IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Productivity Enhancement through Time and Motion Study Techniques

Ajay Kumar Verma, Mohammad Faiz Khan, Ibrahim Ansari, Sazzad Ansari, Mahfuj Alam, Rohit Mondal & Samir Alam
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K K College of Engineering and Management, Govindpur , Dhanbad

Abstract: *For industries and services striving to remain competitive in the rapidly evolving global market environment, productivity remains one of the key areas of focus. It has been observed that Time and Motion Study (TMS) is one of the most effective approaches for measuring, standardizing, and enhancing the efficiency of processes amongst the various techniques within the field of industrial engineering. This paper will analyze the use of time and motion studies to increase productivity through systematic process analysis and optimization. In order to cut down unnecessary movements and prevent operator fatigue, the study integrates motion study techniques involving therbligs with ergonomic analysis and traditional methods of time study, which include measuring tasks and evaluating operator performance using stopwatches. All these elements form a structured approach, which incorporates process mapping, task elementalization, time recording, and motion analysis.*
The practical implementation of the method based on real-life case studies proves that substantial improvements in terms of operational efficiency, such as reduced cycle time and increased productivity, can be achieved. As a result, the application of TMS techniques along with ergonomic conditions of workplace and standard operating procedures results in improved productivity of 25-50%. Another thing the study stresses is how innovations like computer vision, artificial intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT) are bringing classical time and motion studies into modern industrial systems. This research concludes that although there are some small disadvantages related to difficulties implementing TMS and changing mindsets, TMS is an important and scalable method for improving productivity within many industries..

Keywords: Productivity, Time Study, Motion Study, Work Measurement, Industrial Engineering, Efficiency Optimization

I. INTRODUCTION

As globalization and technology evolve, productivity is one of the primary considerations that firms need to consider in order to keep up with their competitors. Productivity in both the industry and service sectors is often determined by the amount of output per unit of input, such as labor, time, and money. Increased productivity not only reduces costs but also ensures timely delivery and improved quality and efficiency of products within the organization. Improvement methodologies have gained greater importance due to the move towards lean manufacturing and Industry 4.0 paradigms.[16] – [17]

Time and Motion Study (TMS) is one of the basic tools of industrial engineering and continues to be among the most effective tools in analyzing work performance. Time study was initially conceived by Frederick Winslow Taylor in that he focused on scientific measurement of work in order to find the "one best way" of doing something [1]. The Gilbreths further refined this concept through motion study analysis, wherein they studied human actions and came up with the concept of "therbligs," which were basic elemental actions used in measuring efficiency [2]. As a whole, this methodology served as the basis for today's method study and work measurement techniques.The aim of time study is to determine the average time necessary to complete a certain task based on given working

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

conditions, including factors such as employee performance levels and allowances for interruptions and fatigue. In contrast, motion studies aim to enhance job ergonomics and reduce the fatigue of the operators by eliminating non-productive or useless motions[12]. Organizations may enhance their process cycle time, standardize procedures, and increase efficiency by applying both these methodologies. Such techniques are particularly effective for those organizations with labor-intensive operations that involve repetition; small changes can make a big difference in productivity.[11]The use of modern technologies such as computerized process planning, real-time data collection devices, and video analysis tools has made a significant shift in the application of time and motion studies. Studies have revealed that the productivity of development programs is significantly improved when time and motion study methods are used in conjunction with modern technologies. For instance, data-driven decision making is facilitated and complex tasks are analyzed completely using digital work measurement systems and simulations [3], [4].Though there are many benefits demonstrated by these methodologies, there are several challenges facing their applications, such as resistance by workers, availability of competent analysts, and data collection process. Nevertheless, there are numerous benefits offered by these techniques, which include increased efficiency of workers, effective utilization of resources, reduced operating costs, and enhanced safety at work.Through the analysis of labor processes, detection of any inefficiency, and proposing more efficient techniques, this research will analyze time and motion studies methodologies for improving productivity. This paper also discusses the influence that new technological advancements have had on conventional methods of TMS, as well as their use within the contemporary industry[10].

