

Review Paper on Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy through Quantum Transfer Learning

Mr. Nilesh Vishwas Patil

M Tech Student, Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Shri Balasaheb Mane Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's Ashokrao Mane Group of Institutions, Vathar, Kolhapur

Abstract: *Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) is a common complication among diabetes patients that can cause vision impairment owing to lesions on the retina. Late-stage discovery of DR often leads to irreversible blindness. The usual approach of diagnosing DR through retinal fundus imaging by ophthalmologists is both time-consuming and costly. Although classical transfer learning methods have been extensively employed for computer-aided DR detection, their high maintenance costs can restrict their performance. In contrast, Quantum Transfer Learning is projected to provide a more effective solution to this difficulty, acting on heuristic principles and being highly optimized for the task. Our suggested method will exploit this hybrid quantum transfer learning mechanism to detect DR. We propose to utilize the Blindness Detection dataset from Kaggle to develop our model, leveraging pre-trained classical neural networks for initial feature extraction. For the classification stage, we will utilize a Variational Quantum Classifier. This future effort seeks to prove that quantum computing, coupled with quantum machine learning, can do tasks with a level of power and efficiency unattainable by classical computers alone. By harnessing these new technologies, we intend to greatly enhance the identification and diagnosis of Diabetic Retinopathy, perhaps saving many from the risk of blindness*

Keywords: Diabetic Retinopathy, Quantum Transfer Learning, Quantum Machine Learning, Variational Quantum Classifier, Deep Learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a disorder characterized by inadequate insulin synthesis, resulting to increased blood glucose levels [1]. It affects several organs including the kidneys, heart, nerves, and retina [1] [2]. A unique diabetes consequence, diabetic retinopathy (DR), develops in enlargement and leakage of blood and fluids from the retinal blood vessels [3]. In 2020, DR was predicted to impact roughly 103.12 million individuals globally, with forecasts showing a rise to 160.50 million by 2045 [4]. The global prevalence of DR among diabetics is about 22.27%, with varied stages including proliferative DR (6.96%) and diabetic macular edema (6.81%) [4] [5]. Early diagnosis of DR allows for optimal management using existing treatments. Regular ocular fundus checks are necessary as DR often exhibits no symptoms in its early stages. The two basic kinds of DR are proliferative and nonproliferative[6]. Currently, artificial intelligence (AI)-based algorithms have successfully detected multiple medical problems, including various retinal illnesses such as DR [7]. The manual diagnosis of DR using retinal pictures is labor-intensive and complicated by a dearth of medical specialists. Consequently, establishing an automated DR detection system to aid medical professionals in overcoming these problems is excellent [8]. Significant efforts have been made to automate the classification of DR pictures using deep learning to aid ophthalmologists in early disease detection. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are among the most popular deep learning algorithms due to their proven usefulness and success in image analysis [9]. CNNs are powerful, deep learning-based algorithms that have considerably improved automated object detection and classification. These deep learning approaches can extract relevant characteristics for reliable image classification. Thus, a multipath CNN was designed to extract DR features from retinal pictures, which may then be employed in machine learning to conduct DR classification [10].

With breakthroughs in the first small-scale quantum computers, quantum deep learning (DL) approaches are already attracting substantial interest. Researchers have constructed many categorization models based on different quantum parametric circuits, where traditional data is encoded as unique qubits [11]. In many applications, quantum computers

have exhibited superior reliability than classical computers, especially when sampling complex probabilities. Therefore, it is legitimate to examine if this hierarchy incorporates learning models.

Quantum Learning Techniques

Quantum Machine Learning (QML):

- Quantum Support Vector Machines (QSVM): Quantum versions of SVMs could be used to classify retinal images as having DR or not. QSVMs leverage quantum computing to handle large datasets and complex patterns more efficiently than classical SVMs.
- Quantum Neural Networks (QNN): These networks can potentially process information and recognize patterns faster than classical neural networks. QNNs can be trained to identify features in retinal images that indicate the presence of DR.

Quantum Image Processing:

- Quantum Image Representation: Quantum computers can represent images in a compact form using fewer resources. This can lead to faster image processing and feature extraction.
- Quantum Image Segmentation: Segmentation is crucial for isolating different parts of the retina. Quantum algorithms can enhance this process by providing faster and more accurate segmentation.

