IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Groundwater Depletion and Water Resource Management in Semi-Arid Regions of India

Sh. Deepak
Assistant Professor, Geography
SMSL Govt. College, Julana
deepakbhakhar81@gmail.com

Abstract: *Groundwater depletion in semi-arid regions of India represents a critical socio-environmental challenge, threatening agricultural sustainability, drinking water security, and rural livelihoods. This paper presents a comprehensive research framework for doctoral investigation into the dynamics of groundwater depletion and the efficacy of water resource management interventions across India's semi-arid zones. The research synthesizes findings from recent studies in northwestern, western, and central India, identifying four interconnected thematic areas requiring systematic investigation: (1) the spatio-temporal patterns of groundwater decline and their climatic-agricultural drivers; (2) the hydrological efficacy of watershed development and managed aquifer recharge interventions; (3) the institutional robustness of community-based groundwater governance mechanisms; and (4) the integration of technical, social, and policy dimensions into a coherent management framework. Methodologically, the proposed research employs a mixed-methods approach combining remote sensing analysis, hydrological modeling, and institutional assessment using Ostrom's design principles. Expected outcomes include a diagnostic framework for groundwater stress assessment, evidence-based guidelines for recharge intervention design, and policy recommendations for participatory governance. This research addresses critical gaps in understanding how technical interventions interact with institutional arrangements in shaping groundwater sustainability outcomes..*

Keywords: Groundwater depletion, semi-arid regions, water resource management, managed aquifer recharge, community governance, India

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Groundwater Crisis in Semi-Arid India

Groundwater is the lifeline of India's semi-arid regions, supporting over 60% of irrigated agriculture and 85% of rural drinking water supplies. Unlike humid regions where surface water dominates hydrological regimes, semi-arid areas—characterized by annual rainfall between 500-1,200 mm, high evapotranspiration rates, and extreme rainfall variability—depend critically on groundwater for buffering seasonal and inter-annual climatic fluctuations. However, this dependence has become unsustainable. The Central Ground Water Board (2023) reports that 17% of India's groundwater assessment units are classified as overexploited, with many semi-arid districts exhibiting extraction rates exceeding 100% of annual recharge.

The consequences are severe and multidimensional. In northwestern India, groundwater levels have declined at rates of 0.5-1.0 meter annually for two decades. In western India, rural communities face drinking water deficits of 73-98%, forcing women to walk 4-6 kilometers daily for water. In central India, semi-critical blocks with extraction rates exceeding 70% are expanding despite average rainfall exceeding 1,000 millimeters. These patterns reveal a fundamental paradox: groundwater depletion is advancing even in regions with seemingly adequate rainfall, indicating systemic failures in how water resources are managed.

1.2 The Research Problem

Despite decades of policy attention and investment in water management interventions, groundwater depletion continues unabated across India's semi-arid regions. This persistence suggests that existing approaches suffer from several limitations. First, much research has focused on either technical solutions (recharge structures, efficient irrigation) or institutional arrangements (community management, regulation) in isolation, without adequately examining their interactions. Second, the seasonal dynamics of groundwater depletion—particularly the critical distinction between pre-monsoon and post-monsoon water levels—remain insufficiently characterized at regional scales. Third, while watershed development and managed aquifer recharge (MAR) interventions have proliferated, systematic evidence on their hydrological effectiveness under varying hydrogeological conditions remains limited.

A fourth gap concerns the scalability of successful interventions. Community-based groundwater management initiatives, such as the Village Groundwater Cooperatives (VGCs) in Gujarat and Rajasthan, have demonstrated potential for sustainable governance. However, their institutional robustness varies significantly, and the conditions enabling their successful replication remain poorly understood. Similarly, large-scale recharge programs like Mission Jal Raksha in Chhattisgarh have shown promising results—including a documented 2.04 meter rise in groundwater levels—but require systematic evaluation to distill transferable lessons.

