IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

AI Travel Planner Agentic Application: A Web-Based Intelligent Trip Planning System

Rohan Prajapati[1] and Mr. Manas Kumar Tripathi[2]
[1]Student, , Department of Computer Science & Engineering (Data Science)
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering (Data Science)
Raj Kumar Goel Institute of Technology, Ghaziabad, U.P, India

Abstract: *Travel planning is a multifaceted activity that demands significant time, effort, and domain knowledge from individuals seeking to organize trips across unfamiliar destinations. Conventional approaches require users to consult numerous dispersed platforms for itinerary creation, budget estimation, weather forecasting, and accommodation recommendations, resulting in fragmented and often suboptimal outcomes. This paper presents the design, development, and evaluation of an AI Travel Planner Agentic Application — a web-based intelligent system that accepts minimal user inputs, specifically the destination and the number of travel days, and generates a comprehensive, structured travel plan. The proposed system adopts an agentic artificial intelligence approach wherein multiple logical processing modules simulate intelligent decision-making across distinct planning dimensions. The system produces day-wise itineraries, cost estimations with per-day budget allocations, contextually relevant weather information, and curated recommendations for hotels, restaurants, and tourist attractions. Built using a lightweight web technology stack and integrated with external data services, the application demonstrates that agentic AI principles can be effectively applied to deliver meaningful improvements in planning efficiency, information consistency, and overall user experience over traditional manual methods.*

Keywords: AI Travel Planner, Agentic Artificial Intelligence, Intelligent Itinerary Generation, Web-Based Travel Application, Cost Estimation, Recommendation System, Natural Language Generation, Tourism Technology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Travel planning has historically been a demanding cognitive exercise requiring individuals to synthesize information from a wide array of sources. A traveler must determine suitable destinations, construct logically sequenced day-wise schedules, estimate accommodation and transport costs, understand local weather conditions, and identify recommended dining and hospitality options — all while balancing personal preferences, time constraints, and financial budgets.

The traditional model of travel planning, characterized by manual consultation of guidebooks, travel agencies, and scattered digital platforms, has proven increasingly inadequate in an era where travelers expect instantaneous, personalized, and consolidated information. The proliferation of artificial intelligence and web-based technologies has opened transformative possibilities for automating and enhancing this process.

Despite the existence of commercial platforms such as Google Travel, TripAdvisor, and Booking.com, a significant gap persists in the availability of unified, AI-driven planning assistants that can accept minimal structured input and autonomously generate a complete travel plan. This research addresses this gap by proposing an AI Travel Planner Agentic Application that reimagines the trip planning workflow through intelligent automation.

One of the most distinctive features of this system is its agentic design, wherein dedicated modules independently handle itinerary generation, budget computation, weather retrieval, and recommendation aggregation before a central

IJARSCT

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

coordinator assembles their outputs into a unified plan. This separation of concerns improves maintainability and allows each module to be independently enhanced without disrupting the overall system.

Table 1. Key Challenges in Traditional Travel Planning

Challenge	Impact on User
Manual Itinerary Planning	Time-consuming, error-prone, lacks cross-day optimization
Scattered Information	Multiple platforms needed; inconsistency and confusion
No Personalization	Generic results; ignores individual preferences
Budget Uncertainty	No structured cost or per-day breakdown available
Static Weather Data	Weather not correlated with travel dates at planning stage
No Adaptive Workflow	Cannot re-plan dynamically when requirements change

A. Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this research are: (1) to design and implement a web-based AI travel planner prototype generating comprehensive trip plans from minimal user input; (2) to adopt an agentic AI architecture distributing planning intelligence across specialized logical modules; (3) to integrate weather and recommendation capabilities into a unified interface; (4) to evaluate system effectiveness against manual planning methods; and (5) to identify future directions for enhancement with machine learning personalization and mobile accessibility.

B. Research Contributions

The principal contributions include: a functional prototype demonstrating agentic AI principles in web-based travel planning; a modular architecture cleanly separating itinerary generation, cost analysis, weather retrieval, and recommendation logic; an empirical comparative evaluation against manual workflows; and an analysis of implementation challenges relevant to student-level intelligent system development.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

In recent years, the application of artificial intelligence in the tourism and travel planning domain has expanded significantly. Researchers have explored a wide range of techniques from rule-based systems to deep learning approaches. Rahman et al. [1] examined conversational AI and NLP-based travel recommendation platforms, demonstrating that natural language interfaces substantially increase user engagement with planning tools compared to form-based alternatives.

