

Re-Studying Fixed Points Literature on The Branciari-Bakhtin Spaces

Basel Hardan¹ and Alaa A. Abdallah²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Abyan University, Abyan 80425, Yemen

bassil2003@gmail.com & ala733.ala00@gmail.com

Abstract: In this study, Kannan-Chatrjee's fixed-point types from the literature are re-examined and re-affirmed in the Branciari-Bakhtin space.

Keywords: Banach theory, complete metric spaces, generalized space.

MSC 2010: 46B07, 54E50, 54F05

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction of contraction mappings on the metric space gave rise to Banach [4] contraction, which is now widely applied in many scientific and engineering domains. The development of type metric space is established by reducing or changing the metric conditions. For additional information, (see [8,9,11,16]). Notably, abuse or weakening of specific metric criteria results in the loss of some topological advantages, which complicates the proof of several fixed-point theorems. The authors had to devise novel methods to construct fixed-point theorems to address more specialized applications as a result of these difficulties.

Rectangular metric space was defined by Branciari [6], who also discovered the analog of the Banach contraction principle in this space. Many publications have addressed a fixed point theory in the Branciari-metric spaces (see [2,10,20]). However, since Bakhtin [3] proved the symmetric Banach contraction principle and established a generalization of metric space, a great deal of research has been done on the fixed point theorem or the changeful notion for sole-evaluative and multi-evaluative mappings in Bakhtin-metric space (see [1,5, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19]).

As the re-proving parallel of some fixed point theorems in the literature this paper re-study Kannan Chatterjee's types in the Branciari-Bakhtin-metric space.

II. PRELIMINARIES

This section presents the foundation for our primary findings.

Definition 2.1 [3] Suppose \mathfrak{X} be a non-empty set and $\varrho \geq 1$, be a given real number. A function $\sigma: \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Bakhtin on \mathfrak{X} if for all $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3 \in \mathfrak{X}$, the following are satisfied:

- (i): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{X}_2$;
- (ii): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \sigma(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_1)$;
- (iii): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) \leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_3) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_3, \mathcal{X}_2)]$.

In this case, the pair (\mathfrak{X}, σ) is called a Bakhtin space.

Definition 2.2 [6] Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a nonempty set. A function $\sigma: \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Branciari on \mathfrak{C} if for all $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \mathfrak{X}$ and all distinct points $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathfrak{X}$ each distinct from $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$, the following terms are satisfied:

- (i): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{X}_2$;
- (ii): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) = \sigma(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_1)$;
- (iii): $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{Y}_1) \leq \sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2) + \sigma(\mathcal{Y}_2, \mathcal{X}_2) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}_1)$.

Then (\mathfrak{X}, σ) is called a Branciari metric space.



Remark 2.1 [14] In Definition 2.2 if the third term becomes to

(iii): $\sigma(X_1, Y_1) \leq \beth[\sigma(X_1, Y_2) + \sigma(Y_2, X_2) + \sigma(X_2, Y_1)]$. Then (\mathfrak{X}, σ) is called a Branciari-Bakhtin metric space.

Lemma 2.1 [16] Suppose, (\mathfrak{X}, σ) be a Branciari-Bakhtin metric spaces with $\beth \geq 1$ and suppose $\{X_i\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathfrak{C} such that $X_i = X_j$ when it was $i \neq j$. Then $\{X_i\}$ be able convergence at most one point.

III. MAIN RESULTS

The parallel of of the Kannan's [15,17] fixed point theorem in the Branciari-Bakhtin metric spaces is the next theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose (\mathfrak{X}, σ) be a complete Branciari-Bakhtin metric spaces with $\beth \geq 1$ and suppose ψ is a self-mapping on \mathfrak{X} for all $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies

$$\sigma(\psi X_1, \psi X_2) \leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(X_1, \psi X_1) + \sigma(X_2, \psi X_2)]. \quad (3.1)$$

Then ψ has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Assume that $X_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$, consider the iteration $\psi X_i = X_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. We will prove that $\{X_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, such that $X_i \neq X_{i+1}$. Using (3.1), to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X_i, X_{i+1}) &= \sigma(\psi X_{i-1}, \psi X_i) \leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(X_{i-1}, \psi X_{i-1}) + \sigma(X_i, \psi X_i)] \\ \sigma_i &\leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_i] \\ \sigma_i &\leq \beth^{-1}\sigma_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Using this procedure i times, we get

$$\sigma_i \leq (\beth)^{-1}\sigma_0 \quad (3.2)$$

Allowing the assumption that X_0 is not a cyclic point of ψ . Actually, if $X_0 = X_i$ for all $i \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X_0, \psi X_0) &= \sigma(X_i, \psi X_i) \\ \sigma(X_0, X_1) &= \sigma(X_i, \psi X_{i+1}) \\ \sigma_0 &= \sigma_i \\ \sigma_0 &\leq \beth^{-1}\sigma_0, \end{aligned}$$

using (3.2). Thus, $\sigma_0 = 0$, hence X_0 is a fixed point of ψ .

Letting $X_i \neq X_j$ for all $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X_i, X_{i+2}) &= \sigma(\psi X_{i-1}, \psi X_{i+1}) \leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(X_{i-1}, \psi X_{i-1}) + \sigma(X_{i+1}, \psi X_{i+1})] \\ &\leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_{i+1}] \\ &\leq (\beth + 1)^{-1}[\beth^{(i-1)}\sigma_0 + \beth^{-(i+1)}\sigma_0] \\ &= \frac{\beth^{(i-1)}}{\beth+1} [1 + \beth^{-2i}]\sigma_0 \\ &= \mathfrak{G}\beth^{(i-1)}\sigma_0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(X_i, X_{i+2}) \leq \mathfrak{G}\beth^{(i-1)}\sigma_0. \quad (3.3)$$

Where, $\mathfrak{G} = \frac{[1+\beth^{-2i}]}{\beth+1} > 0$.

Know we will exam for $\sigma(X_i, X_{i+k})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so we have two cases:

Case i: Take $k = 2p + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X_i, X_{i+2p+1}) &\leq \beth[\sigma(X_i, X_{i+1}) + \sigma(X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}) + \sigma(X_{i+2}, X_{i+2p+1})] \\ &\leq \beth[\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}] + \beth^2[\sigma(X_{i+2}, X_{i+3}) + \sigma(X_{i+3}, X_{i+4}) + \sigma(X_{i+4}, X_{i+2p+1})] \\ &\leq \beth[\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}] + \beth^2[\sigma_{i+2} + \sigma_{i+3}] + \beth^3[\sigma_{i+4} + \sigma_{i+5}] + \dots + \beth^p\sigma_{i+2p} \\ &\leq \beth[\beth^{-i}\sigma_0 + \beth^{-(i+1)}\sigma_0] + \beth^2[\beth^{-(i+2)}\sigma_0 + \beth^{-(i+3)}\sigma_0] + \beth^3[\beth^{-(i+4)}\sigma_0 + \beth^{-(i+5)}\sigma_0] \\ &\quad + \dots + \beth^p\sigma_{i+2p} \\ &\leq \beth\sigma_0^{-1}[1 + \beth^{-1} + \beth^{-2} + \dots] + \beth^2\sigma_0^{-1}[1 + \beth^{-1} + \beth^{-2} + \dots] + \dots \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \frac{1+\beth^{-1}}{1-\beth^{-2}}\beth\sigma_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$



Where, $\varrho^{-1} < 1$, thus

$$\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p+1}) \leq \frac{1+\varrho^{-1}}{1-\varrho^{-1}} \varrho^{1-i} \sigma_0. \quad (3.4)$$

Case ii: Take $k = 2p$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p}) &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+1}, \mathcal{X}_{i+2}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+2}, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}] + \varrho^2[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+2}, \mathcal{X}_{i+3}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+3}, \mathcal{X}_{i+4}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+4}, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}] + \varrho^2[\sigma_{i+2} + \sigma_{i+3}] + \varrho^3[\sigma_{i+4} + \sigma_{i+5}] \\ &\quad + \dots + \varrho^{(p-1)}[\sigma_{2p-4} + \sigma_{2p-3}] + \varrho^{(p-1)}\sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+2p-2}, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \varrho\varrho^{-1}[1 + \varrho\varrho^{-2} + \varrho^2\varrho^{-4} + \dots]\sigma_0 + \varrho\varrho^{-(i+1)}[1 + \varrho\varrho^{-2} + \varrho^2\varrho^{-4} + \dots]\sigma_0 \\ &\quad + \varrho^{(p-1)}\varrho^{(2-i-2p)}\sigma_0 \\ &\leq \varrho^{(1-i)}[1 + \varrho^{-1} + \varrho^{-2} + \dots]\sigma_0 + \varrho^{-i}[1 + \varrho^{-1} + \varrho^{-2} + \dots]\sigma_0 + \varrho^{(1-i-2p)}\sigma_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+2p}) &\leq \frac{1+\varrho^{-i}}{1-\varrho\varrho^{-2}} \varrho\varrho^{-i}\sigma_0 + \varrho^{(2-i-2p)}\sigma_0 \\ &\leq \frac{1+\varrho^{-i}}{1-\varrho^{-1}} \varrho^{(1-i)}\sigma_0 + \varrho^{(2-i-2p)}\sigma_0 \\ &\leq \frac{1+\varrho^{-i}}{1-\varrho^{-1}} \varrho^{(1-i)}\sigma_0 + \varrho^{(2-i)}\sigma_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+k}) = 0$, for all $k > 0$.

Thus, $\{\mathcal{X}_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (\mathfrak{A}, σ) is a complete Branciari-Bakhtin metric space then there exist $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{A}$ satisfies $\{\mathcal{X}_i\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

To proving that \mathcal{X} is a fixed point of ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X}) &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_{i+1}, \psi\mathcal{X})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + \sigma(\psi\mathcal{X}_i, \psi\mathcal{X})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + (\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \psi\mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + (\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X})] \\ &\quad + (\varrho + 1)^{-1}\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X})] \leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1}) + (\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1})] \\ &\leq \varrho[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i) + (\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_{i+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (1 - \varrho(\varrho + 1)^{-1})\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X})$$

Then, $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X}) = 0$, which proving the existence.

To prove the uniqueness, assume that $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\psi\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) &= \sigma(\psi\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{Z}) \leq (\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \psi\mathcal{X}) + \sigma(\mathcal{Z}, \psi\mathcal{Z})] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{X} is a unique fixed point of ψ in \mathfrak{A} .

The following result parallels the Chatterjee [7] type in a Branciari Bakhtin space.

Corollary 3.1 Let (\mathfrak{A}, σ) be a complete Branciari-Bakhtin metric space with $\lambda > 1$ and let $\psi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ satisfies for all $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\sigma(\psi\mathcal{X}_1, \psi\mathcal{X}_2) \leq (\varrho + 1)^{-1}[\sigma(\mathcal{X}_1, \psi\mathcal{X}_2) + \sigma(\mathcal{X}_2, \psi\mathcal{X}_1)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Then ψ has a unique fixed point.

Example 3.1 Let that $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2$ such that $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\}$, $\mathcal{X}_2 = [1, 2]$. Consider $\sigma: \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) = 0$, where $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_2$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) = \sigma(\mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_1)$, $\mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$, as



$$\begin{cases} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\right) = \frac{3}{100} \\ \sigma\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{2}{100} \\ \sigma\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\right) = \frac{6}{10} \\ \sigma(Z_1, Z_2) = |Z_1 - Z_2|^2 \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

Therefore (\mathfrak{X}, σ) is a complete Branciari-Bakhtin metric space with $\mathfrak{C} = 3$. Define $\psi: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ as

$$\psi(Z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & Z \in \mathcal{X}_1 \\ \frac{1}{5}, & Z \in \mathcal{X}_2 \end{cases}$$

Hence, condition of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied and ψ has a unique fixed point $\frac{1}{4}$.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper re-study some important theorems from the literature and re-proves them on the Branciari-Bakhtin metric space.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abeljawad, K. Abodayeh, N. Mlaiki, On fixed point generalizations to partial b-metric spaces, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 19(5)(2015), 883-891.
- [2] A. Azam, M. Arshad, I. Beg, Banach contraction principle on cone rectangular metric spaces, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 3(2)(2009), 236-241.
- [3] I. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces, Funct. Anal., Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., (30)(1989), 26-37.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les oprations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales, Fundam. Math., (3)(1922), 133-181.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces, Inter. J. Mod. Math., 4(3)(2009), 285-301.
- [6] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, (57)(2000), 31-37.
- [7] Chatterjee S, Fixed point theorem, C. R. Acad, Bulgar Sci., (25)(1972), 727-730.
- [8] R. George, S. Radenovic, K. P. Reshma, S. Shukla, Rectangular b-metric spaces and contraction principle, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8(6)(2015), 1005-1013.
- [9] C. Belc, Generalization of Banach, Kanann and Chatterjee fixed point theorem, IJPEM 2016; 4(11)(2016), 27-34.
- [10] R. George, R. Rajagopalan, Common fixed point results for (η, α) -contractions in rectangular metric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 5(1)(2013), 44-52.
- [11] A. A. Hamoud, J. Patil, B. Hardan, A. Bachhav, H. Emadifar, H. Gunerhan, Generalization contractive mappings on rectangular b-metric space, Advances in Mathematical Physics, (2022).
- [12] B. Hardan, A. A. Abdallah, K. P. Ghadle and A. K. Ghadle, State of distinct exceptional solutions in the dimension generalization spaces, J. Int. Acad. Phys. Sci., 29(1)(2025), 41-45.
- [13] B. Hardan, A. A. Abdallah, K. P. Ghadle and A. K. Ghadle, Using Helping Function with Specific Conditions to Develop Some Results of the Literature, Bulletin of Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences- Math& Stat., 44(2)(2025), 56-61.



- [14] B. Hardan, A. A. Abdallah, K. P. Ghadle and A. K. Ghadle, Unique Extension of the Kannan-Chatterjea Theorem under Generalized Contractions, International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET), 8(5)(2025), 7858-7862.
- [15] B. Hardan, J. Patil, A. A. Hamoud, A. Bachhav, H. Emadifar, A. Ghanizadeh, S. A. Edalatpanah, H. Azizi, On $(\gamma, \eta)_{(f, g)}$ -contractions in extended b-metric spaces, Advances in Mathematical Physics (2022).
- [16] M. Jleli, B. Samet, The Kannan's fixed point theorem in cone rectangular metric space, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 2(3)(2009), 161-167.
- [17] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, Pata-type common fixed point results in b-metric and b-rectangular metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8(6)(2015), 944-954.
- [18] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 60(1968), 71-76.
- [19] JR. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, N. Hussain, New fixed point results in b-rectangular metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, 21(5)(2016), 614-634.
- [20] S. Shukla, Partial rectangular metric spaces and fixed point theorems, The Scientific World Journal, (2014).

