

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 9, May 2025

The Need for Social Entrepreneurship in India: Addressing Socio-Economic Challenges Through Innovative Solutions

Ms. Trushna Pal and Ms. Tanish Jaiswal

Research Students

Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies and Research, Nagpur, India jayantgondane27@gmail.com

Abstract: Poverty, unemployment, healthcare, and education gaps are just some of the many socioeconomic issues remains unresolved in India which is home to over a billion people. Government and
NGO interventions have been attempted, yet many solutions lack effective scalability and innovation.
Social Entrepreneurship, which combines business skills and philanthropy, has proven to be an effective
approach in remedying these issues. These entrepreneurs apply sustainable models to tackle systemic
challenges and mobilize the oppressed. The need for social policies alongside social ventures aimed
towards Indian citizens has not been sufficiently addressed. Hence, this paper seeks to supply policy
gaps that highlight success stories such as educational social enterprises alongside tangible impact case
studies focusing on primary healthcare, clean energy, and agricultural social ingenuity. Alongside
funding, inadequate regulations, and low public awareness restricts the sector's growth.

This paper hopes to change the perception towards social entrepreneurship stemming from negative finance regulations and inactive public-private groundwork. With inclusive skill supportive policies, the social entrepreneurship framework can thrive and operate with systemic change at a broad societal level. Ultimately, it stresses emphasizing social entrepreneurship in India's long-term socio-economic strategy is fundamental to achieving comprehensive and resilient growth..

Keywords: Social Entrepreneurship, Socio-Economic Development, Innovation, India, Poverty Alleviation, Education, Healthcare

I. INTRODUCTION

This region has a population exceeding 1.4 billion people where some sections continue to face dire socio-economic challenges. The economy is growing, but the benefits are not felt uniformly across society. This fact highlights the need for development models to be reevaluated. Applying the principles of social entrepreneurship provides a unique, effective, and sustainable answer to social issues.

Social Entrepreneurship: Definition and Relevance

Social entrepreneurship is the practice of utilizing entrepreneurial methods to address social challenges. Unlike conventional businesses, the enterprises focus on the target impact rather than profits. Given the resource constraints and high demand for social services, social entrepreneurs are bound to transform the social service ecosystem dynamically.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The problem of social entrepreneurship has sparked the interest of researchers seeking to identify its eluding components in attempts to merge two useless systems, market and government. Goyal and Sergi (2015) explain the social entrepreneurship case in developing countries such as India, where social welfare systems cannot deal with gaping social issues at a population level. Gupta et al. (2020) point out that the diverse socioeconomic conditions of

DOI: 10.48175/568









International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

150 9001:2015

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, May 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

India make it an unparalleled laboratory for testing scalable social innovations. Datta and Gailey (2012) in studying a women's cooperative depict how social entrepreneurship is utilized for sustainable development and for empowerment. Social entrepreneurs create and sustain systemic change usually through limited resources, a concept argued by Bornstein (2007). These philanthropic businessmen adopt hybrid business strategies that Nicholls (2006) highlights incorporate social goods alongside profit-making. Social entrepreneurship is profit-oriented and operates in institutional gaps, Mair and Marti (2006) explain, standing out for offering the most relevant intervention on a given situation. The diversity of approaches and scales of intervention is portrayed by Zahra et al. (2009) who divide social entrepreneurs into three categories; social bricoleurs, social constructionists, and social engineers.

Austin, Stevenson, and Wei-Skillern (2006) highlight the importance of opportunity recognition, mission fit, stakeholder management, and issue catalyzation while contrasting social and commercial entrepreneurship. Additionally, Bansal, Garg, and Sharma (2019) specifically examine the Indian context and emphasize the importance of enabling social entrepreneurship ecosystems, policy structures, and blended finance models as afer sustaining social enterprises.

The existing literature contends that, despite the potential of social entrepreneurship, its growth and endurance are largely reliant on strategic, holistic institutional frameworks alongside dynamic social return-driven innovations.

Socio-Economic Challenges in India

India is challenged socioeconomically on multiple fronts:

- **Poverty:** The World Bank (2021) indicates that almost 10% of India's population is below the International poverty line.
- **Unemployment:** Meanwhile, the unemployment rate for the youth is still soaring. CMIE recorded a 23.5% youth unemployment rate in 2021.
- **Healthcare:** The doctor-patient ratio is still below WHO standards, and there is a high deficit of healthcare services in the rural areas.
- **Education:** Primary schooling has a good enrolment rate, but the quality of learning outcomes is very low due to absence of proper basic infrastructure and trained teachers.

Role of Social Entrepreneurship in Addressing These Challenges

Social entrepreneurs solve stubborn problems in our society:

- **Poverty Reduction:** Rang De is an example of an organization that assists with microloans to needy people regardless of the credit history or scoring.
- **Job Creation:** Goon is one of the enterprises that provide employment through the recycling of waste.
- Access to Healthcare: Many have access to eye care services thanks to the Aravind Eye Care System which uses a cross-subsidization model.
- **Education:** Under-resourced schools are taught at by young professionals employed by Teach for India, which leads to better educational outcomes.

Case Studies

- SELCO India: The company SELCO India provides affordable and dependable solar energy access to the
 underprivileged in rural regions. With selco's innovative financing models, thousands of households have had
 their livelihoods improved and reduced the non-renewable energy dependency. The company integrates solar
 energy with local businesses to achieve inclusive development.
- Amul India Cooperatives: Amul is a diaty cooperative that transformed the Indian dairy sector by giving
 power to farmers through collective ownership and control. Through fair pricing and local buying, Amul has
 improved the lives of around 30 million rural farmers. The cooperative model has enhanced India's food
 security and economic sustainability.

