

# Corporate Philanthropy vs. CSR: Distinguishing Concepts and Their Impact on Indian Businesses

Pratiksha Bidre<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sharad Kadam<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Manesh Patil<sup>3</sup>  
Researcher<sup>1</sup> and Guide<sup>2,3</sup>

MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, Alandi, Pune, Maharashtra, India<sup>1,2</sup>  
Avantika University, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:** *This study aims to explore the differences and similarities between corporate philanthropy and corporate social responsibility (CSR) concepts, especially in the context of Indian businesses, and to understand their effectiveness. The scholar examines the Indian literature and some case studies to show how these definitions and theories are actually practiced in the Indian business milieu. Moreover, the research outlines the functional and strategic distinctions between corporate philanthropy, which is most often associated with goodwill and a sense of duty towards the community, and social responsibility, which is more and more recognized as a business necessity. In particular, using the comparative approach, the research illustrates the positive effects of both CSR and CB on brand relations and business practices generally, but emphasizes that CSR is more proactive, effective in enhancing business outturns, and delivering societal nurture in the long run. External challenges are provided to Indian firms with effective steps in incorporating CSR into core business processes thus adding value both economically and socially.*

**Keywords:** CSR.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In modern business functions, corporate philanthropy and corporate social responsibility together form a prominent focus area. However, these concepts, while accustomed to using them interchangeably, are fundamentally different. Corporate philanthropy generally involves financial contributions, resources in kind or time devoted to the service of the company's social causes which usually have no tangible payback in business. Nevertheless, CSR comprises a wider range of practices focused on ensuring the business strategies cohere with the social and environmental initiatives of the company in a bid to achieve a balanced win-win proposition. In case of India, which has numerous socio economic problems, corporations have an important role to play in facilitating social change. All these versions absorb the same purpose common features, and limits, but one peculiar difference humidity, is the necessity to account which was the aim of this article that is examination the relevance of these concepts within the Indian setting where law such as the Companies Act, 2013 provides for CSR spending.

Research Question or Hypothesis: How is corporate philanthropy and CSR employed within the structures of Indian businesses and how are they related strategically and operationally to the businesses their focus and objectives, and do those activities impact on the society as well as business itself.

Reason for the Study: The importance of distinguishing between corporate philanthropy and CSR is for Indian organizations that seek to maximize social values attached to their business operations. The study is useful for corporate executives, political leaders and scholars on how best to fashion social actions that not only respond to the organizational needs but also the needs of the society.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### Historical Development of Corporate Philanthropy and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

The history of the corporate contribution culture together with the blossoming CSR can be closely related to the history of business ethics and public expectations of businesses. Corporate philanthropy towards community development for instance education and health by wealthy industrialists dominated in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

When the dominant forms of philanthropy were based chiefly on the charitable impulse, it was not institutionalized as it is today's practice of CSR.

The particular concern became particularly acute as industrialization advanced and people could see large companies and their social impacts. This was the period within which the social responsibility of businesses started assuming focus by mid-century. Upon this development was the evolution of concepts of CSR where the notion of philanthropy was no longer an optional add on.

### **Key Theoretical Frameworks**

The Pyramid of CSR by Carroll : Carroll's Pyramid of CSR model was put forward by Archie B. Carroll in 1991 and it has been one of the most commonly quoted models in the CSR writing. The pyramid presents CSR as with the hierarchy in steps its architecture are garnished with four levels of responsibility: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic. It is in the bottom of the pyramid in terms of profitability is the economic responsibility which consists of any basic aim of any business organization. This is legally requires businesses to meet them. The third layer deals with the ethical responsibility which is that there are certain correctness expected for the companies to do, which may go beyond the national expectation. The last level of the pyramid is termed the philanthropic responsibility which incorporates activities that are not compelled by law but that serve to benefit the society at large. Carroll's Pyramid states that there are different perspectives to be considered in the issue of CSR, the profitability element being just at the bottom and for sure within the rest of the perspectives as well.

