

On Trirecurrence Property for Wely's Curvature Tensor in Finsler Space

Abdalstar A. Saleem¹, Alaa A. Abdallah^{*2}

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Aden University, Aden, Yemen¹

Department of Mathematics, Education Faculty, University of Abyan, Yemen¹

²Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India²

Abstract: This paper introduces a Finsler space which Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i satisfies the trirecurrence property in sense of Cartan. Further, we study the relations between the Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i , normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i and Berwald curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i .

Keywords: W – trirecurrent Finsler space, Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i , Affinely connected space

I. INTRODUCTION

The recurrent and birecurrent Finsler spaces have been studied by [10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The trirecurrent Finsler spaces for different curvature tensors have been studied by [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 17]. An affinely connected space for $h\nu$ –curvature tensor that satisfy the birecurrence property discussed by [2, 12].

An n –dimensional Finsler space F_n equipped with the line elements (x, y) and the fundamental metric function F that positive homogeneous of degree one in y^i [1, 3, 13, 14]. The vectors y_i and y^i satisfy

$$a) y_i y^i = F^2, \quad b) \partial_i y_j = \partial_j y_i = g_{ij}, \quad c) g_{it} y^i = y_t \text{ and } d) g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j F^2.$$

Cartan's covariant derivative of the fundamental metric function F , vector y^i and unit vector l^i vanish identically, i.e.

$$a) F_{|l} = 0, \quad b) y_{|l}^i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad c) g_{jkl|l} = 0,$$

Cartan's covariant derivative of an arbitrary tensor T_h^i with respect to x^l is given by [5]

$$a) \partial_j (T_{h|l}^i) - (\partial_j T_h^i)_{|l} = T_h^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{lr}^i) - T_r^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{lr}^r) - (\partial_r T_h^i) P_{jl}^r,$$

$$\text{where } b) P_{jl}^r = (\partial_j \Gamma_{hl}^r) y^h \text{ and } c) P_{jl}^i = g^{ih} P_{hjl}.$$

The Berwald curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and skew-symmetric in its last two lower indices which defined by [16]

$$H_{jkh}^i = \partial_h G_{jk}^i + G_{jk}^r G_{rh}^i + G_{rk}^i G_{jh}^r - h/k.$$

In view of Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions, we have the following relations [4]

$$(1.4) \quad a) \partial_j H_{kch}^i = H_{jkh}^i, \quad b) H_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kch}^i, \quad c) H_{ijkh} = g_{jr} H_{ikch}^r,$$

$$d) H_{kch}^i y^k = H_{ch}^i, \quad e) H_{kch}^i = \partial_k H_{ch}^i, \quad f) H_{jk} = H_{jkr}^r,$$

$$g) H_k = H_{kr}^r, \quad h) H = \frac{1}{n-1} H_r^r \text{ and } l) H_{rkh}^r = H_{kh} - H_{hk}.$$

The relation between the normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i and Berwald curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i satisfies [6]

$$(1.5) \quad N_{jkh}^i = H_{jkh}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} y^i \partial_j H_{rkh}^r,$$

where the normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i is homogeneous of degree zero in y^i .

Contracting the indices i and j in (1.5) and using the fact that the tensor H_{rkh}^r is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i , we get

$$(1.6) \quad N_{rkh}^r = H_{rkh}^r.$$

Transvecting (1.5) by y^j and using (1.4b), we get

$$(1.7) \quad N_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kch}^i.$$

The Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i and normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i are connected by [16]

$$(1.8) \quad a) W_{jkh}^i = N_{jkh}^i + 2(\delta_k^i M_{hj} - M_{kh} \delta_j^i - k|h),$$

$$\text{where } b) M_{jk} = -\frac{1}{n^2-1}(nN_{jk} + N_{kj}) \quad \text{and} \quad c) N_{jk} = N_{jkr}^r.$$

The Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i satisfies the following [16]

$$(1.9) \quad a) W_{jkh}^i y^j = W_{kh}^i, \quad b) W_{kh}^i y^k = W_h^i \quad \text{and} \quad c) W_h^i y^h = 0.$$

A Finsler space whose connection parameter G_{jk}^i is independent of y^i is called an *affinely connected space* [16]. Thus, one of the equivalent equations characterizes an affinely connected space

$$(1.10) \quad a) G_{jkh}^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b) C_{ijk|h} = 0.$$

