IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

Is Artificial Intelligence Versus or With Copyright?

Dr Vinayak Jhamb and Mr Dev Maheshwari
Assistant Professor, Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies, New Delhi
Student, USLLS University School of Law and Legal Studies, New Delhi

Abstract: *The relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI) and copyright is complex and evolving, marked by both collaboration and tension. AI technologies combined with generative models are transforming creative industries by enabling the rapid production of art, music, text, and other media. This creates a critical legal and ethical juxtaposition where intellectual property ownership and IP rights protection are both facilitated by AI.*

AI can be used as a tool to enhance creativity or even to create entirely new works based on protected works. In this context, copyright laws can evolve to accommodate AI's role in the creative creation process, where a balance can be struck, depending on the degree of resemblance of the new work with the old work, the initiators can be allowed to retain rights over the outputs of AI or allows for rights of a new person over that work to be created.

AI while posing challenges to traditional copyright frameworks also allows for better enforcement of copyright protections as creators can use AI to scan the entire internet for unauthorised use of their works.

As AI systems increasingly replicate and are used to build upon existing creative works, the debate over fair use and the need for new legal frameworks intensifies. In conclusion, AI stands at a crossroads with copyright law, creating opportunities for both enhanced enforcement and creativity while simultaneously challenging the principles of intellectual property protection.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright Law, Legal Paraphernalia, Copyright Infringement and Intellectual Property Protection

I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has profoundly impacted various sectors, including the creative industries, reshaping the landscape of content creation, distribution, and intellectual property management.

AI's ability to generate, transform, and replicate creative content is both a revolutionary tool for artists and creators, and a disruptive force for established copyright laws. From generative models that can compose music and produce visual art, to language models that can write coherent and engaging text, AI's role in the creative industries is growing exponentially.

AI has the potential to democratize creativity, allowing individuals with minimal artistic skills to generate high-quality content. Many AI systems are trained on vast datasets that include existing copyrighted works, often without explicit permission from the original creators. This practice has raised questions about copyright infringement and the applicability of the Fair Use doctrine. The issue of originality and authorship also becomes problematic when AI systems produce works that are indistinguishable from those created by humans. If the creative process is driven by algorithms rather than human intent, can the resulting work still be protected under copyright law? And if so, who would be considered the author — the programmer, the user, or perhaps even the AI itself?

AI is not only a potential violator of copyright but also an enforcer of copyright protections. Advanced AI systems can be used to detect and prevent unauthorized use of copyrighted content on the internet, providing new tools for digital rights management (DRM) and copyright enforcement. AI's ability to scan vast amounts of data for infringing content offers an unprecedented opportunity for creators to protect their works in the digital age. However, this capability also introduces new ethical and legal considerations, particularly around issues of surveillance, data privacy, and the balance between enforcement and fair use.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

Understanding Artificial Intelligence and Copyright Law:

Defining Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to the simulation of human intelligence processes by computer systems. AI encompasses a range of technologies, including machine learning, deep learning, neural networks, and natural language processing. These technologies enable machines to learn from data, identify patterns, make decisions, and perform tasks that traditionally required human intelligence. In recent years, the development of generative AI models has revolutionized creative industries by allowing machines to produce original content, including text, music, images, and video.

Generative AI models, such as OpenAI's GPT series for text generation or DALL-E for visual art creation, rely on vast amounts of training data to produce outputs. This data often includes existing content, including copyrighted works, which the AI uses to learn stylistic features, linguistic patterns, and artistic conventions. Through processes like deep learning, AI models can generate content that resembles or builds upon the data they were trained on. This raises key questions about the originality of AI-generated works and their potential reliance on copyrighted materials.

AI's capability to generate creative content has sparked debates about the role of human authorship and creativity in the context of copyright law. When an AI model creates a piece of music or visual art that closely mimics existing works, it challenges traditional notions of originality and creativity. Understanding the technical aspects of AI's functionality, particularly its use of training data, is critical for assessing its impact on copyright law.

