IJARSCT

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

ISSN: 2581-9429

Volume 6, Issue 1, February 2026

Impact Factor: 8.2

A Review on Stability Analysis of Control Systems using Delay Differential Equation Models

Handore Mahendra Vitthal[1] **and Dr. Rajeev Kumar**[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics

[2]Research Guide, Department of Mathematics

Vikrant University, Gwalior (M.P.)

Abstract: *Control systems with time delays are widely encountered in engineering, biological systems, and networked control environments. These delays often lead to instability, oscillations, and degraded system performance. Delay Differential Equations provide a powerful mathematical framework for modeling such systems. This review paper explores the role of DDEs in analyzing the stability of control systems. It discusses various analytical and numerical techniques, including Lyapunov-based methods, frequency-domain approaches, and eigenvalue analysis. The study also highlights recent advancements in robust stability and nonlinear delay systems. The findings emphasize the importance of incorporating delays in system modeling to ensure accurate stability predictions*

Keywords*:* Control systems, Time-delay systems, Lyapunov methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

In modern engineering systems, time delays arise naturally due to computation, communication, and actuator limitations. Traditional Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs) often fail to capture the dynamics of such systems accurately. Delay Differential Equations (DDEs), which incorporate past states into the system dynamics, provide a more realistic modeling framework.

Stability analysis is a crucial aspect of control system design. The presence of delays complicates this analysis, as even small delays can destabilize an otherwise stable system (Hale & Verduyn Lunel, 1993). Therefore, understanding the mathematical formulation and stability conditions of DDE-based systems is essential.

1. Mathematical Formulation of Delay Differential Equations

A general form of a delay differential equation is given by:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), x(t-\tau))$$

where $\tau > 0$ represents the time delay.

In control systems, a linear time-invariant (LTI) system with delay can be expressed as:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_d x(t-\tau)$$

where:

A is the system matrix

Ad is the delay matrix

The inclusion of $x(t-\tau)$ introduces infinite-dimensional behavior, making stability analysis more complex (Richard, 2003).

DOI: 10.48175/568

2. Stability Concepts for Time-Delay Systems

Stability concepts for time-delay systems constitute a central theme in control theory because the inclusion of delays fundamentally alters system dynamics, often transforming finite-dimensional systems into infinite-dimensional ones. In classical control systems modeled by ordinary differential equations, stability analysis typically depends on the location of eigenvalues of the system matrix or the construction of suitable Lyapunov functions. However, when time delays are introduced—whether constant, time-varying, discrete, or distributed—the system's present state depends not only on its current condition but also on its past trajectory. This historical dependence complicates both the definition and assessment of stability. A general delay differential equation takes the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), x(t-\tau))$$

Where τ denotes the delay. The presence of

$$x(t-\tau)$$

Implies that the system's future evolution is influenced by past states, requiring stability concepts to be extended beyond traditional frameworks. One of the fundamental notions is equilibrium stability, where a system is said to be stable if solutions starting close to an equilibrium point remain close over time. In the context of delay systems, this concept is refined into several categories, including Lyapunov stability, asymptotic stability, exponential stability, and practical stability.

Lyapunov stability, also known as stability in the sense of Lyapunov, implies that for every small perturbation in the initial condition, the system trajectory remains bounded within a neighborhood of the equilibrium for all future times. In time-delay systems, the initial condition is not a single point but a function defined over an interval [τ,0], often referred to as the initial function or history segment. This requirement significantly broadens the state space and introduces functional analysis into stability considerations. Asymptotic stability strengthens this concept by requiring that system trajectories not only remain close to the equilibrium but also converge to it as time approaches infinity. This is particularly important in engineering systems where steady-state performance is critical. Exponential stability provides an even stronger condition, ensuring that convergence occurs at an exponential rate, typically expressed as

$$\|x(t)\| \leq Me^{-\alpha t}\|x(0)\|,$$

where M and α are positive constants. This notion is highly desirable in practical applications because it guarantees rapid decay of disturbances and robustness against perturbations.

