

Impact of BREXIT on United Kingdom and European Union

Javeed Ahmad Yattoo

Research Scholar

Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore, M.P. India

Abstract: *Brexit was the epithet for “British Exit” from EU, the financial and strategy blending of which the U.K. had been an associate since 1973. That voted to leave the EU. The occupants decided that the assistances of free trade were not counterpoise the costs of free crusade of settlement. The vote was 17.4 million in favour of parting vs. 16.1 million who chosen to persist. For Europe, the negative consequences and defies of Brexit go approach elsewhere the GDP effect: the EU vanished about once sixth of its economic power and a far bigger share of its external and security policy weight with the exit of a nation which has significant global influence. For the UK, non-tariff obstacles are even more challenging than for the EU. Even if the UK signs trade covenants with other nations, the indication that profounder profitable conversation with the US, India, Australia, New Zealand, Canada of Japan could counter poise mislaid trade with the EU is ambiguous.*

Keywords: Membership, Repeal, European Union, United Kingdom, Agreement, Relations, Conservative, Settlement

I. INTRODUCTION

Brexit (a portmanteau of British exit) was the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union on 31st January 2020. The UK is the only sovereign country to have left the EU, after 47 years of having been a part of the union- the EU and its predecessor the European Communities as a member state – since 1st January 1973. Following Brexit, EU law and the court of Justice of the European Union no longer have primacy over British laws, except in select areas in relation to Northern Ireland. The European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 retains relevant EU law as domestic law, which the UK can now amend or repeal. Under the terms of the Brexit withdrawal agreement, Northern Ireland continues to participate in the European Single Market in relation to goods, and to be a de facto member of the EU Customs Union.

The European Union and its institutions have developed gradually since their establishment and during the 47 years membership, and grew to be of significant economic and political importance to the UK. Throughout the period of British membership Eurosceptic groups had existed, opposing aspects of the Union of its predecessor. The Labour prime minister Harold Wilson’s pro-EC government held a referendum on continued EC membership in 1975, in which voters chose to stay within the bloc with 67.2 per cent of the vote share, but no further referendums were held as European Integration continued and became “ever closer” in the subsequent Maastricht Treaty and the Treaty of Lisbon. As part of a campaign pledge to win votes from Eurosceptic, the Conservative Prime Minister David Cameron promised to hold a referendum if his government was re-elected. His (pro-EU) government subsequently held a referendum on continued EU membership in 2016, in which voters chose to leave the EU with 51.9 per cent of the vote share. This led to his resignation, his replacement by Theresa May, and four years of negotiations with the EU on the terms of departure and on future relations.

The negotiation process was both politically challenging and deeply divisive within the UK, with one deal rejected by the British parliament, risk of a departure from the EU without a withdrawal agreement of trade deal (a no deal Brexit), general elections held in 2017 and 2019, and two new prime ministers in that time, both Conservative. Under



Boris Johnson's majority government, the UK left the EU on 31st January 2020 but continued to participate in many EU institutions (including the single market and customs union) during a 1 year transition period in order to ensure frictionless trade until a long term relationship was agreed. Trade deal negotiations continued to within days of the scheduled end of the transition period and the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement was signed on 30th December 2020.

1.1 Effects of Brexit

The effects of Brexit will in part be determined by the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement. Which provisionally applied from 1st January 2021, and formally came into force on 1st May 2021. The broad consensus among economists is that it is likely to harm the UK's economy and reduce its real per capita income in the long term, and that the referendum itself damaged the economy. It is likely to produce a large decline in immigration from countries in the European Economic Area (EEA) to the UK, and poses challenges for British higher education and academic research.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

There is a varied literature on Brexit. The explanations as well as significances are repeatedly observed. We will essence on Brexit's root according to this paper. The resolution of Tony Blair government from 2003 to authorization full freedom of society to the nations of ten new member countries is at the basis of the future verdict of Britons to vote to sabbatical the EU. A brief review of work already done in this field:

Tendera, Helena-Wlasczuk (2018) the title Study on the Brexit's background and causes, the result of the in-out referendum on the United Kingdom's membership in the European Union has shown that the European project, understood as a process of political integration within the EU is not stable.