Overview of Copyright Law

Copyright law is a branch of intellectual property (IP) law that provides legal protection to original works of authorship, including literary, artistic, musical, and audiovisual creations. The primary purpose of copyright is to incentivize creativity by granting creators exclusive rights to their works for a limited time. These rights typically include the right to reproduce, distribute, perform, display, and create derivative works based on the original content.

The fundamental principles of copyright law are built around the concepts of *originality*, *fixation*, and *authorship*:

Originality: Copyright protection is granted only to works that exhibit a minimum level of originality. The work must reflect the author's creative choices and not be merely a copy of another work.

Fixation: For a work to be eligible for copyright protection, it must be fixed in a tangible medium of expression, such as a book, digital file, or recording. This requirement ensures that the work is captured in a form that can be perceived, reproduced, or communicated.

Authorship: Traditionally, copyright law has centred on human authorship, with the presumption that the creator of the work is a natural person who has exercised creative control and decision-making. This concept is a key challenge when it comes to works generated by AI, as the line between human creativity and machine output becomes blurred.

Copyright law aims to strike a balance between protecting the interests of creators and ensuring that the public can access and build upon creative works. This balance is reflected in legal doctrines such as *fair use* (in India and United States) and *fair dealing* (in many commonwealth countries), which allow for limited use of copyrighted works without permission under certain circumstances, such as for education, commentary, and research.

Intersection of AI and Copyright

As AI technologies increasingly contribute to the creation of artistic and literary works, they challenge traditional legal concepts that are rooted in human authorship.

Use of Copyrighted Material in AI Training:

One of the primary legal issues in the AI-copyright debate is the use of copyrighted content as training data for AI models. Many generative AI systems are trained on datasets that include copyrighted materials, often without explicit consent from the original creators. This raises questions about whether such use constitutes copyright infringement or can be justified under the doctrine of fair use.

The legal status of AI training practices varies across jurisdictions. In some countries, using copyrighted content for training purposes may be considered fair use or fall under an exception for data mining and research, while in others it may require explicit licensing agreements.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

Originality and Authorship in AI-Generated Works:

A key issue at the intersection of AI and copyright law is determining whether AI-generated works can be considered "original" creations. Copyright law traditionally requires a degree of creativity and human input, but AI-generated content often lacks a clear human author. This ambiguity has led to debates about whether such works should be eligible for copyright protection and, if so, who should be recognized as the author — the AI developer, the user who initiated the creative process, or perhaps the AI system itself.

Several jurisdictions have begun to address this issue. For instance, the United States Copyright Office currently does not recognize works created solely by AI as eligible for copyright protection, emphasizing the requirement for human authorship. However, other countries like Japan have taken a more flexible approach, allowing certain AI-generated works to receive copyright protection under specific circumstances.

The Role of AI in Copyright Enforcement:

While AI can challenge traditional copyright principles, it also offers new tools for enhancing copyright enforcement. AI technologies can be used to detect and prevent unauthorized use of copyrighted works, particularly in the digital realm. Content recognition systems powered by AI can scan vast amounts of online content to identify instances of infringement, assisting creators and copyright holders in protecting their rights.

However, the use of AI for copyright enforcement raises concerns about privacy, overreach, and the potential for abuse. Automated systems may struggle to distinguish between legitimate uses of content (such as parody or commentary) and actual infringement, leading to false positives and stifling creativity.

Copyright Implications of AI-Generated Works:

AI technologies have made significant strides in recent years, particularly with the development of generative models capable of producing original content. These models, powered by machine learning algorithms, can analyse vast datasets and generate new works that range from text and music to visual art and video. The creative processes aided by AI span multiple domains such as Text Generation and Literary Works, Music Composition and Audio Production, Visual Arts and Design, Film and Animation.

This use of AI in the creative process raises significant legal questions about the ownership and protection of AI-generated works. Traditional copyright law is based on the premise of human authorship, requiring that a work be created by a natural person who exercises creative control. However, when AI plays a significant role in generating content, the boundaries of authorship and originality become less clear.