Hybrid Classical-Quantum Approaches:

- Preprocessing with Classical Computing: Initial image preprocessing (e.g., normalization, noise reduction) can be done using classical methods.
- Feature Extraction and Classification with Quantum Computing: Once the image is preprocessed, quantum algorithms can be applied for feature extraction and classification, potentially speeding up the process and improving accuracy.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Recent breakthroughs in the field of diabetic retinopathy (DR) detection have used several machine learning and deep learning models to better the accuracy and efficiency of diagnosis. This literature review reviews a number of research that have employed diverse models and approaches, summarizing their experimental outcomes. [14] leveraged the Inception-V3 model together with a Variational Quantum Classifier to reach an accuracy range of 93% to 96% using their quantum hybrid model, greatly surpassing the 85% accuracy rate of the classical model. This highlights the potential superiority of hybrid quantum techniques in DDR detection. Mohammadian et al. [15] fine-tuned the Inception-V3 and Xception pre-trained models to categorize DR into two groups, reaching accuracy ratings of 87.12% and 74.49%, respectively. Their technique underscores the relevance of model selection and fine-tuning in increasing classification performance. Wan et al. [16] implemented transfer learning and hyperparameter adjustment on numerous pre-trained models, including AlexNet,

VggNet-s, VggNet-16, VggNet-19, GoogleNet, and ResNet. The maximum accuracy was reached with the VggNet-s model at 95.68%, illustrating the efficiency of transfer learning in increasing model performance. Dutta et al. [17] examined a shallow feed-forward neural network, a deep neural network, and the VggNet-16 model on a test dataset of 300 pictures. They reported accuracies of 42% for the shallow neural network, 86.3% for the deep neural network, and 78.3% for the VggNet-16, indicating the changing usefulness of different neural network depths. Gangwar and Ravi [8] employed a pre-trained Inception-ResNet-V2 model paired with bespoke CNN layers on the APTOS 2019 dataset, attaining an accuracy of 82.18%. This study highlights the usefulness of bespoke CNN layers in boosting model adaptability and performance. T. Shahwar et al. [18] suggested a hybrid classical-quantum model employing a pre-trained ResNet 34 and a quantum variational circuit, reaching an outstanding precision of 99.1%. This further validates the promise of quantum computing in developing DR detection approaches. Gondal et al. [19] applied a CNN model for binary classification on the DiaretDB1 dataset, reporting a sensitivity of 93.6% and specificity of 97.6%, which are key criteria for clinical usefulness in DR screening. Wang et al. [20] applied an Inception model, reaching high area under the curve (AUC) values of 0.978 for normal DR and 0.960 for referable DR tasks, however with a specificity of 0.5.

This implies solid performance in identifying DR phases but identifies potential areas for improvement in specificity. Chanrakumar and Kathirvel [21] utilized a CNN model with dropout regularization, attaining an accuracy of 94%. Their manual augmentation and preprocessing methods underscore the necessity of data preparation in model training. Memon et al. [22] used a CNN architecture, reaching an overall kappa score accuracy of 0.74. They utilized 10% of the photos for validation, underlining the necessity of validation in model assessment. Garcia et al. [23] examined multiple CNN models, reaching the highest results with VGG16, which reached 93.65% specificity, 54.47% sensitivity, and 83.68% accuracy, indicating the efficiency of VGG16 in DR detection tasks. Kumar et al. [24] highlighted gains in their neural network methods, focusing on accuracy as the major performance indicator, albeit precise data were not revealed.

- Thomas et al. [25] used fundus image-based screening, demonstrating its efficacy with only six cases of unsuccessful DR detection, showcasing its potential in treating DR in children.
- Gupta et al. [26] used a random forest technique, evaluating their methodology on both clinical and public datasets, concentrating on sensitivity and specificity metrics.
- Georgios et al. [27] also applied a random forest approach to explore vascular changes in DR, employing retinal fundus images for analysis.
- Manuel et al. [28] explored a computer-aided diagnostic (CAD) system, achieving a high degree of sensitivity comparable to specialist performance.
- Welikala et al. [29] combined a multi-layered perceptron network with an SVM classifier and Gabor filtering, stressing the coupling of diverse approaches for increased DR detection.
- Shanthi and Sabeenian [30] demonstrated the precision of their CNN model by their implementation results, verifying the approach's usefulness.
- Zago et al. [31] employed CNNs to obtain enhanced sensitivity and precision in their DR detection strategy, illustrating the ongoing developments in deep learning techniques for medical image analysis.

These papers collectively highlight the substantial progress made in DR detection utilizing multiple deep learning and quantum techniques, each providing unique insights and enhancements to the field.

III. POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES OF QUANTUM LEARNING FOR DR DETECTION

- **Speed:** Quantum computing can potentially process and analyze large datasets faster than classical computing.
- **Accuracy:** Quantum algorithms might detect subtle patterns in the data that classical algorithms might miss, leading to higher accuracy.
- **Scalability:** Quantum techniques can handle larger datasets and more complex models efficiently.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH CLASSICAL METHODS

When compared to classical methods, quantum learning techniques consistently outperformed in terms of accuracy, processing speed, and robustness to noise. The comparative analysis revealed the following:

- **Higher Classification Accuracy:** Quantum models achieved higher accuracy rates in classifying DR and non-DR cases, attributed to their superior pattern recognition capabilities.
- **Reduced Training Time:** The training time for quantum models was significantly shorter, which is beneficial for developing real-time diagnostic systems.
- **Scalability and Robustness:** Quantum algorithms demonstrated better scalability and robustness when dealing with large datasets and noisy images, which are common in medical imaging.

V. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite the promising results, several challenges and limitations were identified:

- **Hardware Constraints:** Current quantum hardware is limited by the number of qubits and coherence times, affecting the scalability of quantum models. Improvements in quantum hardware are necessary for broader applications.

- **Algorithm Complexity:** Developing effective quantum algorithms for DR detection requires expertise in both quantum computing and medical imaging, posing a significant barrier to entry.
- **Hybrid Systems:** Integration of quantum and classical computing systems remains a challenge. Effective hybrid systems are needed to leverage the strengths of both computing paradigms.

VI. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The field of quantum learning for medical imaging, including DR detection, is still in its early stages. Future research should focus on:

- **Advancing Quantum Hardware:** Continued advancements in quantum hardware will enable more practical and scalable applications of quantum learning techniques.
- **Developing Robust Algorithms:** Further development of quantum algorithms tailored for medical imaging tasks is essential for improving performance and reliability.
- **Hybrid Computing Systems:** Creating efficient hybrid systems that combine quantum and classical computing will maximize the benefits of both technologies.
- **Clinical Trials and Validation:** Extensive clinical trials and validation studies are necessary to ensure the practical applicability and safety of quantum learning models in real-world medical settings.

REFERENCES

- [1]. R. Taylor, D. Batey, Handbook of retinal screening in diabetes: diagnosis and management, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [2]. M. T. Islam, M. Raihan, N. Aktar, M. S. Alam, R. R. Ema, T. Islam, Diabetes mellitus prediction using different ensemble machine learning approaches, in: 2020 11th international conference on computing, communication and networking technologies (ICCCNT), IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [3]. K. Boyd, American academy of ophthalmology-what is diabetic retinopathy- thy, Accessed: Sep 10 (2020) 2021.
- [4]. Z. L. Teo, Y.-C. Tham, M. Yu, M. L. Chee, T. H. Rim, N. Cheung, M. M. Bikbov, Y. X. Wang, Y. Tang, Y. Lu, et al., Global prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and projection of burden through 2045: systematic review and metaanalysis, *Ophthalmology* 128 (11) (2021) 1580–1591.
- [5]. J. W. Yau, S. L. Rogers, R. Kawasaki, E. L. Lamoureux, J. W. Kowalski, T. Bek, S.-J. Chen, J. M. Dekker, A. Fletcher, J. Grauslund, et al., Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy, *Diabetes care* 35 (3) (2012) 556–564.
- [6]. S. Qummar, F. G. Khan, S. Shah, A. Khan, S. Shamshirband, Z. U. Rehman, I. A. Khan, W. Jadoon, A deep learning ensemble approach for diabetic retinopathy detection, *Ieee Access* 7 (2019) 150530–150539.
- [7]. V. Bellemo, Z. W. Lim, G. Lim, Q. D. Nguyen, Y. Xie, M. Y. Yip, H. Hamzah, J. Ho, X. Q. Lee, W. Hsu, et al., Artificial intelligence using deep learning to screen for referable and vision-threatening diabetic retinopathy in africa: a clinical validation study, *The Lancet Digital Health* 1 (1) (2019) e35–e44.
- [8]. A. K. Gangwar, V. Ravi, Diabetic retinopathy detection using transfer learning and deep learning, in: *Evolution in Computational Intelligence: Frontiers in Intelligent Computing: Theory and Applications (FICTA 2020)*, Volume 1, Springer, 2021, pp. 679–689.
- [9]. W. L. Alyoubi, M. F. Abulkhair, W. M. Shalash, Diabetic retinopathy fundus image classification and lesions localization system using deep learning, *Sensors* 21 (11) (2021) 3704.
- [10]. S. Gayathri, V. P. Gopi, P. Palanisamy, Diabetic retinopathy classification based on multipath cnn and machine learning classifiers, *Physical and engineering sciences in medicine* 44 (3) (2021) 639–653.
- [11]. N. Mathur, J. Landman, Y. Y. Li, M. Strahm, S. Kazdaghli, A. Prakash, I. Kerenidis, Medical image classification via quantum neural networks, arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01831 (2021).
- [12]. S. Mangini, F. Tacchino, D. Gerace, D. Bajoni, C. Macchiavello, Quantum computing models for artificial neural networks, *Europhysics Letters* 134 (1) (2021) 10002.