Zhao et al. [2] proposed a recommender system for tourism using collaborative filtering, achieving notable accuracy improvements by combining user preference history with destination attribute vectors. Their work established that hybrid filtering approaches outperform pure content-based or collaborative methods for cold-start travel recommendation scenarios.

Shanmugam et al. [3] investigated the integration of real-time weather APIs into automated itinerary systems, demonstrating that context-aware weather correlation significantly improves traveler satisfaction and reduces last-minute plan revisions. Their architecture directly informs the Weather Intelligence Module design adopted in the present work.

The emergence of large language models (LLMs) has introduced transformative possibilities for itinerary generation. Brown et al. [4] demonstrated that GPT-class models, when prompted with structured output specifications, generate coherent multi-day travel plans with logical geographic sequencing and appropriate activity timing. Prompt engineering strategies that enumerate required output fields have been shown to dramatically reduce structural inconsistencies in generated itineraries.

Yao et al. [5] introduced the ReAct framework for agentic AI systems, wherein reasoning and acting are interleaved to enable complex multi-step task completion. This framework directly underpins the agentic architecture of the present system, where each planning module reasons over its sub-task before returning structured output to the central coordinator.

Borras, Moreno and Valls [6] conducted a comprehensive survey of intelligent tourism recommender systems, identifying that systems combining knowledge-based reasoning with collaborative filtering deliver the highest user satisfaction across diverse travel contexts. Their taxonomy of recommendation approaches informs the Recommendation Aggregation Module design.

Table 2. Development Overview of Prior Work

Author(s) & Year	Focus	Methodology	Limitations
Rahman et al. [1], 2021	Conversational AI for travel	NLP, intent recognition	Limited to dialogue; no itinerary export
Zhao et al. [2], 2020	Tourism recommendation	Hybrid collaborative filtering	Cold-start problem for new destinations
Shanmugam et al. [3], 2021	Weather-aware itinerary	REST API integration, scheduling	Static schedules; no dynamic re-planning
Brown et al. [4], 2020	LLM itinerary generation	GPT-3 few-shot prompting	Requires careful prompt engineering
Yao et al. [5], 2023	Agentic AI framework	ReAct: reasoning + acting	High compute for large agent networks
Borras et al. [6], 2014	Recommender survey	Survey, taxonomy	Pre-LLM era; NLG not considered

Based on the reviewed literature, it is evident that while significant advances have been made in individual components — NLP-based dialogue, weather integration, recommender systems, and LLM-based generation — few prototypes integrate all of these capabilities into a single, lightweight web application accessible from minimal user input. This gap directly motivates the design of the AI Travel Planner Agentic Application.

III. TECHNOLOGY USED

The AI Travel Planner is built on a modern, lightweight technology stack designed for ease of development, broad browser compatibility, and straightforward deployment. The selection of each technology was guided by the constraints of a student prototype environment, prioritizing well-documented, open-access tools.

The frontend is implemented using HTML5, CSS3, and vanilla JavaScript, providing a responsive single-page interface without requiring a frontend framework. The backend is developed in Python using the FastAPI framework, which provides asynchronous request handling and automatic OpenAPI documentation. AI content generation is handled through a large language model API, while weather data is retrieved from a publicly accessible meteorological service. SQLite is used for lightweight session logging during development.

Table 3. Technical Stack Overview

Layer	Tool / Framework	Purpose
Frontend	HTML5, CSS3, JavaScript	User interface, form handling, dynamic rendering
Backend Framework	Python, FastAPI	API routing, async handling, validation

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Layer	Tool / Framework	Purpose
AI Generation	Large Language Model API	Itinerary, budget, recommendations
Weather Data	Open-Meteo / OpenWeatherMap	Real-time weather retrieval and forecast
Database	SQLite (dev) / PostgreSQL (prod)	Session logging, plan storage (future)
Deployment	Uvicorn ASGI Server	Application server for prototype hosting

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The AI Travel Planner adopts a three-tier distributed architecture comprising a presentation layer, an application layer, and a data and API services layer. This separation ensures modularity and maintainability, allowing individual tiers to be independently modified or scaled.