1.3 Research Questions and Objectives

This doctoral research addresses these gaps through four interconnected objectives:

1: To characterize the spatio-temporal dynamics of groundwater depletion across India's semi-arid regions, quantifying the relative contributions of climatic variability, cropping patterns, and extraction practices.

2: To evaluate the hydrological efficacy of watershed development and managed aquifer recharge interventions in enhancing groundwater recharge and arresting seasonal decline.

3: To assess the institutional robustness of community-based groundwater governance mechanisms using Ostrom's design principles as an analytical framework.

4: To develop an integrated framework for groundwater management that aligns technical interventions with institutional arrangements and policy contexts.

These objectives translate into the following research questions:

What are the rates, patterns, and drivers of seasonal groundwater decline across different agro-climatic zones in semi-arid India?

How effective are different recharge interventions in enhancing post-monsoon groundwater recovery, and what hydrogeological factors condition their success?

Under what conditions do community-based governance mechanisms achieve sustainable groundwater management, and what institutional weaknesses constrain their performance?

How can technical, institutional, and policy interventions be integrated into a coherent, scalable framework for groundwater sustainability?

1.4 Significance and Scope

This research contributes to multiple domains of scholarship and practice. Theoretically, it advances the integration of hydrological science with institutional analysis, addressing a recognized gap in common-pool resource theory regarding the interaction between resource system characteristics and governance arrangements. Methodologically, it demonstrates the value of mixed-methods approaches that combine remote sensing, hydrological modeling, and qualitative institutional assessment. Practically, it provides evidence-based guidance for policymakers, water managers, and development practitioners engaged in groundwater management in semi-arid regions.

The geographic scope encompasses three distinct semi-arid regions of India: (1) the northwestern alluvial plains (Kurukshetra district, Haryana), characterized by intensive agriculture and rapid depletion; (2) the western hard-rock aquifers (Meghraj and Dharta watersheds in Gujarat and Rajasthan), where community-based governance initiatives have been piloted; and (3) the central Deccan basaltic province (Rajnandgaon district, Chhattisgarh), where large-scale recharge interventions have recently been implemented. This comparative design enables analysis of how hydrogeological context conditions management outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Hydrogeology of Semi-Arid Groundwater Systems

Semi-arid regions of India are underlain by diverse hydrogeological formations, each presenting distinct challenges for groundwater management. Alluvial aquifers of the Indo-Gangetic Plain—including the study area of Kurukshetra—consist of unconsolidated sands, silts, and clays, offering high storage capacity but also high extractability. These aquifers historically supported sustainable use through annual monsoon recharge, but intensive tubewell irrigation has reversed this balance. Din et al. (2026) documented cumulative groundwater declines of 18.6 meters (pre-monsoon) to 21.7 meters (post-monsoon) over two decades in Kurukshetra, with a significant long-term declining trend ($R^2 = 0.74$, $p < 0.01$).

Hard-rock aquifers—including the basaltic Deccan Traps underlying Rajnandgaon and the gneissic-granitic formations of Gujarat and Rajasthan—present different constraints. These aquifers have limited primary porosity, storing water primarily in weathered zones, joints, and fractures. Specific yields in such formations are typically low, ranging from 1-8% as documented in the Dharta watershed. This low storage coefficient means that even modest extraction rates can cause significant water table declines, while recharge is spatially heterogeneous and difficult to predict.

A third hydrogeological category comprises the crystalline basement complexes of central and southern India, where groundwater occurrence is restricted to regolith zones and fracture networks. These systems exhibit extreme spatial variability, with well yields varying by orders of magnitude over short distances. The Kalahandi district of Odisha, a semi-arid region facing acute water scarcity despite mining-related industrial development, exemplifies these challenges.

2.2 Drivers of Groundwater Depletion

The drivers of groundwater depletion in semi-arid India are multiple, interacting, and scale-dependent. At the national scale, the Green Revolution's legacy—particularly the promotion of high-yielding, water-intensive crop varieties supported by minimum support prices and subsidized electricity for pumping—created powerful incentives for groundwater over-extraction. This policy environment, combined with the legal framework that vests groundwater rights with landowners (the "rule of capture"), has produced a classic tragedy of the commons.