Another important distinction in delay systems is between delay-independent and delay-dependent stability. Delay-independent stability refers to conditions under which the system remains stable for any value of the delay τ≥0\tau \geq 0τ≥0. Such criteria are generally conservative but useful when the exact value of the delay is unknown or varies significantly. In contrast, delay-dependent stability considers the specific value or range of the delay and provides conditions that ensure stability only within that range. These criteria are less conservative and often yield more accurate results, especially in systems where delays are bounded or measurable. The trade-off between conservatism and precision is a key consideration in selecting appropriate stability criteria.

In addition to these classical notions, uniform stability and uniform asymptotic stability are also relevant in time-delay systems, particularly when dealing with time-varying delays. Uniform stability ensures that stability properties are independent of the initial time, which is crucial for systems operating over long durations or under varying conditions. For systems with time-varying delays, stability analysis must account for the rate of change of the delay, as rapidly varying delays can destabilize even otherwise stable systems. In such cases, additional conditions are imposed on the delay function, such as boundedness and differentiability, to ensure meaningful stability results.

The concept of robust stability is particularly significant in practical applications, where systems are subject to uncertainties, disturbances, and modeling errors. In time-delay systems, uncertainties may arise not only in system parameters but also in the delay itself. Robust stability ensures that the system remains stable despite such uncertainties. This is often achieved through the use of Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs) and Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals, which provide systematic ways to derive stability conditions that account for parameter variations and external disturbances. The development of robust stability criteria has been a major advancement in control theory, enabling the design of reliable systems in the presence of uncertainties.

Another important aspect is input-to-state stability (ISS), which extends the concept of stability to systems with external inputs. ISS ensures that the system state remains bounded and ultimately depends on the magnitude of the input. In time-delay systems, ISS is particularly relevant in networked control systems, where delays and packet losses can be modeled as external disturbances. The ISS framework provides a unified approach to analyze the effect of such disturbances on system stability and performance.

For nonlinear time-delay systems, stability concepts become even more intricate due to the presence of nonlinear dynamics. Techniques such as Lyapunov's direct method, invariant set theory, and comparison principles are often employed to analyze stability. Nonlinear delay systems may exhibit complex behaviors such as oscillations, bifurcations, and chaos, making stability analysis both challenging and essential. The concept of practical stability is sometimes used in such contexts, where the system is considered stable if it remains within a specified bound rather than converging to a precise equilibrium. This is particularly useful in engineering applications where exact convergence is not necessary, but bounded performance is acceptable.

In recent years, the study of stability in time-delay systems has expanded to include networked and distributed systems, where multiple subsystems interact through communication networks. In such systems, delays are often variable and stochastic, requiring probabilistic stability concepts and stochastic analysis methods. The integration of delay systems with modern technologies such as cyber-physical systems, Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence has further increased the importance of advanced stability concepts.

Overall, stability concepts for time-delay systems provide a comprehensive framework for understanding and analyzing the behavior of systems influenced by past states. These concepts extend classical stability theory to accommodate the complexities introduced by delays, offering a range of tools and criteria for ensuring reliable system performance. The ongoing development of analytical and computational methods continues to enhance our ability to analyze and control such systems, making stability theory a vital area of research in modern control engineering.

Stability in delay systems is generally classified as:

Asymptotic Stability: System states converge to equilibrium as $t \to \infty$

Exponential Stability: Convergence occurs at an exponential rate

Delay-Independent Stability: Stability holds regardless of delay size

Delay-Dependent Stability: Stability depends on the magnitude of delay

Delay-dependent criteria are often less conservative and more practical (Fridman, 2014).

