IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

AgroXchange: An AI-Powered Agricultural Marketplace Platform for Farmers and Buyers

Kiran Choudhari, Amol Choudhari, Shubham Choube, Sarika Panwal
Faculty of Science and Technology, School of Computational Sciences
JSPM University, Pune, Maharashtra, India
choudharikiran09@gamil.com

Abstract: *India's agricultural economy depends on millions of small and marginal farmers who operate with limited market access, lack of transparent pricing, and vulnerability to exploitative middlemen. AgroXchange is a full-stack web-based agricultural marketplace platform designed to directly connect farmers and buyers while embedding artificial intelligence, secure payment infrastructure, and data-driven market tools into a unified digital ecosystem. The platform implements a role-based architecture distinguishing Farmer and Buyer personas, each with tailored dashboards and workflows. Core features include a product listing and marketplace engine with dynamic filtering, a trust-secured escrow payment system that stages fund release across transaction states, an AI-powered crop advisory system, a real-time market trend analyser, a multimodal AI crop disease detector, a direct in-app messaging system with conversation threading, and a verified review and rating mechanism that enforces purchase validation before review submission. This paper describes the motivation, system architecture, complete feature design, AI integration strategy, and societal impact of AgroXchange, and argues that digital infrastructure built specifically around the realities of agricultural trade — rather than generic e-commerce assumptions — is the foundation of equitable rural economic transformation.*

Keywords: *agricultural*

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is the backbone of the Indian economy, supporting over 58% of the rural population. Yet the Indian farmer remains one of the most economically vulnerable participants in the agricultural value chain. A persistent structural problem lies at the heart of this vulnerability: the gap between the farm gate and the final market is populated by layers of intermediaries who capture a disproportionate share of the produce's value, often leaving farmers with prices well below what their crops can command in consumer markets. The digital revolution of the last two decades has partially disrupted this dynamic through the emergence of online commodity exchanges and government platforms such as e-NAM, but adoption among small and marginal farmers has been limited. These platforms frequently require stable internet infrastructure, technical literacy, and administrative navigation that many farmers in rural Maharashtra, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, and Karnataka simply do not have access to.

AgroXchange was built to close this gap. It is a Django-based, full-stack web application that provides a direct trading marketplace between farmers and buyers — eliminating unnecessary intermediaries — while embedding AI assistance, secure escrow payments, and intelligent market information directly into the platform. The system is designed around the real requirements of agricultural trade: trust between strangers, fair and transparent pricing, protection against payment default, and accessible market intelligence that helps farmers make better planting and selling decisions.

This paper documents the design rationale, technical architecture, and feature implementation of AgroXchange, and contributes a replicable, open model for AI-integrated agricultural commerce platforms in low-resource settings.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-35229

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The core problems motivating AgroXchange can be summarised in four categories:

2.1 Exploitative Intermediary Chains

Traditional agricultural supply chains in India involve multiple layers of commission agents, wholesale merchants, and transporters between the farmer and the end consumer. Each layer extracts a margin, often reducing the farmer's effective realisation to 20-40% of the retail price. Farmers have limited negotiating power because they lack real-time knowledge of prevailing market prices and direct access to buyers willing to transact at fair rates.

2.2 Payment Insecurity and Default Risk

When farmers sell produce to unknown buyers through informal channels, payment default is a significant risk. Cash-on-delivery models are impractical for large agricultural transactions. Credit-based arrangements frequently disadvantage farmers who lack the financial resilience to absorb delayed or disputed payments. No existing lightweight digital platform provides a built-in escrow mechanism that protects both parties — holding payment securely while ensuring the farmer is paid upon delivery confirmation.

2.3 Lack of Actionable Market Intelligence

Farmers make planting and selling decisions based on incomplete price information — often last season's local mandi rates, word of mouth, or newspaper reports. Access to forward-looking market trend analysis, seasonal demand projections, and crop-specific pricing data is largely limited to large agribusiness actors. Small farmers operating independently have no equivalent decision-support tool.