At the regional scale, cropping patterns exert strong influences on depletion rates. Din et al. (2026) found significant negative correlations between NDVI (a proxy for crop growth) and groundwater levels, with stronger relationships post-monsoon ($r = -0.45$, $p < 0.001$) than pre-monsoon ($r = -0.14$, $p < 0.001$). This pattern indicates that Rabi (winter) cropping—which depends entirely on irrigation in semi-arid regions—is the primary driver of decline, as post-monsoon groundwater levels reflect cumulative extraction during the preceding dry season.

Climate variability adds complexity. Precipitation is the ultimate source of recharge, but its relationship to groundwater levels is mediated by several factors. Din et al. (2026) found that precipitation was not a significant predictor in their post-monsoon model ($p = 0.115$), suggesting reduced recharge efficiency due to factors such as soil sealing, runoff generation, and the timing-intensity characteristics of rainfall events. This finding is consistent with Srivastava and Chinnasamy's (2024) observation that surface runoff dominates hydrological response in semi-arid watersheds, accounting for 33-89% of monsoon rainfall, while percolation to groundwater accounts for only 4-82% depending on land cover and soil conditions.

Land use change represents a third driver. Deforestation for firewood in the Nashik region reduced forest cover by 52%, leading to increased soil erosion, siltation of water bodies, and reduced infiltration capacity. More recently, research has identified the spread of invasive species—particularly Prosopis juliflora in Gujarat—as an unexpected driver of depletion. Chinnasamy's research documents groundwater declines of 3-6 meters in districts with dense Prosopis cover, as the species' high evapotranspiration rates intercept recharge before it reaches aquifers.

2.3 Water Resource Management Interventions

Management responses to groundwater depletion span technical, institutional, and policy domains. Technical interventions focus on enhancing recharge through watershed development and managed aquifer recharge (MAR). Watershed development encompasses a suite of practices—contour bunding, check dams, percolation tanks, and gully plugs—designed to capture runoff and increase infiltration. Srivastava and Chinnasamy (2024) propose integrated water security plans combining these structures with participatory approaches, though they note that deforestation and erratic rainfall patterns (departure range -42% to +83% over 1998-2017) constrain effectiveness.

Managed aquifer recharge represents a more systematic approach to replenishment. The Rajnandgaon district's Mission Jal Raksha constructed over 51,000 recharge structures, including mini-percolation tanks, recharge shafts, and staggered trenches. The intervention was guided by GIS-based identification of critical recharge zones and combined structural interventions with micro-irrigation adoption, crop diversification, and afforestation. Results include a 2.04 meter average rise in groundwater levels, additional water-holding capacity of 7 million cubic meters, and virtual water savings of 850,000 cubic meters through reduced water-intensive cropping.

The effectiveness of recharge interventions varies substantially with hydrogeological context. In hard-rock aquifers with low specific yields, even successful recharge may produce only modest water table responses. In alluvial settings, the high storage capacity requires massive recharge volumes to achieve measurable recovery. Moreover, the benefits of recharge are often attenuated by concurrent extraction increases, a rebound effect documented in irrigation efficiency studies.

2.4 Institutional and Governance Approaches

The limitations of purely technical approaches have directed attention toward institutional arrangements for groundwater governance. Ostrom's design principles for common-pool resource management provide an influential analytical framework, emphasizing: (1) clearly defined boundaries; (2) congruence between rules and local conditions; (3) collective-choice arrangements; (4) monitoring; (5) graduated sanctions; (6) conflict resolution mechanisms; (7) minimal recognition of rights to organize; and (8) nested enterprises.