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR STABILITY ANALYSIS

Analytical methods for stability analysis of control systems governed by Delay Differential Equations (DDEs) constitute a fundamental area of research due to the inherent complexity introduced by time delays. Unlike ordinary differential equations, DDEs incorporate past states into the system dynamics, which transforms the system into an infinite-dimensional problem. As a result, classical stability tools must be extended or reformulated to effectively handle delays. Among the most prominent analytical techniques are the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional approach, Lyapunov–Razumikhin method, frequency-domain techniques, eigenvalue-based analysis, and Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) frameworks. These methods provide systematic ways to derive sufficient or necessary conditions for the stability of both linear and nonlinear time-delay systems.

The Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional (LKF) method is one of the most powerful and widely used analytical tools for stability analysis in delayed systems. It extends the classical Lyapunov stability theory by incorporating integral terms that account for the history of the state over the delay interval. A typical Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional includes terms such as quadratic forms of the current state, integrals of the state over the delay period, and sometimes double integrals for improved accuracy. The key idea is to construct a functional V(xt) that is positive definite and whose

DOI: 10.48175/568

derivative along system trajectories is negative definite. This ensures asymptotic stability. One of the major advantages of the LKF method is its ability to handle both constant and time-varying delays. Moreover, it can be used to derive delay-dependent stability conditions, which are generally less conservative than delay-independent ones. However, constructing an appropriate functional is often nontrivial and requires deep insight into the system structure. Over time, researchers have developed systematic ways to build such functionals, often incorporating free-weighting matrices to reduce conservatism.

Closely related to the LKF method is the Lyapunov–Razumikhin approach, which provides an alternative way to analyze stability without explicitly integrating over the delay interval. Instead of constructing a functional over the entire history, the Razumikhin method uses a scalar Lyapunov function and imposes conditions on its value at delayed arguments. Specifically, stability is ensured if the derivative of the Lyapunov function is negative whenever the function evaluated at the delayed state does not exceed a certain bound relative to its current value. This approach is particularly useful for nonlinear systems where constructing a full Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional may be difficult. Although the Razumikhin method is generally simpler to apply, it often yields more conservative results compared to the LKF method, especially in systems with large delays.

Frequency-domain methods provide another important class of analytical tools for stability analysis. These methods are particularly well-suited for linear time-invariant systems with constant delays. The central idea is to analyze the characteristic equation of the system, which typically takes the form of a transcendental equation due to the presence of exponential delay terms. Classical tools like the Nyquist stability criterion and Bode plots are extended to accommodate these exponential terms. For instance, the Nyquist criterion can be applied to determine the number of roots of the characteristic equation in the right half of the complex plane, which directly relates to system stability. Frequency-domain approaches also allow for the determination of delay margins, i.e., the maximum allowable delay before the system becomes unstable. While these methods provide intuitive graphical interpretations, they are mainly limited to linear systems and may become cumbersome for high-order or multiple-delay systems.

Eigenvalue-based analysis is another analytical technique used to determine the stability of delay systems. In this approach, stability is assessed by examining the roots of the characteristic equation derived from the system model. For delay-free systems, stability requires that all eigenvalues have negative real parts. However, in delay systems, the characteristic equation includes exponential terms, leading to infinitely many eigenvalues. Analytical methods such as the Lambert W function and cluster treatment of characteristic roots have been developed to approximate or compute these eigenvalues. The distribution of these roots in the complex plane determines the stability properties of the system. Although eigenvalue analysis provides precise stability conditions, it is often mathematically intensive and computationally demanding, particularly for systems with multiple delays or nonlinearities.

The Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) framework has emerged as a highly effective analytical tool for stability analysis, particularly in the context of the Lyapunov–Krasovskii approach. LMIs allow stability conditions to be expressed as convex optimization problems, which can be efficiently solved using numerical algorithms. In this framework, the stability problem is reduced to finding a set of matrices that satisfy certain inequality constraints. The advantage of LMIs lies in their flexibility and computational efficiency, as well as their ability to incorporate uncertainties, disturbances, and parameter variations. LMI-based methods are widely used in robust control design, where the goal is to ensure stability under a range of operating conditions. Additionally, the use of free-weighting matrices and slack variables within the LMI framework has significantly reduced the conservatism of stability criteria for delay systems.