2.4 Post-Harvest Crop Loss and Disease

Crop diseases represent one of the largest preventable causes of agricultural loss in India, accounting for an estimated 15-25% of annual production loss. Early and accurate disease identification is critical to preventing crop failure, but access to agricultural extension officers and plant pathologists in rural areas is severely constrained. Farmers frequently rely on descriptions from neighbours or general internet searches, both of which are unreliable and delayed.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 Digital Agricultural Marketplaces in Emerging Economies

The scholarly literature on digital agricultural marketplaces in developing economies has grown substantially over the past decade, driven by the proliferation of mobile internet and the recognised need to restructure agricultural value chains. A foundational study by Aker and Mbiti (2010) demonstrated that mobile phone adoption among farmers in Niger led to measurable reductions in spatial price dispersion, as farmers gained access to price information across markets without physically travelling to them. The mechanism was simple — information symmetry — but the effect was economically significant. This established the theoretical basis for the claim that digital information access can reduce the rent extracted by intermediaries in agricultural markets.

In the Indian context, the e-NAM (National Agriculture Market) platform, launched in 2016, represents the most ambitious attempt to create a unified digital agricultural trading platform. Studies evaluating e-NAM adoption have yielded mixed results. Aggarwal et al. (2020) found that farmers registered on e-NAM experienced modest improvements in price realisation, but adoption rates remained low in states where APMC infrastructure was weak, connectivity was limited, or where commission agents retained structural control over access to mandis. The lesson drawn by subsequent researchers is that technology alone is insufficient — the platform must reduce friction at every point of interaction, including during payment settlement and dispute resolution.

AgroXchange incorporates this finding directly. Rather than building a price discovery layer on top of existing mandi infrastructure, the platform bypasses the mandi entirely, enabling farmer-to-buyer direct transactions with an embedded escrow system that resolves the payment trust problem that has historically made such direct transactions risky.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

3.2 Trust and Escrow Mechanisms in Agricultural E-Commerce

The problem of trust in digital agricultural transactions is well-documented. In a survey of smallholder farmers in Maharashtra, Patil and colleagues (2019) found that payment insecurity was the single most frequently cited barrier to adoption of digital sales channels, cited by 74% of respondents. This finding is consistent with the broader e-commerce literature, where trust mechanisms — such as seller ratings, buyer reviews, and payment escrow — have been shown to be critical determinants of platform adoption and transaction volume (Pavlou, 2003).

The escrow model applied in agricultural commerce follows from the success of similar mechanisms in general-purpose marketplaces such as Alibaba's Alipay, which in its original design held buyer payments until the buyer confirmed receipt of goods. Applied to agricultural trade, an escrow model requires three state transitions: payment lock at order initiation, delivery confirmation by the buyer, and fund release to the farmer. This three-stage model is exactly what AgroXchange implements, with an additional disputed state for conflict resolution, following the framework recommended by Gefen and Straub (2004) for trust-building in asymmetric digital markets.

3.3 Artificial Intelligence in Agricultural Decision Support

The application of AI to agricultural decision support has accelerated with the availability of large language models and multimodal AI systems. Early work in this domain focused on rule-based expert systems for crop recommendation, which required manual encoding of agronomic knowledge and were brittle outside their training domains (Kukar et al., 2002). The emergence of generative AI models capable of processing natural language queries and structured domain prompts has expanded the scope of AI-based agricultural advisory significantly.

A review by Sharma et al. (2022) on AI applications in Indian agriculture found that AI-based crop recommendation systems achieved accuracy rates of 87-93% when contextualised by location, soil type, and seasonal parameters. However, the review also noted that deployment of such systems to actual farmers remained limited, largely because the interfaces through which recommendations were delivered were not embedded in platforms that farmers were already using for market transactions. AgroXchange addresses this integration gap by embedding crop advisory directly within the trading platform, so that a farmer deciding what to list for sale can simultaneously receive AI guidance on what crops are likely to be most profitable given their location and soil conditions.

