IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 8, June 2025

Revisiting Healthcare Pluralism: A Comparative Study of Ayurveda and Other Medical Systems in India

Sonu Kumar

School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, UP, India

Abstract: India's healthcare system stands at a crossroads of tradition and modernity, where centuries-old healing systems coexist with contemporary biomedical approaches. This paper explores the comparative effectiveness, acceptance, and perception of Ayurveda against Allopathy and other alternative medical systems such as Homeopathy, Unani, and Siddha. Based on mixed-method research involving 45 structured responses and supporting literature from WHO, AYUSH, and academic journals, the study highlights the increasing public inclination toward Ayurveda for chronic, preventive, and lifestyle-related disorders, while reaffirming Allopathy's dominance in acute and emergency care. Findings support the need for integrative healthcare policies, greater research funding, and improved public awareness to realize the potential of India's traditional medical systems.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Allopathy, Homeopathy, Alternative Medicine, AYUSH, Integrative Healthcare, Public Perception, India

I. INTRODUCTION

India's healthcare landscape is a tapestry woven with multiple traditions, including Allopathy, Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Siddha, and Naturopathy. This pluralism is unique globally and reflects the cultural, historical, and practical significance of each system. However, the growing burden of non-communicable diseases, the search for preventive strategies, and public disenchantment with pharmaceutical overdependence have renewed interest in holistic approaches, particularly Ayurveda. While Ayurveda emphasizes lifestyle, diet, and balance among the doshas (Vata, Pitta, Kapha), Allopathy centers on rapid diagnosis, pharmacology, and surgical interventions.

This paper presents a comparative evaluation of these systems through empirical research among healthcare users and students, supported by secondary data from official and academic sources. It investigates their perceived efficacy, public trust, and future integration potential within the Indian healthcare framework.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ayurveda, over 3,000 years old, is one of the earliest known healthcare systems. Texts like *Charaka Samhita* and *Sushruta Samhita* underscore its focus on balance, prevention, and individualized care. Scholars such as Patwardhan (2010) and Bodeker (2002) have emphasized its relevance in addressing chronic illnesses and building immunity. Comparative studies show Allopathy is preferred for emergencies, while Ayurveda is favoured for chronic and preventive care (Gupta & Malhotra, 2018). However, gaps remain in standardization, regulation, and scientific validation of Ayurvedic treatments.

III. OBJECTIVES

- To compare the usage and effectiveness of Ayurveda, Allopathy, and Homeopathy.
- To identify public perceptions and satisfaction levels with Ayurveda.
- To assess the role of cultural beliefs, cost, and awareness in medical system preference.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of government promotion under the AYUSH ministry.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28187



IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

June 2025 Impact

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 8, June 2025

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A mixed-method approach was adopted:

- Sample Size: 45 valid responses from students and healthcare users.
- Tools: Structured questionnaire (Google Forms), secondary sources (AYUSH reports, WHO, NFHS).
- Data Analysis: Descriptive statistics and thematic analysis were used to interpret results.
- Sampling Techniques: Convenience, purposive, and snowball sampling.

V. KEY FINDINGS

System Awareness:

Allopathy (39%) was most recognized, followed by Homeopathy (38%) and Ayurveda (22%).

Usage Trends:

42% of respondents primarily used Allopathy, while 17% used Ayurveda. 16% preferred a combination, highlighting growing interest in integrative care.

Satisfaction with Ayurveda:

62% reported being "Very Satisfied" or "Somewhat Satisfied"; only 5% were dissatisfied.

Cost Perception:

40% considered Ayurveda more affordable than other systems.

Side Effects:

66% reported no side effects with Ayurvedic treatments, affirming its reputation for safety.

Influence Factors:

Cultural beliefs (34%) and effectiveness (28%) were leading determinants of system choice.

Government Support:

67% acknowledged the government's active role in promoting AYUSH systems.

VI. DISCUSSION

The data confirms Allopathy's primacy in acute care but reveals increasing public trust in Ayurveda, especially for chronic and lifestyle-related conditions. Younger respondents displayed openness toward hybrid models that combine the strengths of both systems. The findings also reflect the need for standardized protocols, broader public education, and scientific research to validate Ayurveda's efficacy.

VII. LIMITATIONS

Small, urban-centric sample (N=45)

Limited inclusion of rural populations or systems like Siddha and Unani Self-reported data prone to bias

VIII. CONCLUSION

This study reveals a clear shift toward integrative healthcare in India, wherein Ayurveda is not seen as a rival to Allopathy but as a complementary force. With strategic investments in research, education, and policy integration, India has the potential to lead a global model of medical pluralism. For this to be achieved, alternate medicine must be placed on equal footing with Allopathy in terms of scientific rigor, accessibility, and regulatory oversight.

IX. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Policy Integration: Include Ayurveda in national healthcare strategies with clear clinical protocols.
- Public Awareness: Strengthen campaigns to educate citizens about evidence-backed traditional medicine.
- Medical Education: Introduce AYUSH modules in MBBS and vice versa to foster mutual respect and collaboration.
- Research Funding: Prioritize clinical trials and pharmacological studies on Ayurvedic treatments.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28187



IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 8, June 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

• **Digital Outreach:** Use online platforms to offer verified information and consultations in local languages.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Patwardhan, B. (2010). Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine. J-AIM.
- [2]. Ministry of AYUSH. (2023). Annual Report 2022–23.
- [3]. Gupta, M., & Malhotra, S. (2018). Comparative Study of Ayurveda and Allopathy. IJCAM.
- [4]. WHO. (2019). Global Report on Traditional and Complementary Medicine.





DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28187