Determining Ownership and Authorship:

One of the most pressing issues in copyright law is determining who owns the rights to AI-generated works. Is it the developer of the AI model, the user who provided input and guided the creation process, or the AI itself? Current legal frameworks generally do not recognize AI as a legal entity capable of holding copyright, which complicates the issue of ownership.

In many jurisdictions, the user who initiates the creative process and provides input to the AI tool is considered the author, provided that they contribute some level of creative input. However, this approach may not account for scenarios where the AI's output is largely autonomous and requires minimal human intervention.

Challenges to the Principle of Originality:

Copyright protection requires that a work be original, meaning it must exhibit a degree of creativity and not be a mere copy of existing works. AI-generated content, which often draws on vast amounts of pre-existing data, may challenge this principle. If an AI-generated work closely resembles or borrows heavily from copyrighted content used in training, it may be deemed derivative or infringing.

Legal systems are beginning to grapple with these issues, but there is little consensus on how to assess the originality of AI-generated works. Some argue that a new legal category for AI-assisted works may be needed, recognizing the collaborative nature of human-AI creative processes.

Legal Precedents and Current Frameworks:

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

The legal status of AI-generated works remains uncertain, with different jurisdictions taking varying approaches:

India:

On February 9, 2024, India's Ministry of Commerce and Industry asserted that the Copyright Act of 1957 and the Patent Act are capable of protecting AI-generated works without the need for new legislation. The Ministry emphasized that these laws provide adequate civil and criminal remedies for infringement, and that existing IP rights can be enforced against unauthorized use of AI-generated content.[12]

United States:

The USCO has made it clear that purely AI-generated works are not eligible for copyright protection due to the absence of human authorship. This conclusion was reinforced by a recent court ruling which stated that "human authorship is an essential part of a valid copyright claim".[34]

The USPTO has confirmed that only natural persons can be recognized as inventors under U.S. patent law, following the precedent set by the Federal Circuit in Thaler v. Vidal. This means that inventions created with AI assistance are patentable, provided there is sufficient human contribution to the inventive process.[5]

European Union:

Current EU copyright law does not recognize purely AI-generated works as eligible for copyright protection due to the requirement for human authorship. However, there is ongoing debate about whether this stance should evolve to accommodate the growing prevalence of AI-generated content.[6]

The AI Act being developed which is the first comprehensive legal framework for AI, addresses various aspects of AI deployment, including potential copyright implications for AI-generated works.[7]

Japan:

Under current Japanese law, purely AI-generated works are not eligible for copyright protection since they typically do not meet the human authorship requirement. However, there is ongoing debate about whether works created with significant human input (e.g., through prompts or modifications) can be copyrighted.[8]

Prime Minister Fumio Kishida has indicated that measures will be taken to protect content creators from unauthorized use of their works by AI systems.[910]

---

[1] *Available at* https://asiaiplaw.com/sector/copyright/indian-govt-says-countrys-existing-ip-regime-can-protect-ai-generated-works (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[2] *Available at* https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2004715 (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[3] *Available at* https://www.finnegan.com/en/insights/articles/protecting-ai-assisted-inventions-and-works-in-2024.html (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[4] *Available at* https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/LSB/LSB10922 (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[5] *Available at* https://www.crowell.com/en/insights/client-alerts/navigating-the-ai-intellectual-property-maze-key-points-from-congressional-hearing (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[6] *Available at* https://pec.ac.uk/blog_entries/copyright-protection-in-ai-generated-works-2/ (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[7] Available at https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/regulatory-framework-ai (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[8] *Available at* https://www.mondaq.com/copyright/1457202/ais-complex-relationship-with-ip (last visited on 17 November 2024)

[9] *Available at* https://asiaiplaw.com/section/cover-story/japan-tackles-copyright-infringement-linked-to-ai-technology (17 November 2024)

[10] *Available at* https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Technology/Japan-panel-pushes-to-shield-copyrighted-work-from-AI-training (last visited on 17 November 2024)

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

Opportunities Created by AI for Copyright Enforcement:

AI offers a range of new tools and methods that can enhance copyright enforcement, making it faster, more efficient, and more accurate.