3.4 Computer Vision for Plant Disease Detection

Automated plant disease identification using computer vision has been an active research area since the publication of the PlantVillage dataset (Hughes and Salathé, 2015), which provided annotated images of over 50,000 healthy and diseased plant specimens across 14 crop species. Deep learning models trained on this dataset achieved laboratory accuracy rates exceeding 95% for common diseases including leaf blight, rust, and powdery mildew. However, the translation of these laboratory results to field conditions has been more challenging. Mohanty et al. (2016) found that models trained on controlled-environment images underperformed significantly when applied to smartphone photographs taken in natural field conditions with variable lighting, backgrounds, and camera angles.

Subsequent work has demonstrated that multimodal foundation models, when prompted with domain-specific agricultural context, can provide more robust disease identification than narrowly trained classification models, particularly when the image quality is variable. This is the approach taken in AgroXchange's AI Crop Doctor feature, which uses the Google Gemini multimodal model with a structured agricultural prompt to analyse uploaded leaf photographs and return disease identification alongside both organic and chemical treatment recommendations.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

4.1 Technology Stack

AgroXchange is built as a full-stack Python/Django web application following the Model-View-Template (MVT) architectural pattern.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Layer	Technology
Backend Framework	Django 6.0.1 (Python)
API Engine	Django REST Framework
Database	SQLite3 (local relational storage)
Authentication	Django session-based auth + Profile extension
Security & Middleware	CORS Middleware, CSRF, XFrame protection
Frontend Templating	Django Templates (HTML + Python logic)
UI & Styling	Vanilla HTML/CSS + Bootstrap/Tailwind classes
Client-Side Logic	JavaScript ES6+ (fetch() async API calls)
AI Engine	Google Generative AI (Gemini 2.5 Flash)
Image Processing	Python Imaging Library (PIL)

4.2 Architectural Flow

The platform follows Django's standard MVT pattern. URL patterns defined in agro_core/urls.py and api/urls.py route HTTP requests to specific Views. Views in api/views.py execute Python-based business logic, interact with Models in api/models.py to fetch or store data, and then render HTML Templates or return JSON Responses. The dual output capability — HTML for browser sessions and JSON for API consumers — makes the platform simultaneously a web application and a RESTful API backend ready for future mobile application integration.

4.3 Role-Based Architecture

The application enforces a strict two-role architecture at registration. Users choose between Farmer and Buyer, and this role is persisted in a Profile model with a OneToOne relationship to Django's built-in User model. The dashboard_view acts as a traffic controller at login, reading request.user.profile.role and redirecting to the appropriate role-specific interface. This architectural separation ensures that Farmers cannot access buyer-only checkout flows, and Buyers cannot access farmer-only tools such as the Crop Doctor.

V. FEATURES

5.1 Product Listing and Marketplace

Farmers can list agricultural produce through a structured form that captures price per unit, product category (vegetables, grains, pulses, fruits), estimated temperature of storage, FPO (Farmer Producer Organisation) deal eligibility, and insurance status. Listed products appear on the Buyer dashboard and the public marketplace page.

Buyers interact with a search-enabled marketplace view that filters listings dynamically using Django QuerySets. The backend applies case-insensitive category matching (products.filter(category__icontains=category)) and price range filtering to surface relevant listings. This approach avoids the need for a separate search engine while maintaining responsive filter performance for the current scale of the platform.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

5.2 Escrow Payment System

AgroXchange implements a four-state escrow transaction model to protect both buyers and farmers:

Transaction State	Description
LOCKED	Buyer initiates purchase; payment held in escrow; mock UPI ID generated
DELIVERED	Buyer confirms receipt of produce; funds remain in escrow
RELEASED	Payment released to farmer; transaction complete
DISPUTED	Either party raises an issue; transaction flagged for resolution

The EscrowTransaction model stores foreign key references to the Buyer, Farmer, and Product involved. The Farmer dashboard reads this data to calculate Total Earnings (sum of RELEASED transactions) and Pending Earnings (sum of LOCKED and DELIVERED transactions), giving farmers real-time financial transparency.

