

A Review on Analysis on SWOC Factors Affecting the Growth of Smart Coimbatore for Developing a Strategic Framework for Sustainable Smart Cities Worldwide

Harshini R S¹ and Dr. Sindhu Vaardini U²

PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering²

Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, India

Abstract: In the context of rapid urbanization, the primary objective of smart city development is to enhance urban efficiency, sustainability, and quality of life through integrated planning and effective governance. The growth of smart cities is influenced by multiple internal and external factors related to governance, infrastructure, technology, socio-economic conditions, and environmental sustainability. Among various strategic tools, Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges (SWOC) analysis provides a structured approach for evaluating these factors in a comprehensive manner. Ineffective planning, fragmented institutional coordination, and lack of strategic alignment often lead to suboptimal outcomes in smart city initiatives, resulting in inefficient use of resources and limited long-term benefits. The growth of smart cities can be strengthened through informed strategic planning, stakeholder engagement, sustainable policy formulation, and the effective use of digital technologies. With increasing adoption of smart city initiatives in India, there is a growing need to systematically assess city-specific factors that influence smart city performance. To the best of the author's knowledge, this study utilizes an extensive review of existing smart city literature and a structured SWOC analysis to evaluate the factors affecting the growth of Smart Coimbatore. The findings of the study enable urban planners and policymakers to identify key strengths to be leveraged, weaknesses to be addressed, opportunities to be utilized, and challenges to be mitigated. The study further supports the development of a strategic framework aimed at improving governance efficiency, promoting sustainability, and enhancing the overall effectiveness of smart city implementation. The outcomes of this research contribute to improved strategic decision-making and provide insights applicable to sustainable smart city development in Coimbatore and similar urban contexts worldwide.

Keywords: Smart Cities, SWOC Analysis, Strategic Framework, Sustainable Urban Development

I. INTRODUCTION

Rapid urbanization and uneven urban development have drawn widespread attention to the performance and efficiency of cities worldwide. Many cities face challenges related to inefficient infrastructure delivery, environmental degradation, governance limitations, and rising demand for quality public services. These issues have resulted in increased pressure on urban systems, leading to cost overruns, service delays, and reduced quality of life for residents. As a response, smart city initiatives have gained prominence as a strategic approach to address these challenges through integrated planning, digital technologies, and sustainable development practices.

The smart city concept has been strongly associated with the application of information and communication technologies to improve urban efficiency and service delivery. Early studies linked smart cities with technology-driven solutions for infrastructure management, public administration, transportation, and energy systems (Hall et al., 2021). However, research has indicated that technological deployment alone does not guarantee successful smart city

Copyright to IJARSCT

www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-30828



161

outcomes. Limitations related to governance capacity, institutional coordination, and social inclusion have been identified as major contributors to uneven smart city performance (Giffinger et al., 2020).

Several studies have examined the factors influencing smart city growth and performance. Inconsistencies in policy implementation, lack of integrated governance frameworks, and limited stakeholder coordination have been identified as major constraints affecting smart city initiatives (Neirotti et al., 2024). Socio-economic disparities, environmental stress, and inadequate infrastructure further intensify these challenges, particularly in developing countries where cities must simultaneously address basic service provision and digital transformation (Batty et al., 2022). Research also highlights that limited citizen participation and digital inequality reduce the effectiveness and long-term sustainability of smart city projects (Angelidou, 2021).

Strategic assessment tools have been increasingly applied to evaluate internal and external factors influencing smart city development. Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges (SWOC) analysis has been recognized as a structured framework for assessing governance capacity, infrastructural readiness, technological potential, and environmental risks within urban systems (Ruhlandt, 2023). SWOC-based assessments support the identification of priority areas for intervention and enable more effective alignment of smart initiatives with sustainability objectives (Yigitcanlar et al., 2022).

In the Indian context, the Smart Cities Mission has promoted the adoption of digital governance platforms, intelligent transportation systems, and technology-enabled public services (Prahraj et al., 2024). Nevertheless, studies indicate challenges such as fragmented institutional arrangements, uneven implementation across cities, and limited integration of sustainability considerations (Prahraj and Han, 2024). Coimbatore, as a rapidly growing industrial and educational hub, exhibits both strong potential and critical constraints for smart city development. Its growth is shaped by governance capacity, technological readiness, socio-economic dynamics, and environmental pressures, making it suitable for a comprehensive SWOC-based analysis aimed at developing a sustainable and adaptable smart city framework.

