

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 7, June 2025

The Rise of Fintech and Its Influence on Conventional Banking Structures

Neeti Mathur¹ and Mr. Nakul²

MBA Researcher, Department of Management¹
Professor, Department of Management²
School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, UP, India

Abstract: The advent of Financial Technology (Fintech) has ushered in a transformative era for the global financial services industry. This paper explores the extent to which Fintech has disrupted and redefined the operational landscape of traditional banking in India. Using a cross-sectional primary survey of 50 respondents, the study analyzes customer behavior, satisfaction, and trust levels associated with both Fintech and conventional banking services. Findings indicate a growing preference for digital-first solutions among younger consumers, with UPI platforms emerging as dominant tools for everyday transactions. Despite Fintech's rapid rise, traditional banks continue to maintain relevance in regulatory compliance and core financial functions. The study concludes that a hybrid model—incorporating both legacy trust and technological agility—represents the future of banking in India.

Keywords: Fintech, Traditional Banking, UPI, Digital Transformation, Financial Services, Consumer Behavior, India

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, Financial Technology, or Fintech, has rapidly evolved from a disruptive innovation to a foundational pillar of modern financial systems. In India, this transformation has been fueled by digital policies, mobile penetration, and consumer demand for fast, convenient, and user-centric financial services. Platforms like Google Pay, Paytm, PhonePe, and neobanks have not only challenged traditional banking channels but also redefined how individuals save, borrow, and transact.

Traditional banks, known for their regulatory strength and long-standing trust, face increasing pressure to modernize. With their physical infrastructure and legacy systems, many struggle to match the speed and flexibility offered by Fintech providers. This research investigates how these contrasting models coexist, compete, and potentially converge in the Indian context.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Fintech refers to the integration of advanced technologies—such as blockchain, AI, and big data—into financial services (Gomber et al., 2018). According to Arner et al. (2016), Fintech serves as a bridge between modern technology and traditional financial systems, improving efficiency and accessibility.

The rise of UPI in India post-demonetization and the proliferation of mobile banking apps have made Fintech services mainstream. While scholars highlight Fintech's potential to democratize finance (Philippon, 2016), they also stress regulatory challenges and cybersecurity risks. Traditional banks, although slower in tech adoption, retain advantages in customer trust and compliance (Lee & Shin, 2018).

Despite substantial research globally, there remains a lack of consumer-centric primary studies focusing on Fintech's real-world impact in emerging economies like India. This study aims to address that gap.

III. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This research is limited to the Indian financial ecosystem, with data collected through an online survey of 50 individuals between March–April 2025. The scope includes:

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28015





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 7, June 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

Consumer usage patterns of Fintech and traditional banks

Satisfaction and trust levels

Perceptions of future banking models

Digital readiness across age and occupation

IV. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

This study offers valuable insights for:

- **Banks** looking to digitize services
- Fintech firms seeking consumer feedback
- Regulators aiming for policy balance
- Researchers analyzing digital transformation in finance

It also helps highlight expectations from financial service providers in a fast-digitizing economy.

V. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To examine consumer preferences for Fintech versus traditional banking.
- To evaluate satisfaction levels with digital financial platforms.
- To identify demographic factors influencing Fintech adoption.
- To explore whether Fintech is displacing or complementing traditional banking services.
- To offer recommendations for banks and Fintech firms.

VI. HYPOTHESES

H1: Fintech services are perceived as more user-friendly than traditional banking.

H2: Younger individuals (18–35) are more likely to adopt Fintech.

H3: Traditional banks are slower in digital adaptation, reducing satisfaction levels.

H4: Trust in data security is higher with traditional banks than Fintech platforms.

H5: Hybrid financial service models enhance consumer engagement.

VII. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is based on **primary data** collected using a structured Google Form distributed online. A total of **50 valid responses** were analyzed. The sampling method was **convenience sampling**, targeting digitally active individuals across India.

Data Type: Quantitative

Tools Used: Descriptive statistics and chart-based analysis

Variables: Age, occupation, Fintech usage, satisfaction ratings, trust levels

Secondary Data: RBI reports, Fintech industry publications, and academic journals

VIII. DATA ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

Age Group:

74% are aged 18-24, 14% are 25-34

Conclusion: Young adults are the leading users of Fintech platforms.

Occupation:

54% are students; others include professionals from various fields

Conclusion: Fintech appeals strongly to academic and early-career populations.

Fintech Usage:

88% use Fintech; 98% use UPI apps like Google Pay **Conclusion:** UPI dominates as the most used Fintech tool.

Banking Behavior:

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28015



113



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 7, June 2025

76% maintain traditional bank accounts

Conclusion: Banks remain relevant but are losing ground in routine transactions.

Satisfaction:

72% rate Fintech satisfaction 4 or higher (out of 5)

Conclusion: Fintech services meet or exceed consumer expectations.

Perceived Advantages:

Top advantages include speed, convenience, and 24/7 access

Conclusion: Fintech clearly outpaces traditional banks in service delivery.

Perception of Future:

56% think Fintech will dominate or replace banks

Conclusion: A hybrid financial model is the likely future.

IX. DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that while Fintech platforms have successfully captured a large share of day-to-day financial activities, they have yet to fully replace traditional banks. Trust, regulatory backing, and documentation-based services keep banks relevant. However, unless they embrace digital innovation, they risk becoming outdated.

Fintech's appeal is rooted in its speed, personalization, and lower costs. Yet concerns over data privacy and long-term reliability remain. The future is likely to involve deeper collaboration between both models.

X. RECOMMENDATIONS

For Traditional Banks:

- Upgrade mobile banking systems
- Shorten service processing times
- Partner with Fintechs to create hybrid offerings

For Fintech Firms:

- Improve transparency on data usage
- Expand services to rural and elderly populations
- Strengthen cybersecurity systems

For Policymakers:

- Foster a regulatory framework for innovation
- Balance data protection with digital freedom
- Expand digital literacy programs

XI. CONCLUSION

This research confirms that Fintech is rapidly reshaping financial service delivery in India. Its strengths lie in digital convenience, fast service, and consumer-centric design. However, traditional banks still retain strongholds in trust and compliance. A collaborative approach, rather than outright competition, may yield the most effective financial ecosystem. Institutions that embrace digital change while retaining core strengths are best positioned for long-term success.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The evolution of Fintech. *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, 47(4), 1271–1319.
- [2]. Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2018). Digital Finance and FinTech. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87(5), 537–580.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-28015





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 7, June 2025

- Impact Factor: 7.67
- [3]. Lee, I., & Shin, Y. J. (2018). Fintech: Business models and challenges. Business Horizons, 61(1), 35-46.
- [4]. Philippon, T. (2016). The Fintech opportunity. NBER Working Paper No. 22476.
- [5]. PwC India. (2023). FinTech Insights Reports. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.in
- [6]. McKinsey & Company. (2020). The future of payments. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com