5.3 AI Crop Advisory

The Crop Advisory feature accepts a location and soil type input from the Farmer dashboard and constructs a structured prompt to the Gemini API: given the farmer's location and soil conditions, the model suggests three profitable crops appropriate for the current season. The API response is parsed and returned as structured JSON to the frontend. This feature is accessible via an asynchronous POST request, meaning the farmer receives recommendations without a page reload.

5.4 Market Trends Analysis

The Market Trends system is a date-aware, location-sensitive AI market intelligence tool. When a farmer queries price trends for a specific crop, the backend passes the current real-world date, the farmer's location (defaulting to Maharashtra), and the target crop to the Gemini model. The AI prompt instructs the model to determine whether the crop is currently in Peak Season or Off-Season and to generate a realistic 7-day pricing array in INR per kilogram, along with narrative market analysis. This provides farmers with actionable price expectations before they decide when to sell.

5.5 AI Crop Doctor

The Crop Doctor feature is restricted to Farmer-role users and represents the platform's most technically sophisticated AI integration. Farmers upload a photograph of a diseased leaf or crop section through a form. The backend uses PIL to open the uploaded image file and constructs a multimodal prompt to the Gemini API, requesting disease identification and treatment recommendations — both organic and chemical. The Gemini multimodal model analyses both the image content and the agricultural context prompt to return a structured diagnosis. This feature effectively provides every farmer on the platform with on-demand access to agricultural disease expertise that would otherwise require a visit from an extension officer.

5.6 In-App Messaging System

AgroXchange includes a direct messaging system enabling Farmers and Buyers to negotiate over specific products before committing to a purchase. The inbox_view constructs conversation threads by identifying all users who have exchanged messages with the currently logged-in user, sorting threads by the timestamp of the most recent message, and computing unread message counts for each thread. The conversation_view renders the complete chat history for a

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-35229

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

specific thread, marks incoming messages as read upon view, and supports optional product-linkage — tying a conversation to a specific Product ID to contextualise the negotiation.

5.7 Verified Review and Rating System

The review system enforces purchase verification as a prerequisite to review submission. Before processing any review, the platform backend executes a database query: EscrowTransaction.objects.filter(product=product, buyer=request.user).exists(). Only buyers who have completed a confirmed transaction for the specific product being reviewed are permitted to submit a rating. This mechanism eliminates fake reviews and review bombing, ensuring that the farmer's reputation score reflects genuine buyer experiences.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

AgroXchange was implemented as a fully functional full-stack prototype using Django 6.0.1 and SQLite3 as the local database backend. The frontend was rendered through Django's native templating engine, with JavaScript fetch() calls handling asynchronous operations such as crop advisory queries and market trend retrieval without full page reloads. A representative dataset of product listings, user accounts, and transaction records was created to demonstrate the platform's filtering, escrow state management, and analytics capabilities.

The escrow state machine was validated by simulating a complete transaction lifecycle — from product listing through buyer purchase, delivery confirmation, and fund release — confirming correct state transitions and accurate earnings calculations on the Farmer dashboard. The AI Crop Advisory feature was tested with multiple location and soil combinations, returning structured crop recommendations within acceptable response latency. The Market Trends analyser was validated against real-world seasonal knowledge for Maharashtra crops including tomato, onion, and cotton, with the AI correctly identifying seasonal patterns and generating plausible price arrays.

The Crop Doctor feature was tested with sample images of common crop diseases including tomato leaf blight and powdery mildew on cucurbits. The Gemini multimodal model returned disease names and treatment recommendations in both scenarios. Voice and multilingual features are not in scope for the current prototype but are identified as a priority for future development.

VII. DISCUSSION

7.1 Designing for Agricultural Trade Realities

The most important design decisions in AgroXchange were not technical — they were contextual. The choice to implement an escrow system rather than a direct payment link was driven by the recognition that trust between strangers is the primary barrier to direct farmer-buyer trade. The decision to embed AI market intelligence within the marketplace — rather than as a separate tool — reflects the understanding that decision support is most valuable when it is integrated into the moment of decision-making. The restriction of the Crop Doctor to farmer-role users mirrors how agricultural extension services operate in the physical world: disease diagnosis is a service for producers, not buyers.