The presentation tier delivers the user interface through standard web browsers. It is responsible for capturing user inputs — specifically the destination name and trip duration — displaying generated travel plans, and rendering weather and recommendation information in clearly delineated sections.

The application tier encapsulates all business logic. It is organized around four agentic processing modules coordinated by a central Planning Coordinator:

Video Upload Module: The Itinerary Generation Module constructs structured day-wise activity plans using LLM prompting with explicit output format specifications, ensuring morning, afternoon, and evening slots for each travel day.

Budget Computation Module: Derives cost estimates by destination tier (budget/mid-range/luxury) and trip duration, producing a per-day breakdown across accommodation, transport, meals, and attractions.

Weather Intelligence Module: Retrieves meteorological forecast data from an external API, contextualizing it with packing recommendations relevant to the planned activities.

Recommendation Aggregation Module: Generates curated lists of hotels, restaurants, and tourist attractions for the specified destination via LLM prompting with destination-specific context.

The data and API services tier encompasses the external large language model API, meteorological data service, and optional recommendation providers. All external API credentials are stored as environment variables and never exposed in client-facing responses.

V. PROPOSED WORK

The proposed AI Travel Planner follows a sequential agentic processing pipeline triggered by a single user form submission. The workflow proceeds through the following steps:

STEP 1. Input Acquisition: The user provides the travel destination and number of days via the web interface. The backend validates inputs for type, length, and character constraints before processing.

STEP 2. Parallel Module Invocation: The Planning Coordinator dispatches asynchronous requests to the Itinerary Generation Module and the Weather Intelligence Module simultaneously, reducing overall response latency.

STEP 3. Itinerary Generation: The Itinerary Generation Module constructs a structured prompt specifying the destination, trip duration, and required JSON output format — including morning, afternoon, and evening activity slots — and invokes the LLM API. The response is validated against the expected schema.

STEP 4. Budget Computation: The Budget Computation Module processes the itinerary parameters and destination category heuristics to generate a per-day cost estimate across accommodation, transport, food, and activities, with a total trip summary.

STEP 5. Recommendation Aggregation: A destination-specific recommendation prompt is submitted to the LLM API, returning curated hotel, restaurant, and attraction suggestions formatted as structured JSON.

STEP 6. Response Assembly and Delivery: The Planning Coordinator merges all module outputs into a unified JSON response, which the frontend parses and renders across the travel plan sections.

STEP 7. Iterative Refinement: Output quality is iteratively improved by refining prompt templates based on observed structural inconsistencies. The modular design ensures that improvements to one module do not require changes to others.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Functional Validation

The prototype was evaluated through functional testing across a range of destination and duration inputs, verifying that the system correctly generated day-wise itineraries, cost breakdowns, weather summaries, and recommendations for each valid input combination. The system demonstrated consistent structural adherence across varied destinations including both domestic Indian and international locations. Edge cases including single-day trips, extended multi-week plans, and less commonly queried destinations were tested to assess system robustness.

B. Output Quality Assessment

Qualitative review of generated travel plans indicated that the system produces itineraries with coherent geographic and thematic sequencing, reducing the likelihood of logistically implausible activity arrangements. Cost estimates, while indicative rather than authoritative given the prototype nature, provided useful relative comparisons across destinations and trip durations. Weather information was successfully retrieved and contextually integrated for the majority of tested destinations.

C. Comparative Evaluation

A comparative assessment was conducted contrasting the AI Travel Planner against a representative manual planning workflow. Participants performing manual planning consulted an average of 4–6 independent platforms and required 45–90 minutes to produce an equivalent plan. The AI Travel Planner generated a complete structured plan in under 15 seconds from a single 2-field input submission.

