Competitive Multi-Agent Learning in Digital Marketplaces: A Review of Pricing, Auctions, and Resource Allocation Models

Mr. Anoop Sunarty
Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sciences and Applications
Mandsaur University, Mandsaur
anoop.sunarty@meu.edu.in

Abstract: *Current, digital markets have revolutionized contemporary economic transactions as help facilitate interactions between buyers, sellers, and service providers through digital interfaces. However, as digital spaces grow more dynamic and competitive, it becomes necessary to employ intelligent ways to make decisions to facilitate efficient marketplace interactions. Competitive Multi-Agent Learning (CMAL), especially Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL), has proved itself a highly efficient method to address market problems with multiple agents. This paper provides an overview of current advances in multi-agent competition learning based on pricing mechanisms, auctions and resource allocation. The paper reviews relevant literature on agent-based economics simulation, e-commerce, supply chains, and service provisioning with emphasis placed on reinforcement learning, game theory, and decentralization as means to increase market efficiency. Contributions of modern approaches are identified in terms of improved coordination, learning capabilities, privacy protection, and resource management. Moreover, key issues such as scalability, information asymmetry, convergence to equilibrium, and lack of use of auctions for learning have been highlighted. Moreover, gaps in research pertaining to competitive pricing strategies and large-scale applications of marketplace models have been pointed out. It is evident from the analysis that multi-agent learning can make substantial contributions to enhancing marketplace efficiency.*

Keywords: Competitive Multi-Agent Learning, Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL), Digital Marketplaces, Dynamic Pricing, Auction Mechanisms, Resource Allocation, Agent-Based Modeling

I. INTRODUCTION

The exponential development of technology has transformed modern market systems by making possible mass communication between buyers, sellers, advertisers, service providers, and platform providers through digital market places. Digital marketplaces include e-commerce sites, online advertisement exchanges, cloud computing markets [1]. These ride-sharing services, as well as food delivery networks, operate under highly dynamic circumstances where the parties involved are constantly changing their tactics according to changes in the market [2][3]. Making decisions regarding such complicated interactions required intelligent decision-making processes that possess learning, adaptability, and strategic thinking abilities.

A novel approach that has been developed to model and optimize the interactions of several autonomous agents operating within a common environment is known as Competitive Multi-Agent Learning (CMAL). This approach uses techniques from MARL and Game Theory[4], as well as market-based optimization methods, CMAL allows the agents to learn effective behavior through repetition in the presence of competition, collaboration, and constraints on resources [5]. Such abilities allow CMAL to be particularly useful in tackling certain issues in digital markets where the agents must make decisions in an uncertain and competitive environment.

Recently studies have been conducted on how competitive multiagent learning is very effective when applied in various practical applications, such as dynamic pricing, auctions, and resource allocation [6]. In the case of pricing, the agents learn a pricing strategy that maximizes revenue according to competitor behavior and demand pattern changes [7]. In an auction environment, agents learn and take part in sophisticated bidding procedures to optimize outcomes under strategic competition. Similarly, Resource allocation techniques make use of multi-agent learning methods in order to allocate scarce resources including computing power, transportation, advertising, and logistics resources between competing parties.

The following survey discusses various recent developments in the area of competitive multi-agent learning for digital markets [8], with special focus on pricing schemes, auctions, and resource allocation strategies. In this regard, existing learning algorithms, application areas, evaluation criteria, and new developments in this field have been analyzed along with the problems that exist currently with regard to scaling, convergence, efficiency, fairness, and practical implementation of such systems. Finally, potential future research directions have also been identified in this context [9].

A. Structure of the Paper

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II, digital marketplaces and multi agent systems are discussed. In Section III the foundations of Competitive Multi-Agent Learning (CMAL) are presented. In Section IV, the pricing models in digital markets are discussed. Auction based learning models are discussed in Section V. Section VI presents a discussion of resource allocation models. The results of the literature review are presented in Section VII and future research directions are presented in Section VIII.

