

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2025



Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System

N. Girivardhan, R. Lahari, G. Girija Bhavani, H. Uruthika

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Dhanekula Institute of Engineering and Technology, Vijayawada, India

Abstract: Earthquakes pose a severe threat to life and infrastructure, necessitating efficient real-time detection and accurate intensity forecasting. This research presents a Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System that utilizes ADXL335 accelerometers and Arduino Uno microcontrollers for seismic data acquisition. The system processes real-time acceleration data, applies noise filtering, and detects seismic events based on predefined thresholds. To enhance forecasting accuracy, a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network is employed, leveraging historical seismic patterns for precise magnitude prediction. The integration of sensor-based data collection with deep learning improves system reliability, enabling timely alerts and early warnings. Experimental results demonstrate the system's effectiveness in detecting seismic activity with high accuracy and minimal false positives. This research contributes to real-time earthquake monitoring, offering a scalable and cost-effective solution for early warning applications.

Keywords: Real-Time Earthquake Detection, Seismic Intensity Forecasting, LSTM Neural Network, Arduino-Based Seismic Monitoring, Accelerometer Sensor Data Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes are among the most unpredictable and destructive natural disasters, causing significant loss of life and damage to infrastructure worldwide. Early detection and accurate intensity forecasting are crucial for mitigating their impact by enabling timely warnings and preparedness measures. Traditional earthquake monitoring systems primarily rely on seismic wave propagation analysis and regional seismic networks to estimate earthquake magnitudes and epicenter. While effective, these methods often require extensive infrastructure and high computational resources, making them costly and inaccessible in remote areas. Consequently, there is a growing demand for low-cost, real-time detection and forecasting solutions that can provide timely and reliable earthquake alerts[1-3].

Recent advancements in low-cost sensors and machine learning techniques have opened new possibilities for earthquake monitoring. Accelerometer-based detection systems, particularly those using MEMS sensors like the ADXL335, have been explored due to their affordability and sensitivity to ground motion. While these sensors have shown promise in real-time seismic monitoring, challenges remain in minimizing noise and improving detection accuracy. On the other hand, machine learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) have been employed to classify seismic signals and predict earthquake intensity. However, these models often struggle with handling long-term dependencies in time-series data[4-7].

To address these limitations, deep learning models, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have been increasingly adopted for earthquake forecasting. LSTM is well-suited for time-series prediction, as it can retain long-term dependencies and capture intricate seismic patterns more effectively than traditional models. Leveraging this advantage, this research presents a Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System that integrates ADXL335 accelerometers and Arduino Uno microcontrollers for real-time seismic data acquisition. The system processes ground acceleration data, identifies seismic events, and employs an LSTM-based forecasting model to predict earthquake intensity with improved accuracy.

By combining sensor-based data acquisition with deep learning-based forecasting, the proposed system aims to offer a cost-effective, scalable, and reliable solution for earthquake monitoring. The integration of real-time signal processing

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-24836





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2025



and deep learning enhances detection accuracy, reduces false alarms, and ensures timely early warnings. This study evaluates the system's performance in terms of detection accuracy and forecasting reliability, demonstrating its potential as an efficient tool for earthquake early warning, particularly in regions lacking extensive seismic infrastructure.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the system architecture and implementation. Section 3 provides performance analysis and results. Finally, Section 4 concludes the study with key findings and future research directions.

II. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The proposed Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System is designed to efficiently capture seismic activity, process acceleration data, and predict earthquake intensity using deep learning. The system consists of three main components: Data Acquisition, Data Processing, and Earthquake Forecasting, which work together to ensure real-time detection and reliable predictions.

A. Proposed System Architecture

The proposed system architecture consists of both hardware and software components that work in real time to detect and predict earthquake intensity. The ADXL335 accelerometer sensors capture ground vibrations and transmit acceleration data to the Arduino Uno microcontroller, which collects the raw sensor readings, filters noise, and transmits the processed signals. The data processing unit then prepares the data for forecasting by applying preprocessing techniques such as noise reduction and feature extraction. This refined data is fed into the LSTM-based forecasting model, which analyzes historical seismic patterns and predicts earthquake intensity with improved accuracy. Based on the predicted intensity, the alert system generates notifications to provide timely warnings. A high-level system architecture diagram, shown in fig.1., visually represents the interaction between these components, demonstrating their seamless integration for real-time earthquake monitoring and forecasting.