Recent research has applied this framework to evaluate Village Groundwater Cooperatives (VGCs) in Gujarat and Rajasthan. Findings reveal mixed performance across design principles. VGCs have succeeded in implementing collective water budgeting, training community members as "BhujalJankaars" (groundwater informed persons) to monitor water levels, and establishing water-sharing agreements (two-part and three-part systems in Dharta and Meghraj). However, institutional robustness is constrained by limited formal enforcement of sanctions, low women's participation in decision-making, and informal conflict resolution mechanisms.

These findings highlight a critical tension: successful community governance requires both internal rule enforcement and external recognition, but state support often comes with bureaucratic requirements that undermine local autonomy. The "recentralization" of resource governance—where participatory programs remain ultimately accountable to state authorities—represents a persistent challenge.

2.5 Research Gaps and Theoretical Framework

The literature reveals three interconnected gaps this research addresses. First, while seasonal groundwater dynamics have been characterized in individual study areas, comparative analysis across hydrogeological settings is lacking. Such comparison is essential for understanding how physical context conditions management outcomes. Second, the interaction between technical and institutional interventions remains undertheorized. Most studies evaluate recharge structures separately from governance arrangements, but the effectiveness of physical interventions depends critically on the institutional context governing extraction. Third, evidence on scalability and transferability of successful interventions is limited, with few rigorous evaluations of how pilot projects translate to regional programs.

This research adopts an integrated theoretical framework drawing on three traditions: (1) hydrological science for understanding recharge processes and water balances; (2) common-pool resource theory for analyzing governance arrangements; and (3) social-ecological systems thinking for examining interactions between physical, biological, and social components. This framework recognizes groundwater as simultaneously a hydrological resource, an economic good, and a social commons—requiring analytical approaches that bridge these domains.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The research employs a comparative case study design, selecting three study regions representing distinct hydrogeological and management contexts:

Case 1: Kurukshetra District, Haryana (Northwestern Alluvial Aquifer) — Characterized by intensive agriculture, high-yielding alluvial aquifers, and rapid depletion (18-22 meter cumulative decline over 20 years). This case represents regions where technical solutions alone have proven insufficient, requiring institutional innovation.

Case 2: Meghraj and Dharta Watersheds, Gujarat and Rajasthan (Hard-Rock Aquifers) — Sites of the MARVI project and Village Groundwater Cooperative implementation. These cases enable assessment of community governance under favorable institutional conditions.

Case 3: Rajnandgaon District, Chhattisgarh (Deccan Basalt Province) — Where large-scale recharge interventions (Mission Jal Raksha) have been implemented with documented success. This case enables evaluation of government-led programs and assessment of transferable lessons.

3.2 Phase 1: Spatio-Temporal Analysis of Groundwater Dynamics

The first phase characterizes depletion patterns and drivers using:

Groundwater level data from Central Ground Water Board monitoring wells and state agencies (2000-2025)

Precipitation data from Indian Meteorological Department stations

Evapotranspiration estimates from satellite products (MODIS)

Land use/land cover and NDVI from Landsat and MODIS imagery

Analysis employs:

Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation for spatial mapping of water levels

Trend analysis (Mann-Kendall test, Sen's slope estimator) for temporal patterns

Multiple regression for quantifying driver contributions

Seasonal decomposition to separate pre- and post-monsoon dynamics

3.3 Phase 2: Hydrological Efficacy of Recharge Interventions

The second phase evaluates recharge intervention effectiveness through:

Water balance modeling comparing pre- and post-intervention periods

Rainfall-recharge relationships using water table fluctuation methods

Specific yield estimation from farmer-monitored water level data

Comparative analysis of intervention types (check dams, percolation tanks, recharge shafts)

Data sources include government records of intervention construction, field monitoring of water levels, and satellite-based estimation of changes in water storage.

3.4 Phase 3: Institutional Assessment of Community Governance

The third phase assesses institutional robustness through:

Key informant interviews with VGC members, government officials, and NGO facilitators (target n=40-50)

Focus group discussions disaggregated by gender (target n=8-10 groups)
Document analysis of VGC bylaws, meeting minutes, and government orders
Application of Ostrom's design principles as diagnostic criteria
Analysis employs thematic coding of qualitative data and comparative assessment of institutional performance across cases.