Reenen, John Van (2016) the title Brexit's long-run effects on the U.K. Economy, what will be the long-run economic effects of the United Kingdom's decision to leave the European Union—informally known as Brexit? Compared with remaining in the European Union, there will inevitably be higher trade costs with the rest of Europe, which accounts for about half of all U.K. trade.

Tetlow Gemma and Alex Stojanovic (2018) the title Understanding the economic impact of Brexit, Brexit will lead to a significant change in the UK's relationship with other European countries and could reopen the opportunity to negotiate trade deals directly with non-EU countries.

Chang Winston W., (2018) the title Brexit economic consequences, As the formal process of Brexit has already started, there is much uncertainty about Brexit's impacts on Britain's social, political and economic future. This paper examines the economic impact. After briefly discussing some significant EU treaties that serve as the background materials, it presents the key arguments advocated by the leave and remain camps.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Secondary sources of materials has been used in the collection of data for this study. Ultimately, the vital materials have derived from several articles from newspapers, magazines, research journals and websites which deal directly or indirectly with the topics related with issues contests were included in this study. After searching the important newspapers and websites, pertinent information was taken and examined to address the objectives of present study.

2.1 Trade

Association in the European Union has condensed trade prices amid the United Kingdom and the rest of Europe. Most evidently, there is a duties union amid EU affiliates, which means that all tariff walls have been detached inside the EU, permitting for free trade in goods and services.

None the less similarly significant in dropping trade costs has been the decrease of nontariff blockades subsequent from the European Union's ongoing efforts to make a single Marketplace within Europe. Nontariff obstacles include



a varied range of actions that increase the costs of trade – such as boundary controls, rules-of-origin payments, cross-country alterations in rules over things similar product values and safety, and intimidations of antidumping.

2.2 Migration

A foremost issue in the Brexit referendum was the craving to diminish settlement. Amid 1995 and 2015, the number of EU citizens living in the United Kingdom increased, mostly after the accord of Poland and other previously communist republics in 2004. Independence of movement is a dominant principle of the European Union and a quid pro quo of full entree to the single Market. A lenient Brexit to a Norway ideal would also necessitate the continuance of this free crusade.

2.2 Motives why did Society Choose to Vacation

Thus why did people poll to sabbatical, notwithstanding the economic accord that this would mark them substantially eviler off? Elective patterns are additional a question of political science than economics, but it is valued instinctive three conceivable modules of clarification – all of which may be true for diverse portions of the people. First, possibly society were wholly conscious of the theoretical agreement and implicit that Brexit would have particular economic outlays for them, but they were nonetheless equipped to pay that value for its apparent noneconomic welfares (such as less immigrants and larger sovereignty). This is an effortlessly sane trade off to make in a marketplace trading, and convert poorer.

III. CONCLUSION

By the period of text, it seems that the United Kingdom is touching to the record economically harmful form of a dense Brexit. This appears mostly determined by the want to execute tougher border controls beside EU citizens, somewhat that is problematic to settle with association in the single Market. Notwithstanding the indication to the opposing, there remnant a predominant confidence –strengthened by majority politicians—that EU settlement has had enormous negative belongings on workforces. This is actual alike to the condition in the United States and numerous other progressive nations, where workers remain unsatisfied by the slow pace of recapture from the economic crisis.