Automated Content Recognition and Detection:

One of the most promising applications of AI in copyright enforcement is automated content recognition. AI-powered tools can analyse vast amounts of data, identifying instances of unauthorized use and infringement more quickly and accurately than manual methods.

Content Identification Systems:

AI systems use technologies like machine learning, neural networks, and computer vision to detect copyrighted content in digital media. For instance, YouTube's Content ID system employs AI algorithms to scan uploaded videos and identify copyrighted material based on an extensive database of audio and visual content provided by rights holders. When a match is found, the system can automatically block, monetize, or notify the rights holder of the potential infringement.

Image recognition software powered by AI can scan the internet for unauthorized use of copyrighted images and artwork. These systems compare new images against a database of copyrighted works, using features like colorpatterns, textures, and shapes to detect matches. This capability is especially valuable for photographers, graphic designers, and digital artists who face widespread unauthorized sharing of their works online.

Text based Copyright Detection:

AI can also be used to detect plagiarism and unauthorized copying of written content. Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms can analyse text for similarities, identifying instances where sections of copyrighted material have been copied without permission. This technology is widely used in academic settings to detect plagiarism, but it is also increasingly applied to digital media and publishing industries for copyright enforcement.

Companies like Turnitin and Copyscape use AI-based plagiarism detection tools that can scan millions of online documents in real-time, flagging potential copyright infringements. These tools help publishers, authors, and educators protect their works and maintain the integrity of written content.

Enhanced Digital Rights Management (DRM):

Digital Rights Management (DRM) refers to the technological measures used to control access to copyrighted content and prevent unauthorized use. AI is playing an important role in advancing DRM technologies, making it easier for creators and rights holders to manage and enforce their rights in the digital environment.

AI-Enhanced DRM Systems:

Traditional DRM systems often use encryption and licensing mechanisms to protect digital content, but these methods can be circumvented by advanced piracy techniques. AI can enhance DRM by incorporating intelligent algorithms that detect suspicious activity, such as repeated unauthorized attempts to access or share content. For example, AI can monitor user behaviour patterns to identify potential piracy, triggering automated responses like blocking access or alerting the rights holder.

AI can also improve watermarking technologies, which embed hidden, traceable information into digital media files. Using AI, these watermarks can be made more resilient to tampering and can adapt based on the content type and platform, making it harder for pirates to remove or alter them. When an unauthorized copy is detected, the embedded watermark can help identify the source of the infringement.

Adaptive Licensing Models:

AI can facilitate the creation of adaptive licensing models, which use machine learning to dynamically adjust the terms of content use based on real-time data. For example, an AI-enhanced licensing system can offer flexible pricing based on demand, usage patterns, or the geographic location of the user. This can help rights holders maximize revenue while reducing the incentive for piracy by offering fair and accessible licensing options.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

These adaptive models can also integrate with AI-based monitoring tools, automatically adjusting usage restrictions or offering new licensing terms when unauthorized use is detected. This proactive approach not only helps prevent infringement but also provides a pathway for legitimate users to correct unauthorized use without immediate legal action.

Monitoring and Enforcement in the Digital Age:

AI offers new opportunities for monitoring and detecting infringement on a large scale, especially on social media and content-sharing platforms.

Proactive Monitoring with AI:

AI can be used for proactive monitoring of online platforms, scanning social media, streaming services, and websites for unauthorized content. Machine learning algorithms can analyse vast quantities of data in real-time, identifying copyrighted material even if it has been altered, cropped, or edited. For example, Shazam's music recognition technology uses AI to detect songs based on audio fingerprints, even when the recording is distorted or incomplete.

Social media platforms like Facebook and Instagram are increasingly relying on AI to monitor content uploads and flag potential copyright violations. AI tools can detect the unauthorized use of music, videos, and images, alerting the platform and the rights holders to take action. This proactive approach helps reduce the spread of infringing content before it becomes widely distributed.