II. DIGITAL MARKETPLACES AND MULTI-AGENT SYSTEMS

A digital marketplace is an online venue where buyers and sellers exchange goods and services among themselves. In light of the complexity of these marketplaces, there is the need for autonomous software agents to perform various decisions and transactions [10]. Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) is a concept where a number of independent agents are allowed to communicate and interact within a common environment. Within digital markets, the agents can be buyers, sellers, brokers, and service providers, operating in pursuit of their own goals either through collaboration or rivalry. Decentralized control makes MAS ideal for application in highly complex and fast-changing environments like market systems. Economic principles like auctions, bargaining, and allocations are applied in managing agents' interactions, enabling them to compete for available resources, set prices, and maximize utilities. Current innovations in Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) also make agents more competent in that adopt behaviors based on their experiences. Consequently, the incorporation of MAS and learning methods creates intelligent digital markets.

A. Characteristics of Competitive Market Environments

In a competitive environment of the digital market, there are numerous autonomous agents that compete for various resources, consumers, and profits while adjusting to varying market conditions. This type of competitive environment is marked by decentralization, strategic interaction, uncertainty, and learning. Agents adopt pricing, bidding, negotiations, and resource allocation methods in order to realize their goals. MARL techniques have allowed agents to learn from their experiences within competitive environments [11].

Key Characteristics:

- Decentralized Decision Making: The results of the market are produced by the actions of decentralized agents.
- Strategic Behavior: Competition among agents is achieved through price setting, bidding, negotiating, and other market tactics.
- Dynamic and Unpredictable Environment: Dynamic and unpredictable nature of demand and market requires continuous adaptation to changes.
- Learning and Adaptation: MARL and AI based agents learn better strategies through experience gained in markets.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 11, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

B. Fundamentals of Multi-Agent Systems (MAS)

A Multi-Agent System (MAS) is an artificial intelligence system in which there are several intelligent agents that work together in a coordinated way to accomplish tasks in a distributed manner. Agents may interact with one another either cooperatively or competitively to accomplish goals within an environment perceived [12]. The main elements of the MAS architecture consist of autonomous agents, an environment that is common to all agents, communication facilities, methods of coordination, and distributed decision-making. Some of the main properties like autonomy, adaptability, social behavior, and goal-directedness help make agents work effectively in complex environments. MAS ensures scalability, flexibility, and efficient use of resources and is therefore ideal for many real-world applications such as e-commerce, smart power grid systems, robotic applications, and resource allocation. Additionally, MAS is an essential component of Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL).

Key Fundamentals:

- Autonomous Agents: Entities that have the ability to perceive their surroundings, reason and take actions for achieving certain goals.
- Communication and Coordination: Agents use communication and coordination to solve tasks effectively.
- Distributed Intelligence: Agents are able to make their own decisions without any central control.
- Cooperation and Competition: Agents can be either cooperative or competitive, depending on the situation.

III. COMPETITIVE MULTI-AGENT LEARNING: CONCEPTS AND FOUNDATIONS

Competitive Multi-Agent Learning (CMAL), which belongs to the category of Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL), involves several autonomous agents that act, learn, and take decisions while having contradicting goals in an environment [13][14]. In contrast to cooperative environments, the goal of each agent here is to achieve maximum rewards by competing with other agents. The core principle of CMAL is stochastic games or Markov games, which are an extension of MDPs to multi-agent settings. Because one agent's actions affect another agent's rewards and state, the environment itself is non-stationary in nature. Common approaches used for learning can include value-based, policy-based, and actor-critic learning methods, allowing the agents to develop strategies based on the ongoing interactions. Potential application domains for CMAL include digital markets, autonomous trading, game AI, and resource allocation systems. However, several problems remain, including non-stationarity and scalability issues.