Stakeholder Theory: Stakeholder theory is given importance as of R. Edward Freeman's 1984 definition of this term which involves all non-market arguments about business performance embedding non-market shareholders. Stakeholders stand for everyone who benefits or stands to lose from the company's activities including workers, buyers, suppliers, society, and nature. The central tenet of stakeholder theory which deals with profit motive states that equally valued attention should be given to all the stakeholders without discrimination made towards the shareholders. Academic scholarship has also been contributing to the broadening scope of corporate social responsibility by demonstrating the emerging responsibility of abstracting consequences of decisions made by a business.

The Concept of Shared Value: Corporate social responsibility is often termed as the latest buzz and it has been put forth by Michael Porter and Mark Kramer in the year 2011. A more recent theorization suggests that the economic value of the business can be created in a manner that societal challenges are addressed. This new model gradually breaks away with the older CSR approach of institutionalized separation of social business and business social firm values. Shared value is created through new ways of looking at products and markets, reordering the value chain, and facilitating local cluster development.

### **Key Observations and Synthesis**

- Alignment to Core Competencies
- Regulatory Compliance and Strategic CSR
- Industry-Specific Focus
- Socio-cultural Factors Impact

### **Identification of Gaps and Limitations**

- Lacked clarity in separating Corporate Philanthropy from CSR
- Lack of examination of long-term effects on business performance
- Less review of the impacts of CSR related to society.
- Lack of adequate conceptual frameworks within the Indian context.
- Much Emphasis on Large Corporations

## **III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This qualitative research design therefore adopts a single-case study approach to gain detailed insights into CSR and philanthropic activities in leading Indian companies. The target of this study is those firms from various sectors,

including information technology, manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, and consumer goods, that have exhibited high involvement in CSR as well as shown transparency in reporting about their activities.

A purposive sampling strategy was adopted for the identification of such firms based on their active participation in CSR and public disclosures. Data sources will include both primary and secondary data: Primary data are obtained through indepth semi-structured interviews with CSR managers, executives, and other relevant stakeholders, which will give first hand insight into the fieldwork. Secondary data are sourced from corporate reports, CSR disclosures, media articles, and industry publications.

Thematic coding of the data collected will be done, identifying key themes and patterns of information on CSR practices. Such thematic coding will then be followed by cross-comparison to establish strategic intent and the eventual outcomes of CSR efforts at these companies.

#### **IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

1. Historical Context of CSR and Corporate Philanthropy in India Pre-2014: Corporate philanthropy dominated, with large family-run businesses (Tata, Birla, etc.) making donations to education, healthcare, and social causes.

2. Post-2014: With the introduction of the Companies Act 2013, CSR became mandatory for companies in India. Section 135 requires companies with a net worth of INR 500 crore or more, turnover of INR 1000 crore or more, or net profit of INR 5 crore or more to spend at least 2% of their average net profits on CSR activities. Indian companies have thus shifted from traditional philanthropy to more structured CSR programs, aligning business goals with social impact.

3. Corporate Philanthropy in Indian Businesses

Key Focus Areas: Healthcare: Many Indian corporates engage in healthcare philanthropy, such as donations to hospitals or health camps.

Education: A large portion of philanthropic initiatives involve improving educational infrastructure, scholarships, and vocational training programs. Emergency Response: Corporate philanthropy often steps up during times of crisis (e.g., COVID-19 pandemic, natural disasters). Benefits: Enhances corporate reputation and goodwill. Provides short-term relief for societal issues. Builds stronger ties with local communities.

Challenges: Lack of strategic focus—philanthropy is often ad-hoc and lacks long-term planning. Difficulty in measuring social impact. May not align with the company's core business activities, limiting sustainability.

4. CSR and Its Impact on Indian Businesses

Key Focus Areas: Environmental Sustainability: Companies are adopting greener practices, such as reducing carbon emissions, managing waste, and conserving water.

Community Development: CSR initiatives often target rural development, infrastructure, and improving livelihoods. Ethical Labor Practices: Companies focus on fair wages, reducing gender inequality, and ensuring safe working conditions.

