

Review on the Operational Efficiency of Acquiring Companies following Mergers and Acquisitions

Rashmi Singh¹, Seema Sagar Gopal Anju², Karmawat Kuver³
Asst. Professor¹ and TYBMS^{2,3}

Uttar Bhartiya Sangh's Mahendra Pratap Sharda Prasad Singh College of Commerce & Science, Mumbai, Maharashtra

Abstract: *Over the years, the most prominent approach to inorganic business growth has been through mergers and acquisitions. It plays a crucial role in the reconstruction of corporate relationships. Organizations select consolidations and acquisitions based mostly on strategic business considerations that are predominantly financial in nature. This study aims to evaluate the impact of the acquiring firms' financial performance before and after the acquisition. This can be achieved by employing specific monetary ratios and conducting a paired 5% significance test to analyze the performance of the acquiring company before and after consolidation in selected M&A transactions in India during the years 2007-2008 (chosen due to the 2008 global financial crisis) and 2012-2013 (when numerous transactions emerged after 2010 but within 2012-2013).*

Keywords: Companies, Operational Efficiency, Mergers, Acquisition

I. INTRODUCTION

Consolidation of agencies refers to the process of merging or acquiring one company by another. The distinction between the two notions lies in the fact that a merger entails the consolidation of many agencies into a single entity, while an acquisition entails assuming control over a single employer. Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are fundamental aspects of the corporate finance industry. The rationale behind mergers and acquisitions is usually driven by the potential for increased economies of scale, where the combined entities can achieve greater cost efficiencies compared to operating independently. Agencies are currently assessing significant potential for merging or acquiring other companies with the main goal of maximizing wealth. Through the consolidation or integration of agencies, a consistent cost-saving synergy is created. The synergy cost can be assessed by examining the impact on revenues (increased revenues), expenses (reduced expenses), or capital costs (lowered standard capital costs). Each component of an M&A agreement may possess distinct perspectives regarding the actual worth of a target firm. Although the client may aim to obtain the most affordable pricing, the vendor seeks to maximize the amount charged to the employer. Nevertheless, there exist multiple efficient methods to bill organizations. One frequent way to determine the value of a site is by examining other companies in the same industry. However, deal makers employ a range of different approaches and tools to evaluate a target company.

Here are a handful of them: Comparisons of ratios. Acquiring firms can use a range of similar indicators when making their offers. Some examples include: The P/E ratio, often known as the price-earnings ratio. An acquiring organization presents a proposition that has the potential to surpass the earnings of the target organization by utilizing this ratio. The purchasing company will obtain specific information on the appropriate P/E ratio for the target company by analyzing the P/E ratios of all the shares within the same business organization. The EV/Sales ratio represents the relationship between a company's enterprise value and its sales. Using this ratio, the acquiring business presents a bid that represents a larger percentage of the revenues, while also considering the price-to-income ratio of other firms within the corporation. Acquisitions are sometimes driven by the opportunity to profoundly change the target company. For the sake of simplicity, let's assume that an organization's charge refers to the total expenses incurred for its people and equipment. The acquiring organization may insist that the target company sells at the specified price, or else it will create a competing company at the same price. Acquiring precise management skills, accumulating goods, and obtaining the appropriate equipment naturally need a significant amount of time.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Amish Bharat Kumar Soni's research emphasizes the impact of the purchased company's economic appraisal. The study highlights the evaluation of shareholder wealth as a strategy for short-term investment. My name is Harpreet Singh Bedi. The paper titled "Merger & Acquisition in India: An Analytical Study" This study analyzes the patterns and progressions in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in India. It also considers additional factors that have contributed to the progress and implementation of mergers and acquisitions in India.

In his paper, Viral Upendrabhai Pandya attempts to quantify the mergers and acquisitions industry in India from 1991 to 2010. He does this by utilizing time-collection data and considering recent worldwide developments that are vital to the topic. This article aims to categorize trends in the production and non-production sectors in order to accurately understand the causes and motives behind specific behaviors and predict the possible direction of mergers and acquisitions interest in India.

Rabi Narayankar and Amit Soni's study focused on highlighting mergers as a means of augmenting business value. The researchers analyzed the problem and selected a specific time period for the process of liberalization in order to evaluate the effects of the mergers. Agnihotri conducted a thorough analysis of the factors that affect acquisitions in three specific industries in India. The study revealed that the instability of earnings and the relationships with business institutions greatly influence the acquisition decisions made by Indian companies. The article mostly emphasized the increase in profits that was directly linked to the acquisition.

Erel, Liao, and Welsbach demonstrate in their study that acquisitions occur when the merged companies see more benefits in terms of production efficiencies, market domination, and tax considerations compared to their pre-purchase state. In the study, the researcher argues that a firm must thoroughly understand the advantages of a cross-border acquisition before deciding to follow a worldwide acquisition strategy. Developed world companies utilize M&A strategies to achieve cost savings and operational synergies. In contrast, emerging market companies are motivated to use this approach to acquire competencies, brands, knowledge, and technology that would enable them to become global leaders. Kumar illustrates this transformation by discussing how Hindalco, an Indian aluminum manufacturer, became one of the largest aluminium manufacturers globally. The integration process is more seamless and less disruptive because mergers and acquisitions are not being compelled solely by the pursuit of cost savings, downsizing, and other related concerns.