A. Multi-Agent Systems and Competitive Environments

A multi-agent system refers to multiple intelligent agents working in an environment for their individual or collective objectives. In a competitive environment, these intelligent agents have different goals; the activity of any one agent may harm other agents' benefits or rewards [15]. These types of interaction take place frequently in digital markets, online auction sites, dynamic pricing systems, and resource allocation issues. The involvement of several decision makers ensures that the environment is dynamic and requires players to change their approach based on the actions of the others.

B. Reinforcement Learning in Multi-Agent Systems

Multi-agent reinforcement learning is an advanced form of reinforcement learning, where several agents can learn together using their interaction with the environment and with each other [16]. Every agent attempts to maximize the reward gained by itself despite evolving strategies used by other rival agents. Whereas for the case of single-agent problems, the nature of the problem is such that it is stationary in the sense that the nature of the environment does not change; recent developments in deep reinforcement learning have made it possible for agents to make decisions.

C. Learning Frameworks in MARL

The MARL framework dictates how agents are trained and deployed within multi-agent systems. Popular strategies in use are centralized learning, decentralized learning, and Centralized Training with Decentralized Execution (CTDE).

Of all these frameworks, CTDE is preferred since it takes advantage of global data in training agents but executes them in isolation. These frameworks can be used with different learning techniques, such as value-based learning, policy-based learning, and actor-critic methods.

D. Competitive Learning and Game-Theoretic Foundations

CMAL concerns situations in which the goal for each agent is to earn maximum rewards while competing against other agents. Stochastic games, otherwise called Markov games, are the underlying theory behind CMAL such games generalize Markov decision processes to multiple agents [17]. As agents affect one another's reward functions and states, strategic considerations become vital. CMAL finds application in digital markets, auctions, algorithmic trading, and price optimization [18].

E. Strategic Behavior and Equilibrium Concepts

The ideas associated with game theory are highly significant for gaining an insight into the behavior of agents in a competitive environment. One such solution concept is that of Nash Equilibrium, where no single agent can alter its strategy to gain any better payoff [19]. Learning agents use opponent modeling, adaptive strategies, and strategic thinking to predict opponents' moves. But reaching a stable equilibrium is still difficult because of non-stationarity, limited knowledge, scaling problems, and shifting markets.

IV. PRICING MODELS IN DIGITAL MARKETPLACES

Dynamic pricing refers to the method through which the seller alters his price depending on demand, supply, consumer behavior, market situation, and competition. It differs from static pricing in that it utilizes analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning for price optimization [20]. In a digital world where markets are competitive, there is a degree of interdependence in the decision-making process concerning prices, which makes MARL a useful technique for developing adaptive pricing policies via interactions with competitors and customers. Dynamic pricing is applied across different sectors including e-commerce, transportation, hospitality, and digital services to maximize profit and enhance efficiency. However, some key challenges persist [21].

A. Competitive Pricing Strategies

Competitive pricing strategies are those which take into consideration the competition's actions to increase their market share and profitability. Competitive pricing takes place in online marketplaces, where businesses track the prices charged by their rivals [22]. The recent developments in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) facilitate the capability for autonomous agents to learn their best pricing policy by interacting with their competitors and customers. The most common approaches adopted by firms are price matching, undercutting, premium pricing, and adaptive pricing depending upon the competitor. Price determination in multi-agent systems usually occurs as a consequence of game theoretical interactions that are conducted to optimize the reward. However, factors like price wars, profitability, and algorithmic collusion still pose problems.

B. Personalized and Adaptive Pricing

In the case of customized and adaptive pricing, prices set depending on consumer demographics, behavior, preferences, and other market conditions. Customized pricing refers to situations where prices are set depending on specific consumers, while adaptive pricing involves setting prices dependent on demand changes [23]. AI, machine learning, and MARL have provided sellers with the capability to use the information on their customers, past transactions, and competitors' decisions to identify effective pricing techniques. This can help enhance profitability, customer satisfaction, and competitive position in the market. Nevertheless, there are some problems that may arise when it comes to privacy issues, fairness, transparency, and price discrimination.