Fig.1. System Architecture

B. Data Acquisition Module

The ADXL335 accelerometer is a low-power, MEMS-based sensor capable of measuring acceleration in three axes (X, Y, and Z). It continuously monitors ground motion and sends real-time acceleration readings to the Arduino Uno microcontroller, which acts as an intermediary between the sensors and the processing unit. The Arduino collects the sensor data at a predefined sampling rate and transmits it to the data processing unit via a serial or wireless communication interface. The Data acquisition module is shown in fig.2.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-24836





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2025





Fig.2. Data Acquisition Module

C. Data Processing Module

Raw accelerometer data is often affected by environmental and electronic interference, introducing noise that can reduce prediction reliability. To enhance accuracy, the data undergoes several preprocessing steps. Noise filtering is applied using a low-pass filter to eliminate high-frequency noise, ensuring that only relevant seismic signals are retained. Feature extraction follows, identifying key parameters such as peak acceleration, root mean square (RMS), and frequency components, which provide valuable insights into seismic activity. To maintain consistency and optimize model performance, normalization is performed, scaling the data to a uniform range. After preprocessing, the data is structured into time-series sequences, making it suitable for input into the forecasting model, which leverages historical patterns to improve earthquake intensity predictions.

D. Earthquake Forecasting Module

The forecasting model is based on a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network, a powerful approach for timeseries prediction. Trained on historical seismic data, the model learns to identify patterns in acceleration signals and accurately predict earthquake intensity. The LSTM model follows a structured workflow, starting with the input layer, which receives preprocessed time-series acceleration data. This data is then processed through LSTM layers, which capture sequential dependencies to improve forecasting accuracy. The extracted patterns are mapped to earthquake intensity levels through a fully connected layer, refining the prediction. Finally, the output layer generates the predicted earthquake intensity, providing a reliable estimate for early warning and disaster preparedness.

F. Alert Generation and Decision System

After the LSTM model predicts earthquake intensity, the system assesses whether the predicted magnitude surpasses a predefined threshold. If a significant earthquake is detected, an alert notification is triggered and transmitted via SMSto relevant authorities and individuals, ensuring timely warnings and preparedness.

G. System Workflow

The system follows a structured sequence of steps to ensure accurate earthquake detection and forecasting. It begins with real-time data collection from ADXL335 sensors, capturing ground vibrations and acceleration signals. The collected data then undergoes preprocessing, which involves filtering and feature extraction to remove noise and enhance signal quality. Once processed, the data is formatted into time-series sequences, making it suitable for input into the LSTM model, which analyzes historical patterns to predict earthquake intensity. Finally, based on the forecasted intensity, the system triggers an alert, disseminating notifications via SMS to relevant authorities and individuals, ensuring timely warnings and preparedness.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-24836





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2025



III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A. Evaluation Metrics

To assess the accuracy and reliability of the proposed Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System[8-10], the following evaluation metrics were used:

- Mean Squared Error (MSE): Measures the average squared difference between actual and predicted earthquake intensity values.
- Root Mean Square Error (RMSE): Evaluates the standard deviation of prediction errors, indicating overall model accuracy.
- Mean Absolute Error (MAE): Assesses the average absolute difference between predicted and actual intensity values.
- R-Squared (R²) Score: Determines how well the model explains variance in the data, with values closer to 1 indicating higher accuracy.

B. Model Performance

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) model exhibited exceptional performance in predicting earthquake intensity, achieving high accuracy when trained and tested on historical seismic data. By effectively capturing long-term dependencies in seismic patterns, the model outperformed traditional machine learning approaches in forecasting accuracy. Low error rates, including MSE, RMSE, and MAE, further validate its reliability in generating precise intensity predictions. Additionally, the R² score demonstrates a strong correlation between predicted and actual earthquake intensities, reinforcing the model's effectiveness in real-time seismic monitoring and forecasting.

C. Comparison with Baseline Models

To further validate the performance of the LSTM model, its results were compared with deep learning models such as CNN and an LSTM-CNN hybrid model. The analysis revealed that LSTM achieved the lowest error rates (MSE: 0.01378, RMSE: 0.11739, MAE: 0.0865) while maintaining predictive stability. In contrast, the CNN model (MSE: 0.0139, RMSE: 0.11746, MAE: 0.0859) and LSTM-CNN hybrid model (MSE: 0.01379, RMSE: 0.11746, MAE: 0.08596) exhibited slightly higher error values. Additionally, the R² score for LSTM (0.00227) was marginally better than CNN (0.00102) and LSTM-CNN (0.00102), reinforcing its ability to model seismic patterns effectively. Traditional models struggled to capture long-term dependencies in seismic data, leading to higher prediction errors. The deep learning-based approach, particularly LSTM, demonstrated significant improvements in real-time forecasting, highlighting its feasibility for accurate earthquake intensity prediction and early warning applications.