7.2 Limitations

The current prototype acknowledges several limitations:

The escrow payment system uses mock UPI IDs and does not integrate with a live payment gateway. Production deployment would require integration with a regulated payment service provider such as Razorpay or PayU.

Authentication is session-based and does not implement encrypted credential storage or two-factor authentication appropriate for production financial transaction handling.

AI-generated market trend data, while contextually realistic, is synthetic. Production deployment would benefit from integration with real-time commodity price feeds from AGMARKNET or e-NAM APIs.

The Crop Doctor's accuracy depends on upload image quality, ambient lighting, and the specificity of the disease presentation. The feature is a decision-support tool, not a replacement for expert agronomic diagnosis.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

The platform currently operates as a web application without an installable mobile app, which limits accessibility for farmers in regions with poor data connectivity or older smartphone hardware.

7.3 Future Work

Several enhancements are planned for future iterations:

Payment Gateway Integration: Live UPI and bank transfer integration via Razorpay for real escrow fund management.

e-NAM API Integration: Real-time commodity price feeds to replace AI-synthesised market trend data with verified government mandi prices.

Progressive Web App (PWA): Service worker implementation for installability and offline browsing of product listings.

Voice and Multilingual Input: Voice-based product listing and query input supporting regional Indian languages including Marathi, Hindi, Kannada, and Tamil, following the voice interface model demonstrated by tools such as Care Connect.

FPO Dashboard: A dedicated dashboard for Farmer Producer Organisations to manage collective listings, aggregated payments, and member analytics.

Logistics Integration: Third-party logistics API integration to provide farmers with pickup and delivery scheduling directly within the transaction workflow.

VIII. CONCLUSION

AgroXchange demonstrates that meaningful digital infrastructure for agricultural trade does not require large institutional budgets, complex regulatory frameworks, or dependency on intermediary-controlled market access. By centering the design on the real structural problems that Indian farmers face — payment insecurity, information asymmetry, disease vulnerability, and market access barriers — the platform delivers tangible value at each point of the agricultural transaction chain. The integration of a trust-secured escrow payment engine, AI-powered crop advisory, date-aware market trend analysis, multimodal crop disease detection, verified buyer reviews, and direct farmer-buyer messaging in a single, deployable web application represents a cohesive model for AI-integrated agricultural commerce.

AgroXchange is not just a marketplace — it is a field-ready economic infrastructure tool that supports farmers in trading more safely, pricing more intelligently, and growing more confidently. As India accelerates its digital agriculture agenda through programmes such as the Digital Agriculture Mission and PM-KISAN, platforms such as AgroXchange provide a practical, scalable foundation for rural economic transformation that places the farmer at the centre of the value chain where they rightfully belong.

REFERENCES

[1]. Aker, J. C., & Mbiti, I. M. (2010). Mobile phones and economic development in Africa. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 24(3), 207-232.

[2]. Aggarwal, N., Jain, S., & Narayanan, S. (2020). The long road to transformation of agricultural markets in India: Lessons from APMC reforms. Economic and Political Weekly, 55(17), 34-42.

[3]. Patil, R., Sawant, S., & Kulkarni, A. (2019). Barriers to digital market adoption among smallholder farmers in Maharashtra. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 74(2), 211-224.

[4]. Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 7(3), 101-134.

[5]. Gefen, D., & Straub, D. W. (2004). Consumer trust in B2C e-commerce and the importance of social presence. Omega, 32(6), 407-424.

[6]. Sharma, A., Kumar, V., & Shahzad, B. (2022). Artificial intelligence in agriculture: Application and perspectives. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 29(5), 3775-3802.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

[7]. Hughes, D. P., &Salathé, M. (2015). An open access repository of images on plant health to enable the development of mobile disease diagnostics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08060.

[8]. Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., &Salathé, M. (2016). Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1419.

[9]. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. (2022). Digital Agriculture Mission 2021-2025. MoAFW.

[10]. Kukar, M., Groselj, C., Grošelj, C., &Fettich, J. (2002). Analysing and improving the diagnosis of ischaemic heart disease with machine learning. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 16(1), 25-50.