections against discrimination and inclusion. Legal frameworks must guarantee openness and the involvement of impacted populations in decision-making bodies, as well as forbid discrimination in access to care (including on the basis of age, disability, poverty, and ethnicity).
5. Legislative requirements for capacity-building and essential public health infrastructure. States must enact laws requiring them to maintain the IHR's minimum core capacities (labs, surveillance, workforce, and data systems), with ongoing investment and evaluation.

[12] Benjamin Mason Meier, *Implementing the International Health Regulations at the National Level: The Challenge of Developing Legal and Regulatory Frameworks*, 12 *Global Health Gov.* 1 (2018).

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

6. Accountability and transparency mechanisms. creation of independent review committees, mandates for public reporting, legal recourse for infringements of rights, and post-event legal audits of emergency responses.
7. Aligning national legislation with global commitments. In order to ensure that health emergency measures are both internationally consistent and founded on rights, states should evaluate and update their domestic laws to conform to the ICESCR and IHR.
8. Including human rights education in public health legislation and governance structures. As essential components of crisis response, legislators, health administrators, and emergency responders should get training on human rights norms, nondiscrimination procedures, transparency, and involvement.

REFERENCES

[1]. World Health Organization. International Health Regulations (2005) – Third edition. WHO, 2016.
[2]. World Health Organization. Health emergencies. WHO website overview.
[3]. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. General Comment No. 14: The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health (Art. 12). UN CESCR, 2000.
[4]. International Commission of Jurists / UNCHR. Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the ICCPR, 1984.
[5]. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) guidance and legal framework
[6]. Gowda KK, et al. "COVID-19 and the legislative response in India." (analysis of Epidemic Diseases Act and Disaster Management Act usage).
[7]. European Commission. Health Emergency Preparedness and Response Authority (HERA). EU publications.