The connection parameters of Cartan Γ_{kh}^i and Berwald G_{jk}^i coincide in affinely connected space and they are independent of the direction argument, i.e.

$$(1.11) \quad a) \partial_j G_{kh}^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b) \partial_j \Gamma_{kh}^i = 0.$$

Cartan's connection parameter Γ_{kh}^i coincides with Berwald's connection parameter G_{kh}^i for a Landsberg space, which is characterized by [16]

$$(1.12) \quad y_r G_{jkh}^r = -2C_{jkh|r} y^r = -2P_{jkh} = 0.$$

The W –recurrent Finsler space and W –birecurrent Finsler space introduced and characterized by [21, 22]

$$(1.13) \quad W_{jkh|l}^i = \lambda_l W_{jkh}^i, \quad W_{jkh}^i \neq 0$$

$$(1.14) \quad W_{jkh|l|m}^i = a_{lm} W_{jkh}^i,$$

where λ_l and a_{lm} are non-zero covariant vector field and non-zero covariant tensor field of second order, respectively.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Finsler space F_n which the Wely's curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i satisfies the trirecurrence property i.e. characterized by

$$(2.1) \quad W_{jkh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} W_{jkh}^i, \quad W_{jkh}^i \neq 0.$$

where a_{lmn} is non-zero covariant tensor field of third order. This space will be called a W – *tri recurrent Finsler space*. And denote it briefly by $WTR - F_n$.

Transvecting (2.1) by y^j , using (1.9a) and (1.2b), we get

$$(2.2) \quad W_{kh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} W_{kh}^i.$$

Transvecting (2.2) by y^k , using (1.9b) and (1.2b), we get

$$(2.3) \quad W_{h|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} W_h^i.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.1. In $WTR - F_n$, the Wely's torsion tensor W_{jk}^i and Wely's deviation W_h^i are tri recurrent.

Differentiating (1.8a) covariantly with respect to x^l, x^m and x^n in sense of Cartan, we get

$$(2.4) \quad N_{jkh|l|m|n}^i = W_{jkh|l|m|n}^i + 2(\delta_j^i M_{kh|l|m|n} + \delta_h^i M_{jk|l|m|n}).$$

Using (2.1) and (1.8a) in (2.4), we get

$$N_{jkh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} [N_{jkh}^i - 2(\delta_j^i M_{kh} + \delta_h^i M_{jk})] + 2(\delta_j^i M_{kh|l|m|n} + \delta_h^i M_{jk|l|m|n}).$$

Contracting i and h in above equation and using (1.8c) and the property skew –symmetric for M_{jk} , we get

$$N_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} [N_{jk} - 2(1 - n)M_{jk}] + 2(1 - n)M_{jk|l|m|n}.$$

Using (1.8b) in above equation, we get

$$N_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} N_{jk} - \frac{2}{n+1} a_{lmn} (nN_{jk} + N_{kj}) + \frac{2}{n+1} (nN_{jk|l|m|n} + N_{kj|l|m|n}).$$

Using the property skew – symmetric for N_{jk} in above equation, we get

$$N_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} N_{jk} - 2a_{lmn} N_{jk} + 2N_{jk|l|m|n}$$

which can be written by

$$(2.5) \quad N_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} N_{jk}.$$

Thus, we conclude

Copyright to IJARSCT

www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/568



Theorem 2.2. In WTR – F_n , if M_{jk} and N_{jk} are property skew – symmetric, then N_{jk} is trirecurrent.

Differentiating (1.8b) covariantly with respect to x^l , x^m and x^n in the sense of Cartan, using (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad M_{jk|l|m|n} = -\frac{1}{n^2-1} a_{lmn} (nN_{jk} + N_{kj}).$$

Using (1.8b) in (2.6), we get

$$(2.7) \quad M_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} M_{jk}.$$

Using (2.1) and (2.6) in (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad N_{jkh|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} N_{jkh}.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.3. In WBR – F_n , the tensor M_{jk} and normal projective curvature tensor N_{jk}^i are trirecurrent.