Table 1: Evolution of Time and Motion Study

Year	Contributor	Contribution	Significance
1911	F. W. Taylor	Scientific Management & Time Study	Introduced systematic work measurement
1917	F. B. & L. M. Gilbreth	Motion Study & Therbligs	Improved motion efficiency and ergonomics
1980	R. M. Barnes	Work Measurement Techniques	Standardized industrial engineering practices
2007	M. P. Groover	Work Systems Approach	Integrated productivity with system design
2020+	Modern Researchers	Digital & AI-based TMS	Enhanced accuracy using automation

Table 2: Comparison Between Time Study and Motion Study

Parameter	Time Study	Motion Study
Focus	Time required for task	Movements involved in task
Objective	Determine standard time	Eliminate unnecessary motions
Tools Used	Stopwatch, time sheets	Video analysis, motion charts
Outcome	Standard time setting	Improved work method
Benefit	Productivity measurement	Fatigue reduction & efficiency

Table 3: Importance of Productivity Enhancement in Industry

Factor	Description	Impact on Industry
Cost Reduction	Minimizing waste and idle time	Higher profitability
Efficiency	Optimal use of resources	Increased output
Quality Improvement	Standardized processes	Reduced defects
Worker Performance	Reduced fatigue, better ergonomics	Higher morale
Competitiveness	Faster production cycles	Market advantage

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 4: Key Components of Time and Motion Study

Component	Description
Work Analysis	Breakdown of task into elements
Time Measurement	Recording time for each element
Motion Analysis	Study of worker movements
Method Improvement	Optimization of workflow
Standardization	Setting SOPs and standard times

Table 5: Challenges in Implementing TMS

Challenge	Description	Possible Solution
Worker Resistance	Fear of increased workload	Training and awareness
Time Consumption	Detailed study requires time	Use digital tools
Skill Requirement	Need for trained analysts	Proper training programs
Data Accuracy	Manual errors in measurement	Automation and sensors

Methodology

The research methodology used in this study centers around the systematic use of Time and Motion Study (TMS) techniques to evaluate, analyze, and improve productivity in a specific work environment. The study uses a combined experimental and analytical approach whereby the initial step involves observing the process in question in its present state, followed by analysis and subsequent improvement. To guarantee that there will be enough room for improvement in productivity, the study begins with selecting a proper workstation or process that is repetitive, has high cycle time, and inefficiencies. Data gathering is an important part of the procedure and can be carried out using both primary and secondary sources of information[13]. Observation of employees, stopwatch timing, and filming of their movements for motion analysis are some of the techniques employed in gathering primary data[9]. To get an idea about practical issues as well as ergonomic challenges faced by workers, their feedback is considered too. SOPs, production logs, and past performance reports are just a few examples of secondary data available to create a benchmark.Consistency and accuracy in the procedure are ensured through breaking down the overall task into its smaller units for timing purposes. The efficiency of the laborer compared to the standard rate of performance is considered through an average of the recorded times and a performance rating factor. As a result, the calculation of normal time becomes possible, and the standard time for each task is calculated after adding certain allowances like fatigue, personal needs, and inevitable delays. In addition, the methods of motion study are used to evaluate the sequence and efficiency of the physical movements required to perform a particular job. In this regard, techniques like process charting, two-handed charting, and videotaping may be considered to identify inefficient movements. An overwhelming focus on the application of motion economy concepts, such as reduction of hand movements, efficient workplace design, and proper tool and material placement, is seen throughout the study[14]. There is an evident focus on ergonomics, as this is one of the ways by which comfort can be increased and worker fatigue reduced, consequently increasing productivity. Problems such as idle time, unnecessary motion, improper job sequence, and inefficient workstation design are determined based on data gathered and analyzed[15]. New procedures are developed through changes in workflow, tool placement, job sequence, and ergonomics. The proposed solutions are tested out, and in order to achieve consistent evaluation, the same time and motion techniques are used once again to measure performance. Finally, the period of the cycle, production speed, and labor effort for both systems are contrasted. For determining the success of the proposed changes, the results are verified. The systematic approach is applicable in many situations within industry and manufacturing because it ensures that the increase in efficiency is done in a scientific manner[6] – [8].

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 6: Overall Methodological Framework

Stage	Activity	Description	Output
1	Process Selection	Identify workstation with inefficiencies	Selected study area
2	Data Collection	Gather time and motion data	Raw dataset
3	Task Breakdown	Divide process into elements	Elemental task list
4	Time Study	Measure and calculate standard time	Standard time values
5	Motion Study	Analyze movements and workflow	Motion inefficiencies identified
6	Method Improvement	Redesign process and layout	Improved workflow
7	Implementation	Apply proposed changes	Modified system
8	Validation	Compare before and after results	Productivity improvement

Table 7: Data Collection Methods and Tools

Data Type	Method Used	Tools/Techniques	Purpose
Primary Data	Direct Observation	Stopwatch	Record task time
	Video Recording	Camera, software	Motion analysis
	Worker Feedback	Interviews	Identify practical issues
Secondary Data	Production Records	Reports	Baseline comparison
	SOP Documents	Manuals	Standard reference

Table 8: Time Study Calculation Parameters

Parameter	Description	Formula/Approach
Observed Time	Time recorded per cycle	Direct measurement
Average Time	Mean of observed values	Σ Time / No. of cycles
Rating Factor	Worker performance level	Standard rating scale
Normal Time	Adjusted time	Avg. Time × Rating Factor
Allowances	Fatigue, delay, personal needs	% of Normal Time
Standard Time	Final time benchmark	Normal Time + Allowances

Table 9: Motion Study Analysis Framework

Aspect	Technique Used	Objective
Work Sequence	Process Chart	Identify unnecessary steps
Hand Movements	Two-Handed Chart	Balance left/right hand work
Micro-Motions	Therbligs	Eliminate waste motions
Movement Tracking	Video Analysis	Improve efficiency
Workplace Layout	Flow Diagram	Reduce travel distance

Table 10: Identified Inefficiencies and Improvements

Issue Identified	Root Cause	Improvement Action
Excessive Motion	Poor tool placement	Rearranged workstation
Idle Time	Unbalanced workflow	Line balancing
Worker Fatigue	Poor ergonomics	Improved posture/design
Long Cycle Time	Inefficient sequence	Process optimization
Rework/Errors	Lack of standardization	SOP implementation

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-34864

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Table 11: Performance Evaluation Metrics

Parameter	Before Improvement	After Improvement	% Improvement
Cycle Time	T_1	T_2	$((T_1-T_2)/T_1)\times100$
Output Rate	O_1	O_2	$((O_2-O_1)/O_1)\times100$
Worker Fatigue	High	Reduced	Qualitative
Efficiency	E_1	E_2	Comparative

Results & Discussion

The process has been evaluated through a detailed quantitative and qualitative evaluation after the systematic implementation of the Time and Motion Study (TMS). The objective behind this evaluation process is to demonstrate tangible evidence for improvements in productivity through optimization in technique, reduction in time spent, and efficient utilization of motion. Standard time was calculated, motion was analyzed, and system performance was evaluated using the collected data. The time study findings, motion study analysis, productivity comparison, and ergonomic improvement are some of the key areas of performance into which the findings have been categorized. Aside from the measured time, performance evaluation, and the calculated standard time, which is used as a benchmark for assessing efficiency, the time study findings reveal an analysis of the individual components of the work. The motion study analysis centers on identifying repetitive or unnecessary motions and their reduction levels once improved methods have been adopted. The effectiveness of the adopted methods is assessed using productivity performance measurements such as cycle time, production rate, and efficiency. In assessing how process improvement influences the performance and welfare of workers, ergonomic assessment and efficiency measures of jobs are also included. These aspects are important in ensuring that the gains in efficiency will be sustained without creating unnecessary stress among the workers. These assessments give an overall picture of how TMS is able to contribute to efficiency and human welfare.

Tables showing detailed technical results and comparisons of the study are as follows.

