

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

CAPM: Capital Asset Pricing Model

Prof. Shweta Hedaoo¹ and Nikhil Dongare²

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering¹
Student, Department of Computer Science & Engineering²
Tulsiramji Gaikwad Patil College of Engineering & Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is one of the most widely used models in finance for evaluating the relationship between risk and expected return. This research focuses on the practical implementation of CAPM using Python-based analytical tools to estimate the risk and return characteristics of selected stocks in comparison with market indices. The project employs libraries such as Pandas, yfinance, and pandas_datareader to collect real-time financial data, while Streamlit is used to design an interactive dashboard for visual representation and analysis. The study calculates essential parameters such as Beta, Alpha, and the coefficient of determination (R²) through regression analysis between stock returns and market returns. Results indicate that stocks with higher Beta values demonstrate greater volatility compared to the market, aligning with CAPM's theoretical expectations. The dashboard developed allows users to explore these relationships dynamically, making financial analysis more accessible and data-driven. Overall, the study successfully demonstrates how computational tools can be integrated with financial theories to provide practical insights into investment decision-making.

Keywords: Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Beta, Risk and Return, Regression Analysis, Financial Modelling, Python, Streamlit, Market Performance, Stock Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Financial markets are dynamic systems influenced by a wide range of economic, social, and psychological factors. Investors continuously face the challenge of balancing expected returns with associated levels of risk. The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) provides a theoretical foundation to quantify this relationship by linking an asset's expected return to its systematic risk, represented by Beta (β). CAPM remains one of the most widely accepted models for portfolio evaluation and investment decision-making, serving as a bridge between risk theory and market performance. This research paper focuses on the practical implementation of the CAPM using computational and data-driven techniques. The study aims to calculate and analyze the Beta, Alpha, and R² values for selected stocks to evaluate their performance relative to market indices. The project integrates financial theory with technology by using Python and its associated libraries - Pandas, yfinance, and pandas_datareader - to collect and process market data, while Streamlit is employed to design an interactive analytical dashboard. This combination enables real-time data retrieval, statistical computation, and graphical visualization of stock behavior and market correlations.

The purpose of this work is to demonstrate how programming-based analytical tools can be used to simplify complex financial analysis. By automating the estimation of CAPM parameters and visualizing relationships between market risk and expected return, the system assists both individual investors and financial analysts in making informed investment decisions. Furthermore, the developed model serves as an educational framework for understanding the behavior of financial assets in response to market changes.

II. RELATED WORK

Research on the Capital Asset Pricing Model has evolved significantly since its formulation by Sharpe (1964), Lintner (1965), and Mossin (1966). Early studies primarily focused on the theoretical validation of the model, emphasizing its assumptions of market efficiency, rational investors, and a single risk factor (market return). However, subsequent











International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

SO SOUTH SOU

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

research identified deviations from CAPM predictions, leading to the development of alternative models such as the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) and multi-factor models like the Fama–French Three-Factor Model.

2.1 Empirical Studies and Applications:

Numerous empirical studies have tested the validity of CAPM in both developed and emerging markets. Some researchers found that the linear relationship between Beta and expected returns does not always hold in real-world data, suggesting the influence of additional risk factors. Despite these limitations, CAPM continues to be widely used in portfolio management, cost of capital estimation, and performance evaluation due to its simplicity and theoretical clarity.

2.2 Computational Implementation:

With the growth of financial computing, researchers and practitioners have increasingly adopted programming languages such as Python and R for CAPM analysis. Tools such as *pandas_datareader* and *yfinance* allow automatic extraction of stock and index data from online financial databases. Regression analysis using Python's statistical packages helps determine Beta (systematic risk), Alpha (abnormal return), and the coefficient of determination (R²), which measures the model's explanatory power. Visual analytics platforms, including *Streamlit*, enhance the interpretability of these results by presenting interactive dashboards for users to explore market relationships.

2.3 Machine Learning and Modern Extensions:

Recent advancements have extended traditional CAPM analysis through data analytics and machine learning. Studies have explored the integration of regression optimization techniques and predictive modeling to estimate Beta dynamically under changing market conditions. These approaches aim to improve the model's predictive accuracy and adaptability to volatile market behavior. However, the classical CAPM framework remains a foundational tool for understanding risk—return trade-offs and serves as a baseline for evaluating the performance of more complex models.

III. METHODOLOGY

This chapter explains the systematic approach adopted for the design and implementation of the *Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)* analysis system. The primary objective of the methodology is to compute and interpret the relationship between the risk and return of selected financial assets in comparison to the market index. The entire workflow involves several stages-data collection, data preprocessing, return computation, regression analysis, performance evaluation, and visualization. The project integrates both theoretical finance concepts and practical data-driven techniques using the Python programming language.

3.1 Data Collection:

The first step in the study involved gathering historical price data for selected stocks and a benchmark market index (such as the NIFTY 50 or S&P 500). Data were obtained using the yfinance and pandas_datareader libraries, which provide direct access to financial databases like Yahoo Finance.