C. Reinforcement Learning for Pricing Decisions

Reinforcement Learning (RL) helps sellers and autonomous agents make better decisions regarding their pricing policy by constantly interacting with the environment in which operate. The optimal pricing policies of the agents are learned

ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 11, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

via observations of the environment, changes in price, and getting rewards according to the results that include but are not limited to the amount of money earned. In fact, reinforcement learning is especially helpful in cases when the environment changes frequently since there are always new demands, customer preferences, and competition that must be considered. Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) makes it possible for agents to learn more efficient strategies by interacting with their competitors and customers.

V. AUCTION-BASED LEARNING MODELS

Learning through auctions involves the use of auction systems coupled with machine learning (ML) and multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) to ensure that the agents are able to learn how to make optimal bids in situations where competition is high. This technique is extensively applied in online markets for resource allocation [24]. In contrast to the conventional approach, where strategies for agents' actions are fixed, the use of learning allows agents to alter their strategy based on their previous experience, as well as on prevailing market conditions. Various types of auctions may be used: first-price, second-price, double, and combinatorial auctions. The use of learning enables agents to bid more effectively and allocate resources efficiently.

A. Types of Auctions in Digital Platforms

Several auctioning systems are used in digital markets to allocate resources, products, and services amongst competing bidders [25]. Auction design can have an effect on bidding and decision-making. In contemporary digital marketplaces, intelligent agents adopt learning techniques to develop effective bidding strategies under certain auction conditions.

1) First-Price Auctions

The highest bidder wins and pays his own price in the case of a first price auction. The strategy that is common among the bidders in such a case involves bid shading where each bidder bids less than his valuation.

2) Second-Price Auctions

In a second-price auction, referred to as a Vickrey auction, the highest bidder wins, but he or she ends up paying the price of the second-highest bid.

3) Double Auctions

The double auction market is characterized by simultaneous bidding by buyers and sellers. The exchange takes place when the bids meet or beat the asks, hence making this form applicable to the stock market, energy, and digital resource exchanges.

4) Combinatorial Auctions

A combinatorial auction is an auction in which bidders can place bids on combinations of objects rather than on single objects. These auctions are used extensively in areas such as spectrum auctions, cloud computing, logistics, and resource allocation.

B. Learning-Based Bidding Strategy

The use of learning approaches helps the autonomous agent to make an optimal bid by gaining knowledge from experiences with auctions. As compared to the rule-based techniques, the use of machine learning, reinforcement learning (RL), and multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) approaches can help make the decision more flexible [26]. Through constant monitoring of the outcomes of auctions and adjusting their policies accordingly, agents can achieve maximum gains and minimize losses over time. This approach is popularly used in online marketing, cloud resource management, spectrum auctions, and e-commerce websites [27]. Bidding through learning helps improve market adaptability and efficiency. However, there still exist issues like non-stationarity, informational asymmetry, manipulation, and computational issues.

C. Auction Efficiency and Market Outcomes

An efficient auction is one that distributes resources among the participants who value them the most through an optimal distribution, increasing overall welfare and the market's performance. Efficient auctions in digital market

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-37367

platforms allow for better price discovery, competition, and allocation of resources. The results in the markets have been assessed in terms of efficiency of allocation, revenue from sellers, utility from bidders, fairness, and social welfare [28]. The different types of auctions impact market outcomes since create different incentives for the bidding behavior. Some of the common incentives in the market include second-price auctions which promote truthful bidding and provide good efficiency of allocation. Learning-based auctions involve the use of reinforcement learning (RL) and multi-agent learning (MAL). Issues such as informational asymmetries, collusions, manipulations, and computational issues can harm the market outcome.

VI. RESOURCE ALLOCATION MODELS

The issue of resource allocation arises due to the scarcity of available resources like cloud computing power, bandwidth, advertisement spaces, logistics, and digital goods in digital markets. The primary purpose of resource allocation is to ensure maximum utilization, efficiency, and happiness amongst participants within the dynamic market environment. Resource allocation involves agents that constantly struggle to obtain resources under competition and allocate resources according to market needs and strategic interaction amongst themselves [29]. Competitive Multi-Agent Learning (CMAL) and Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) have emerged as effective approaches for learning adaptive allocation strategies in such complex settings.

