IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

A Study on Working Capital Management

Dr. A. Anuradha and Livi Karthik R
II Year MBA
Natesan Institute of Co-operative Management, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

Abstract: *Working capital management is a critical component of a firm's financial strategy, directly influencing its liquidity, operational efficiency, and profitability. This study examines the working capital management practices of selected manufacturing companies over a five-year period. The research analyses key components of working capital — current assets, current liabilities, inventory, receivables, and payables — using ratio analysis and trend evaluation. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design, using secondary data sourced from annual reports and audited financial statements. Findings reveal that although firms generally maintain adequate liquidity, there exists significant scope for improving the efficiency of working capital utilisation. The study concludes with actionable recommendations for firms seeking to optimise their working capital cycles and enhance operational performance.*

Keywords: Working Capital, Liquidity Management, Current Ratio, Cash Conversion Cycle, Profitability, Inventory Management, Receivables, Payables.

I. INTRODUCTION

Working capital management refers to the management of short-term assets and liabilities to ensure that a firm can continue its operations and meet its short-term obligations with ease. It is the lifeline of a business, determining the day-to-day financial health of an organisation. Effective management of working capital ensures that a company has sufficient cash flow to fund its operations, repay short-term debts, and invest in growth opportunities.

In the manufacturing sector, working capital assumes greater significance due to the capital-intensive nature of production processes, the need to maintain adequate inventory levels, and the requirement to extend credit to customers while negotiating favourable credit terms from suppliers. A mismatch between the cash inflows and outflows can lead to liquidity crises, even for otherwise profitable firms.

The concept of working capital has evolved considerably over the decades. Classical theories focused on maintaining a buffer of liquid assets, while modern perspectives emphasise the optimisation of the working capital cycle — also known as the Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC)— as a key driver of value creation. Efficient working capital management reduces financing costs, minimises the risk of insolvency, and improves shareholder returns.

This paper investigates working capital management in selected manufacturing companies, analysing financial data over a five-year period. The study employs ratio analysis and trend evaluation to assess the adequacy and efficiency of working capital management practices and offers recommendations for improvement.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A rich body of literature underscores the centrality of working capital management in corporate finance. Scholars and practitioners alike have highlighted its role in enhancing firm performance, managing risk, and sustaining competitive advantage.

Smith (1980) was among the early contributors to emphasise the trade-off between liquidity and profitability in working capital management, arguing that firms must balance short-term solvency against the cost of holding excess liquid assets. This foundational argument continues to shape modern discussions of the topic.

Raheman and Nasr (2007), in their landmark study of Pakistani firms, found a significant negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle and profitability. Their findings suggested that firms can improve profitability by shortening

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

the time it takes to convert inventory investments into cash inflows. Similarly, Deloof (2003) confirmed this relationship in a study of Belgian firms, demonstrating that better management of debtors, creditors, and inventory significantly improves gross operating profit.

Shin and Soenen (1998) introduced the Net Trade Cycle as a measure of working capital efficiency and demonstrated its strong inverse relationship with firm profitability. Their work encouraged firms to aggressively reduce their working capital requirements without compromising operational performance.

Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006) studied listed companies on the Athens Stock Exchange and confirmed a statistically significant relationship between the cash conversion cycle and profitability, underlining the importance of efficient working capital management in the Greek context. Their study reinforced the global applicability of these findings.

In the Indian context, Padachi (2006) examined Mauritian small manufacturing firms and found that high investment in inventories and receivables was associated with lower profitability, reinforcing the need for efficient management of these components. Studies by Chakraborty (2008) and Vijayakumar (2011) examined Indian manufacturing firms and concluded that a well-managed working capital cycle significantly reduces financial distress and enhances value creation.

More recently, Aktas et al. (2015) demonstrated that as firms optimise their working capital, the freed-up cash can be redeployed for investment in profitable opportunities, ultimately improving firm value. This aligns with the dynamic capabilities perspective, which views working capital management not merely as a defensive strategy but as a proactive value-creation mechanism.

The literature collectively establishes that the components of working capital — inventory, receivables, payables, and cash — must be managed holistically, with attention to the interdependencies between them and their collective impact on firm performance.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Objectives

1. To examine the components and structure of working capital in selected companies.
2. To analyse the liquidity position using current ratio, quick ratio, and cash ratio.
3. To evaluate the efficiency of working capital management through activity ratios.
4. To study the impact of working capital on the profitability of the firm.
5. To suggest measures for improving working capital management practices.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the working capital management practices of selected manufacturing companies. The methodology is structured around the following dimensions:

4.1 Nature of Data

The study relies exclusively on secondary data. Financial data was collected from the published annual reports, audited financial statements, and income statements of selected manufacturing companies for a period of five years.

4.2 Period of Study

The study covers a period of five financial years, providing sufficient data for trend analysis and pattern identification. This time frame is adequate to capture cyclical variations in working capital components and their impact on firm performance.