Consequently reviews of EU agreements have not lastly established the issue of the assimilation model. The hybrid model makes it incredible to attain accord on many vital matters that act as a basis of battle within the combination. Multi rapidity Europe has now convert a fact and the dissection of the European Union is eternal.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Bartlett, C.J. (1977). A history of post-war Britain. London: Longman.
- [2]. Butler, D., & Kitinger, U. (1976). The 1975 referendum. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- [3]. Childs, D. (2001). Britain since 1945. London–New York: Routledge.
- [4]. Craig, P. (2014). The United Kingdom, the European Union, and Sovereignty. In R. Rawlings, P. Leyland, & A. Young (Eds.), *Sovereignty and the law: domestic, European and international perspectives*. Oxford: Oxford Scholarship Online. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199684069.003.0010.
- [5]. Craig, P. (2016). Brexit: a drama in six acts. *European Law Review*, 41(4).
- [6]. Dąbrowski, M. (2016). The future of the European Union: towards a functional federalism. *Acta Oeconomica*, 66(1). doi:10.1556/032.2016.66.S1.2.
- [7]. Davis, J. (2016). Brexit: what now for the United Kingdom and Europe? *Euro Choices*, 15(3). doi:10.1111/1746-692X.12136.
- [8]. Emerson, M. (2013). Cameron's big speech on Europe — No, Prime Minister! CEPS Essay, 3.
- [9]. Euro news. (2015). Explained Cameron and the EU: what does a Brexit mean? Retrieved 03.05.2017 from <http://www.euronews.com>.



- [10]. Gifford, C. (2016). The United Kingdom's Eurosceptic political economy. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 18(4). doi:10.1177/1369148116652776.
- [11]. Godino, R., & Verder, F. (2014). Heading towards a European federation: Europe's last chance. *Notre Europe Policy Paper*, 105.
- [12]. Greenleaf, W.H. (1983). *The British political tradition*. London: Routledge.
- [13]. Habermas, J. (2012). *The crisis of the European Union: a response*. Malden: Polity Press.
- [14]. Hallstein, W. (1962). *United Europe: challenge and opportunity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- [15]. <https://blogs.imf.org/2018/08/10/the-long-term-impact-of-brexit-on-the-european-union/>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [16]. <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/economics/brexit/>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [17]. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impact_of_Brexit_on_the_European_Union#:~:text=In%202018%2C%20the%20UK%20had,increase%20over%20the%20same%20period. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [18]. <https://obr.uk/box/the-initial-impact-of-brexit-on-uk-trade-with-the-eu/>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [19]. <https://www.global-counsel.com/insights/report/brexit-impact-uk-and-eu>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [20]. <https://www.google.com/amp/s/amp.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2022/feb/02/brexit-effects-on-uk-trade-are-dramatic-but-we-feel-them-in-the-eu-too>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [21]. <https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.bbc.com/news/business-59761292.amp>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [22]. <https://www.investopedia.com/news/brexit-winners-and-losers/>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [23]. <https://www.thebalance.com/brexit-consequences-4062999>. Retrieved on 12th March 2022.
- [24]. Hunt, A., & Wheeler, B. (2016). The UK's EU referendum: all you need to know. Retrieved 20.02.2017 from <http://www.bbc.com>.
- [25]. Kitzinger, U. (1973). *Diplomacy and persuasion: how Britain joined the common market*. London: Thames and Hudson.
- [26]. Somai, M., & Biedermann, Z. (2016). Brexit: reasons and challenges. *Acta Oeconomica*, 66(1). doi:10.1556/032.2016.66.S1.8.
- [27]. Streeten, P. (1964). *Economic integration: aspects and problems*. Leyden: Styhoff.
- [28]. Streeten, P. (2001). Integration, interdependence and globalization. *Finance and Development. A Quarterly Magazine of the IMF*, 38(2).
- [29]. Tendra-Właszczuk, H. (2016). *Analysis of the sources of the European Union crisis*. Ostrava: Technical University of Ostrava.
- [30]. VoxEurop. (2017). Retrieved 20.02.2017 from <http://www.voxeurop.eu>.
- [31]. Wessels, W. (2014). The political implication of European economic integration. Paper presented at the Jean Monnet Conference on Political Implications of European Economic Integration, Brussels.
- [32]. Wintour, P., & Syal, R. (2016). Brexit would damage EU and UK 'politically and economically'. Retrieved 20.02.2017 from <http://www.theguardian.com>