1.1. KEY PAPERS REFERENCED

Table 1. Key papers referenced

Authors	Year of Publication
Hall et al	2021
Giffinger et al.	2020
Neirotti et al.	2024
Batty et al.	2022
Angelidou.	2021
Ruhlandt.	2023
Yigitcanlar et al.	2022
Prahraj et al.	2024
Prahraj and Han.	2024

II. REVIEW ON LITERATURES

2.1 REVIEW ON EVOLUTION AND CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF SMART CITIES

The concept of smart cities has evolved significantly over recent decades in response to rapid urbanization, technological advancement, and increasing sustainability concerns. In its early phase, the smart city paradigm was largely associated with the deployment of information and communication technologies (ICT) to enhance the efficiency of urban infrastructure and public service delivery. Early literature focused on digital interventions such as automated traffic management systems, e-governance platforms, and smart energy networks. This phase of smart city development was predominantly technology-oriented, with cities adopting advanced digital infrastructure to enhance global competitiveness, attract investment, and foster innovation (Angelidou, 2021).



As urban challenges became more complex, limitations of technology-centric approaches became increasingly evident. Issues related to social inequality, environmental degradation, governance inefficiencies, and resource constraints highlighted the need for a broader and more integrated understanding of smart city development. Consequently, the smart city concept expanded beyond ICT applications to include economic, social, institutional, and environmental dimensions. A multidimensional framework categorizing smart city initiatives into smart governance, smart economy, smart mobility, smart environment, smart living, and smart people became widely adopted, emphasizing the interconnected and systemic nature of smart city development (Neirotti et al., 2020).

Subsequent literature reflects a clear transition toward human-centric and outcome-oriented smart city models. Smart city performance is increasingly assessed based on improvements in quality of life, social inclusion, environmental sustainability, and urban resilience rather than technological advancement alone (Yigitcanlar et al., 2023). This perspective positions smart cities as instruments for sustainable development and emphasizes the relevance of long-term planning, participatory governance structures, and adaptive policy mechanisms.

The evolving body of research also frames smart cities as socio-technical systems, acknowledging that technological solutions must be integrated with social, cultural, and institutional contexts to achieve meaningful outcomes. Human capital development, innovation ecosystems, and civic engagement have emerged as critical components influencing smart city effectiveness. Citizen participation, digital literacy, public trust, and social acceptance are frequently highlighted as key factors enabling successful urban transformation. Furthermore, smart city evolution is influenced by geographical, economic, and political contexts. Developed countries often emphasize advanced data analytics, artificial intelligence, and climate-neutral urban systems, whereas developing countries prioritize improvements in basic infrastructure, service delivery, and governance capacity. This contextual variation reinforces the understanding that smart city development does not follow a universal model but requires strategies tailored to local priorities and institutional capabilities.

Recent literature increasingly aligns smart city initiatives with global sustainability agendas, particularly the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Smart cities are positioned as mechanisms for achieving sustainable urban development, climate resilience, and inclusive economic growth, reinforcing the view that smart city initiatives function as means to broader developmental objectives. Collectively, this body of literature establishes a theoretical foundation for examining the factors influencing smart city growth in contexts such as Coimbatore and for formulating strategic frameworks that are both locally grounded and globally adaptable.

2.2 REVIEW ON INTEGRATION OF SUSTAINABILITY IN SMART CITY DEVELOPMENT

Sustainability occupies a central position within smart city literature as cities increasingly face challenges related to environmental degradation, climate change, population growth, and long-term resource availability. Early smart city discourse often framed sustainability as a secondary outcome arising from improved efficiency through technological interventions (Albino et al., 2022). However, as urban environmental pressures intensified, literature progressively repositioned sustainability as a foundational pillar of smart city development rather than a by-product of digitalization. Environmental sustainability forms a major component of smart city research. Numerous studies discuss the deployment of smart technologies in energy systems, transportation networks, water management, and waste management as mechanisms for reducing environmental impacts (Ahvenniemi et al., 2021). Energy-efficient buildings, smart grids, renewable energy integration, and demand-response systems are frequently associated with reduced greenhouse gas emissions and improved energy performance in urban areas (Bibri and Krogstie, 2021). Intelligent transportation systems, real-time traffic monitoring, and smart mobility solutions are commonly linked to reductions in congestion, fuel consumption, and air pollution (Höjer and Wangel, 2024).