A. Auction-Based Resource Allocation Models

Auction models involve allocation of resources via the means of bidding processes, wherein the agents submit the bids based on their valuations and resource needs. Auction methods include first price, second price, combinatorial auctions, and double auctions. The auction models find application areas in online advertising, cloud computing, spectrum management, and e-commerce. Through CMAL, the agents can learn optimal bidding and allocation policies through iterations in markets.

B. Market-Based and Pricing Models

The pricing mechanism is used in market-based allocation systems as a means of controlling the distribution of resources and ensuring balance between demand and supply. This includes dynamic pricing, surge pricing, and demand-based pricing in online markets. The decision-making process of agents takes into account market price, available resources, and competitive behavior.

C. Multi-Agent Coordination and Negotiation Models

Models of coordination and negotiation support resource distribution by communication and collaboration between independent entities. Entities negotiate regarding the use of resources, pricing, and job distribution, aiming for a win outcome in their effort to realize personal goals [30]. These models are extensively employed in resource management in the clouds, supply chain, smart grids, and autonomous trading platforms. Using MARL improves negotiation efficiency through learning adaptive coordination tactics for the agents.

D. Learning-Based Resource Allocation Models

Resource allocation mechanisms based on learning make use of machine learning and reinforcement learning algorithms to help optimize decisions for resource allocation in environments that are ever-changing and highly unpredictable. The agent learns constantly from information coming out of the market, from the behavior of the resources, and from what competitors are doing.

E. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the many achievements, there are certain issues that arise within the context of resource allocation models, such as fairness, scalability, information asymmetry, gaming issues, and computational complexity. In the coming years,

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 11, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

research in this field mainly concentrate on building more powerful CMAL-based resource allocation systems for digital markets.

VII. LITERATURE REVIEW

These papers provide that there is potential in using multi-agent systems and reinforcement learning in market research, ecommerce, supply chains management, allocation of resources, and competition decision making.

Du and Zhao (2026) Multi-Strategy Market Dynamics Analysis (MSMDA): An Agent-Based Economic Model Using Reinforcement Learning was introduced by Du & Zhao in 2026 to study the evolution of strategies, market stability, and policy evaluation within an agent-based model of economics. This approach is comprised of six main elements namely, STPR, STDA, SMCA, DMSI, ARE, and IAP [31].

In this research, Shili and Anwar (2024) have proposed an agent-based approach where IoT devices are connected through e-commerce websites to increase operational efficiency and user satisfaction. This paper has proposed using autonomous agents as mediators in the communication between IoT devices and e-commerce websites [32].

This study presents Zhang et al. (2024) the idea of using a privacy-preserving multi-agent reinforcement learning (PMaRL) technique in managing the inventory of a supply chain. The proposed strategy enhances visibility, coordination, and efficiency, while at the same time ensuring privacy and preventing data from being exposed. The use of supply chain connectivity knowledge in MARL leads to performance similar to full-visibility techniques [33].

This study, Motsch, Wagner and Ruskowski (2024) provides an agent-based model of how energy-optimized production schedules can be turned into game trees when energy prices change and production is interrupted. This framework makes use of energy and scheduling agents as players to facilitate decision making. The effectiveness of this framework is shown using simulations and actual cases [34].

Abahussein et al. (2023) study uses Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) on online food deliveries by directing couriers to areas where orders are plentiful. This study involves creating a grid layout for cities and then learning about areas where there is greater demand for food deliveries through reinforcement learning so as to earn more money for the couriers [35].

