

# Student Performance and Result Prediction

Abhishek Todkar<sup>1</sup>, Om Turkane<sup>2</sup>, Ashitosh Undre<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Suhas Mache<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Computer Applications, JSPM University, Pune, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, JSPM University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[abhishektodkar23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in](mailto:abhishektodkar23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in)<sup>1</sup>, [omturkane23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in](mailto:omturkane23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in)<sup>2</sup>,

[ashitoshundret23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in](mailto:ashitoshundret23.ca@jspmuni.ac.in)<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:** *Student performance prediction has become an essential area of research in educational data mining and learning analytics. Accurate prediction of student results helps educators identify at-risk students early, improve academic outcomes, and provide personalized learning support. However, student performance depends on multiple factors such as attendance, previous academic records, socio-economic background, study habits, and internal assessments.*

*This research proposes an AI-Based Student Performance and Result Prediction System that uses machine learning techniques to predict student outcomes. The system utilizes algorithms such as Decision Trees, Random Forest, and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) to analyze historical student data and generate predictions. A dataset containing academic and behavioral attributes is used to train the model.*

*The model performance is evaluated using accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed system achieves an accuracy of 92%, significantly improving prediction reliability compared to traditional statistical methods.*

**Keywords:** Student Performance Prediction, Machine Learning, Educational Data Mining, Classification, Neural Networks Academic Analytics.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Education systems generate vast amounts of data related to student performance. Analyzing this data can help predict outcomes and improve teaching strategies. Student performance prediction involves using historical data to forecast future academic results. Traditional methods rely on manual evaluation, which is time-consuming and less accurate. With the advancement of machine learning, automated systems can analyze multiple factors simultaneously and provide accurate predictions.

### A. Motivation

Supporting decision-making for educators

### B. Contributions

Development of a machine learning-based prediction model

Comparative analysis of multiple algorithms Implementation of a real-time prediction system Performance evaluation using standard metrics

## II. RELATED WORK

Research on underwater image enhancement spans three broad methodological paradigms: physics-based image formation model approaches, traditional image processing techniques, and data-driven deep learning methods.

### A. Traditional Methods

Earlier approaches used statistical techniques like regression analysis. These methods were simple but lacked accuracy for complex datasets.



### **B. Machine Learning Approaches**

Recent studies use algorithms such as Decision Trees, Naïve Bayes, and Neural Networks. These methods provide better accuracy and adaptability.

### **C. Deep Learning Approaches**

Advanced systems use Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) and Deep Learning for improved prediction, especially with large datasets.

## **III. METHODOLOGY**

The proposed AI-Based Underwater Image Enhancement System employs a structured multi-stage methodology encompassing dataset preparation, preprocessing, model architecture design, training procedure, and evaluation protocol.

### **A. Dataset Collection**

The dataset includes:

- Attendance records
- Internal marks
- Assignment scores
- Previous semester results

Data is collected from college databases and online learning platforms.

### **B. Data Preprocessing**

- Handling missing values
- Data normalization
- Feature selection
- Encoding categorical variables

### **C. Model Architecture**

The following algorithms are used:

- Decision Tree
- Random Forest
- Logistic Regression

### **D. Loss Function**

- Dataset split: 80% training, 20% testing
- Cross-validation applied
- Hyperparameter tuning performed

### **E. Training Configuration**

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- F1-Score

## **IV. SYSTEM WORKING**

The end-to-end working of the AI-Based Underwater Image Enhancement System is structured as a sequential six-stage pipeline that transforms raw degraded underwater input images into high-quality enhanced outputs.

### **1. Input Image Acquisition**

Student data is collected through forms or databases.



## 2. Image Preprocessing

Data is cleaned and formatted for model input.

## 3. Feature Extraction

Important features like attendance and marks are selected.

## 4. Image Enhancement Using AI Model

Machine learning model predicts student performance:

- Pass/Fail
- Grade classification

## 5. Output Generation

Results are displayed in dashboard format.

## 6. Performance Analysis

Model accuracy and predictions are evaluated.

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed system was evaluated on the held- out test partition of the UIEB and EUVP datasets comprising 1,439 paired image samples. Quantitative performance was assessed using PSNR, SSIM, and UIQM, with comparative evaluation against four traditional baselines.

### A. Quantitative Results

Table I presents quantitative comparison of the proposed CNN against traditional enhancement approaches. The proposed method achieves substantial improvements over all baselines across every metric.

**TABLE I: Quantitative Comparison of Enhancement Methods**

Method	Accuracy	precision	Recall	F1-score
Decision Tree	85%	0.83	0.82	0.82
Logistic Regression	88%	0.86	0.85	0.85
Random Forest	92%	0.91	0.90	0.90

### Discussion

- Machine learning improves prediction accuracy
- Attendance and internal marks are key factors
- Early prediction helps in intervention

## VI. CONCLUSION

This research presents a machine learning-based system for predicting student performance. The proposed system achieves high accuracy and helps educators identify weak students early. It improves decision-making and enhances overall academic performance.

## VII. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE

### Limitations

- Limited dataset size
- Dependency on data quality
- Not real-time for large-scale systems



## Future Scope

- Integration with AI-based recommendation systems
- Use of deep learning models
- Real-time analytics dashboard
- Mobile application for student tracking

## REFERENCES

- [1] Han, J., Kamber, M. – Data Mining Concepts
- [2] Kotsiantis, S. – Educational Data Mining
- [3] Breiman, L. – Random Forest Algorithm
- [4] Mitchell, T. – Machine Learning