Benefits: Long-term sustainability and risk management. Improved brand image and loyalty among consumers who favor responsible businesses. Regulatory compliance, avoiding penalties under the Companies Act. Enhances employee morale and attracts talent, as employees increasingly prefer working for socially responsible organizations.

Challenges: CSR initiatives often face resource constraints and may struggle to balance profit-making with social goals. Measuring the tangible outcomes of CSR can be complex and requires standardized metrics. Smaller companies may struggle with compliance due to limited resources and expertise in CSR.

5. Comparative Analysis of Impact Corporate

Philanthropy: Short-term Impact: Quick response to crises and immediate societal needs. Reputation: Enhances goodwill but can be seen as superficial if not aligned with a larger strategy.

Sustainability: Lacks integration into business practices, so its long-term effects are limited.

CSR: Long-term Impact: Fosters sustainable business models that create long-lasting positive social and environmental outcomes.

Reputation: Builds deeper trust with stakeholders, including investors, employees, and consumers.

Sustainability: Better aligned with business operations, leading to sustained efforts in addressing societal challenges.

6. Here are three in-depth case studies on Indian businesses that highlight their approaches to Corporate Philanthropy and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR):

## 1. Tata Group

### Corporate Philanthropy

- Overview: Tata Group, one of India's largest conglomerates, has a long history of philanthropy that dates back to the early 20th century. The group's founder, Jamsetji Tata, was committed to social upliftment, and his vision still drives the philanthropic activities of the Tata Trusts, one of India's oldest charitable organizations.

### Philanthropic Initiatives:

- Healthcare: Tata Trusts have been instrumental in setting up hospitals like the Tata Memorial Hospital for cancer treatment. They also provide financial support for medical research and healthcare access for underprivileged communities.
- Education: Tata has a history of supporting higher education in India, with significant contributions to institutions like the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) and Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR). Scholarships and grants for underprivileged students are also a major focus.
- Disaster Relief: The Tata Group has always responded promptly during national crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, providing financial aid, medical equipment, and supporting the healthcare infrastructure.

### CSR Approach

- Structure: Post the introduction of the Companies Act in 2013, Tata formalized its CSR initiatives across sectors including health, education, environment, and community development.

### Key CSR Initiatives:

- Water and Sanitation: Tata is heavily involved in water conservation projects in rural India, including rainwater harvesting and the construction of sanitation facilities under the Swachh Bharat Mission.
- Sustainability: Tata's CSR strategy emphasizes sustainability in business practices, with initiatives aimed at reducing its environmental footprint. This includes programs for energy efficiency, waste management, and renewable energy investments.
- Skill Development: Tata STRIVE, the group's CSR arm for skill development, trains youth in employable skills, ensuring economic opportunities for underprivileged sections of society.

### Impact:

- Tata's philanthropic and CSR initiatives have significantly improved healthcare access, educational opportunities, and economic empowerment in underdeveloped areas of India. Their structured CSR approach has made their business more sustainable, aligning long-term business goals with social good

## 2. Reliance Industries

### Corporate Philanthropy

- Overview: Reliance Industries, led by Mukesh Ambani, has engaged in corporate philanthropy through the Reliance Foundation, founded in 2010. The foundation focuses on healthcare, rural development, and disaster response.

### Philanthropic Initiatives:

- Disaster Relief: During the COVID-19 pandemic, Reliance provided extensive support by setting up India's first 100-bed hospital dedicated to COVID-19 treatment and donated significant amounts of personal protective equipment (PPE), ventilators, and other medical supplies.
- Healthcare: The foundation supports the provision of healthcare to underserved populations, particularly in rural India, through medical camps, mobile health vans, and financial aid for critical treatments.
- Education: Reliance has supported education through various scholarships, infrastructure development, and digital learning platforms, particularly focusing on marginalized communities.

#### CSR Approach

- Structure: Reliance Industries has adopted a more strategic approach to CSR, aligning social initiatives with its core business areas, particularly in areas like energy and technology.

