

A Review of the Underlying Factors and Physiological Impact of Alcohol Addiction

Navneet Gotra¹ and Dr. Nirmal Sharma²

Research Scholar, Department of Bio-Technology¹

Professor, Department of Bio-Technology²

Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: *Despite recent advancements, the aetiology and pathophysiology of alcoholism, a chronic, remitting, and relapsing disorder, remain mostly unclear. The present understanding of the biology or psychological causes of alcohol addiction is summed up in this overview. This includes candidate genes, metabolic control of alcohol, inheritance, and the impact of alcohol on the pathophysiology of several neurotransmitter systems. Alcohol addiction is a complex phenomena where brain neurobiology and pathophysiology interact constantly with personality traits, mental health conditions, and social factors. This illness has varying effects on the sexes and leads to serious issues, particularly in industrialized nations*

Keywords: Alcoholism, Aetiology, Pathophysiology

I. INTRODUCTION

Alcoholism is a serious public health concern and a chronic condition that has been well-established. Alcoholism manifests itself as a continuum that varies depending on the person. This spectrum begins with frequent use and progresses to problematic use and abuse, severe abuse accompanied by health issues, and addiction. It is a given that the amount and frequency of alcohol use lead to a rise in the physical and psychological issues associated with the unhealthy connection with alcohol. Transgenerationally, alcohol addiction is a complex condition.

Genetic components of alcoholism and subtypes of alcoholism

Genetics have a significant and vital role in the development of alcohol consumption. Even while there are strong signs that the genetic component is involved, the hereditary risk is yet unclear.

Twin studies

The importance of genetic factors is shown by twin research. Overall, there is more concordance for addiction in the monozygotic group when comparing the dizygotic and monozygotic twins. According to Swedish twin studies, the frequency of alcoholism was 28% in DZ twins and 54% in MZ twins. There is no discernible difference in the risk of hereditary transmission for alcoholism between the sexes, according to epidemiological studies conducted on twin groups. The risk varies from 0.52 to 0.64. However, the inheritance of alcohol dependency and misuse is influenced by several genetic factors. Male subjects are more susceptible to alcohol consumption due to shared environmental factors and genetics. Although women may have a decreased genetic risk for alcohol dependency, shared and non-shared environmental variables are the primary causes of female alcohol misuse, with little evidence of genetic involvement. The bulk of twin genetic studies' findings generally corroborate the presence of key hereditary variables that predispose people to the onset of alcohol-related problems.

Family studies

Numerous family studies on alcoholism have yielded valuable insights into the inheritance patterns and inherited propensities of drinking across generations. Jellinek and Jolliffe proposed the distinction between familial and non-familial forms of alcoholism as early as 1940.

There are two potential modes of transmission: either a mixed pattern of transmission with a dominant gene and a multifactorial substrate, or genetic heterogeneity with two separate subtypes.

Adoption studies

Answers to the "nature vs. nurture" debate may be found in the cases of adopted children of alcoholics who never saw their birth parents. In comparison to a control group of adopted children without an alcoholic parent, adopted children with an alcoholic biological parent have an increased risk of developing alcohol addiction. Similar findings have also been found in more recent research. Regardless of whether the children were raised by their biological parents or were adopted, the percentage of alcoholism in boys with alcoholic parents is four times higher in these studies than the percentage of boys in a similar group with non-alcoholic parents. The risk ratio varies between 1.6 and 3.6 for males and between 0.5 and 6.3 for females.

Two subtypes of alcohol addiction, group A and group B, which resemble type I and type II alcoholism, were identified by Babor et al. in 1992. These categories are likely connected to the pathogenesis of alcoholism.

Biological markers of alcohol consumption Candidate gene studies:

It is thought that among the factors that raise the likelihood of becoming an alcoholic, the genes encoding liver enzymes are associated with a higher risk of alcohol dependency. This remains true even in situations when there is no clear correlation between these genes and the neuropharmacological effects of alcohol.

Acetic acid is produced during the metabolism of alcohol by three distinct enzymatic systems in the liver cells:

Individual variations in the concentration and metabolism of ethanol after alcohol use may be explained by genotyping these enzymes. These variations are thought to be very important in the development of alcohol addiction.