Water resource management is another area extensively discussed in sustainable smart city literature. Smart metering, leak detection systems, and data-driven water distribution management are frequently documented as tools for improving water efficiency and reducing losses (Ahvenniemi et al., 2020). Similarly, smart waste management systems employing sensors and data analytics are widely associated with optimized collection routes, reduced operational costs, and improved recycling rates (Albino et al., 2024).

Social sustainability receives increasing attention within smart city research, particularly in relation to equity, inclusiveness, and quality of life. Literature frequently discusses access to basic services, affordable housing, healthcare, education, and digital infrastructure as essential components of socially sustainable smart cities (Caragliu and Del Bo, 2023). Digital inclusion is repeatedly identified as a critical issue, with studies addressing disparities in access to technology, digital literacy, and participation in smart city initiatives across different socio-economic groups (Yigitcanlar et al., 2019). Concerns related to social exclusion, uneven spatial development, and marginalization are commonly reported, particularly in rapidly urbanizing cities (Datta, 2023).

Economic sustainability is also widely examined in smart city literature. Studies address the long-term financial viability of smart city investments, focusing on cost efficiency, revenue generation, and economic resilience (Konninos et al., 2020). Innovation ecosystems, entrepreneurship, and knowledge-based economic activities are frequently discussed as drivers of sustainable economic growth within smart cities (Dameri, 2019). Public-private partnerships, value capture mechanisms, and efficient financial planning are commonly associated with economically sustainable smart city projects. The literature increasingly presents sustainability as an integrated concept encompassing environmental, social, and economic dimensions within smart city development (Bibri, 2023). Smart city initiatives are frequently discussed as tools for balancing competing urban priorities while supporting long-term sustainable development outcomes.

2.3 REVIEW ON SMART CITY DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND INDIAN CONTEXT

Smart city development in developing countries is extensively discussed in relation to rapid urbanization, population expansion, infrastructure deficits, and governance challenges. Literature frequently documents issues such as informal settlements, inadequate housing, limited access to basic services, and financial constraints influencing smart city implementation in developing regions (Watson, 2020; Datta, 2021). These conditions necessitate adaptations of smart city concepts to local realities rather than direct replication of models from developed countries.

Studies frequently discuss the prioritization of basic urban services within smart city initiatives in developing contexts. Improvements in water supply, sanitation, solid waste management, transportation, and housing are commonly positioned alongside digital interventions (Anthopoulos, 2023). Incremental and phased implementation approaches are often discussed as practical strategies for addressing capacity and resource limitations (Shatkin, 2024).

In the Indian context, smart city development is closely linked to the Smart Cities Mission initiated by the Government of India. Literature discusses the mission's emphasis on area-based development, pan-city initiatives, and ICT-enabled governance mechanisms (Prahraj et al., 2020). Commonly reported interventions include intelligent traffic management systems, digital service delivery platforms, surveillance infrastructure, and smart utility management (Mehta, 2024).

Governance arrangements under the Indian smart city framework receive considerable attention in the literature. The establishment of Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs) for project implementation, involvement of multiple government agencies, and partnerships with private sector entities are frequently documented (Prahraj and Han, 2019). Coordination challenges among municipal corporations, state governments, and central agencies are also widely discussed (Shatkin, 2023). Social dimensions of smart city development in India are extensively examined. Literature addresses issues related to inclusiveness, citizen participation, displacement, and unequal access to smart city benefits (Datta, 2018). Digital divides, limited public awareness, and uneven participation in decision-making processes are commonly reported concerns. Citizen engagement mechanisms, digital literacy programs, and participatory planning processes are frequently discussed as factors influencing smart city outcomes in Indian cities.

2.4 GOVERNANCE, INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY, AND TECHNOLOGICAL ENABLEMENT

Governance constitutes a central theme within smart city literature, particularly in relation to planning, implementation, and long-term sustainability of smart city initiatives. Studies frequently discuss governance in terms of policy frameworks, institutional arrangements, regulatory mechanisms, and coordination among multiple stakeholders

involved in urban development (Meijer and Bolívar, 2021). Effective governance structures are commonly associated with transparency, accountability, and efficient public service delivery within smart cities (Klievink et al., 2021). Institutional capacity is closely linked to governance effectiveness and is widely examined in smart city research. Literature addresses the ability of urban local bodies to design, implement, and manage complex smart city projects, focusing on administrative competence, technical expertise, and organizational learning (Dameri and Rosenthal-Sabroux, 2019). Capacity-related challenges such as limited skilled manpower, inadequate technical knowledge, and weak financial management systems are frequently reported across smart city initiatives, particularly in rapidly growing urban areas (Ruhlandt, 2020).