#### Key CSR Initiatives:

- Rural Transformation: The Bharat India Jodo (BIJ) initiative under Reliance Foundation focuses on rural development, enhancing livelihoods, improving infrastructure, and providing access to clean drinking water.
- Sustainability: As an energy conglomerate, Reliance has made sustainability a key part of its CSR strategy. The company is investing in clean energy, reducing emissions, and promoting green practices in its operations. For example, they aim to produce the world's cheapest green hydrogen.
- Digital Inclusion: Through its CSR, Reliance promotes digital literacy and access to technology in rural areas. The Jio Foundation focuses on providing digital tools and access to online education for underserved communities.

#### Impact:

- Reliance's philanthropic work, particularly in healthcare and disaster relief, has had a significant impact on underprivileged communities. Its CSR efforts, particularly in rural transformation and sustainability, contribute to long-term socio-economic and environmental improvements.

### 3. Wipro

#### Corporate Philanthropy

- Overview: Wipro Limited, led by Azim Premji, is known for its strategic philanthropy through the Azim Premji Foundation, which is one of the largest private foundations in India.

#### Philanthropic Initiatives:

- Education: The Azim Premji Foundation is dedicated to improving the quality of education in India. It works directly with schools, teachers, and government bodies to reform public education systems, especially in rural and remote areas.
- Healthcare and COVID-19 Relief: During the pandemic, the foundation allocated over ₹1,125 crore (USD 150 million) towards relief efforts, including medical supplies, supporting healthcare workers, and helping marginalized communities.
- Disaster Relief: Wipro, through its foundation, has responded to various natural disasters with immediate relief efforts, including monetary donations, food distribution, and rehabilitation programs.

#### CSR Approach

- Structure: Wipro's CSR activities are deeply embedded in its sustainability and business strategy. It focuses on ethical business practices, environmental sustainability, and community development.

#### Key CSR Initiatives

- Sustainability: Wipro is a leader in environmental sustainability within the IT sector. It has comprehensive policies for reducing carbon footprints, increasing energy efficiency, and promoting water conservation. The company also promotes the circular economy by reducing e-waste.
- Education and Skill Development: Wipro's CSR initiatives in education go beyond philanthropy, supporting long-term improvements in curriculum development and teacher training through partnerships with various organizations.
- Diversity and Inclusion: Wipro promotes gender diversity and inclusion in its workforce and supports initiatives aimed at reducing gender inequality in Indian society.



**Impact:**

Wipro's philanthropic focus on education has created long-lasting changes in India's public school systems, particularly in rural areas. Its CSR efforts in sustainability have also contributed to environmental preservation, positioning Wipro as a leader in responsible business practices within the tech industry.

**Key Takeaways from the Case Studies**

- **Tata Group:** Balances traditional philanthropy with a structured, sustainable CSR approach, showing long-term commitment to societal well-being through healthcare, education, and environmental conservation.
- **Reliance Industries:** Strong in both philanthropy (disaster relief, healthcare) and CSR (sustainability, rural development), with an emphasis on aligning its social responsibilities with its core business areas, especially in energy.
- **Wipro:** Focuses on education, sustainability, and inclusive practices, with philanthropic efforts led by the Azim Premji Foundation. Wipro stands out for embedding CSR deeply into its business strategy, particularly in sustainability and ethical business practices.

These case studies demonstrate how Indian corporations are shifting from ad-hoc philanthropic donations to more structured CSR initiatives that align with business goals and regulatory requirements. Each company's approach reflects its industry and long-term vision for social impact.

**V. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. **Interpretation of Results:** From the study hereby conducted, it is evident that corporate philanthropy and CSR each has varying impacts on business and societal results.

**Business Outcomes:** Strategic CSR enhances brand reputation and attracts more customers; it offers higher employee morale. It may even enhance financial performance because the CSR is done in a manner consistent with business goals. Corporate philanthropy will grant instantaneous social advantages and greater goodwill, but may not be as connected to long-run business objectives.