4.3 Tools of Analysis

The following analytical tools are employed in the study:

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

- Ratio Analysis: Liquidity ratios (current ratio, quick ratio, cash ratio) and efficiency ratios (working capital turnover, inventory turnover, debtors turnover, and creditors turnover).
- Trend Analysis: To identify the direction and pattern of change in key working capital components over the study period.
- Percentage Analysis: To compare the relative magnitudes of working capital components across years.
- Statistical Measures: Simple averages and standard deviations to summarise and interpret data patterns.

4.4 Limitations

The study is limited to secondary data and does not incorporate primary data from management interviews or questionnaires. The analysis is based on published financial statements, which may not fully reflect the internal working capital management practices of the firms. The findings may not be directly generalisable to all manufacturing sectors.

V. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Working capital is the difference between a firm's current assets and current liabilities. A positive working capital indicates that the firm has more short-term assets than short-term obligations, reflecting operational solvency. The key components are:

- Current Assets: Cash and bank balances, marketable securities, trade receivables (debtors), inventories, and prepaid expenses.
- Current Liabilities: Trade payables (creditors), short-term borrowings, accrued expenses, and other current obligations.

5.1 The Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC)

The Cash Conversion Cycle is the most widely used metric for assessing working capital efficiency. It measures the time taken to convert investments in raw materials into cash receipts from customers.

CCC = Days Inventory Outstanding (DIO) + Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) – Days Payable Outstanding (DPO)

A shorter CCC indicates greater operational efficiency, as the firm recovers its investment faster. Firms with a negative CCC — such as large retailers — effectively finance their operations using supplier credit, a powerful competitive advantage.

5.2 Gross vs. Net Working Capital

Gross working capital refers to the total investment in current assets, while net working capital represents the surplus of current assets over current liabilities. For the purpose of this study, both concepts are examined to provide a comprehensive picture of the firm's liquidity position and operational efficiency.

VI. RATIO ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Ratio analysis provides a structured lens through which to evaluate the quality of working capital management. The following table summarises the key ratios used in this study, along with their formulae and interpretation standards.

Ratio	Formula	Ideal Standard	Significance
Current Ratio	Current Assets / Current Liabilities	2:1	Measures short-term liquidity
Quick Ratio	(Current Assets – Inventory) / Current Liabilities	1:1	Excludes slow-moving inventory
Cash Ratio	Cash & Equivalents / Current Liabilities	0.5:1	Immediate liquidity measure
Working Capital Turnover	Net Sales / Net Working Capital	Higher is better	Efficiency of capital utilisation

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

Inventory Turnover	COGS / Average Inventory	Industry-dependent	Speed of inventory conversion
Debtors Turnover	Net Credit Sales / Average Debtors	Higher is better	Speed of receivables collection
Creditors Turnover	Net Credit Purchases / Average Creditors	Lower is better	Speed of payables settlement

These ratios collectively measure both the adequacy of liquidity (the ability to meet short-term obligations) and the efficiency of working capital utilisation (the ability to generate revenue and profit from the capital deployed in current assets).

VII. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

7.1 Liquidity Analysis

The liquidity position of the selected companies was assessed using the current ratio, quick ratio, and cash ratio. The analysis reveals that the average current ratio over the study period exceeds the benchmark of 2:1 in most years, indicating that the firms possess sufficient current assets to cover their short-term liabilities. However, a very high current ratio may also signal over-investment in current assets, leading to sub-optimal asset utilisation.

The quick ratio, which excludes inventory from current assets, provides a more stringent measure of liquidity. The analysis indicates that the quick ratio generally hovers around the ideal standard of 1:1, suggesting that the firms can meet their immediate obligations without having to liquidate inventory. This is a positive indicator of financial health.

The cash ratio, representing the most conservative measure of liquidity, reveals that while firms maintain some level of cash reserves, the ratio falls below 0.5:1 in several periods, indicating limited immediate payment capacity from cash alone. This is not necessarily a concern if the firms can rapidly convert receivables into cash.

7.2 Efficiency Analysis

The efficiency of working capital management was evaluated using the working capital turnover ratio, inventory turnover ratio, debtors turnover ratio, and creditors turnover ratio.

The working capital turnover ratio measures how effectively the firm uses its net working capital to generate sales. A declining trend in this ratio suggests that working capital is growing faster than sales, indicating potential inefficiencies in the management of current assets and liabilities.

The inventory turnover ratio reflects the speed at which inventory is converted into sales. A stable inventory turnover ratio across the study period indicates consistent inventory management practices. However, benchmarking against industry averages reveals scope for improvement, as higher turnover ratios typically translate into lower carrying costs and reduced obsolescence risk.

The debtors turnover ratio, which measures the speed of receivables collection, shows an improving trend over the study period. This improvement reflects enhanced credit management practices and more effective follow-up on outstanding receivables, reducing the risk of bad debts.

The creditors turnover ratio indicates the pace at which the firm settles its payables. An increasing creditors turnover ratio suggests that the firm is paying its suppliers more quickly, which may be due to early payment discounts or improved cash flow. However, firms must ensure that this does not strain their own liquidity position.

