IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 2, September 2024

# The Role of Government in Shaping Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Policies and Practices in India

**Vinay Patil[1] and Dr. Mangesh M. Bhople[2]**
Student, Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA)[1]
HoD and Assistant Professor, BBA and BBA IB Department[2]
vp5295407@gmail.com
MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, Alandi Devachi, Pune, India

**Abstract:** *This study investigates the impact of government intervention on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policies and practices in India, specifically following the implementation of the Companies Act of 2013, which mandates that companies allocate at least 2% of their average net profit to CSR activities. This study examines how this regulatory framework, along with government incentives and collaborative initiatives, has influenced corporate behaviour. The findings reveal that, while mandatory CSR requirements have increased corporate participation in social and environmental initiatives, there are concerns about superficial compliance rather than genuine impact. Incentives such as tax benefits have been effective in motivating large firms but often do not address the needs of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Collaborative efforts, including public-private partnerships, show promise but face challenges in the coordination and alignment of goals. The study concludes that refining regulatory frameworks, enhancing support for SMEs, and improving collaborative project management are essential for making CSR practices more impactful and better aligned with India's socioeconomic and environmental goals.*

**Keywords:** Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Companies Act 2013, Government Regulation, Government Incentives, CSR Implementation, Social and Environmental Impact

## I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) has become an important concept in business management, stating that companies should consume as well as achieve social goals. With the approval of the Companies Act, 2013, India became the first country in the world to impose social responsibility on companies that meet financial standards. The act requires companies to use at least 2% of their average profits for the last three years for these activities. These acts represent a shift from voluntary to CSR, based on the idea that businesses should be more responsible for their impact on society, the economy and the environment. The decision to create the act emerged from the overall aim of social integration with strategies related to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The mission aims to solve social problems such as poverty reduction, education, health, environmental safety and urban development. By encouraging business participation in specific industries, the government hopes to use private resources to provide public benefits and ultimately increase fair income from healthcare and justice. However, this legislation has raised many objections, including concerns about mandatory financial aid and its continued impact on the free market. As an employer, the government sets standards, monitors compliance, and promotes transparency and accountability through public reporting and annual reporting. It also creates a framework that encourages collaboration between the public and private sectors, and often encourages businesses to invest in specific sectors or areas that benefit the country. For example, public sector enterprises (PSUs), which mostly operate in underserved areas or in important sectors such as clean energy and education, are at the forefront of CSR efforts driven by regulations and government directives. Despite the integration of CSR into business management, there are still problems and dissatisfactions. Companies often face the challenge of implementing CSR programs effectively, reporting transparently, and linking CSR activities to core

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 2, September 2024

business objectives. Furthermore, the "one-size-fits-all'' approach to CSR spending has also been criticized for failing to take into account the different circumstances and capabilities of different companies. As a result, there is a growing debate about whether CSR should lead to social benefits or merely act as a token to avoid sanctions.

**Background of the study**

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India has advanced significantly, with the implementation of Section 135 of t he Companies Act, 2013, serving as a watershed moment.
Companies with net income above ₹50 million must allocate 2% of their average yearly profits to CSR efforts.
This law provision emphasises corporate responsibility and aims to promote long-term development that benefits both enterprises and the community.
The Indian government's role in creating CSR policy began with the implementation of the National Voluntary Guideli nes (NVGs) in 2011 and was strengthened by the National Guidelines on Responsible Business Conduct (NGRBC) in 2 019. The NGRBC is aligned with global initiatives such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and encourages companies to engage in responsible and ethical practices. However, despite these positive developments, challenges remain in fully adopting CSR, such as regulatory inconsistencies, varying reporting standards, and unclear program implementation.
The aim of this research is to analyze the influence of government measuresranging from legal frameworks to financial incentives and partnershipson CSR activities. It seeks to uncover gaps and challenges in the existing policies, evaluate their effects on corporate conduct, and propose recommendations to enhance community engagement. The study focuses on aligning CSR efforts more closely with India's socio-economic and environmental priorities, ensuring that corporate actions effectively contribute to the country's development objectives.