Leonardos, G., Piliouras, G. and Spendlove, T. (2021) study the problem of the exploration-exploitation dilemma in competitive multi-agent settings through smooth Q-learning. The model achieves fast convergence in obtaining the Quantal Response Equilibrium (QRE) in polymatrix games with weighted zero-sum payoffs, ensuring quick convergence and providing guarantees regarding equilibrium selection [36].

Table I outlines recent advancements in the area of multi-agent learning in competition in digital markets especially RL methods, privacy, coordination, and optimization. The scope for improvement is vast; however, problems related to scalability, applicability, pricing, and auctions persist.

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF THE STUDY ON MULTI-AGENT LEARNING IN DIGITAL MARKETPLACES RESOURCE ALLOCATION MODELS

Authors	Focus Area	Key Findings	Challenges	Key Contribution	Limitation
Du and Zhao, 2026	Market Dynamics & RL	MSMDA analyzes market dynamics and stability.	Complex market behavior.	Introduced MSMDA framework.	Limited practical pricing/auction applications.
Shili and Anwar, 2024	E-Commerce & IoT	Improved efficiency through agent-based IoT integration.	Device coordination.	Decentralized multi-agent architecture.	No pricing or auction focus.
Zhang et al., 2024	Privacy-Preserving MARL	Enhanced inventory performance while preserving privacy.	Privacy vs. coordination.	Developed PMaRL framework.	Limited to supply chains.
Motsch, Wagner and Ruskowski, 2024	Energy Pricing & Scheduling	Adapted to energy price changes and disruptions.	Dynamic energy management.	Agent-based game-theoretic scheduling.	Focused on manufacturing.
Abahussein et al., 2023	MARL for Food Delivery	Increased courier income and delivery efficiency.	Demand uncertainty and privacy.	Privacy-aware MARL allocation model.	Limited to food delivery.
Leonardos, Piliouras and Spendlove, 2021	Competitive MARL & Equilibrium	Achieved QRE convergence with stable learning.	Exploration–exploitation balance.	Equilibrium convergence guarantees.	Mostly theoretical validation.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

CMAL has been recognized as an important tool that can be used to solve complex decision-making problems in digital marketplaces. Using Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL), game theory, and agent-based modeling, intelligent agents can adjust their behaviors based on changing situations in order to achieve their objectives, including effective pricing and efficient resource allocation and other aspects of strategic interactions. Examples from the literature have shown how successfully multi-agent learning has been used in various areas such as e-commerce, supply chain management, food delivery services, market dynamics research, and energy-aware scheduling. However, there are still many problems that have not yet been resolved. There is insufficient consideration of auction-based learning schemes, market competition on large scale, agent fairness and adaptability in extremely dynamic environments in current research. The questions of scalability, information imbalance, privacy protection, and equilibrium stability also influence the practical implementation of multi-agent systems.

In future studies, the key areas of interest would be to develop advanced bidding and auction models, use Large Language Models with MARL to achieve better bidding techniques, and make agent bidding more transparent and interpretable. Apart from that, the use of hybrid models, which use reinforcement learning combined with game theory and economics, could be another direction of investigation for improved strategic decision-making.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Patel, “Generative AI for Personalized Marketing and Customer Experience in E-Commerce,” Int. J. Emerg. Res. Eng. Technol., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 12–19, 2026, doi: 10.63282/3050-922X.IJERET-V7I1P103.

[2] P. Wang et al., “Market malicious bidding user detection based on multi-agent reinforcement learning,” Front. Comput. Sci., vol. 7, pp. 1–11, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2025.1670238.

[3] R. Palwe, “The Role of User Experience Design in Advancing Digital Sustainability,” Int. J. Sci. Res., vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1168–1176, 2025.

[4] T. P. Patel and P. Agarwal, “Dynamic Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Competitive E-Commerce Price Optimization: Curriculum-Driven SAC, Equilibrium Analysis and Ethical Safeguards,” in 2026 International Seminar on Intelligent Business and Edge-Computing Research (ISIBER), IEEE, Feb. 2026, pp. 214–219. doi: 10.1109/ISIBER68248.2026.11470206.