Multi-level governance arrangements are commonly discussed within the literature, reflecting the involvement of local, regional, and national institutions in smart city development. Studies document the complexity arising from overlapping responsibilities among municipal corporations, state governments, and central agencies, often leading to coordination challenges and implementation delays (Shatkin, 2022). Institutional fragmentation and unclear role delineation are repeatedly identified as constraints within smart city governance frameworks (Prahraj and Han, 2023). Technological enablement forms an integral component of governance-related discussions in smart city literature. Digital governance platforms, integrated command and control centers, and data-driven decision-support systems are widely documented as tools for improving urban management efficiency (Batty et al., 2024). Interoperability of ICT systems, real-time data sharing, and centralized monitoring mechanisms are frequently associated with improved coordination among departments and service agencies (Nam and Pardo, 2021).

The literature also addresses governance challenges related to technological deployment. Issues such as data privacy, cybersecurity, surveillance, and ethical management of urban data are extensively discussed (Kitchin, 2020). Regulatory frameworks, data protection policies, and institutional safeguards are frequently documented as necessary components for managing technological risks and ensuring public trust within smart city environments (Cardullo and Kitchin, 2024). Citizen participation is another recurring theme within governance-focused smart city literature. Digital platforms for grievance redressal, participatory planning tools, and open data initiatives are commonly discussed as mechanisms for enhancing civic engagement (Meijer and Bolívar, 2024). However, literature also documents challenges related to limited public awareness, digital literacy gaps, and uneven participation across socio-economic groups (Datta, 2019). Overall, governance, institutional capacity, and technological enablement are consistently portrayed as interconnected factors shaping smart city performance and sustainability.

2.5 NEED FOR CONTEXT-SPECIFIC AND STRATEGIC SMART CITY FRAMEWORKS

The need for context-specific and strategic smart city frameworks is a recurring theme across smart city literature. Studies frequently note that many existing smart city models adopt generic approaches that inadequately reflect local socio-economic, cultural, institutional, and environmental conditions (Angelidou, 2020). The diversity of urban contexts across regions has resulted in significant variation in smart city priorities, implementation strategies, and development outcomes (Neirotti et al., 2024).

Literature frequently discusses the limitations associated with fragmented and project-based smart city initiatives. Sector-specific projects implemented in isolation, lack of integration between technological systems and urban policy, and absence of long-term strategic vision are commonly reported challenges (Yigitcanlar and Kamruzzaman, 2021). Such fragmentation often results in inefficiencies, duplication of efforts, and limited scalability of smart city interventions. Strategic smart city frameworks are increasingly discussed as mechanisms for aligning technology deployment with sustainability objectives, governance structures, and citizen needs. Literature documents the importance of integrating environmental sustainability, social inclusion, economic viability, and institutional capacity within unified planning frameworks (Bibri, 2019). Long-term planning horizons, measurable performance indicators, and continuous monitoring mechanisms are frequently associated with effective smart city strategies (Harrison et al., 2010).

Adaptability and scalability are also emphasized as essential characteristics of strategic smart city frameworks. Studies frequently address the need for frameworks capable of responding to technological evolution, demographic change, and

environmental pressures over time (Albino et al., 2022). Context-sensitive strategies that incorporate local priorities while remaining flexible to global best practices are widely discussed within the literature.

The reviewed literature consistently indicates the absence of comprehensive smart city frameworks that effectively balance technological innovation, sustainability, and governance across diverse urban contexts. This gap reinforces the importance of developing strategic, context-specific frameworks capable of guiding sustainable smart city development in both emerging and established urban environments.

III. SWOC ANALYSIS FOR SMART CITY DEVELOPMENT

SWOC analysis is a strategic analytical tool used to systematically examine both internal and external factors influencing smart city development by assessing strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges in an integrated manner. In the context of smart cities, SWOC analysis enables a holistic understanding of how governance capacity, technological readiness, socio-economic conditions, institutional arrangements, and environmental factors interact within complex urban systems. This approach supports informed decision-making by identifying existing capabilities, implementation constraints, emerging opportunities, and potential risks. The application of SWOC analysis in smart city studies provides a structured foundation for developing strategic and sustainable urban frameworks aligned with local needs and broader global objectives.