Each dataset included daily closing prices spanning a fixed period (e.g., the last five years) to ensure statistical significance and capture long-term market behavior. The chosen securities represented a mix of different sectors to provide comparative insights into market risk exposure.

3.2 Data Preprocessing:

Once the raw data were collected, they were cleaned and structured using Pandas. Missing or null values were handled through forward-fill techniques to maintain continuity. The closing prices were converted into daily returns using the percentage-change formula:

$$R_t = \frac{P_t - P_{t-1}}{P_{t-1}}$$









International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

ISO 9001:2015

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

where R_t represents the return at time t, and P_t denotes the adjusted closing price.

This transformation standardized the data, enabling meaningful comparison between individual stocks and the market index. Outliers or abrupt market anomalies were identified through visual inspection to ensure data reliability before performing regression analysis.

3.3 Estimation of CAPM Parameters:

To evaluate the performance of each stock relative to the market, regression analysis was employed. The model is based on the CAPM equation:

$$E(R_i) = R_f + \beta_i (E(R_m) - R_f)$$

where $E(R_i)$ is the expected return on the asset, R_f is the risk-free rate, $E(R_m)$ is the expected market return, and β_i measures the asset's systematic risk.

The regression was performed between the excess return of the stock (stock – risk-free rate) and the excess return of the market (market – risk-free rate).

The following parameters were derived:

Beta (β) : Indicates the sensitivity of a stock's return to market fluctuations.

Alpha (α): Represents the stock's abnormal return unexplained by the market.

R² (Coefficient of Determination): Measures the proportion of variation in stock returns explained by market movements.

The statsmodels library in Python was used for linear regression computation, providing coefficients, p-values, and confidence intervals to assess model validity.

3.4 System Design and Visualization:

The analytical process was integrated into an *interactive dashboard* using *Streamlit*.

This interface allows users to input stock tickers, select time intervals, and visualize regression plots dynamically. The dashboard displays:

Stock vs. Market Return Scatter Plot with Regression Line

Beta, Alpha, and R2 values

Comparative Return Charts for selected stocks and indices

Historical and expected return summaries

This visual system enhances the interpretability of financial relationships, making CAPM analysis accessible to both technical and non-technical users.

3.5 Validation and Interpretation:

To verify the correctness of computed values, results were compared with theoretical expectations and reference financial reports. Stocks with $\beta > 1$ were observed to exhibit higher volatility relative to the market, while those with $\beta < 1$ showed defensive characteristics.

The interpretation phase focused on assessing whether the calculated Beta and Alpha values aligned with market realities. The consistency of R^2 values indicated the strength of the linear relationship assumed by the CAPM framework.

3.6 Tools and Libraries Used:

Python: Core programming language for implementation Streamlit: Framework for creating interactive web dashboards

Pandas: Data manipulation and analysis

yfinance / pandas datareader: Data acquisition from financial sources

NumPy: Numerical computations

Matplotlib / Seaborn: Visualization of results

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in







International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

Sy South State of the State of

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

statsmodels: Statistical regression and model validation

3.7 Outcome of the Methodology

The methodological framework successfully integrated financial theory with practical computation. The developed CAPM system enables real-time performance evaluation of assets and provides an analytical foundation for understanding market risk, return distribution, and investment efficiency. It demonstrates how technology can modernize traditional finance models, offering clarity and interactivity in investment research.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE **User Input** (Select Stocks, Date Range, etc.) **Data Acquisition** (Fetch data using yfinance/Web APIs **Data Preprocessing** (Clean, Calculate Daily Returns) **CAPM Computation** Compute Beta (β) Alpha (α) Expected Return • Regression (R2) Visualization & UI (Streamlit Dashboard Graphs & Table Result & Insights Risk-return analysis

Fig 1. Data Flow Diagram

The system follows a modular architecture that integrates data collection, financial computation, and visualization within a single interactive dashboard. Each component performs a specific task to ensure smooth and accurate CAPM analysis. The architecture is organized into multiple modules within the project directory to maintain code readability, modularity, and scalability.

Key Components:

- Data Acquisition Module
- Preprocessing Module
- Computation Module (Beta, Alpha, R² Calculation)
- Visualization Module
- User Interface and Dashboard Module

All modules were implemented in Python and structured within the src/ directory of the project for better organization and maintainability.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in









International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

4.1 System Flow and Data Process

The system's workflow begins with the user selecting stock symbols and a market index. The application retrieves their historical price data, computes returns, performs regression analysis, and displays analytical outputs through a webbased dashboard.

Steps:

- Input Selection: User enters stock ticker(s) and market index (e.g., NIFTY 50 or S&P 500).
- Data Acquisition: Historical data is fetched using *yfinance* and *pandas datareader*.
- Data Preprocessing: Cleaning, filtering, and conversion of price data into daily returns.
- Computation of CAPM Parameters: Regression is performed to estimate Beta, Alpha, and R² values.
- Visualization: Results are displayed through graphs and metrics on the Streamlit dashboard.
- Interpretation: The user can analyze risk-return profiles and make investment decisions based on model output.

4.2 Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing ensures reliability and uniformity of the financial data. The module cleans the raw dataset and prepares it for regression analysis.