Typically, purchasing organizations pay a substantial premium over the market price of the items they purchase. The primary reason for this is typically the belief in the existence of synergy, where a merger is seen as advantageous for shareholders. However, the post-merger percentage rate of a firm will increase due to the costs associated with achieving capacity synergy. For pragmatic business owners, selling may not be the optimal course of action if they stand to benefit more by opting not to sell. Under this method, clients may be obligated to pay an additional fee if they wish to obtain the business, regardless of the indicated value before the merger. The highest rating reflects the prospective growth of the seller's enterprise. Customers anticipate that a fraction of the post-merger synergy, as indicated by the highest level, will be achieved. Companies opt to merge and buy one another solely based on strategic business objectives that primarily revolve around financial considerations. These strategies involve utilizing economies of scale in research and development, manufacturing, and marketing (horizontal mergers); enhancing distribution capabilities or entering new markets to gain a larger market share; diversifying the range of products and services offered (business diversification); acquiring smaller companies to gain access to experienced management; and surviving. Additional elements can be protected by attaining pricing efficiency outside the supply chain through the acquisition of a channel partner (vertical merger), or by barring potential future competitors. The interest in mergers and acquisitions has also resulted in the globalization of company activities. An increasing number of individuals are utilizing mergers and acquisitions as a rapid and efficient approach to consolidation, especially in the international market. Groups from emerging economies are actively acquiring cross-border assets at competitive rates, particularly in response to the 2008 Global Financial Crisis. This is primarily motivated by the dynamic global financial landscape. Several Indian organizations are seeking partnerships with international organizations, especially those in the western countries, to increase their market share and enhance productivity. The industries of information technology, metallurgy, pharmaceuticals, life sciences, cars, and ancillaries are specifically impacted by this change.

The main impetus for mergers and acquisitions is to enhance shareholder value, specifically through a rise in the company's market value stemming from the merger. One way to achieve this is by maximizing profits through various strategies such as leveraging economies of scale, economies of scope, economies of vertical integration, and synergies resulting from cost savings through research and development, streamlining operations, leveraging purchasing power, establishing internal capital markets, and taking advantage of economic savings from tax and interest rates. In recent times, mergers and acquisitions have been viewed as a panacea for organizations burdened with excessive levels of debt. The banking industry has implemented stricter lending criteria since 2015, resulting in a noticeable and pronounced trend. Unlike in the past, where the primary focus of most M&A acquisitions was on growth, corporations that were burdened with excessive debt sought to alleviate their financial strain by selling off assets.

III. CONCLUSION

There is no universal solution that can be applied to every situation. Numerous enterprises discover that broadening ownership restrictions by means of mergers and acquisitions is the most effective approach to progress. Some individuals perceive additional advantages in segregating a subsidiary or corporate division from the wider public. In theory, mergers lead to synergies and economies of scale, which enhance operations and reduce costs. Investors frequently take comfort in the notion that a merger will result in a more suitable level of market dominance. On the contrary, demerged organizations often experience enhanced operational performance due to changed managerial incentives. Extra capital might be utilized to support the expansion of the company through natural growth or the acquisition of other businesses. Traders appreciate the advanced data that demerged corporations are currently releasing. Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) can take all forms and sizes, and traders should not underestimate the complex issues that come with it. The optimal approach to achieving fairness requires a comprehensive examination of the expenses and advantages linked to the transactions. A merger may occur when two corporations decide to consolidate into one entity or when one company acquires another. A merger or acquisition typically entails the acquisition of one corporation by another. Synergy is the underlying principle underpinning mergers and acquisitions since it enables the improved financial performance of a newly formed business resulting from the combination of smaller ones. Acquiring firms employ many methodologies to assess the value of their targets. Several of these methodologies heavily depend on comparative ratios, such as the P/E and P/S ratios, instead of utilizing free or discounted cash flow analysis. An M&A acquisition can be completed using a currency transaction, an inventory-for-inventory transaction, or a mix of both. Transactions relating to inventory are exempt from taxation. Breakup or de-merger methods provide firms with the opportunity to raise additional equity capital, unlock latent shareholder value, and concentrate their efforts on control. De-mergers can occur by many methods such as spinoffs, carve-outs, divestitures, or stock monitoring. Mergers can fail owing to factors such as a lack of strategic planning, an inability to effectively manage challenging circumstances, a failure to prioritize everyday operations, and other similar reasons.

REFERENCES

- [1]. <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=91980>
- [2]. A Study on Mergers and Acquisition and Its Impact on Shareholders Wealth, Amishsoni, M. (2016). Journal of Business and Management, 18, 79–86, IOSR.
- [3]. Merger & Acquisition in India: An Analytical Study, Bedi, H.S. Apeejay Institute of Management in Punjab is hosting a national conference on business innovation.
- [4]. Mergers and Acquisitions Trends—The Indian Experience, Pandya, V.U.
- [5]. Mergers and Acquisitions in India: A Strategic Impact Analysis for Corporate Enterprises in the Post Liberalisation Period, Kar, R.N. and Soni, A. 2017.
- [6]. Determinants of Acquisitions: An Indian Perspective, Agnihotri, A. (2013). 36, 882-898, Management Research Review. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-04-2012-0077>
- [7]. Mergers and Acquisition-Determinants of Cross Border Approach by Erel, Liao, and Weisbach (2012).