**Objective of the Study**

The goal of this research is to explore the government's influence in shaping CSR policies in India. It examines the effectiveness of various governmental actions, including regulatory measures, incentives, and collaborative initiatives, in encouraging CSR activities among businesses. The study also seeks to discover gaps and challenges within cutting-edge regulations, assess their impact on corporate behaviour, and provide suggestions for enhancing the alignment of CSR practices with country-wide socio-monetary and environmental dreams.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

GivingForce (2024)study focuses on the increasing involvement of governments in the development of CSR policies, with a particular emphasis on the transition from voluntary CSR practices to greater government involvement. This study examines the European context, focusing primarily on the United Kingdom (UK). The United Kingdom has introduced "legal" standards and codes of conduct, such as the Standard Voluntary Code of Conduct for Head-hunters, to promote ethical practices, such as gender equality for men on corporate boards. Financial incentives such as "donation" programs are also used to promote corporate responsibility. This study concludes that government intervention leads to transparency and accountability in CSR practices, and integration with responsibility and accountability.
Anupama Goel's study(2021) examines the evolution of CSR from a voluntary charitable activity to an integral part of the business process. This conclusion emphasises the need of expanding government restrictions in encouraging corporate accountability. According to Goel, the government has switched from just implementing legislation to actively pushing CSR, compelling corporations to include social and environmental responsibility into their main activities. His research demonstrates that voluntary CSR policies are insufficient, and he pushes for robust laws and regulations that embrace CSR as an inherent aspect of corporate governance.
Nikhil Atale and E.J. Helge's (2014) research explores the difficulties encountered by Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) in India after the Department of Public Enterprises issued a 2010 directive mandating their participation in CSR initiatives. The authors highlight the shift from voluntary to mandatory CSR, arguing that such regulations necessitate a well-structured framework to be effective. Their proposed framework focuses on enhancing the management of CSR activities within CPSEs, noting that mandatory CSR can have positive social and environmental

impacts but also involves complexities in implementation. The authors debate whether mandatory CSR regulations benefit public sector enterprises or add unnecessary bureaucratic layers.

Biswas, Garg, and Singh (2016) explore the evolving relationship among the Indian government and agencies concerning CSR. Their observe, which involves a survey of CSR experts, highlights that government strategies ranging from regulation to facilitation notably effect company social obligation efforts. The findings advise that CSR personnel see value in each regulatory measures and supportive roles performed via the authorities, which they accept as true with are vital for effective CSR practices. This study underscores the want for both governmental oversight and assist in promoting sustainable CSR activities.

Subramaniam, Kansal, and Babu (2015) look at how government-owned corporations in India manipulate their mandatory CSR obligations the usage of the "Logic of Governance" framework. Through interviews with senior managers from 21 CPSEs, the look at identifies key demanding situations, along with bureaucratic barriers, inadequate assets, and an overemphasis on price range spending as opposed to social impact. The authors argue for translating countrywide CSR rules into actionable techniques on the company degree, improving stakeholder engagement, and improving verbal exchange with outside companions such as NGOs and local governments. The have a look at gives a nuanced expertise of the challenges in imposing mandatory CSR and offers tips for upgrades in CSR governance.

Rajgopal and Tantri (2022) investigated the impact of India's rule that corporations spend at least 2% of their income to CSR. Their research discovered that firms who were previously engaging in voluntary CSR reduced their CSR expenditures following the mandate's introduction, regardless of their advertising and marketing efforts to maintain the signalling cost in their CSR operations. This reduction in spending is due to a decrease in stock charges and operating performance. This suggests that mandated CSR mandates can erode the perceived price of voluntary CSR initiatives and reduce enterprises' regular CSR investment.

Singhal (2014) explored the evolving role of governments in shaping CSR practices within India's businesses. This study highlights how governments can influence CSR through various mechanisms such as regulation, facilitation, brokering, and warranting. Singhal discussed several government initiatives that foster a supportive environment for CSR, including specialised agencies, regulatory reforms, and stakeholder engagement. The study concludes that effective government intervention is vital in creating a conducive environment for CSR, which supports sustainable development and enhances corporate responsibility.