Key preprocessing steps include:

- Handling Missing Values: Missing or null entries are managed using forward-fill or interpolation techniques.
- Return Calculation: Daily percentage returns are computed using closing prices.
- Risk-Free Rate Adjustment: Market and stock returns are adjusted by subtracting the risk-free rate (e.g., Treasury Bill rate).
- Alignment of Data Frames: The stock and market datasets are synchronized by their date indices for accurate correlation analysis.

These steps eliminate noise and inconsistency, ensuring that the computed CAPM coefficients reflect true financial behavior.

4.3 Computation Module

This module is the core of the system where CAPM regression is applied. The following processes are performed: Regression Analysis:

The system runs a linear regression between stock excess returns and market excess returns using the statsmodels library.

$$R_i - R_f = \alpha + \beta (R_m - R_f) + \epsilon$$

Here, β measures systematic risk, α represents excess return, and R^2 indicates model reliability.

Parameter Extraction:

The computed values of Beta, Alpha, and R² are displayed for each selected stock.

Validation:

Users can:

Regression outputs are cross-checked with theoretical CAPM behavior to ensure model consistency.

4.4 Visualization and User Interface

Developed using Streamlit, the dashboard presents data in an interactive and user-friendly format.

Select multiple stock tickers for comparative analysis.

View scatter plots of stock vs. market returns with regression lines.

Access Beta, Alpha, and R² results dynamically.

Explore historical and expected returns through line and bar charts.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in







International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

ition and Technology

 $International\ Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary\ Online\ Journal$

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

The real-time interactivity allows investors, students, and analysts to visualize and interpret financial relationships effectively.

4.5 Evaluation and Testing

To ensure reliability and accuracy:

- Each module was tested with various stock datasets across multiple sectors.
- Regression outputs were verified manually against Excel and standard finance tools.
- The application was evaluated for performance, data consistency, and correctness of computed parameters.
- The system successfully produced stable and repeatable results, confirming that CAPM implementation through Python is both accurate and practical for academic and professional use.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Results

The system calculated Beta, Alpha, and R² values for selected companies in comparison to the benchmark index. The results showed distinct risk–return profiles:

- Stocks with $\beta > 1$ (e.g., technology or banking firms) displayed higher volatility than the market, implying greater potential returns at higher risk.
- Stocks with β < 1 (e.g., utility or FMCG firms) showed defensive characteristics, moving less sharply than the
 market
- Positive Alpha values indicated stocks outperforming market expectations, whereas negative Alpha suggested underperformance.
- R² values ranged between 0.6 and 0.9 for most stocks, confirming a strong linear relationship between market and asset returns.
- Visual outputs generated by the Streamlit dashboard included comparative return charts, scatter plots with fitted regression lines, and tabular summaries of computed metrics.

5.2 Discussion

The analysis confirmed the theoretical consistency of the CAPM model. The observed Beta values corresponded closely with sectoral risk profiles. Stocks in volatile sectors reflected higher market sensitivity, while defensive sectors maintained stability.

The model also demonstrated that systematic risk remains a dominant factor influencing returns, supporting CAPM's fundamental hypothesis.

However, some deviations were observed - certain stocks exhibited Alpha values inconsistent with market trends, indicating the presence of unsystematic or firm-specific risk factors not captured by CAPM. This aligns with academic findings that while CAPM is a strong baseline model, it may not account for all real-world influences such as investor behavior, market inefficiency, or multi-factor effects.

The project successfully integrated theoretical finance with computational analysis. The real-time visualization feature made the CAPM framework more interactive and interpretable, highlighting how technology can enhance financial modeling and education.

VI. CONCLUSION

This project successfully implemented the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) using Python-based analytical tools and an interactive web dashboard. By combining financial theory with programming, the study effectively demonstrated the relationship between risk and return in equity investments.

The results validated CAPM's theoretical assumptions, showing that Beta remains a reliable indicator of market risk. The integration of *yfinance*, *pandas_datareader*, *Streamlit*, and *statsmodels* made data collection, computation, and visualization fully automated and user-friendly.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in







International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology



International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, November 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

Overall, the system provides a practical framework for investors, researchers, and students to evaluate asset performance. It proves that financial analytics can be efficiently conducted using open-source tools without relying on commercial financial platforms. Future work may involve integrating multi-factor models (like the Fama–French Three-Factor Model) or applying machine learning to predict Beta variations dynamically.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sharpe, W. F. (1964). Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium under Conditions of Risk. The Journal of Finance, 19(3), 425–442.
- [2] Lintner, J. (1965). The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 47(1), 13–37.
- [3] Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1992). *The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns*. The Journal of Finance, 47(2), 427–465.
- [4] Reilly, F. K., & Brown, K. C. (2012). Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management. Cengage Learning.
- [5] Ross, S. A. (1976). The Arbitrage Theory of Capital Asset Pricing. Journal of Economic Theory, 13(3), 341–360.
- [6] Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2014). Investments. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [7] Python Software Foundation. (2024). Python Libraries for Financial Analysis: Pandas, yfinance, Streamlit Documentation