3.5 Phase 4: Integrated Framework Development

The final phase synthesizes findings from preceding phases to develop an integrated management framework using:
Cross-case synthesis to identify patterns and contingencies
Stakeholder workshops for framework validation and refinement
Development of decision-support tools for intervention selection based on hydrogeological and institutional context

3.6 Ethical Considerations

Research ethics protocols include informed consent for all interview and focus group participants, protection of confidentiality, and cultural sensitivity in data collection. The research will be conducted in compliance with institutional review board requirements at the host university.

3.7 Limitations

Acknowledged limitations include: (1) data availability constraints, particularly for long-term groundwater level records in some areas; (2) attribution challenges in separating intervention effects from climatic variability; (3) generalizability limitations inherent to case study design; and (4) the complexity of isolating institutional effects from confounding variables.

IV. CONCLUSION

Groundwater depletion in semi-arid India represents a quintessential challenge of sustainable resource management—one where hydrological constraints interact with economic incentives, social institutions, and political dynamics to produce outcomes that threaten the livelihoods of millions. This doctoral research addresses this challenge through an integrated approach that combines rigorous hydrological analysis with systematic institutional assessment. By examining both the biophysical dynamics of depletion and the social arrangements for governing extraction, the research seeks to transcend the technical-versus-institutional dichotomy that has limited past efforts.

The proposed framework—linking spatio-temporal characterization of depletion patterns, evaluation of recharge interventions, assessment of community governance, and integration into actionable guidance—offers a path from problem diagnosis to solution design. In doing so, it responds to calls for actionable science that informs policy and practice while advancing fundamental understanding of social-ecological systems.

The stakes are high. Without significant changes in how groundwater is managed, continued depletion will reduce agricultural productivity, increase rural poverty, and undermine climate resilience in regions already vulnerable to drought and rainfall variability. Yet the research also documents reasons for cautious optimism: successful interventions exist, from Mission Jal Raksha's recharge structures to the community monitors of Dharta and Meghraj. The challenge—and the contribution of this research—lies in understanding how to scale these successes while adapting them to local context. This is the work to which this doctoral research is committed.

REFERENCES

[1] Din, S. N. U., Rishi, M. S., Sidhu, N., et al. (2026). Two decades of climate cropping patterns and groundwater change in semi-arid northwestern India. *Scientific Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-50439-9

[2] Srivastava, A., & Chinnasamy, P. (2024). Watershed development interventions for rural water safety, security, and sustainability in semi-arid region of Western-India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(7), 18231-18265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-03387-7

[3] Kumar, A., Singh, S., Patley, M. K., et al. (2025). Sustainable groundwater management through government supported convergence in Rajnandgaon district Chhattisgarh, India. *Discover Applied Sciences*, 7, 1124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-025-07753-0

[4] Western Sydney University, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology. (2026). Evaluating the effectiveness of village groundwater cooperatives for groundwater commons in Gujarat and Rajasthan using Ostrom's design principles. *Sustainability*, 18(3), 1561. https://doi.org/10.3390/su18031561

[5] Central Ground Water Board. (2023). *Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India 2023*. Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India.

[6] Chinnasamy, P. (Author profile). ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/author/57155075600/pennan-chinnasamy

[7] (2025). An integrated water resource conservation technique in a semi-arid region using MCDM method with insights from Kalahandi district, Odisha, India. *Directory of Open Access Journals*.

[8] Pande, C. B., Kumar, M., & Kushwaha, N. L. (Eds.). (2023). *Surface and groundwater resources development and management in semi-arid region: Strategies and solutions for sustainable water management*. Springer.

[9] Kabir, Y., Kumar, D., Kumar, S., & Ghodke, A. (2025). Interventions for making groundwater-based RWS schemes climate-resilient. In *Climate-Resilient Water Supply Systems*. Taylor & Francis.