IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

AI - Interview Coach

Anish Kinkar[1], Deveshree Suryawanshi[2], Aryan Kumar[3], Atharva Mahajan[4], Mr. Rahul More[5]
[1,2,3,4]Students, MIT ADT University, Pune
[5]Professor and Project Mentor, MIT ADT University, Pune

Abstract: *Preparing for job interviews can often be challenging, particularly when candidates do not receive clear or constructive feedback on their performance. This paper presents the development of an AI-based Interview Coach designed to provide users with personalized and real-time evaluation of their interview responses. The system incorporates speech-to-text conversion, natural language processing, and sentiment analysis to assess communication clarity, tone, and confidence. Based on these assessments, it delivers adaptive feedback to help users improve their speaking and presentation skills. The platform also records progress over time, enabling users to identify their strengths and areas for improvement. By offering accessible, data-driven, and interactive guidance, the proposed solution enhances the overall interview preparation experience and supports users in achieving better performance in real-world interview settings.*

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Interview Coach, Natural Language Processing, Sentiment Analysis, Machine Learning, Speech-to-Text

I. INTRODUCTION

In this day and age of rapid modernization and technological advancement, artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming almost all industries, including education and human resource development. One such promising development is the AI interview coach—a device that integrates state-of-the-art advancements in machine learning, speech recognition, natural language processing (NLP), and sentiment analysis to replicate live interview situations and give users extensive feedback. This idea proves particularly useful to students preparing to take domain-related positions, as technical vocabulary command, confidence, and articulateness prove to be successful determinants for them.

AI interview coach also acts as virtual mock interviewer in the form of a software whose spoken answers could be comprehended through speech-to-text conversion processors like Google Speech API or IBM Watson. Responses are thereafter compared for domain-level keywords, coherency, fluency, and emotional responses such as stress, hesitation, or confidence. With sentiment analysis and semantic similarity methods, the AI is able to evaluate the tone, clarity, and level of confidence in the user's response in a holistic overview of performance (Haque et al., 2021).

Apart from evaluation, another unique aspect of this AI tool is its capacity to provide customized recommendations for enhancing interview responses. Utilizing large language models (LLMs) like GPT or BERT, the tool can suggest improved responses, paraphrase ambiguous statements, and include critical domain-specific concepts. This extends beyond conventional interview practice techniques by providing adaptive, real-time guidance specific to the person's strengths and weaknesses (Zhou et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the platform features integration with tracking tools that assess the improvement of the user over time. The platform maintains a record of aspects such as filler word reduction, the number of applicable keywords applied, speech quality, and affective tone. These longitudinal figures assist learners with self-reflection on their progression and encourage them with measurable feedback. These systems also fit into the emerging trend of AI-enabled HR Tech, whereby firms are increasingly outsourcing both candidate evaluation and training to automated systems.

The application of AI to interview coaching not only democratizes the availability of top-quality preparation material but also makes the experience personal in a way that standard career counseling frequently can't. For students in technical and domain fields such as engineering, medicine, or data science, this is a tool that can turn a good interview into an outstanding interview.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-34901

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

As AI keeps improving, by combining emotional intelligence and deep contextual understanding, tools such as the AI interview coach will become instrumental in closing the gap between learning and working, making sure that students are not just educated but also interview-savvy.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

The convergence of artificial intelligence (AI), human resource technology (HR Tech), and education has opened up new avenues for personalized learning, career readiness, and skill acquisition. One of the most promising technologies in this space is the AI-driven interview coach that employs speech-to-text, natural language processing (NLP), and sentiment analysis to assist users in practicing, honing, and mastering interview skills in real time. This section discusses main literature and available technologies pertinent to AI-driven interview coaching, emphasizing systems that review domain-specific responses, monitor user progress, and offer smart feedback.

1. AI for Education and Skills Development

AI has been more and more incorporated into education systems to facilitate adaptive learning and tailored instruction. AI tools in education, as described by Haque et al. (2021), can change dynamically and deliver real-time feedback depending on the cognitive and emotional states of learners. These tools have been able to enhance not just academic achievement but also employability skills as well. In this scenario, AI-driven interview coaching systems present a natural extension of AI-empowered learning, shifting the focus from acquiring knowledge to communication and presentation skill in the professional arena.