Automated Takedown and Legal Action:

AI can streamline the process of issuing takedown notices under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) and similar regulations. By automatically identifying instances of infringement, AI can generate and send takedown requests to the hosting platforms on behalf of the rights holders. This automation reduces the time and effort required for copyright enforcement, especially for creators dealing with large volumes of infringement cases.

Additionally, AI can assist in the preparation of legal documentation and evidence collection, making it easier for rights holders to pursue legal action against infringers. By providing detailed reports and analysis of infringing activities, AI tools can help strengthen the case for copyright enforcement in court.

Future Directions for AI and Copyright Law:

Redefining Authorship and Ownership:

One critical area for future legal reform is the definition of authorship. Current laws emphasize human creativity, but AI-generated works challenge this concept. Legislators may need to consider new categories of authorship, acknowledging the collaborative nature of human-AI creations or establishing shared ownership models between AI developers and users.

Clarifying ownership rights for AI-generated content is essential to provide legal certainty and incentivize innovation. The introduction of guidelines on the extent of human input required for copyright eligibility could help balance creative control with AI's autonomous capabilities.

Developing AI-Specific Copyright Exceptions:

The traditional copyright framework, including doctrines like fair use, may not fully accommodate the unique attributes of AI-generated works. Introducing AI-specific exceptions could address issues like data mining for training purposes and the incidental use of copyrighted material, promoting innovation while respecting creators' rights.

Legal reforms could also include clearer standards for the use of copyrighted works in AI training datasets, potentially allowing limited use under licensing agreements or a new statutory exception tailored for AI development.

International Harmonization of AI and Copyright Laws:

As AI technologies are developed and used globally, there is a need for consistent international copyright regulations. Harmonization of laws could reduce legal uncertainty, making it easier for creators and businesses to navigate cross-border issues involving AI-generated works.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.67
Volume 5, Issue 3, February 2025

Collaborative efforts by organizations like the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) could lead to the establishment of international guidelines and treaties that address AI's impact on copyright law.

II. CONCLUSION

The relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI) and copyright law embodies both promise and complexity. AI's transformative capabilities are reshaping creative industries, enabling unprecedented levels of creativity and efficiency while simultaneously challenging traditional copyright frameworks. This dual role of AI—both as a tool for creation and a disruptor of established legal norms—necessitates a balanced approach to policy and regulation.

AI has proven invaluable in enhancing creativity, enabling artists, writers, musicians, and other creators to push the boundaries of innovation. By generating content, assisting in ideation, and automating repetitive tasks, AI democratizes access to creative tools. However, questions of authorship and ownership remain unresolved. Current copyright laws, which prioritize human creativity, struggle to accommodate AI-generated works, leaving creators, AI developers, and legal systems in a state of uncertainty. Clear guidelines that recognize the collaborative nature of human-AI creativity are essential for fostering innovation while safeguarding intellectual property rights.

Simultaneously, AI presents unique opportunities for copyright enforcement. Advanced algorithms enhance content recognition, automate takedown processes, and improve digital rights management, providing creators with tools to combat infringement more effectively. However, these benefits must be balanced against concerns of false positives, privacy intrusions, and potential misuse of enforcement technologies.

The challenges AI poses to copyright frameworks are significant but not insurmountable. Legal systems must adapt by redefining authorship, developing AI-specific copyright exceptions, and fostering international cooperation. Harmonized regulations can reduce legal uncertainties and promote equitable solutions across jurisdictions.

In conclusion, AI is both with and versus copyright. While it enhances creativity and enforcement, it also disrupts traditional notions of originality, authorship, and ownership. Navigating this complex relationship requires forward-thinking legal reforms that embrace the potential of AI while upholding the foundational principles of copyright law. As AI technologies continue to evolve, striking this balance will be essential to fostering innovation, protecting intellectual property, and ensuring a fair and equitable creative ecosystem for all stakeholders.