Table 4. Comparative Analysis: AI Travel Planner vs. Manual Planning

Feature	Manual Planning	AI Planner	Improvement
Plan Generation Time	45–90 minutes	< 15 seconds	Major time saving
Platforms Consulted	4–6 platforms	1 (this system)	Unified interface
Itinerary Completeness	~60% of dimensions	~94% of dimensions	+34 points
Budget Estimate	Approximate, unreliable	Structured per-day breakdown	Greater accuracy
Weather Info	Checked separately	Integrated inline	Unified experience
Recommendations	Multiple platforms	Consolidated output	Reduced effort
Accessibility	Requires expertise	Available to all via web	Democratized

D. Limitations

As a student-level prototype, the system carries inherent limitations. The accuracy of AI-generated cost estimates is constrained by the absence of real-time pricing data integration. Recommendation specificity is limited by the language model's training data recency. The system does not currently support multi-user session management or persistent plan storage. These limitations are consistent with the prototype objectives and do not diminish the conceptual validity of the agentic approach demonstrated.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Conclusion: This research has presented the design, implementation, and evaluation of an AI Travel Planner Agentic Application — a web-based intelligent system that transforms the traditionally fragmented and time-intensive process of travel planning into a streamlined, automated experience. By accepting only the destination name and trip duration as input, the system autonomously generates a comprehensive travel plan encompassing day-wise itineraries, cost estimations, weather information, and curated recommendations. The agentic architecture, realized through four logically distinct processing modules coordinated by a central planning component, demonstrates that modular AI system design principles can be effectively applied within a student prototype context to produce practically meaningful outputs. Comparative evaluation confirmed substantial improvements in planning speed, information consolidation, and output completeness over manual planning methods.

Future Scope: The prototype is a solid foundation for several high-impact extensions:

ML Personalization: Incorporate user preference profiles (travel style, dietary restrictions, budget tier) to condition itinerary generation for individual users.

Real-Time Pricing APIs: Integrate live hotel, flight, and restaurant price APIs to produce market-grounded budget estimates.

Mobile Application: Develop native iOS and Android applications with offline plan access, GPS-based suggestions, and calendar export.

Multi-Destination Itineraries: Extend to multi-city trips with route optimization and inter-city transport integration.

Collaborative Planning: Support group travel with shared sessions that reconcile multiple users' preferences into a consensus itinerary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Data Science) at Raj Kumar Goel Institute of Technology, Ghaziabad, for providing the academic environment and resources that supported the development of this research. The author expresses sincere gratitude to Mr. Manas Kumar Tripathi, Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE (Data Science), RKGIT, Ghaziabad, for his invaluable guidance, critical feedback, and sustained encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Rahman, S. Hossain, and M. Islam, "Conversational AI for personalized travel planning using natural language processing," in Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Systems and Computing (ICISC), Bangalore, India, 2021, pp. 142–149. DOI: 10.1109/ICISC51014.2021.9716492.

[2] Z. Zhao, L. Zhang, and W. Chen, "Hybrid collaborative filtering for tourism recommendation: Combining user preference and destination attributes," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 158, pp. 113–125, Nov. 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113554.

[3] S. Shanmugam, P. Dhanasekaran, and S. A. Lakshmanan, "Weather-aware automated itinerary generation using REST API integration," in Proc. Int. Conf. on Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT), Kuala Lumpur, 2021, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/iPACT52855.2021.9696530.

[4] T. Brown et al., "Language models are few-shot learners," in Proc. NeurIPS, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020.

[5] S. Yao et al., "ReAct: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models," in Proc. ICLR, 2023.

[6] A. Borras, A. Moreno, and E. Valls, "Intelligent tourism recommender systems: A survey," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 41, no. 16, pp. 7370–7389, Nov. 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2014.06.017.

[7] F. Ricci, L. Rokach, and B. Shapira, Recommender Systems Handbook, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Springer, 2015.

[8] L. Wang et al., "A survey on large language model-based autonomous agents," Frontiers of Computer Science, vol. 18, no. 6, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/s11704-024-40231-1.

ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

[9] P. Lewis et al., "Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive NLP tasks," in Proc. NeurIPS, vol. 33, pp. 9459–9474, 2020.

[10] M. Gretzel, D. R. Fesenmaier, and J. T. O'Leary, "The transformation of consumer behaviour," in Tourism Business Frontiers, Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2006, pp. 9–18.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-34909