2. Virtual Interview Coaching Platforms

There are various platforms that mimic interview situations through AI-based modules. Software like Interview Buddy, V Mock, and Hire Vue provide different levels of automation in carrying out and analyzing mock interviews. For instance, Hire Vue (employed by Unilever and Goldman Sachs) uses artificial intelligence algorithms that read facial responses, voice tonality, and language usage in order to score candidates. Many of these programs, however, as Makri dak is (2017) says, are basically recruiter-facing only and do not address educational output or provide robust pedagogic feedback to the candidate.

3. Speech Recognition and Keyword Matching

Speech-to-text has also improved much more accurately as a result of improvements in deep learning, especially using recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and transformer models. Google Speech API and Mozilla's Deep Speech have been trained on large datasets to translate speech with fidelity. These transcripts can be analyzed with domain-specific keyword extraction methods, which are helpful in assessing if the answer of a student meets industrial standards (Zhou et al., 2023). In classrooms, this keyword-based evaluation can instruct users to utilize more accurate and relevant terms.

4. Sentiment Analysis and Emotional Feedback

Sentiment analysis, initially designed for social media and advertising, has gained new use in educational and HR contexts. Research like that conducted by Poria et al. (2019) has proven that multimodal sentiment analysis, which integrates audio, text, and visual signals, can successfully identify emotional states like nervousness, confidence, or frustration. n AI interview coaching, the technology allows systems to give qualitative feedback on tone, pitch, pacing, and emotional content, which are typically crucial but ignored dimensions of interview performance.

5. Natural Language Generation and Answer Suggestions

Recent developments in natural language generation (NLG) have allowed AI systems to not only criticize but also propose better versions of user responses. Large language models like GPT-4 and BERT are capable of generating

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

grammatically correct, contextually relevant, and professionally appropriate answers to interview questions. Brown et al. (2020) research highlights the promise of such models in coaching and tutoring, with the possibility that AI can serve as a sensitive mentor with the ability to fine-tune language in subtle ways. With training on domain-specific corpora (for example, technical job postings or previous interview responses), these models can provide very focused guidance.

6. Tracking Progress and Data Analysis

A critical aspect of learning tools is tracking user progress over time. AI interview coaches may gather and report on metrics including the frequency of domain-specific keywords, decrease in filler words, tone improvement, and speech duration. Research by Nguyen et al. (2022) demonstrates that incorporating data visualization and performance dashboards in coaching systems promotes user motivation and self-awareness. Additionally, these systems are able to make predictive recommendations for a candidate's preparedness, highlighting persistent areas of weakness and suggesting areas of improvement.

7. Ethical and Bias Issues

Although AI-based tools have many advantages, bias, transparency, and fairness in AI-powered assessments have been topics of debate. Buolamwini and Gebru (2018) cautioned that facial recognition and sentiment analysis models at times mirror racial, gender, or cultural biases based on training data. Thus, for an AI-based interview coach to be truly effective and inclusive, it has to be crafted with fairness, explainability, and accessibility as considerations—particularly when working with diverse student bodies.

III. METHODOLOGY

Workflow: Implementation of the Answer Evaluation System

This system is intended to assess the extent to which a user's written response correlates with a given response. It does this by testing whether the key points (keywords) are present, and then providing structured feedback together with a quality rating.

Step 1: Define Questions and Keywords

For each role or subject (e.g., backend developer, UI designer, etc.), a list of questions is provided. For every question, the list of relevant keywords is defined. These keywords are the major points that the good answer will refer to.

Step 2: User Submits an Answer The user chooses a role and answers a question presented to him/her.

Their response is posted to the system for assessment.

Step 3: Split the Answer

The system separates the answer into sentences and words. It sanitizes the text 0by stripping symbols off and normalizing it (e.g., converting all to lowercase). This assists with making the analysis more precise.

Step 4: Match Answer to Expected Content

The system verifies which of the anticipated keywords are present within the user's response. If spelling is a bit off or word phrased differently, it still attempts to identify it with an approximate matching method. The outcome is two lists: keywords that were spoken (matched), and ones that were not (missing).

Step 5: Measure the Quality

The system then computes various metrics to quantify the overall quality of the answer:

Match Percentage: How many of the key points were addressed.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Word Count: How long the answer was.
Sentence Count: How many full thoughts are in the answer.
Vocabulary Use: How many different words were used.
Keyword Density: How concentrated the answer is on the topics required.