Bhave (2014) focuses on the critical role of the government in promoting CSR, particularly in Gujarat, India. Bhave discussed how CSR has evolved from voluntary practice to a significant public policy area that requires government intervention to foster responsible corporate behaviour. This study compares Gujarat's emerging CSR policies with international practices from countries such as the UK, Denmark, and Sweden, which employ multidimensional approaches, including legislation, facilitation, partnerships, and endorsements. Bhave suggested that adopting such strategies could enhance CSR efforts in Gujarat and other similar regions.

**Government Regulatory Framework**

The legislative frameworks governing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India, primarily led by Section 335 of the Companies Act of 2013, have significantly changed corporation engagement in social and environmental issues. This rule requires enterprises that meet exact conditions to allocate at least 2% of their total Internet income to CSR efforts. The mandatory structure of this framework has hastened business participation in CSR, guaranteeing that a significant share of firm resources is allocated towards societal benefits.

However, even as this has advanced standard engagement, it has also led to concerns about the superficial implementation of CSR initiatives, where businesses can also focus on compliance rather than accomplishing meaningful social effects. Furthermore, the regulatory method has been criticised for its one-length-suits-all model, which might not effectively address the diverse wishes and capacities of smaller firms.

**Government Incentives**

Government incentives for CSR, which include tax benefits and popularity programs, are designed to encourage organisations to exceed the minimal necessities set by law. These incentives have demonstrated their effectiveness in riding extended CSR activities among large corporations, supplying monetary and reputational rewards for those that


DOI: 10.48175/568

interact notably in socially accountable practices. However, these incentives often disproportionately benefit large companies that have the resources to interact efficiently with CSR, leaving smaller firms at a disadvantage. Smaller groups, which may also warfare with the monetary and administrative burdens of CSR compliance, frequently find these incentives insufficient to cope with their precise demanding situations.

**Collaborative Initiatives**

Collaborative tasks, which include public–private partnerships (PPPs) and region-precise hints, represent a strategic method to amplify the impact of CSR sports by leveraging the strengths of numerous stakeholders. These collaborations aim to align the efforts of corporations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and local groups towards commonplace social and environmental desires. While such tasks can enhance the effectiveness and attainment of CSR efforts, they also face demanding situations related to stakeholder coordination and goal alignment. Discrepancies in goals and operational strategies among various partners can complicate project implementation and affect evaluation.

**Gaps and Limitations**

However, several gaps and limitations are discernible in the current CSR context, even after progress is anchored by the above-identified regulatory measures, incentives, and collaborative efforts. One of the glaring loopholes is that of compliance-oriented CSR, in which the primary focus is on conforming to the legal frameworks; therefore, the effort may be more on meeting the legal requirements than on achieving the actual social and environmental goals. Moreover, there are deficiencies in the frameworks that would help SMEs deal with the issues of financing and organizational requirements for CSR initiatives. In addition, the most important problems related to the organisation of cooperation in joint projects point to the need for the development of better approaches to the management of interactions with stakeholders and the organisation of their interests. Filling these gaps through the development of more sophisticated regulatory frameworks, strengthening support for SMEs, and optimising collaborative initiatives is crucial for the further progress of CSR practices that are closely aligned to national socio-economic and environmental objective

## III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a qualitative approach to explore the influence of government policies on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India. The methodology centres on an extensive review of existing literature, including academic articles, books, and official reports, to understand the development and impact of CSR regulations and initiatives. By analysing various regulatory frameworks, government incentives, and collaborative efforts, this study aims to uncover the strengths and limitations of current CSR policies. This approach allows for a detailed examination of how these policies shape corporate behaviour and align with broader socioeconomic and environmental goals. The insights gained from this literature review are used to identify key issues and propose recommendations for improving CSR practices in India.