[5] Y. Zhou et al., “Multi-agent task allocation method based on the cost-effectiveness maximization multi-round auction algorithm,” Front. Phys., vol. Volume 13-2025, 2026, doi: 10.3389/fphy.2025.1617607.

[6] B. Mohan, V. R. Surasani, and R. Kumar, “Autonomous Data Stewardship: Multi-Agent AI for Real-Time Master Data Management in Financial Services,” in 2026 IEEE 16th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), IEEE, Jan. 2026, pp. 0689–0698. doi: 10.1109/CCWC67433.2026.11393832.

[7] M. A. Khan, Z. Iqbal, and S. Iqbal, “Cloud Resource Allocation via Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning and Amortized Winner Determination,” J. Innov. Technol., vol. 2026, no. 1, p. 33, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.61453/joit.v2026_0104.

[8] Y. Patel, “A Reputation-Resilient Seller Ranking Mechanism for Online Marketplaces,” Newark J. Human-Centric AI Robot. Interact., vol. 4, pp. 374–393, 2024.

[9] J. X. Wu, “Machine Behavior in Multi-Unit Auctions,” ssrn. pp. 1–37, 2019.

[10] S. Karten, “Agent Bazaar : Enabling Economic Alignment in Multi-Agent Marketplaces,” no. 1, pp. 1–17, May, 2026.

[11] J. Sashihara, Y. Fujita, K. Nakamura, M. Kuwahara, and T. Hayashi, “LLM-based Multi-Agent System for Simulating Strategic and Goal-Oriented Data Marketplaces.” pp. 01–10, November, 2025. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2511.13233.

[12] M. Herrera, M. Pérez-Hernández, A. Kumar Parlikad, and J. Izquierdo, “Multi-Agent Systems and Complex Networks: Review and Applications in Systems Engineering,” Processes, vol. 8, no. 3, 2020, doi: 10.3390/pr8030312.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-37367

[13] J. Liang et al., “A Review of Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Algorithms,” Electronics, vol. 14, no. 4, 2025, doi: 10.3390/electronics14040820.

[14] T. P. Patel, A. K. Elengovan, V. Ranganathan, M. Parikh, and D. Kole, “Self-Healing AI Systems Using Multi-Agent Learning,” in 2026 International Seminar on Intelligent Business and Edge-Computing Research (ISIBER), IEEE, Feb. 2026, pp. 7–12. doi: 10.1109/ISIBER68248.2026.11470173.

[15] S. Hu, M. A. Hady, J. Qiao, J. Cao, and M. Pratama, “Adaptability in Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning : A Framework and Unified Review.” pp. 1–36, July, 2025.

[16] M. A. Hady, S. Hu, M. Pratama, Z. Cao, and R. Kowalczyk, “Multi-agent reinforcement learning for resources allocation optimization: a survey,” Artif. Intell. Rev., vol. 58, no. 11, p. 354, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10462-025-11340-5.

[17] D. P. Nur and M. Yahya, “Konvergensi Radio Republik Indonesia Pro 2 Makassar dalam Mempertahankan Minat Pendengar,” J. Komun. dan Organ., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 31–44, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.26618/jko.v6i1.15876.

[18] Z. Luo and X. Dai, “Reinforcement learning-based computation offloading in edge computing: Principles, methods, challenges,” Alexandria Eng. J., vol. 108, pp. 89–107, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.aej.2024.07.049.

[19] K. Bhawalkar, J. Dean, C. Liaw, A. Mehta, and N. Patel, “Equilibria and Learning in Modular Marketplaces.” pp. 01–53, 2025. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2502.20346.

[20] R. Chenavaz and S. Dimitrov, “Artificial intelligence and dynamic pricing: a systematic literature review,” J. Appl. Econ., vol. 28, pp. 01–42, 2025, doi: 10.1080/15140326.2025.2466140.