**Societal Impacts:** Strategic CSR contributes a great deal to community development, environmental sustainability, and social equity. It reaches systemic problems and creates long-term positive changes. Corporate philanthropy is impactful but will probably be focused on more immediate, short-term benefits.

**2. Implications of the Findings**

**To Businesses:** CSR integrated into core strategies has the potential to maximize business and social value, improve corporate reputation, customer loyalty, and operational efficiencies. Companies should, therefore, have CSR initiatives aligned with their strategic objectives for relevance.

**To Policymakers,** insights could help inform the design of policies and incentives which would influence businesses to adopt effective CSR practices with an improved impact on social development and challenges faced by society.

**3. Comparison with Existing Research**

Results will be related to the literature in order to confirm the findings and provide new insights to further the wide-ranging study of CSR and corporate philanthropy.

**4. Limitations and Future Directions**

**Industry Specific Focus:** The analytical procedure will be focused only on chosen industries, and this obviously excludes a whole range of industries that may represent the base upon which corporate philanthropy and CSR rest. Conclusion results may have different focuses or weights based on the particular industry involved, which will again restrict the general application of the findings across all industries.

**Possible Response Bias by Using Self-Report Data:** Since firms tend to report their contributions positively in the light of CSR and philanthropy, the data may be biased. Therefore, this type of self-reporting will affect the accuracy of findings that will be detected.

**Longitudinal Studies:** Further studies are recommended on the longitudinal impacts of CSR and philanthropic activities to understand how such initiatives evolve over time and their sustained impacts on both business performance and the outcomes in society.

A mixed-method approach, with the combination of quantitative and qualitative data, would add more weight to these findings in this study. It encompasses possible biases that may have taken place, therefore showing a more subtle analysis of CSR and philanthropic impacts.

## **VI. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Summary of Main Points:** This paper aimed at looking at the functions and impacts of corporate philanthropy and CSR in Indian companies. A qualitative case study method was used to study selected leading companies within different industries on their CSR and philanthropic activities. Key findings reveal divergent ways in which such initiatives are implemented or have an impact. While corporate philanthropy has typically been associated with direct social effects and heightened corporate goodwill, CSR projects that are connected to business objectives more often provide superior and more sustainable long-term outcomes.

**Restate Research Question and Answer:** In this context, the key research question was discussed at length: how does corporate philanthropy differ from CSR, in its roles and impacts within Indian companies? Based on the findings of this study, it appeared that while both corporate philanthropy and CSR do help to drive positive development in society, those CSR initiatives which are more strongly linked to business strategy stand a good chance of deepening and lengthening their impression on the firm and community.

**Final Thoughts and Recommendations:** If CSR is to pay the dividends it should, then businesses should integrate the mechanisms of CSR into the very heart of their business operations. Recommendations include the following: The CSR initiatives should be in line with the overall goals of the business to ensure strategic coherence. Effective measurements of the outcomes of CSR activities should be instituted for an assessment of each for their effectiveness.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Carroll, A. B., & Buchholtz, A. K. (2014). *Business & Society: Ethics, Sustainability, and Stakeholder Management*. Cengage Learning.
- [2]. McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. S. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 117-127.
- [3]. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.
- [4]. Bhattacharya, C. B., Korschun, D., & Sen, S. (2009). Strengthening stakeholder–company relationships through mutually beneficial corporate social responsibility initiatives. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 85(2), 257-272.
- [5]. Fombrun, C. J. (1996). *Reputation: Realizing Value from the Corporate Image*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- [6]. *Data Visualization and Analysis: Tufté, E. R. (2001). The Visual Display of Quantitative Information*. Graphics Press.
- [7]. Cleveland, W. S. (1993). *Visualizing Data*. Hobart Press.
- [8]. Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone Publishing.
- [9]. Morgeson, F. P., & Aguinis, H. (2014). Performance management: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 40(1), 148-179.
- [10]. Yin, R. K. (2017). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. Sage Publications.
- [11]. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications