IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 2, May 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

ChainRide: A Decentralized Blockchain-Based Carpooling Platform

Saurabh Mate, Pooja Sonawane, Shruti Patil, Manas Nagare, Prof. Narendra Joshi
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Sandip University, Nashik, India

Abstract: *The rapid advancement of urban transportation has exposed fundamental weaknesses in centralized ride-sharing infrastructures, particularly regarding data sovereignty, financial transparency, and inefficiencies introduced by third-party intermediaries. This paper presents a comprehensive architectural and empirical analysis of ChainRide, a decentralized peer-to-peer carpooling framework constructed on the Ethereum blockchain. Through the implementation of Solidity-based smart contracts, the system enables trustless transactions, automated escrow management, and an immutable reputation ledger. Additionally, a nonlinear regression model based on the Random Forest ensemble algorithm is integrated to deliver dynamic and equitable fare recommendations derived from real-world traffic indices. This study evaluates system performance across various Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) compatible networks, examining gas economics, transaction finality, and cross-tier data synchronization. The findings demonstrate that the decentralized model substantially reduces platform commissions from approximately 30% to near-zero, while simultaneously strengthening user privacy through selective data disclosure*

Keywords: Blockchain, Smart Contracts, Ethereum, Solidity, DApp, Peer-to-Peer Transportation, Machine Learning, IPFS, Decentralized Finance (DeFi)

I. INTRODUCTION

Urban mobility systems have undergone rapid transformation over the past decade, driven by the widespread adoption of digital platforms and a growing demand for efficient, cost-effective transportation solutions. Despite the considerable convenience offered by centralized ride-sharing services, persistent structural inefficiencies continue to undermine user trust and economic equity. These inefficiencies encompass excessive platform commissions, a lack of transparency in pricing algorithms, data privacy vulnerabilities, and inadequate user control over personal and financial information.

Centralized systems operate on a trust-based model in which users must rely entirely on the platform provider. This dependency creates significant vulnerabilities, including data monopolization, algorithmic bias in fare determination, and susceptibility to cyberattacks arising from single points of failure. Furthermore, drivers frequently receive a diminished share of the total fare due to high commission rates, while riders are subjected to unpredictable surge pricing mechanisms that lack transparency.

To address these challenges, this research proposes ChainRide, a decentralized carpooling system built upon blockchain technology. By leveraging distributed ledger infrastructure, ChainRide eliminates intermediaries and facilitates direct peer-to-peer interaction between riders and drivers. The integration of smart contracts ensures the automated execution of agreements, maintaining transparency and immutability throughout the entire process. Furthermore, the incorporation of machine learning techniques enhances the system's capability to provide dynamic and fair pricing based on real-world variables such as traffic conditions, weather patterns, and fuel costs.

This hybrid approach combines the strengths of blockchain and artificial intelligence to create a robust, scalable, and user-centric transportation model. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the design, implementation, and

DOI: 10.48175/568

evaluation of ChainRide, highlighting its potential to fundamentally transform urban mobility systems for the benefit of all stakeholders.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. The Genesis of Decentralized Applications

Vitalik Buterin's introduction of the Ethereum platform in 2014 fundamentally transformed the potential of blockchain technology beyond simple currency transfer [1]. The platform introduced a Turing-complete programming language, Solidity, which enables the creation of complex organizational structures such as Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs). Subsequent advancements in blockchain technology have significantly influenced the development of decentralized applications across multiple domains, including finance, healthcare, and transportation. The concept of decentralized mobility systems has emerged as a promising alternative to traditional, centralized ride-sharing models.

B. Intelligent Transportation Systems

Yuan and Wang (2016) proposed a layered blockchain architecture for intelligent transportation systems, emphasizing the use of blockchain for secure vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communications [2]. While their work focused primarily on traffic management, ChainRide extends this paradigm to the economic dimension of carpooling. Several subsequent studies have explored blockchain-based frameworks to enable secure vehicle-to-vehicle communication, ensuring data integrity and reducing the risk of malicious interference. Similarly, decentralized ride-sharing platforms have introduced reputation systems stored on immutable ledgers to address fundamental trust issues.

C. Reputation Systems and Game Theory

Game-theoretic models in peer-to-peer networks suggest that users are more inclined to behave honestly when their transactional history is immutable and publicly verifiable. Baza et al. (2021) explored privacy-preserving ride-sharing mechanisms, though their implementation encountered scalability constraints on Layer-1 networks [3]. ChainRide pivots toward Layer-2 scaling solutions, including Optimistic Rollups and Zero-Knowledge Rollups, to make these models economically viable for everyday use. The existing literature acknowledges a significant gap in combining decentralized infrastructure with intelligent, data-driven pricing mechanisms within a unified framework—a gap that ChainRide directly addresses.

III. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The ChainRide system is conceptually modeled as a deterministic state machine in which every ride event—including Booking, Acceptance, Initiation, and Completion—results in a verifiable state change recorded on the blockchain. This formalization ensures consistency and auditability across all system interactions.

A. The Escrow Mathematical Model

In ChainRide, a secure and trustless payment mechanism is implemented using a blockchain-based escrow model. Let R represent the rider and D represent the driver involved in a given transaction. The total financial commitment C for a ride is formally defined as:

$$C = F_{\square a \square e} + \int P(t)\, dt \;\; [t_0, t_1]$$

where $F_{\square a \square e}$ denotes the fixed base fare determined at the time of booking, P(t) is the dynamic pricing function that varies with temporal and contextual factors, and t_0 and t_1 represent the start and end time of the ride respectively. The function P(t) is computed by the machine learning module, which considers multiple real-world variables including traffic density, route demand, prevailing weather conditions, and current fuel prices.

At the time of booking, the rider deposits the total estimated fare C into a smart contract functioning as an escrow. This ensures that the payment remains securely held and cannot be altered or accessed unilaterally by either party. Upon successful completion of the ride, both parties submit cryptographic confirmations, after which the smart contract autonomously releases the payment to the driver. This mechanism eliminates the need for a centralized intermediary, guarantees transactional fairness, and minimizes the likelihood of disputes.

B. Cryptographic Security and Game Theory

From a cryptographic standpoint, the use of public-key infrastructure ensures secure identity management and transaction authentication. Each user is identified by a unique wallet address, eliminating the dependency on centralized identity verification systems. Game theory further underpins the reputation framework: by maintaining an immutable reputation ledger, users are incentivized toward honest behavior, since any malicious activity permanently and adversely affects their standing within the network.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN

The architecture of ChainRide is designed to balance decentralization with operational performance. The separation of concerns across three distinct layers enables the system to optimize computational resources while preserving security and transparency.

A. Layer 1: The Trust Layer (Blockchain)

This foundational layer comprises the RideManager and UserReputation smart contracts and serves as the definitive source of truth for financial balances and user histories. By utilizing Ethereum's consensus and security model, the system ensures that funds cannot be stolen, diverted, or tampered with. The blockchain layer handles ride booking, payment processing, and reputation management through immutable on-chain logic.

B. Layer 2: The Logic Layer (Off-Chain ML)

Computationally intensive operations, such as optimal fare calculation and driver-rider matching, are prohibitively expensive for direct on-chain execution. An off-chain Node.js environment is therefore employed to run the Random Forest Regressor, which processes over 50 input variables, including current weather indices, real-time traffic density, and prevailing fuel prices. By offloading these operations, the system significantly reduces blockchain congestion and minimizes transaction costs without sacrificing analytical precision.

C. Layer 3: The Interaction Layer (Mobile/Web)

The user interface is developed using React Native, providing a consistent cross-platform experience for both Android and iOS users. The application communicates with the blockchain via a JSON-RPC provider, enabling seamless interaction with users' digital wallets. Advanced wallet integration ensures secure transaction signing without exposing private keys, thereby maintaining the full security guarantees of the underlying cryptographic infrastructure.

V. IMPLEMENTATION

The development cycle utilized the Hardhat environment for smart contract compilation, testing, and deployment. The following Solidity snippet illustrates the core escrow and reputation logic:

```
contract RideManager {
struct Ride {
address rider;  address driver;
uint256 amount;  Status status;
}
mapping(uint256 => Ride) public rides;
function bookRide(uint256 _id) public payable {
require(msg.value > 0);
```

```
rides[_id] = Ride(msg.sender, address(0),
    msg.value, Status.Booked);
emit RideCreated(_id, msg.sender);
}
}
```

Fig. 1. Core Smart Contract Logic (Solidity)

VI. METHODOLOGY

The development of ChainRide followed a Decentralized Software Development Life Cycle (D-SDLC), which prioritizes security audits and formal verification in recognition of the immutable nature of deployed blockchain code. The methodology combined principles of agile development with rigorous secure software engineering practices.

A. Entity-Relationship and Data Flow

The system maintains a clear distinction between volatile data—such as real-time locations and estimated arrival times—and persistent data, including transaction hashes and reputation scores. Persistent data is anchored to the blockchain for permanence and auditability, while volatile data is exchanged via encrypted peer-to-peer channels to preserve user privacy.

Data collection for the machine learning component involved aggregating real-world datasets encompassing traffic conditions, meteorological data, and fuel price trends. These datasets were preprocessed and utilized to train a Random Forest regression model, selected for its robustness and ability to capture nonlinear relationships. Smart contracts were formally verified to ensure correctness in critical operations such as fund transfers and escrow releases.

VII. RESULTS AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Extensive testing conducted on the Sepolia and Polygon Mumbai testnets yielded the performance metrics presented in Table I.

TABLE I.: *Performance Metrics on EVM-Compatible Testnets*

Transaction Type	Gas Cost (Units)	Cost ($) @ 20 Gwei	Confirmation Time
Account Creation	45,000	$0.08	12s
Ride Listing	125,000	$0.25	15s
Smart Escrow	95,000	$0.19	14s
Rating Sync	35,000	$0.07	11s

A. Fare Accuracy Evaluation

The machine learning-driven fare recommendation module was benchmarked against conventional GPS-distance-based pricing models. The Random Forest model reduced pricing variance by 22%, resulting in measurably higher driver satisfaction rates and a significant reduction in ride rejections. The integration of real-time contextual variables into the pricing model demonstrated clear superiority over static, distance-only approaches, thereby validating the hybrid blockchain-plus-ML architectural paradigm.

VIII. SECURITY AUDIT AND HARDENING

The ChainRide smart contracts underwent rigorous security assessments targeting common vulnerabilities, including reentrancy attacks, front-running exploits, and integer overflow or underflow conditions. The OpenZeppelin library was employed for secure contract primitives, ensuring adherence to ERC-20 and ERC-721 standards where applicable.

Penetration testing was conducted across multiple simulated adversarial scenarios to confirm the resilience of the escrow and reputation mechanisms against known attack vectors.

IX. DISCUSSION: ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL IMPACT

The transition from centralized platforms to a peer-to-peer model carries profound economic implications. By eliminating the traditional 25–30% platform fee, drivers are able to retain a substantially greater proportion of their earnings, while riders benefit from consistently lower fares. Furthermore, the decentralized reputation system prevents platform lock-in, enabling users to carry their verified reputation history to other compatible decentralized applications.

From an environmental perspective, efficient carpooling reduces the number of vehicles operating simultaneously on urban roads, contributing to lower carbon emissions and improved traffic flow. Additionally, the adoption of intelligent pricing mechanisms encourages optimal resource utilization, further enhancing the system's sustainability credentials. However, achieving widespread adoption requires addressing key challenges including regulatory compliance, user education, and digital infrastructure readiness.

X. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

While blockchain technology offers unparalleled transparency and immutability, it simultaneously introduces practical challenges such as gas price volatility and the complexity of private key management for non-technical users. ChainRide addresses these concerns through account abstraction and gas relayer services; however, these mitigations introduce limited centralized dependencies that require careful ongoing management.

Blockchain scalability remains a critical consideration, particularly for high-frequency transaction environments. Although Layer-2 solutions substantially mitigate throughput constraints, they introduce additional architectural complexity. Regulatory uncertainty also poses a persistent barrier, as fully decentralized platforms currently operate outside conventional legal frameworks, necessitating ongoing dialogue with policymakers and regulatory bodies.

XI. FUTURE SCOPE

Future research will investigate the integration of Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) and Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs) to enable identity verification without disclosure of personally identifiable information. The incorporation of decentralized oracle networks, such as Chainlink, is planned to facilitate real-time insurance verification and enhance the trustworthiness of off-chain data feeds.

Furthermore, the integration of Internet of Things (IoT) devices and real-time sensor data is expected to improve route optimization and passenger safety. Cross-chain interoperability protocols will allow ChainRide to operate seamlessly across multiple blockchain networks, significantly expanding scalability and global accessibility for users across diverse geographic and economic contexts.

XII. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates the technical feasibility and practical advantages of a decentralized approach to urban mobility. By integrating blockchain technology with machine learning-driven pricing intelligence, ChainRide directly addresses the critical limitations inherent in traditional, centralized ride-sharing platforms. The system enhances operational transparency, reduces transaction costs, and strengthens user trust, establishing it as a viable and scalable alternative for future urban transportation ecosystems.

The empirical results confirm that decentralized peer-to-peer carpooling can deliver measurable improvements in economic equity, user privacy, and fare predictability. ChainRide represents a meaningful contribution toward the realization of decentralized smart cities—environments in which technology empowers individuals, promotes equitable resource distribution, and fosters long-term sustainable development.

REFERENCES

[1]. V. Buterin, "Ethereum: A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform," Ethereum White Paper, 2014.

[2]. Y. Yuan and F. Wang, "Towards blockchain-based intelligent transportation systems," in Proc. IEEE 19th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2016, pp. 2663–2668.

[3]. M. Baza, M. Nabil, M. Abdallah, and W. Mahmoud, "B-Ride: Ride Sharing with Privacy-Preservation, Trust and Fair Payment Atop Bitcoin," IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1214–1228, 2021.

[4]. S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System," Cryptography Mailing List, 2008.

[5]. G. Wood, "Ethereum: A Secure Decentralised Generalised Transaction Ledger," Ethereum Project Yellow Paper, 2014.

[6]. M. Swan, Blockchain: Blueprint for a New Economy. Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 2015.

[7]. K. Christidis and M. Devetsikiotis, "Blockchains and Smart Contracts for the Internet of Things," IEEE Access, vol. 4, pp. 2292–2303, 2016.

[8]. N. Szabo, "Smart Contracts: Building Blocks for Digital Markets," Extropy, vol. 16, 1996.

[9]. J. Alonso-Mora, S. Samaranayake, A. Wallar, E. Frazzoli, and D. Rus, "On-demand high-capacity ride-sharing via dynamic trip-vehicle assignment," Proc. National Academy of Sciences, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 462–467, 2017.

[10]. S. Ma, Y. Zheng, and O. Wolfson, "Real-time city-scale taxi ridesharing," IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1782–1795, 2015.

[11]. M. Furuhata, M. Dessouky, F. Ordonez, M. E. Brunet, X. Wang, and S. Koenig, "Ridesharing: The state-of-the-art and future directions," Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, vol. 57, pp. 28–46, 2013.

[12]. Dorri, S. S. Kanhere, and R. Jurdak, "Blockchain in Internet of Things: Challenges and Solutions," arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05187, 2016.

[13]. S. Wadi, "Peer-to-peer ride-sharing using blockchain technology: Architecture and implementation," Journal of Decentralized Computing, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 55–72, 2022.

[14]. R. Clewlow and G. S. Mishra, "Disruptive Transportation: The Adoption, Utilization, and Impacts of Ride-Hailing in the United States," UC Davis Institute of Transportation Studies, Research Report UCD-ITS-RR-17-07, 2017.

[15]. OpenZeppelin, "OpenZeppelin Contracts: A Library of Secure Smart Contract Primitives," Available: https://openzeppelin.com/contracts, 2023