Numerous ethnic groups' genetic research has shown that certain ADH allele polymorphisms provide robust resistance to alcohol addiction. Compared to non-alcoholics, alcoholics are less likely to have genetic variants of ADH and ALDH, which are involved in the metabolism of alcohol. Results from a number of research point to inherited gene variants of alcohol-metabolizing enzymes as the cause of the substantial genetic impact associated with alcoholism. Through an as-yet-unidentified mechanism, these gene variants contribute to the development of alcohol addiction.

Candidate gene studies: neurotransmitter genes

Neurotransmitter genes have also been linked to an increased risk of alcohol dependency, in addition to genes encoding enzymes. Alcohol and other psychotropic drugs alter the neurophysiological chemical changes that occur in the brain. A genetically characterized tendency that leads to alcohol dependence is investigated in relation to several neurotransmitter gene possibilities. These genes affect a person's susceptibility to dependence disorders like alcoholism. The D2 dopamine receptor protein is one of the genes that being investigated. The development of drug dependency is widely acknowledged to be significantly influenced by dopamine, and the DRD2 receptor is one of its receptors. Alcohol increases dopaminergic activity via changing synapse function in the mesolimbic striatum.

Numerous neuroreceptor systems are impacted by alcohol, and as was previously said, alcohol addiction is distinct from other addictions in that it does not have a recognized brain receptor system. Serotonin receptor activity is also changed by it. It also has an effect on nicotinic receptors. It alters the inhibitory neurotransmitter γ -aminobutyric acid ergic neurotransmitter and operates on the glutaminergic neurotransmitter's N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptors as well as the NMDA subgroups of the neurotransmitter that stimulates glutaminergic receptors. Moreover, prolonged alcohol exposure increases the density of μ and δ receptors and raises endogenous opiate levels in opioid neurotransmission while inhibiting the δ -opiate receptors.

Furthermore, it seems that serotonin is also involved in controlling alcohol intake. Serotonergic neurotransmission is terminated when the serotonin transporter blocks presynaptic serotonin reuptake. The polymorphism in the gene that codes for the 5-HTT protein is found in the two common allelic genes, L and S, which have differing lengths. Tolerance, sensitivity, dependence, and other addiction-related symptoms are the outcome of a molecular and cellular adaptation that occurs in certain brain regions as a consequence of repeated alcohol exposure. It is uncertain what precise pharmacological neurobiological alterations any of the aforementioned behaviors cause much alone how they connect to the compulsive substance-seeking phenomena.

The instant pleasure that substances provide as well as the reinforcing that comes from using them repeatedly are the main causes of alcohol misuse and drug abuse in general. It is thought that the mesolimbic pathway is essential to the reward process. This route originates from the ventral tegmental area, a dopamine-rich region in the midbrain known as the ventricular area. While non-stimulating drugs like alcohol work via the mesolimbic pathway via several receptor systems, stimulating addictive substances like cocaine act directly on the metasympaptic dopamine receptors. Through its interactions with ion channels and ionic receptors, alcohol modifies the mesolimbic pathway, which in turn affects polarization and neuronal activation. The sensitivity of 5-HT₃ serotonin receptors is increased by alcohol, and this may play a role in the development of alcohol misuse and dependent. Not just one neurotransmitter, but almost all of them are affected by long-term alcohol consumption. These behaviors fall under the following categories:

1. Reward desire, which is connected to both opioid receptor transmission failure and dopaminergic dysfunction.
2. Relieve desire, which is associated with malfunctioning glutaminergic and GABAergic transmitters.
3. Obsessive desire, which is rooted in serotonergic dysfunction and is associated with a lack of control.

Similar effects may be seen when alcohol affects the proteins' "real" number within the cell or when it modifies the functional activity of the proteins already there.

Psychological causes

Even if the idea that there is a certain personality type that causes alcohol dependent has been disproved, personality has drawn attention in connection to its role in the development of alcoholism. Numerous studies have examined the relationship between idiosyncrasy and personality factors and alcohol dependency susceptibility. Previous research found a positive correlation between drinking and some characteristics, such as poor self-esteem. According to recent research, there are no differences between boys who had an alcoholic father and those who did not, in terms of personality characteristics including reward dependency, harm avoidance, and innovation seeking. It is thought that behavioral double inhibition indicates a person's weakness or lack of motivation to suppress these urges. Alcohol dependency is thought to be predicted by antisocial behavior in addition to being more closely associated with it than any other behavioral problem. Environmental and genetic variables also play a role in this complex interaction. Alcohol misuse, according to the-ories, may be seen as a habit that develops over the course of three main processes:

Modelling.

In terms of modeling, the parents' models particularly those of the same sex appear to be significant. Operant conditioning is concerned with using alcohol use to reduce negative emotions and boost feelings of pleasure.

Lastly, the environment of the bar or other public place where people drink, fundamental conditioning in regard to the generalization mechanism, and alcohol use may have the same effect of relieving anxiety. A range of novel triggers that support the habit of drinking alcohol may result from generalization.

These theories provide the theoretical underpinnings for the development of therapeutic approaches in addition to information concerning diagnosis.

II. CONCLUSION

Even while our understanding of how alcohol affects the central nervous system has grown significantly, the exact mechanisms of action are still up for debate. Multiple neurochemical systems in the brain are affected by alcohol, which is one of the primary issues. There are differences in these effects depending on how much alcohol is ingested. This might have opposing results in some situations. Long-term alcohol use may alter a number of important brain processes. Furthermore, the biological backdrop, genetic impact, and sociocultural milieu constantly interact with psychological elements and systems to produce novel clinical outcomes and a variety of research topics.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Tasman A, Kay J, Lieberman J: Psychiatry 2nd edition. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons; 2003:936-972.
- [2]. Cloninger CR, Sigvardsson S, Gillican SB, von Knorring AN, Reich T, Bohman M: Genetic heterogeneity and the classification of alcoholism. *Adv Alcohol Subst Abuse*. 1988, 7(3-4):3-16

- [3]. Liu IC, Blacker DL, Xu R, Fitzmaurice G, Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ: Genetic and environmental contributions to age of onset of alcohol dependence symptoms in male twins. *Addiction* 2004, 99(11):1403-1409.
- [4]. Liu IC, Blacker DL, Xu R, Roughui XU, Fitzmaurice G, Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ, Tsuang MT: Genetic and environmental contributions to the development of alcohol dependence in male twins. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004, 61:897-903.
- [5]. Enoch MA: Genetic and environmental influences on the development of alcoholism. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2007, 1094:193-201.
- [6]. Tabakoff B, Hoffman PL: *Biological Aspects of Alcoholism Implications for Prevention, Treatment and Policy*. WHO Expert Series on Neuroscience. Seattle, WA: Hogrefe and Huber Publishers; 1999:63-83.
- [7]. Nolen-Hoeksema S, Hilt L: Possible contributors to the gender differences in alcohol use and problems. *J Gen Psychol* 2006, 133(4):357-374.
- [8]. Lykoyras L, Moussas GI, Botsis A: Examination of type I/type II alcoholism typology in a Greek hospital treatment population. *Eur Psychiatry* 2004, 19:214-218.
- [9]. Pasiaux P, le Bon O, Dramaix M, Massat I, Souery D, Mendelewicz CZ, Pelc I, Veranck P: Temperament and character inventory (TCI) personality profile and sub-typing in alcoholic inpatients. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2001, 3(6):584-587.
- [10]. Jellinek EM, Jollifer N: Effect of alcohol on the individual: review of the literature of 1939. *Quart J Stud Alcohol* 1940, 1:110-181.
- [11]. Kendler KS: Twin studies of psychiatric illness. An update. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001, 58:1005-1014.
- [12]. McGue M: Genes, environment, and the etiology of alcoholism. In *The development of alcohol problems: exploring the biopsychosocial matrix of risk* Edited by: Zucker R, Boyd G, Howard J. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, NIAAA Research Monograph No 26; 1994:1-40.
- [13]. Babor TF, Hoffman M, Delboca FK, Hesselbrock V, Meyer RE, Dolinsky ZS, Rounsaville B: Types of alcoholics. I: evidence for an empirically derived typology based on indicators of vulnerability and severity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992, 49(8):599-608.
- [14]. Ehrig T, Li T-K: Metabolism of alcohol and metabolic consequences. In *Biological aspects of alcoholism: implications for prevention, treatment and policy* Edited by: Tabakoff B, Hoffman PL. Seattle, WA: WHO Expert Series on Neuroscience, Hogrefe and Huber Publishers; 1995:23-48.
- [15]. Osier MV, Pakstis AJ, Soodyall H, Comas D, Goldman D, Odunsi A, Okonofua F, Parnas J, Schulz LO, Bertranpetit J, Bonne-Tamir B, Lu RB, Kidd JR, Kidd KK: A global perspective on genetic variation at the ADH gene reveals unusual patterns of linkage disequilibrium and diversity. *AJH Genet* 2002, 71(1):84-89.
- [16]. Thomasson HR, Crabb DW, Edneberg HJ, Ting KL: Alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase polymorphism and alcoholism. *Behav Gen* 1993, 23(2/March):131-136.
- [17]. Wall TL: Genetic associations of alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase with alcohol dependence and their mechanism of action. *Ther Drug Monit* 2005, 27(6):700-703.
- [18]. Kim DJ, Choi IG, Park BL, Lee BC, Ham BG, Yoon S, Bae JS, Cheong HS, Shin HD: Major genetic components underlying alcoholism in Korean population. *Hum Mol Genet* 2008, 17(6):854-858.
- [19]. Lee S-L, Höög J-O, Yin S-S: Functionality of Allelic variations in human alcohol dehydrogenase gene family: assessment of a functional window for protection against alcoholism. *Pharmacogenetics* 2004, 14:725-732.
- [20]. Higuchi S, Matsushita A, Masaki T, Yokoyama A, Kimura M, Suzuki G, Mochizuki H: Influence of genetic variations of ethanol-metabolizing enzymes on phenotypes of alcohol-related disorders. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2004, 1025:472-480.
- [21]. Dodd T, Folley F, Buckley S, Eckert A, Innes D: Genes and gene expression in the brain of the alcoholic. *Addict Behav* 2004, 29(7):1295-1309.
- [22]. Adinoff B: Neurologic processes in drug reward and addiction.
- [23]. *Harvard Rev Psychiatry* 2004, 12(6):305-320.
- [24]. Oroszi G, Goldman D: Alcoholism: genes and mechanisms.
- [25]. *Pharmacogenomics* 2004, 5(8):1037-1048.

- [26]. Mariani JJ, Levin FR: Pharmacotherapy for alcohol – related dis- orders: what clinicians should know. *Harvard Rev Psychiatry* 2004, 12:351-366.
- [27]. Vengeline V, Bacheteler D, Danysz W, Spanagel R: The role of the MNDA receptor in alcohol relapse: a pharmacological map- ping study using the alcohol deprivation effect. *Neuropharma- cology* 2005, 48(6):822-829.
- [28]. Petrakis IL, Limoncelli D, Gueorguvina R, Jatlow P, Boutros NN, Tre- visan L, Gelernter J, Krystal JH: Altered NMDA glutamate recep- tor antagonist response in individuals with a family vulnerability to alcoholism. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004, 161(10):1776-1782.
- [29]. Yudofsky SC, Hales RH: The American Psychiatric Publishing textbook of neuropsychiatry and clinical neurosciences. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2002:936-972.
- [30]. Schatzberg AF, Nemeroff CB: Textbook of psychopharmacol- ogy. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2004.
- [31]. Addolorato G, Leggio L, Abenavoli L, Gasparrini G, on behalf of the Alcoholism Treatment Study Group: Neurobiochemical and clin- ical aspects of craving in alcohol addiction: a review. *Addict Behav* 2005, 30(6):1209-1224.
- [32]. Robinson TE, Gorny G, Mitton E, Kolb B: Cocaine self-administra- tion alters the morphology of dendrites and dendritic spines in the nucleus accumbens and neocortex. *Synapse* 2001, 39(3):257-266.
- [33]. Morgenstern J, Langenbucher J, Labouvie E, Miller KJ: The comor- bidity of alcoholism and personality disorder in a clinical pop- ulation: prevalence rates and relation to alcohol typology variables. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1997, 106(1):74-84.
- [34]. Ciraulo DA, Piechuczek Buczek J, Iscam EN: Outcome predictors in substance use disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 2003, 26(2):381-409.
- [35]. Agras WS, Wilson GT: Learning theory. In *Comprehensive textbook of psychiatry* 8th edition. Edited by: Sadock BJ, Sadock VA. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincot Williams & Wilkins; 2005:541-552.