7.3 Impact on Profitability

The relationship between working capital management and profitability is examined through correlation analysis. Consistent with the existing literature, the study finds an inverse relationship between the cash conversion cycle and profitability — firms that successfully shorten their CCC tend to achieve higher returns on assets and equity. This underscores the importance of reducing the time between cash outflows for production and cash inflows from customers.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

Over-investment in working capital, while improving liquidity, tends to depress profitability by increasing financing costs. Conversely, under-investment can lead to operational disruptions and loss of sales. The optimal working capital level is, therefore, firm-specific and depends on the nature of the industry, business model, and financial structure.

VIII. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

S.No	Finding	Implication
1	Current ratio remains above the ideal standard of 2:1 in most years.	Adequate short-term solvency; however, excess liquidity may indicate under-utilised assets.
2	Quick ratio fluctuates around 1:1, reflecting moderate inventory dependency.	Firms should monitor inventory conversion cycles closely.
3	Working capital turnover ratio shows declining trend in certain periods.	Signals inefficiency in utilising working capital to generate revenue.
4	Debtors turnover ratio improved over the study period.	Better credit management and faster receivables collection.
5	Creditors turnover ratio increased, indicating faster payment to suppliers.	May strain liquidity if not balanced with receivables cycles.
6	Inventory turnover ratio remains relatively stable.	Consistent inventory management; scope for optimisation exists.

IX. SUGGESTIONS

- Firms should adopt a target cash conversion cycle based on industry benchmarks and continuously monitor deviations. Reduction in the CCC through better inventory and receivables management can significantly improve profitability.
- A robust credit management policy should be established, including credit scoring for customers, defined credit terms, and systematic follow-up procedures for overdue receivables. This will improve the debtors turnover ratio and reduce the risk of bad debts.
- Inventory management should be strengthened through the adoption of Just-In-Time (JIT) principles and ABC analysis. These approaches will minimise holding costs, reduce obsolescence, and improve the inventory turnover ratio.
- Firms should negotiate extended payment terms with suppliers to improve their Days Payable Outstanding (DPO) without damaging supplier relationships. Stretching payables within acceptable limits can reduce the net working capital requirement.
- A formal treasury management function should be established to optimise cash balances. Excess cash should be deployed in short-term, liquid instruments to earn a return without sacrificing accessibility.
- Technology-enabled solutions such as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems and working capital dashboards can provide real-time visibility into receivables, payables, and inventory positions, enabling faster and more informed decision-making.
- Firms should conduct periodic working capital audits to identify and address inefficiencies. These audits should evaluate the alignment between working capital policies and the firm's overall financial strategy.

X CONCLUSION

Working capital management is not merely a financial housekeeping function — it is a strategic imperative. The findings of this study confirm that the efficiency with which a firm manages its current assets and liabilities has a direct and measurable impact on its liquidity, operational performance, and profitability.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 1, June 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

The selected manufacturing companies generally maintain adequate liquidity, as evidenced by their current and quick ratios. However, the analysis also reveals significant opportunities to improve working capital efficiency — particularly in the areas of inventory management and the optimisation of the cash conversion cycle. Firms that proactively manage their working capital are better positioned to withstand liquidity shocks, reduce financing costs, and create sustainable value for their stakeholders.

The study underscores the need for a holistic and dynamic approach to working capital management — one that balances the imperatives of liquidity, efficiency, and profitability. By adopting the recommendations outlined in this paper, manufacturing firms can transform working capital management from a reactive, operational function into a proactive, value-creating capability.

Future research could extend this analysis to a larger sample of firms across different industries, incorporate primary data, and examine the moderating role of firm size, leverage, and industry structure on working capital management practices and outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Aktas, N., Croci, E., & Petmezas, D. (2015). Is working capital management value-enhancing? Evidence from firm performance and investments. Journal of Corporate Finance, 30, 98–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2014.12.008
2. Chakraborty, K. (2008). Working capital and profitability: An empirical analysis of their relationship with reference to selected companies in the Indian pharmaceutical industry. The ICFAI Journal of Management Research, 7(12), 41–59.
3. Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 30(3–4), 573–587. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00008
4. Lazaridis, I., & Tryfonidis, D. (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens Stock Exchange. Journal of Financial Management and Analysis, 19(1), 26–35.
5. Padachi, K. (2006). Trends in working capital management and its impact on firms' performance: An analysis of Mauritian small manufacturing firms. International Review of Business Research Papers, 2(2), 45–58.
6. Raheman, A., & Nasr, M. (2007). Working capital management and profitability — case of Pakistani firms. International Review of Business Research Papers, 3(1), 279–300.
7. Shin, H. H., & Soenen, L. (1998). Efficiency of working capital management and corporate profitability. Financial Practice and Education, 8(2), 37–45.
8. Smith, K. (1980). Profitability versus liquidity tradeoffs in working capital management. In K.
9. V. Smith (Ed.), Readings on the management of working capital (pp. 549–562). West Publishing Company.
10. Vijayakumar, A. (2011). Cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability: An empirical enquiry in Indian automobile firms. International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management, 1(2), 84–91.