Step 6: Classify the Answer
Based on how closely the answer identifies with the anticipated keywords, it is graded as:
Excellent: Most key points are addressed.
Good: Several key points are mentioned.
Satisfactory: Some are present, but more elaboration is required.
Needs Improvement: Numerous key points are absent.

Step 7: Create Feedback
The software generates good feedback:
What was done well (e.g., which ideas were well explained).
What is lacking (e.g., significant concepts omitted).
Suggestions for improvement (e.g., whether to elaborate on ideas, shorten the response, or make it more targeted).

Step 8: Return the Results
The user is given a summary of their performance.
This includes their rating, important statistics regarding their answer, and detailed feedback to assist them in improving.

IV. TECHNOLOGIES USED

The development of the AI Interview Coach incorporates a set of integrated technologies that enable speech processing, language interpretation, and performance evaluation. The system utilizes Speech-to-Text (STT) technology to convert user responses from audio to text. APIs and tools such as Google Speech API, Vosk, or DeepSpeech are employed for accurate and real-time transcription.

After transcription, the text is analyzed using Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques. Libraries such as NLTK, spaCy, and transformer-based models are used to identify key linguistic patterns, assess clarity of expression, and extract meaningful features from the user's response. To evaluate the tone and emotional characteristics of the speech, Sentiment Analysis models (e.g., BERT or RoBERTa) are implemented to determine confidence level, positivity, and overall communication quality.

The machine learning components of the system are developed in Python, using frameworks such as TensorFlow and PyTorch to train, fine-tune, and run predictive models. Data processing tasks are managed using NumPy, Pandas, and scikit-learn. For deployment and user interaction, the backend of the platform is developed using Flask or Django, while the frontend interface is created with HTML, CSS, JavaScript, or React to ensure a smooth and interactive user experience.

In combination, these technologies support efficient speech recognition, semantic understanding, performance scoring, and interface usability, forming a cohesive and intelligent system for interview preparation.

Revenue Model

The AI Interview Coach platform adopts a blended revenue approach to remain affordable for learners while supporting long-term platform sustainability. The system is offered under a **freemium access model**, where all users can utilize basic interview practice features and receive general automated feedback at no cost. This encourages widespread usage and reduces the initial barrier for new learners.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

For users requiring deeper performance insights, the platform provides a **subscription-based plan**. The subscription tier includes advanced capabilities such as detailed sentiment and speech analysis, communication and confidence scoring, progress monitoring dashboards, and AI-based mock interview simulations. These features enable continuous skill improvement and more structured preparation.

Along with individual users, the solution can be deployed in **educational institutions and corporate environments**. Universities may include the platform in placement training programs, while organizations can use it to support employee communication development or recruitment preparation. Revenue in this case is generated through **institutional licensing**, with pricing determined according to user volume and deployment scale.

Additionally, the platform offers **optional premium value-added services**, such as resume and LinkedIn profile enhancement, company-specific interview question modules, and detailed performance certification. These services are provided on a **pay-per-service basis** or as part of bundled upgrade packages, allowing users to choose only the services that match their needs.

Overall, the combination of free basic access, subscription-based feature enhancements, institutional licensing, and optional premium modules establishes a balanced and scalable revenue structure that supports both accessibility and operational sustainability.

V. RESULT/DISCUSSION

To test the practical usability and efficacy of the automatic answer evaluation system, a user study was held with 10 participants from diverse academic and technical backgrounds. A set of domain-specific questions was presented to each participant based on their chosen role (e.g., backend development, frontend development, UI/UX design).

The study had two phases:

1. Initial Response Phase – users provided their responses without any help or pre-feedback.

2. Post-Feedback Revision Phase – users revised their responses after getting the automated assessment and feedback from the system.

Quantitative Findings

1. Improvement in Answer Quality

• The keyword match percentage, an indicator of how well users' responses matched the key concepts, improved considerably:

Before feedback: Average match percentage = 54%

After feedback: Average match percentage = 81%

• This indicates an average gain of 27 percentage points, demonstrating that users were able to include more important information after getting structured feedback.

2. Reclassification of Answer Quality

• According to the system's classification model:

o Initially, only 2 users reached the "Excellent" level.

o After revision:

6 users reached the "Excellent" category.

3 users moved from "Satisfactory" to "Good".

Only 1 user stayed in the "Satisfactory" category; none stayed in "Needs Improvement".