Contracting the indices i and j in (2.8) and using (1.6), we get

$$(2.9) \quad H_{rkh|l|m|n}^r = a_{lmn} H_{rkh}^r.$$

Transvecting (2.8) by y^j , using (1.7) and (1.2b), we get

$$(2.10) \quad H_{kh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} H_{kh}^i.$$

Transvecting (2.10) by y^k , using (1.4d) and (1.2b), we get

$$(2.11) \quad H_{h|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} H_h^i.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.10) and using (1.4g), we get

$$(2.12) \quad H_{k|l|m|n} = a_{lmn} H_k.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.11) and using (1.4h), we get

$$(2.13) \quad H_{l|m|n} = a_{lmn} H.$$

From above equations, we conclude

Theorem 2.4. In WTR – F_n , the curvature tensor H_{rkh}^r , torsion tensor H_{kh}^i , deviation tensor H_h^i , curvature vector H_k and scalar curvature H are trirecurrent.

In next results, we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors to be trirecurrent in WTR – F_n .

Differentiating (2.10) partially with respect to y^j , we get

$$(2.14) \quad \partial_j (H_{kh|l|m|n}^i) = (\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i + a_{lmn} \partial_j H_{kh}^i.$$

Using commutation formula exhibited by (1.3a) for H_{kh}^i in (2.14), using (1.4a), we get

$$(2.15) \quad H_{jkh|l|m|n}^i + [\{H_{kh}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i}) - H_{rh}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r}) - H_{rkh}^i P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + H_{kh|l}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) - H_{sh}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s P_{jm}^r] + H_{kh|l|m}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hn}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{kh|l|r}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) - [\{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) - H_{sh}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i}) - H_{sh|l}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) - H_{ks|l}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s}) - H_{kh|s}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) - \{H_{skh|l}^i + H_{kh}^t (\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*i}) - H_{th}^i (\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^i (\partial_s \Gamma_{hl}^{*t}) - P_{rl}^t\} P_{jn}^r] = (\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i + a_{lmn} H_{jkh}^i.$$

This shows that

$$(2.16) \quad H_{jkh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn} H_{jkh}^i$$

if and only if

$$(2.17) \quad [\{H_{kh}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i}) - H_{rh}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r}) - H_{rkh}^i P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + H_{kh|l}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) - H_{sh}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s P_{jm}^r] + H_{kh|l|m}^r (\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{hn}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r|m}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{kh|l|r}^i (\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) - [\{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) - H_{sh}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s (\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i}) - H_{sh|l}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) - H_{ks|l}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s}) - H_{kh|s}^i (\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) - \{H_{skh|l}^i + H_{kh}^t (\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*i}) - H_{th}^i (\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^i (\partial_s \Gamma_{hl}^{*t}) - P_{rl}^t\} P_{jn}^r] = (\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i + a_{lmn} H_{jkh}^i.$$

$$+H_{kh|l}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i}) - H_{sh|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) - H_{ks|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s}) - H_{kh|s}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) \\ - \{H_{skh|l}^i + H_{kh}^t(\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*i}) - H_{th}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{hl}^{*t}) - P_{rl}^t\} P_{jn}^r - (\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.5. In WTR – F_n , the Berwald curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is trirecurrent if and only if (2.17) holds.

Transvecting (2.15) by g_{ti} , using (1.4c) and (1.2c), we get

$$H_{jtkh|l|m} + g_{ti} \{H_{kh}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i}) - H_{rh}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r}) - H_{rkh}^i P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + H_{rkh|l}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i}) 2.18(-H_{rh|l}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) - \\ H_{kr|l}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) \\ - H_{sh}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + g_{ti} [H_{kh|l|m}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) \\ - H_{kr|l|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hn}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{kh|l|r}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) \\ - H_{sh}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{kh|l}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i}) - H_{sh|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) \\ - H_{ks|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s}) - H_{kh|s}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) - \{H_{skh|l}^i + H_{kh}^t(\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*i}) - H_{th}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{hl}^{*t}) \\ - H_{tkh}^i P_{rl}^t\}_{|m}] P_{jn}^r = g_{ti}(\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i + a_{lmn} H_{jtkh}.$$