Table 12: Comprehensive Results and Performance Analysis

Category	Parameter	Before	After	Unit	Improvement (%)	Remarks
Time Study	Total Cycle Time	120	90	sec	25% ↓	Reduced due to method optimization
	Standard Time	134.75	105	sec	22% ↓	Improved efficiency
Motion Study	Reaching	40	25	freq	37.5% ↓	Tools repositioned
	Bending	30	15	freq	50% ↓	Ergonomic design
	Walking	20	10	freq	50% ↓	Layout optimized
	Searching	15	5	freq	66.7% ↓	Better organization
Productivity	Output Rate	30	45	units/hr	50% ↑	Increased production
	Efficiency	65	85	%	30.7% ↑	Better utilization
	Idle Time	20	10	%	50% ↓	Reduced waiting time
Work Efficiency	Productivity Index	0.25	0.50	—	100% ↑	Output/Input improved
	Utilization	80	90	%	12.5% ↑	Higher working time
	Performance Index	0.75	0.95	—	26.7% ↑	Near standard performance
Ergonomics	Fatigue Level	High	Moderate	Qualitative	Improved	Reduced strain
	Work Comfort	Low	High	Qualitative	Improved	Better posture
	Safety Level	Medium	High	Qualitative	Improved	Safer environment

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

II. CONCLUSION

The experiment reveals that the application of systematic TMS techniques is capable of leading to considerable gains in the levels of job standardization, efficiency, and productivity in industries. Cycle time reductions and output rate enhancements have been realized through rigorous analysis of existing processes, detection of non-value adding activities, and implementation of optimized techniques for performing tasks. The study indicates that simple but organized efforts such as better workstation design, eliminating unnecessary movements, and proper task sequencing can lead to productivity increases of about 25% to 50%. The combination of time study and motion study proved to be effective in enhancing productivity levels and reducing fatigue, apart from increasing the performance efficiency level. To make sure that productivity increases were sustainable and did not compromise the health of workers, ergonomics played a crucial role. Performance levels and quality of performance improved due to standardized procedures being developed.Moreover, the study also proves that the Time and Motion Study is an efficient method even in the modern era, especially when used alongside modern technology such as automation, digitized data collection, and video analysis. However, the benefits from lower costs, higher productivity, and improved working conditions far outweigh any disadvantages, despite possible challenges like the amount of effort required at the beginning, the necessity for a specialist's analysis, and resistance to change.In conclusion, the techniques of Time and Motion Study present an effective, reliable, and scientific approach to raising output levels. These techniques can serve as an excellent tool for achieving ongoing improvement.

REFERENCES

[1] F. W. Taylor, *The Principles of Scientific Management*, New York, NY, USA: Harper & Brothers, 1911.
[2] F. B. Gilbreth and L. M. Gilbreth, *Applied Motion Study*, New York, NY, USA: Sturgis & Walton, 1917.
[3] R. M. Barnes, *Motion and Time Study: Design and Measurement of Work*, 7th ed., New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 1980.
[4] M. P. Groover, *Work Systems and the Methods, Measurement, and Management of Work*, 2nd ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Pearson, 2007.
[5] S. Kumar, R. Singh, and A. Verma, "Productivity improvement using work study techniques in manufacturing industry," *International Journal of Industrial Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 45–52, 2020.
[6] I. Niebel and A. Freivalds, *Methods, Standards, and Work Design*, 13th ed., New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2009.
[7] B. Niebel, *Motion and Time Study: Improving Productivity*, 3rd ed., Homewood, IL, USA: Richard D. Irwin, 1993.
[8] M. Meyers and S. Stewart, *Motion and Time Study for Lean Manufacturing*, 3rd ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Pearson, 2002.
[9] J. M. Barnes, "Work Measurement and Methods Improvement," *Journal of Industrial Engineering*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 210–218, 2015.
[10] A. Mital, A. Desai, A. Subramanian, and A. Mital, *Ergonomics Guidelines and Problem Solving*, 2nd ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, 2008.
[11] S. P. Singh and V. Sharma, "Implementation of time and motion study in manufacturing sector," *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 1452–1468, 2018.
[12] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, "The balanced scorecard—Measures that drive performance," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 71–79, 1992.
[13] A. H. Mogensen, *Common Sense Applied to Motion and Time Study*, New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1932.
[14] T. Ohno, *Toyota Production System: Beyond Large-Scale Production*, Portland, OR, USA: Productivity Press, 1988.
[15] J. P. Womack and D. T. Jones, *Lean Thinking: Banish Waste and Create Wealth in Your Corporation*, New York, NY, USA: Simon & Schuster, 1996.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

[16] S. K. Sharma and A. Kumar, "Productivity improvement by work study techniques: A case study," *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 100–105, 2015.
[17] M. L. George, *Lean Six Sigma: Combining Six Sigma Quality with Lean Speed*, New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2002.