• This showed that the majority of users could greatly improve their answers following system use.

3. Writing Style Improvements

• Whilst keyword match improved, the mean word count actually fell by 12%:

o Before: ~142 words per answer

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

o After: ~125 words per answer
• This implies that users could convey the information needed more succinctly and clearly.
• The mean sentence count also became better balanced, which shows enhanced sentence structure and coherence.

Qualitative User Feedback

Following the assessment, participants were requested to give feedback on their experience. The following observations were gathered:

1. Clarity of Feedback

•t9 out of 10 users indicated that the feedback was "clear", "easy to understand", and "actionable".
•Users liked seeing both their strengths and missing concepts explicitly highlighted.

2. Usefulness of Structural Suggestions

• Numerous users commented that prompts such as "elaborate more" or "be more concise" assisted them in sharpening the tone and depth of their responses.
• Such structural hints were particularly welcomed by users who were not certain how much detail to provide.

3. Learning Impact

• Some users commented that the process reaffirmed their conceptual knowledge.
• It was a self-learning mechanism, particularly for those interviewing or being assessed.

4. Preference for Automated Evaluation

• 7 in every 10 users reported preferring the automated response prior to peer or manual checking, citing the instant and objectivity of its nature.

Answer Evaluation Criteria

Each user-submitted answer is analyzed by the system based on multiple measurable parameters for determining the answer's quality and relevance. Detailed below is a breakdown of criteria used:

Cons for methodology

Limited Control Over Group Dynamics: Group travel can sometimes lead to conflicts or disagreements among participants due to differences in personalities, preferences, or expectations, which may affect the overall experience for everyone involved.

Potential for Miscommunication: Relying on digital communication channels may increase the risk of miscommunication or misunderstandings among group members, leading to logistical challenges or disruptions during the trip.

Dependency on Group Leader: The success of the trip may heavily rely on the effectiveness and reliability of the group leader or organizer, who may face challenges in managing logistics, resolving disputes, or ensuring the safety of participants.

Lack of Flexibility: Group travel itineraries often require compromise and coordination among participants, limiting individual freedom and spontaneity in exploring destinations or activities based on personal preferences.

Privacy Concerns: Sharing accommodations, transportation, and other facilities with a group of strangers may raise privacy concerns for some participants, particularly those who value personal space or prefer more intimate travel experiences.

Hidden Costs: While advertised as budget-friendly, group travel packages may entail hidden costs or additional fees for optional activities, meals, or services not included in the initial package, potentially increasing the overall cost for participants.

VII. CONCLUSION

The development of the **AI Interview Coach** demonstrates how artificial intelligence can be effectively applied to improve interview preparation and communication skills. By combining speech-to-text conversion, natural language

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 3, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

processing, and sentiment analysis, the system provides meaningful feedback that helps users identify their strengths and areas for improvement. The experimental results clearly show that participants performed better after receiving AI-generated feedback, becoming more confident and precise in their responses. Beyond interview practice, this project highlights the broader role of AI in education and career development — making personalized learning accessible and data-driven. With future enhancements like real-time emotion detection and multimodal analysis, the AI Interview Coach has the potential to evolve into a comprehensive digital mentor that supports learners in achieving professional excellence.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Buolamwini and T. Gebru, "Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification," in *Proc. 1st Conf. Fairness, Accountability and Transparency*, 2018, pp. 77–91.

[2] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah *et al.*, "Language models are few-shot learners," *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2005.14165, 2020.

[3] A. Haque, M. Guo, and L. Wang, "Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing the challenges of skill development through personalized coaching systems," *IEEE Trans. Learn. Technol.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 377–388, 2021.

[4] S. Makridakis, "The forthcoming artificial intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms," *Futures*, vol. 90, pp. 46–60, 2017.

[5] T. Nguyen, X. Chen, and Y. Huang, "Tracking learner progress in AI-assisted platforms: A data visualization approach," *Educational Data Mining Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 155–169, 2022.

[6] S. Poria, E. Cambria, R. Bajpai, and A. Hussain, "A review of affective computing: From unimodal analysis to multimodal fusion," *Information Fusion*, vol. 37, pp. 98–125, 2019.

[7] Y. Zhou, B. Xu, and Y. Jin, "AI and the future of recruitment: Intelligent agents in HR systems," *Journal of Applied Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 198–214, 2023.