DOI: 10.48175/568

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



ISSN 2581-9429 IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.67

Volume 5, Issue 9, May 2025

- Barefoot College: This organization trains women, particularly grandmothers in solar facilities, in rural areas, giving them the opportunity to install and maintain solar systems. This program advances gender equity around the world, as well as elevating women in society. The organization has changed thousands of lives by removing barriers to energy access in remote regions.
- **Digital Green:** Using mobile technology and community networks, over a million farmers in India have been reached by Digital Green's productivity-enhancing initiatives. Farmers in India are trained in sustainable agriculture through locally made videos which are distributed on mobile devices.
- Teach for India: To bridge the educational divide, Teach for India places young professionals in low-income schools to teach. It has a positive impact on thousands of students and has created a leader network through its fellowship program that is dedicated to educational change.

Challenges Faced by Social Entrepreneurs in India

Despite their potential, social entrepreneurs face several obstacles:

- Funding Constraints: Access to consistent and reliable funding remains a major hurdle.
- Regulatory Barriers: Complex compliance requirements discourage innovation.
- Lack of Awareness: Limited understanding of social entrepreneurship among stakeholders hampers support and collaboration.

Policy Recommendations

- Create a Dedicated Social Enterprise Policy: This would provide legal recognition and regulatory clarity.
- Enhance Access to Finance: Establish social venture funds and incentivize impact investments.
- Capacity Building: Promote entrepreneurship education and mentorship programs.
- Foster Collaboration: Encourage partnerships between government, corporates, and social enterprises.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses qualitative research methods in assessing the impact of social entrepreneurship in solving socioeconomic problems in India. The framework aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the prevailing ecosystem, the social enterprises' ecosystem is encountered with development, and the key drivers and obstacles to inclusive development.

1. Research Design

The study is descriptive dealing with the social phenomenons of entrepreneurship to ascertain its attributes, archetypes, and impacts to understand the motivation behind it and the model of social enterprises. It employs a mixed-methods research design for data collection and analysis.

2. Data Collection Methods

Secondary Data:

Contextual socio-economic challenges of social enterprises in India using academic journals, policy documents, and reports by government and international organizations (World Bank, UNDP).

Case Studies:

Illustrative case of selected social enterprises in India to demonstrate innovation, impact, and scalability includes Aravind Eye Care in healthcare, Teach for India in education, Digital Green in agriculture, and SELCO India in clean energy. These cases showcase the impact and growth potential.

IV. CONCLUSION

The effect of social entrepreneurship on India is highly critical as it attempts to solve socio-economic problems in a scalable and self-sustaining manner alongside other traditional development approaches. With appropriate policies and

DOI: 10.48175/568

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in







International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, May 2025

Impact Factor: 7.67

recognition from society, social entrepreneurship can foster inclusive growth and improve developmental outcomes across all levels of society.

The country's gradually progressing ecosystem for social enterprises presents an opportunity for India to pioneer on the global forefront ethnically and technologically. The integration of digital technologies and blended finance with growing awareness among the youth fuels this notion further. Government agencies, educational institutions, private sector investors, and non-profit organizations need to establish a collaborative framework of appropriate organizing to create a reliable entrepreneurial support systems and structures. With enhanced multi-stakeholder collaboration, the full potential of social entrepreneurship can be harnessed to enable holistic economic and social development across the nation.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Aravind Eye Care System. (2020). Annual Performance Review.
- [2]. Austin, J., Stevenson, H., & Wei-Skillern, J. (2006). Social and commercial entrepreneurship: Same, different, or both? Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 30(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00107.x
- [3]. Bansal, S., Garg, I., & Sharma, G. (2019). Social Entrepreneurship as a Path for Social Change and Driver of Sustainable Development: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda. Sustainability, 11(4), 1091. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041091
- [4]. Bornstein, D. (2007). How to Change the World. Oxford University Press.
- [5]. Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE). (2021). Unemployment in India.
- [6]. Datta, P. B., & Gailey, R. (2012). Empowering Women Through Social Entrepreneurship: Case Study of a Women's Cooperative in India. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 36(3), https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00505.x
- [7]. Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy.
- [8]. Goyal, S., & Sergi, B. S. (2015). Social Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Understanding the Context and Key Characteristics. Journal ofSecurity and Sustainability Issues, 4(3), 269-278. https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2015.4.3(7)
- [9]. Gupta, P., Chauhan, S., Paul, J., & Jaiswal, M. P. (2020). Social entrepreneurship research: A review and future research agenda. Journal of Business Research, 113(1), 209-229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.03.032
- [10]. Mair, J., & Martí, I. (2006). Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight. Journal of World Business, 41(1), 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2005.09.002
- [11]. Nicholls, A. (2008). Social Entrepreneurship. OUP Oxford.
- [12]. Rang De. (2020). Annual Impact Report.
- [13]. SELCO India. (2021). Impact Report.
- [14]. Teach For India. (2021). Annual Report.
- [15]. World Bank. (2021). Poverty and Shared Prosperity Report.
- [16]. Zahra, S. A., Gedajlovic, E., Neubaum, D. O., & Shulman, J. M. (2009). A Typology of Social entrepreneurs: Motives, Search Processes and Ethical Challenges. Journal of Business Venturing, 24(5), 519–532.

DOI: 10.48175/568