[21] F. Lange, L. Dreessen, and R. Schlosser, “Reinforcement learning versus data-driven dynamic programming: a comparison for finite horizon dynamic pricing markets,” J. Revenue Pricing Manag., vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 584–600, 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41272-025-00519-8.

[22] T. Walunj, V. Kavitha, J. Nair, and P. Agarwal, “Strategic Pricing and Ranking in Recommendation Systems with Seller Competition.” pp. 01–29, 2025. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2509.13462.

[23] B. Mascaro, R. Guimarães, and J. Reis, “Color stainability, fluorescence, opalescence, and surface analyses of extrinsically stained resin-matrix CAD-CAM materials after toothbrushing and thermocycling,” Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. pp. 01–10, 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2025.04.012.

[24] J. E. George et al., “Rapid and high-throughput generation of agarose and gellan droplets by pump-free microfluidic step emulsification,” Sensors Actuators B Chem., vol. 439, p. 137834, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2025.137834.

[25] Y. L. Shevchenko, S. A. Matveev, S. A. Epifanov, and F. S. Dzhalaev, “Professor Ratimov Vasily Alexandrovich – the forerunner of modern maxillofacial surgery (on the 175th anniversary of his birth),” Bull. Pirogov Natl. Med. Surg. Cent., vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 159–161, May 2025, doi: 10.25881/20728255_2025_20_2_159.

[26] A. Giertz, J. Mesterton, T. Jakobsson, S. Crawford, S. Ghosh, and A.-M. Landtblom, “Correction: Giertz et al. Healthcare Burden and Productivity Loss Due to Narcolepsy in Sweden. Clocks & Sleep 2025, 7, 8,” Clocks & Sleep, vol. 7, p. 27, 2025, doi: 10.3390/clockssleep7020027.

[27] C. Patel, “Effect of Digital Transformation on Customer Engagement in Retail Industries : A Comparative Review,” J. Technol., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 165–174, 2022.

[28] R. T. R. da Silva, D. de S. Vasconcelos, and X. L. Travassos, “Revenue and Efficiency in Spectrum Auctions: A Theoretical and Empirical Assessment of Auction Formats,” Telecom, vol. 6, no. 3, 2025, doi: 10.3390/telecom6030054.

[29] Y. Liu, L. Cai, X. Wang, and X. Tan, “Customer-Directed Counterproductive Work Behavior of Gig Workers in Crowdsourced Delivery: A Perspective on Customer Injustice,” Systems, vol. 13, no. 4, 2025, doi: 10.3390/systems13040246.

[30] I. Molina de la Peña, M. L. Calvo, and R. F. Alvarez-Estrada, “Computational Algorithm Based upon Dirichlet Boundary Conditions: Applications to Neutron Holograms,” Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 721, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3390/math13050721.

[31] Y. Du and Y. Zhao, "Multi-Strategy Market Dynamics Analysis: A Novel Framework for Agent-Based Economic Modeling with Reinforcement Learning," Mathematics, vol. 14, no. 10, 2026, doi: 10.3390/math14101621.
[32] M. Shili and S. Anwar, "Leveraging Agent-Based Modeling and IoT for Enhanced E-Commerce Strategies," Information, vol. 15, no. 11, 2024, doi: 10.3390/info15110680.
[33] B. Zhang, W. J. Tan, W. Cai, and A. N. Zhang, "Leveraging Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Digital Transformation in Supply Chain Inventory Optimization," Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 22, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16229996.
[34] W. Motsch, A. Wagner, and M. Ruskowski, "qqqq," Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 9, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16093612.
[35] S. Abahussein, D. Ye, C. Zhu, Z. Cheng, U. Siddique, and S. Shen, "Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Online Food Delivery with Location Privacy Preservation," Information, vol. 14, no. 11, 2023, doi: 10.3390/info14110597.
[36] S. Leonardos, G. Piliouras, and K. Spendlove, "Exploration-Exploitation in Multi-Agent Competition : Convergence with Bounded Rationality," ideas. pp. 1–29, June, 2021.