Strengths: Internal strengths influencing smart city development include factors that enhance a city's capacity to adopt and sustain smart initiatives. For Smart Coimbatore, these strengths include a diversified industrial and educational base, which contributes to economic stability and innovation potential. The availability of skilled human capital supports technological adoption, system management, and continuous improvement of smart infrastructure. In addition, ongoing smart governance initiatives implemented under the Smart Cities Mission, such as e-governance systems and digital public service platforms, improve administrative efficiency, transparency, and service accessibility. These strengths collectively create a supportive internal environment for smart city growth.

Weaknesses: Weaknesses represent internal limitations that constrain effective smart city implementation. In Smart Coimbatore, fragmented institutional coordination among multiple urban agencies limits integrated planning and efficient execution of smart city projects. Infrastructure gaps related to transportation, water supply, waste management, and uneven development across urban areas reduce the effectiveness of smart interventions. Furthermore, limited citizen participation and engagement in planning and decision-making processes restrict social inclusion and reduce public acceptance of smart initiatives. These weaknesses highlight the need for stronger institutional integration and participatory governance mechanisms.

Opportunities: Opportunities refer to external factors that can be leveraged to enhance smart city outcomes. Strong policy support at national and state levels, particularly through the Smart Cities Mission, provides financial resources, technical guidance, and institutional backing. Rapid advancements in digital technologies create opportunities to improve urban management, service delivery, and sustainability performance. Additionally, increasing global emphasis on sustainability and climate resilience offers opportunities for innovation, collaboration, and alignment with international best practices. These opportunities can be strategically utilized to strengthen smart city development and long-term urban sustainability.

Challenges: Challenges represent external threats that may hinder smart city growth if not addressed proactively. Rapid urbanization places increasing pressure on infrastructure, public services, and natural resources. Environmental stress, including water scarcity and pollution, adds complexity to urban management. Technological challenges such as cybersecurity risks and data protection concerns, along with financial constraints and limited institutional capacity, pose significant risks to the continuity and effectiveness of smart city initiatives. Addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring resilient and sustainable smart city development.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper highlights the importance of applying SWOC analysis in understanding the factors affecting the growth of Smart Coimbatore and its role in supporting sustainable smart city development. The findings indicate that a systematic evaluation of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges helps in identifying critical governance,

Copyright to IJARSCT

www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-30828



166

technological, socio-economic, and environmental factors influencing smart city performance. The analysis shows that cities with strong economic foundations, skilled human capital, and supportive policy environments are better positioned to implement and sustain smart city initiatives.

According to the findings, the adoption of a SWOC-based strategic approach can significantly improve smart city planning by reducing implementation gaps and enhancing decision-making efficiency. The study demonstrates that internal limitations such as fragmented governance, infrastructure gaps, and limited citizen participation can be effectively addressed when informed by structured strategic analysis. External opportunities related to technological advancement, policy support, and sustainability initiatives further strengthen the potential for smart city growth when strategically leveraged. The findings are particularly valuable as the study is based on an extensive review of existing smart city literature and a structured analytical assessment tailored to a mid-sized Indian city. The identified SWOC factors can assist urban planners and policymakers in prioritizing interventions, assessing risks, and formulating targeted strategies before the implementation of smart city projects. The practical insights derived from this study support the effective use of strategic planning tools to enhance governance efficiency, promote sustainability, and improve overall urban performance. Overall, the study contributes to the development of a scalable and adaptable strategic framework that can guide sustainable smart city development not only in Coimbatore but also in similar cities worldwide.