## IV. FINDINGS

This study found that India's mandated CSR requirements, outlined in Section 135 of the Companies Act of 2013, had d ramatically expanded business participation in social and environmental projects. This mandate has led to substantial allocation of resources to CSR activities. However, there is evidence of superficial implementation by some companies, focusing more on compliance than on achieving meaningful social impact. Government incentives, including tax benefits and recognition programs, have been effective in motivating large corporations to deepen their CSR efforts. Nevertheless, smaller companies face challenges owing to the financial and administrative burdens associated with these requirements. Collaborative initiatives, such as public-private partnerships, hold promise but encounter difficulties with stakeholder coordination and goal alignment.

## V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, although government policies have had a positive impact on CSR practices in India, several challenges remain. The tendency towards compliance-oriented CSR and insufficient support for smaller firms hinders the overall effectiveness of these policies. To enhance CSR outcomes, it is essential to refine regulatory frameworks, provide better support for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and improve the management of collaborative projects. Addressing these areas will help ensure that CSR practices are more impactful and aligned with the national socioeconomic and environmental objectives.

**Disclosures**

**Author contribution statement**

I, Vinay Patil, took full responsibility for designing and carrying out this study. I managed all aspects, from the initial literature review to data analysis and writing the manuscript. No additional contributors were involved in the research.

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

I would like to confirm that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this research. The study was carried out independently, and neither external factors nor personal interests have had any influence on the findings.

**Data Access Statement**

The data used in this study is publicly available and sourced from government portals and academic databases. If anyone needs further information or wishes to verify the findings, they can reach out to me directly.

## REFERENCES

[1]. **Arora, A. S., & B. (2006).** The political economy of corporate responsibility in India. Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.

[2]. **Das, S. C. (2009).** Status and direction of corporate social responsibility in Indian perspective: An exploratory study. Social Responsibility Journal, 5(1), 34-47.

[3]. **Albareda, L., Lozano, J., Tencati, A., Perrini, F., & Midttun, A. (2009).** The role of government in corporate social responsibility. In Zsolnai, L., Boda, Z., & Fekete, L. (Eds.), Ethical prospects: Economy, society, and environment (pp. 103-149). London: Routledge.

[4]. **Srinivasan, A. (2012).** Mandatory CSR spends provided for by Companies Bill, 2012. Taxmann.

[5]. **GivingForce. (2024).** Does government have a role to play in corporate social responsibility? Retrieved from GivingForce

[6]. **ProMarket. (2024).** The costs of India's mandatory corporate social responsibility rule. Retrieved from ProMarket

[7]. **Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2023).** Corporate social responsibility and institutional change: New evidence from India. Strategic Management Journal, 44(8), 1293-1315. doi:10.1002/smj.3458

[8]. **Dharmapala, D., & Khanna, V. (2021).** The impact of mandated corporate social responsibility: Evidence from India's Companies Act of 2013. International Review of Law and Economics, 62, 102-115. doi:10.1016/j.irle.2021.105560

[9]. **Khan, M., & Wali, N. (2023**). Government interventions and CSR effectiveness in emerging markets: A comparative study of India and China. Journal of Business Ethics, 184(4), 751-770. doi:10.1007/s10551-022-05223-0

[10]. **Gupta, P., & Kumar, V. (2024).** Evaluating the impact of government regulations on CSR implementation in India: A sector-wise study. Sustainable Development, 32(1), 34-50. doi:10.1002/sd.2142

[11]. **Sinha, R., & Gupta, S. (2024).** The evolution of CSR policies in India: The role of government in shaping corporate responsibility. Indian Journal of Corporate Governance, 17(1), 55-75. doi:10.1177/0974686222112345

[12]. Patel, N., & Mehta, R. (2023). Corporate social responsibility in India: An assessment of government policies and corporate responses. Journal of Corporate Governance, 21(3), 405-425. doi:10.1108/CG-09-2022-0185

[13]. www.google.com

[14]. www.emeraldinsight.com

[15]. www.knimbus.com

[16]. www.jstor.com

[17]. www.wikipedia.com

[18]. International Journal of Computing and Corporate Research. (2014). Retrieved from IJCCR