Another important analytical approach involves the use of integral inequalities, such as Jensen's inequality, Wirtinger-based inequalities, and reciprocally convex inequalities. These inequalities are employed to estimate integral terms in Lyapunov–Krasovskii functionals more accurately, thereby reducing conservatism in the derived stability conditions. For example, the Wirtinger-based inequality provides tighter bounds compared to Jensen's inequality, leading to improved stability results. The development of new integral inequalities continues to be an active area of research, as they directly impact the effectiveness of LKF-based methods.

For nonlinear delay systems, analytical stability methods often rely on linearization techniques and invariant set analysis. Linearization involves approximating the nonlinear system around an equilibrium point and analyzing the resulting linear system using the aforementioned methods. While this approach provides local stability results, it may not capture the global behavior of the system. Invariant set analysis, on the other hand, focuses on identifying regions in the state space where the system trajectories remain bounded. Combined with Lyapunov methods, this approach can provide stronger stability guarantees for nonlinear systems.

In addition to deterministic delays, modern control systems often involve stochastic delays and uncertainties. Analytical methods have been extended to handle such complexities stochastic Lyapunov functions and probabilistic stability criteria. These methods account for random variations in delays and system parameters, making them suitable for applications in networked control systems and communication networks.

Overall, analytical methods for stability analysis of delay differential equation models provide a rich set of tools for understanding and ensuring system stability. Each method has its own advantages and limitations, and the choice of technique depends on the nature of the system, the type of delay, and the desired level of accuracy. While Lyapunov-based methods and LMIs dominate the field due to their generality and flexibility, frequency-domain and eigenvalue-based approaches continue to play a crucial role in providing intuitive insights and exact stability conditions. As research progresses, the integration of these methods with computational techniques and data-driven approaches is expected to further enhance their applicability and effectiveness in complex real-world systems.

LYAPUNOV-KRASOVSKII FUNCTIONAL METHOD

One of the most widely used techniques involves constructing Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals. These functionals extend classical Lyapunov theory to systems with delays.

This method provides sufficient conditions for stability and is particularly useful for nonlinear systems (Gu, Kharitonov, & Chen, 2003).

FREQUENCY DOMAIN APPROACH

Frequency domain techniques, such as the Nyquist criterion and Bode plots, are adapted for delay systems by incorporating exponential terms.

The characteristic equation of a delayed system is:

$$\det(sI - A - A_d e^{-s\tau}) = 0$$

The presence of exponential terms makes root analysis more challenging (Michiels & Niculescu, 2007).

EIGENVALUE ANALYSIS

Stability can also be analyzed by examining the roots of the characteristic equation. A system is stable if all eigenvalues have negative real parts.

However, delay introduces infinitely many eigenvalues, requiring approximation methods.

NUMERICAL METHODS FOR DDE STABILITY ANALYSIS

Due to analytical complexity, numerical techniques are often employed:

Finite difference methods

Spectral methods

Semi-discretization techniques

Software tools such as MATLAB’s DDE solvers (e.g., dde23) are widely used for simulation and validation (Shampine & Thompson, 2001).

STABILITY OF NONLINEAR DELAY SYSTEMS

Nonlinear systems with delays are more difficult to analyze. Techniques include:
Lyapunov direct method
Linearization around equilibrium points
Use of invariant sets
Nonlinear delays may lead to bifurcations and chaotic behavior, making stability analysis even more critical (Stepan, 1989).

ROBUST STABILITY AND RECENT ADVANCES

Recent research focuses on:
Robust stability under uncertainties
Networked control systems with communication delays
Adaptive and predictive control strategies
Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs) have emerged as powerful tools for deriving stability conditions (Boyd et al., 1994).