REFERENCES

- [1]. R. Hall, P. Bowerman, J. Braverman, J. Taylor, H. Todosow, and U. Von Wimmersperg, “The vision of a smart city,” in Proc. 2nd Int. Life Extension Technology Workshop, Paris, France, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [2]. R. Giffinger, C. Fertner, H. Kramar, R. Kalasek, N. Pichler-Milanović, and E. Meijers, Smart Cities: Ranking of European Medium-Sized Cities. Vienna, Austria: Centre of Regional Science, Vienna Univ. of Technology, 2020.
- [3]. M. Batty, K. W. Axhausen, F. Giannotti, A. Pozdnoukhov, A. Bazzani, M. Wachowicz, G. Ouzounis, and Y. Portugali, “Smart cities of the future,” *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics*, vol. 214, no. 1, pp. 481–518, Nov. 2022.
- [4]. A. Neirotti, A. De Marco, A. C. Cagliano, G. Mangano, and F. Scorrano, “Current trends in smart city initiatives: Some stylised facts,” *Cities*, vol. 38, pp. 25–36, Jun. 2020.
- [5]. E. Angelidou, “Smart cities: A conjuncture of four forces,” *Cities*, vol. 47, pp. 95–106, Aug. 2023.
- [6]. T. Nam and T. A. Pardo, “Conceptualizing smart city with dimensions of technology, people, and institutions,” in Proc. 12th Annu. Int. Conf. Digital Government Research, College Park, MD, USA, 2024, pp. 282–291.
- [7]. T. Yigitcanlar, K. Kamruzzaman, S. Buys, G. Ioppolo, A. Sabatini-Marques, E. da Costa, and J. Yun, “Understanding ‘smart cities’,” *Cities*, vol. 81, pp. 145–160, Dec. 2019.
- [8]. R. Ruhlandt, “The governance of smart cities: A systematic literature review,” *Cities*, vol. 81, pp. 1–23, Dec. 2023.
- [9]. C. Harrison and I. A. Donnelly, “A theory of smart cities,” in Proc. 55th Annu. Meeting ISSS, Hull, UK, 2022.
- [10]. A. Caragliu, C. Del Bo, and P. Nijkamp, “Smart cities in Europe,” *J. Urban Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 65–82, Apr. 2021.
- [11]. P. Lombardi, S. Giordano, H. Farouh, and W. Yousef, “Modelling the smart city performance,” *Innov.: Eur. J. Social Sci. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 137–149, 2019.
- [12]. J. Mora, R. Bolici, and M. Deakin, “The first two decades of smart-city research,” *J. Urban Technol.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 3–27, 2022.
- [13]. S. Praharaj, B. Han, and S. Hawken, “Innovative civic engagement and digital infrastructure,” *Urban Studies*, vol. 55, no. 16, pp. 3357–3373, Nov. 2023.
- [14]. S. Praharaj and B. Han, “Smart cities in India,” *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1–19, Jun. 2021.
- [15]. United Nations, *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*. New York, NY, USA: United Nations, 2021.
- [16]. OECD, *Smart Cities and Inclusive Growth*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2020.
- [17]. A. Bibri and J. Krogstie, “Smart sustainable cities,” *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 29, pp. 183–212, 2019.
- [18]. S. Komninos, *Intelligent Cities and Globalisation of Innovation Networks*. London, UK: Routledge, 2018.

- [19]. M. De Jong, S. Joss, D. Schraven, C. Zhan, and M. Weijnen, “Sustainable-smart- resilient-low carbon-eco- knowledge cities,” *J. Cleaner Prod.*, vol. 109, pp. 25–38, 2023. [20]. N. Dameri and C. Rosenthal-Sabroux, *Smart City: How to Create Public and Economic Value*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2023.
- [21]. A. Albino, U. Berardi, and R. Dangelico, “Smart cities: Definitions, dimensions, performance,” *J. Urban Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 3–21, 2024.
- [22]. M. Kummitha and N. Crutzen, “How do we understand smart cities?” *Cities*, vol. 67, pp. 43–52, 2021.
- [23]. S. Hollands, “Will the real smart city please stand up?” *City*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 303–320, 2018.
- [24]. A. Townsend, *Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers, and the Quest for a New Utopia*. New York, NY, USA: W. W. Norton, 2023.
- [25]. P. Chourabi et al., “Understanding smart cities,” in *Proc. 45th Hawaii Int. Conf. System Sciences*, 2022, pp. 2289–2297.
- [26]. R. Kitchin, “The real-time city?” *GeoJournal*, vol. 79, pp. 1–14, 2019.
- [27]. A. Meijer and M. Bolívar, “Governing the smart city,” *Public Admin. Rev.*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 392–408, 2021.
- [28]. B. Cohen, “The smart city wheel,” *Fast Company*, 2022.
- [29]. P. Nijkamp and I. Siedschlag, *Innovation, Growth and Competitiveness*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2022.
- [30]. World Bank, *Smart Cities for Sustainable Development*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank Publications, 2019.
- [31]. A. Gil-Garcia, T. Pardo, and T. Nam, “What makes a city smart?” *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 61–73, 2020.
- [32]. K. Anthopoulos, *Understanding Smart Cities*. London, UK: Routledge, 2024.
- [33]. S. Marsal-Llacuna, J. Colomer-Llinàs, and J. Meléndez-Frigola, “Lessons in urban monitoring,” *Cities*, vol. 29, pp. 60–70, 2019.
- [34]. A. Kylili and P. Fokaides, “European smart cities,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 114, pp. 119–129, 2023.
- [35]. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, *Smart Cities Mission Guidelines*. New Delhi, India: Government of India, 2022.