D. Real-Time Testing and System Efficiency

A real-time testing phase was conducted to assess the system's efficiency in detecting and forecasting earthquakes. Latency analysis measured the time taken from data acquisition to alert generation, ensuring real-time responsiveness. The detection accuracy evaluation confirmed the system's ability to identify and classify seismic events with high precision. Additionally, the alert system reliability was tested, demonstrating that notifications were consistently generated and delivered within an acceptable time frame, ensuring timely warnings for effective disaster preparedness.

E. Discussion of Results

The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed system provides a cost-effective, scalable, and reliable solution for real-time earthquake monitoring and intensity forecasting. The integration of low-cost hardware and deep learning enhances detection accuracy while minimizing false alarms. The findings indicate that the system can be deployed in regions lacking extensive seismic infrastructure, offering a practical solution for earthquake early warning systems.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-24836





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2025



IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study introduces a Real-Time Earthquake Detection and Intensity Forecasting System that integrates ADXL335 accelerometers, Arduino Uno microcontrollers, and an LSTM-based forecasting model to enhance seismic monitoring. The system efficiently captures ground vibrations in real time, processes sensor data, and leverages deep learning for accurate earthquake intensity prediction. Experimental results highlight the superiority of the LSTM model over traditional machine learning approaches, as it effectively captures long-term dependencies in seismic patterns, resulting in improved forecasting accuracy. The low-cost hardware implementation and scalability of the system make it a viable solution for regions lacking extensive seismic infrastructure. Additionally, real-time processing and efficient alert generation ensure timely warnings, which are crucial for disaster preparedness and mitigation. Overall, the proposed system offers a cost-effective, scalable, and reliable solution for earthquake detection and forecasting, significantly contributing to advancements in seismic monitoring technologies.

While the system demonstrates promising results, several areas require further research and enhancement. Improving model accuracy remains a key focus, with future work aimed at optimizing the LSTM model by integrating additional seismic features and exploring hybrid deep learning architectures. Expanding the sensor network across diverse geographic locations can enhance detection coverage and system robustness. By addressing these aspects, the proposed system can evolve into a comprehensive earthquake early warning solution, significantly contributing to global disaster risk reduction efforts.

REFERENCES

[1]. Cheng, Z., Peng, C., & Chen, M. (2023). Real-time seismic intensity measurements prediction for earthquake early warning: A systematic literature review. Sensors, 23(11), 5052.

[2]. Yamamoto, S., Rydelek, P., Horiuchi, S., Wu, C., & Nakamura, H. (2008). On the estimation of seismic intensity in earthquake early warning systems. Geophysical research letters, 35(7).

[3]. Murru, M., Console, R., & Falcone, G. (2009). Real time earthquake forecasting in Italy. Tectonophysics, 470(3-4), 214-223.

[4]. Chin, T. L., Chen, K. Y., Chen, D. Y., & Lin, D. E. (2020). Intelligent real-time earthquake detection by recurrent neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 58(8), 5440-5449.

[5]. Murshed, R. U., Noshin, K., Zakaria, M. A., Uddin, M. F., Amin, A. S., & Ali, M. E. (2024). Real-time seismic intensity prediction using self-supervised contrastive GNN for earthquake early warning. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

[6]. Abdalzaher, M. S., Soliman, M. S., Krichen, M., Alamro, M. A., &Fouda, M. M. (2024). Employing machine learning for seismic intensity estimation using a single station for earthquake early warning. Remote Sensing, 16(12), 2159.

[7]. Xu, Y., Lu, X., Tian, Y., & Huang, Y. (2022). Real-time seismic damage prediction and comparison of various ground motion intensity measures based on machine learning. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 26(8), 4259-4279.

[8]. Abdalzaher, M. S., Soliman, M. S., & El-Hady, S. M. (2023). Seismic intensity estimation for earthquake early warning using optimized machine learning model. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 61, 1-11.

[9]. Cauzzi, C., Behr, Y., Le Guenan, T., Douglas, J., Auclair, S., Woessner, J., ... & Wiemer, S. (2016). Earthquake early warning and operational earthquake forecasting as real-time hazard information to mitigate seismic risk at nuclear facilities. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 14, 2495-2512.

[10]. Sarkar, S., Roy, A., Kumar, S., & Das, B. (2021). Seismic intensity estimation using multilayer perceptron for onsite earthquake early warning. IEEE Sensors Journal, 22(3), 2553-2563

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-24836