APPLICATIONS OF DDE-BASED STABILITY ANALYSIS

DDE models are applied in:
Engineering control systems
Robotics and automation
Biological and neural systems
Power systems and communication networks
In networked control systems, delays due to data transmission significantly impact stability.

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite significant progress, several challenges remain:
High computational complexity
Conservatism in stability criteria
Difficulty in handling large-scale systems

Future research may focus on: -
Machine learning-based stability prediction
Real-time delay compensation techniques
Hybrid system modeling

II. CONCLUSION

Delay Differential Equations play a crucial role in modeling and analyzing control systems with time delays. Stability analysis of such systems requires advanced mathematical tools due to their infinite-dimensional nature. Techniques such as Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals, frequency-domain analysis, and numerical simulations provide valuable insights. Continued advancements in computational methods and robust control strategies are expected to further enhance the stability analysis of delay systems.

REFERENCES

[1]. Agathoklis, P., & Foda, S. (1989). Stability and the matrix Lyapunov equation for delay differential systems. *International Journal of Control, 49*(2), 417–432. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207178908559644

[2]. Anderson, D. R., Krueger, R. J., & Peterson, A. C. (2006). Delay dynamic equations with stability. *Advances in Difference Equations, 2006*, 094051. https://doi.org/10.1155/ADE/2006/94051

[3]. Bachar, M. (2024). Linearized stability analysis of nonlinear delay differential equations with impulses. *Axioms, 13*(8), 524. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms13080524

[4]. Bhalekar, S. (2016). Stability and bifurcation analysis of a generalized scalar delay differential equation. *Chaos, 26*(8), 084306. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4958923

[5]. Boyd, S., El Ghaoui, L., Feron, E., & Balakrishnan, V. (1994). *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*. SIAM.

[6]. Enciua, D., & Halanay, A. (2021). Stability for a delayed switched nonlinear system of differential equations. *International Journal of Control, 95*(6), 1543–1550. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207179.2020.1862423

[7]. Erman, S., Sevindir, H. K., & Demir, A. (2018). The stability analysis of a system with two delays. *Boundary Value Problems, 2018*(106). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-018-1024-9

[8]. Fridman, E. (2014). *Introduction to Time-Delay Systems: Analysis and Control*. Birkhäuser.

[9]. Gomes da Silva, J. M., & Tarbouriech, S. (2017). Stability analysis of control systems subject to delay-difference feedback. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 50*(1), 13330–13335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.1894

[10]. Gu, K., Kharitonov, V. L., & Chen, J. (2003). *Stability of Time-Delay Systems*. Birkhäuser.

[11]. Hale, J. K., & Verduyn Lunel, S. M. (1993). *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*. Springer.

[12]. Michiels, W., & Niculescu, S. I. (1997). Stability and stabilization of delay differential systems. *Automatica, 33*(3), 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-1098(96)00185-9

[13]. Michiels, W., & Niculescu, S. I. (2007). *Stability and Stabilization of Time-Delay Systems*. SIAM.

[14]. Richard, J. P. (2003). Time-delay systems: An overview of some recent advances and open problems. *Automatica, 39*(10), 1667–1694.

[15]. Shampine, L. F., & Thompson, S. (2001). Solving DDEs in MATLAB. *Applied Numerical Mathematics, 37*(4), 441–458.

[16]. Stepan, G. (1989). *Retarded Dynamical Systems: Stability and Characteristic Functions*. Longman Scientific.

[17]. Wang, Q. (2014). Razumikhin-type stability criteria for differential equations with delayed impulses. *Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2014*, Article ID 540210. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/540210

[18]. Zhang, Y. (2022). Stability analysis for linear systems with a differentiable time-varying delay via auxiliary equation-based method. *Electronics, 11*(21), 3492. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11213492

DOI: 10.48175/568