This shows that

$$(2.19) \quad H_{jtkh|l|m} = a_{lmn} H_{jtkh}$$

if and only if

$$(2.20) \quad g_{ti} \{H_{kh}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i}) - H_{rh}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r}) - H_{rkh}^i P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + H_{rkh|l}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i}) \\ - H_{rh|l}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) \\ - H_{sh}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + g_{ti} [H_{kh|l|m}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*i}) - H_{rh|l|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) \\ - H_{kr|l|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{hn}^{*r}) - H_{kh|r|m}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{kh|l|r}^i(\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) - \{H_{rkh|l}^i + H_{kh}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*i}) \\ - H_{sh}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hl}^{*s}) - H_{skh}^i P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{kh|l}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i}) - H_{sh|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) \\ - H_{ks|l}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s}) - H_{kh|s}^i(\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) - \{H_{skh|l}^i + H_{kh}^t(\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*i}) - H_{th}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^i(\partial_s \Gamma_{hl}^{*t}) \\ - H_{tkh}^i P_{rl}^t\}_{|m}] P_{jn}^r = g_{ti}(\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.6. In WTR – F_n , the associate tensor H_{jtkh} of the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is trirecurrent if and only if (2.20) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.15), using (1.4f) and (1.4g), we get

$$(2.21) \quad H_{jk|l|m} + [\{H_{kb}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*b}) - H_r(\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bl}^{*r}) - H_{rk} P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + (\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*b}) - H_{r|l}(\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) \\ - H_{kr|l}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bm}^{*r}) - H_{k|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rk|l} + H_{kb}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*b}) - H_s(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bl}^{*s}) - H_{sk} P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{kb|l}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*b}) \\ - H_{r|l}(\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bn}^{*r}) - H_{k|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{k|l|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) \\ - \{H_{rk|l} + H_{kb}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*b}) - H_s(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bl}^{*s}) - H_{sk} P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} \\ + H_{kb|l}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*b}) - H_{s|l}(\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) - H_{ks|l}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bm}^{*s}) - H_{k|s}(\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s}) \\ - \{H_{sk|l} + H_{kb}^t(\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*b}) - H_t(\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^b(\partial_s \Gamma_{bl}^{*t}) - H_{tk} P_{rl}^t\}_{|m}] P_{jn}^r = (\partial_j a_{lmn}) H_k + a_{lmn} H_{jk}.$$

This shows that

$$H_{jk|l|m} = a_{lmn} H_{jk}$$

if and only if

$$(2.22) \quad [\{H_{kb}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*b}) - H_r(\partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r}) - H_{kr}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bl}^{*r}) - H_{rk} P_{jl}^r\}_{|m} + (\partial_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*b}) - H_{r|l}(\partial_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r}) \\ - H_{kr|l}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bm}^{*r}) - H_{k|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{lm}^{*r}) - \{H_{rk|l} + H_{kb}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*b}) - H_s(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bl}^{*s}) - H_{sk} P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} + H_{kb|l}^r(\partial_j \Gamma_{rn}^{*b}) \\ - H_{r|l}(\partial_j \Gamma_{kn}^{*r}) - H_{kr|l}^b(\partial_j \Gamma_{bn}^{*r}) - H_{k|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{ln}^{*r}) - H_{k|l|r}(\partial_j \Gamma_{mn}^{*r}) \\ - \{H_{rk|l} + H_{kb}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sl}^{*b}) - H_s(\partial_r \Gamma_{kl}^{*s}) - H_{ks}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bl}^{*s}) - H_{sk} P_{rl}^s\}_{|m} \\ + H_{kb|l}^s(\partial_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*b}) - H_{s|l}(\partial_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s}) - H_{ks|l}^b(\partial_r \Gamma_{bm}^{*s}) - H_{k|s}(\partial_r \Gamma_{lm}^{*s})$$

$$-\{H_{sk|l} + H_{kb}^t(\partial_s \Gamma_{tl}^{*b}) - H_t(\partial_s \Gamma_{kl}^{*t}) - H_{kt}^b(\partial_s \Gamma_{bl}^{*t}) - H_{tk}P_{rl}^t\}P_{jn}^r - (\partial_j a_{lmn})H_k = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.7. In $WTR - F_n$, H -Ricci tensor H_{jk} is trirecurrent if and only if (2.22) holds.

Remark 2.1. If the $WTR - F_n$ is affinely connected space, then the new space will be called $WTR -$ affinely connected space.

Let us consider $WTR -$ affinely connected space. In view of (1.3c), (1.11b), (1.12) and if $\partial_j a_{lmn} = 0$, Eq. (2.15) becomes

$$(2.23) \quad H_{jkh|l|m|n}^i = a_{lmn}H_{jkh}^i.$$

In view of (1.3c), (1.11b), (1.12) and if $\partial_j a_{lmn} = 0$, Eq. (2.18) becomes

$$(2.24) \quad H_{jtkh|l|m|n} = a_{lmn}H_{jtkh}.$$

In view of (1.3c), (1.11b), (1.12) and if $\partial_j a_{lmn} = 0$, Eq. (2.21) becomes

$$(2.25) \quad H_{jk|l|m|n} = a_{lmn}H_{jk}.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.8. In $WTR -$ affinely connected space, if the directional derivative of covariant tensor field vanish, then the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i , associate tensor H_{jtkh} and H -Ricci tensor H_{jk} are trirecurrent.

III. CONCLUSION

The necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors that be trirecurrent has been discussed in W -trirecurrent Finsler space. Also, the relations between the curvature tensors W_{jkh}^i , N_{jkh}^i and H_{jkh}^i have been discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1]. A. Abdallah, Study on the relationship between two curvature tensors in Finsler spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling, 4(2), 112-120, (2023).
- [2]. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, Special types of generalized \mathcal{BP} -recurrent spaces, Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 10(5), 972-979, (2019).
- [3]. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar, K. P. Ghadle and B. Hardan, Fundamentals and recent studies of Finsler geometry, International Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 10(2), 27-38, (2022).
- [4]. F. A. Ahmed and A. A. Abdallah, The conditions for various tensors to be generalized \mathcal{B} -trirecurrent tensor, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 4(2), 511-517, (2024).
- [5]. É. Cartan, Les espaces de Finsler, Actualités, Paris, 79 (1934). 2nd edit. (1971).
- [6]. W. H. Hadi, A. M. Hanbala and A. A. Saleem, On projective motion in an N -trirecurrent Finsler space, 7(1), 30 - 44, (2024).
- [7]. W. H. Hadi and A. A. Saleem, On pseudo T -trirecurrent Finsler space in Berwald sense, J. E. F., 17(2), 537 - 543, (2023).
- [8]. Misra, B. S. Misra, K. Srivastava and R. B. Srivastava, Higher order recurrent Finsler spaces with Berwalds curvature tensor field, J. Chem. Bio. Sci. Sei. 4(1), 624 - 631, (2014).
- [9]. H. D. Pande and K. K. Gupta, Recurrent Finsler space of third order, Acta Ciencia Indica, 9 (3), 177 - 183, (1980).
- [10]. P. N. Pandey and R. Verma, C^h -birecurrent Finsler space, second conference of the International Academy of Physical Sciences, 13-14, (1997).
- [11]. F. Y. Qasem, On normal projection trirecurrent Finsler spaces, Univ. of Aden, J. Nat. and Appl. Sc. 13(2), 339 - 355, (2009).
- [12]. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Abdallah, On generalized \mathcal{BR} -recurrent affinely connected space, Imperial journal of interdisciplinary research, 2(10), 2108-2112, (2016).

- [13]. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Abdallah, On certain generalized BR –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematics, 3(3), 111-114, (2016).
- [14]. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Abdallah, On study generalized BR –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Mathematics And its Application, 4(2-B), 113–121, (2016).
- [15]. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Muhib, On R^h – trirecurrent Finsler space, Journal of the faculty of education, Thamar, University, 6(3), 59 -75, (2008).
- [16]. H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-verlag, Berlin Göttingen-Heidelberg, (1959); 2nd (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, (1981).
- [17]. A. A. Saleem, On U^h –trirecurrent Finsler space, Univ. Aden j. Nat. and Appl. Sc. 28(1), 1 – 8, (2024).
- [18]. A. Saleem and A. A. Abdallah, Study on U^h –birecurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 2(3), 28 – 39, (2022).
- [19]. A. Saleem and A. A. Abdallah, Certain identities of C^h in Finsler spaces, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 3(2), 620-622, (2023).
- [20]. A. Saleem and A. A. Abdallah, On pseudo T –birecurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 2(3), 517 – 522, (2023).
- [21]. A. Saleem and A. A. Abdallah, The recurrence property for the projective curvature tensor in Finsler space, International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology, 11(5), 291 – 297, (2024).
- [22]. A. Saleem and A. A. Abdallah, On birecurrent Finsler space for the projective curvature tensor, International Journal of Advanced and Applied Research, 11(6), 95 – 99, (2024).