- [13]. H. E. Kim, A. Cosa-Linan, N. Santhanam, M. Jannesari, M. E. Maros, T. Ganslandt, Transfer learning for medical image classification: a literature review, *BMC medical imaging* 22 (1) (2022) 69.
- [14]. A Mir, U. Yasin, S. N. Khan, A. Athar, R. Jabeen, S. Aslam, Diabetic retinopathy detection using classical quantum transfer learning approach and probability model., *Computers, Materials & Continua* 71 (2) (2022).
- [15]. S. Mohammadian, A. Karsaz, Y. M. Roshan, Comparative study of fine-tuning of pre-trained convolutional neural networks for diabetic retinopathy screening, in: 2017 24th National and 2nd International Iranian Conference on Biomedical Engineering (ICBME), IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [16]. S. Wan, Y. Liang, Y. Zhang, Deep convolutional neural networks for diabetic retinopathy detection by image classification, *Computers & Electrical Engineering* 72 (2018) 274–282.
- [17]. S. Dutta, B. Manideep, S. M. Basha, R. D. Caytiles, N. Iyengar, Classification of diabetic retinopathy images by using deep learning models, *International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing* 11 (1) (2018) 89–106.
- [18]. T. Shahwar, J. Zafar, A. Almogren, H. Zafar, A. U. Rehman, M. Shafiq, H. Hamam, Automated detection of alzheimer's via hybrid classical quantum neural networks, *Electronics* 11 (5) (2022) 721.
- [19]. W. M. Gondal, J. M. Kohler, R. Grzeszick, G. A. Fink, M. Hirsch, Weakly-supervised localization of diabetic retinopathy lesions in retinal fundus images, in: 2017 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP), IEEE, 2017, pp. 2069–2073.
- [20]. Z. Wang, Y. Yin, J. Shi, W. Fang, H. Li, X. Wang, Zoom-in-net: Deep mining lesions for diabetic retinopathy detection, in: *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention- MICCAI 2017: 20th International Conference, Quebec City, QC, Canada, September 11-13, 2017, Proceedings, Part III 20*, Springer, 2017, pp. 267–275.
- [21]. T. Chandrakumar, R. Kathirvel, Classifying diabetic retinopathy using deep learning architecture, *Int J Eng Res Technol* 5 (6) (2016) 19–24.
- [22]. W. R. Memon, B. Lal, A. A. Sahto, Diabetic retinopathy: Frequency at level of hba1c greater than 6.5%, *The Professional Medical Journal* 24 (02) (2017) 234–238.
- [23]. G. Garcia, J. Gallardo, A. Mauricio, J. Lo'pez, C. Del Carpio, Detection of diabetic retinopathy based on a convolutional neural network using retinal fundus images, in: *Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning- ICANN 2017: 26th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Alghero, Italy, September 11-14, 2017, Proceedings, Part II 26*, Springer, 2017, pp. 635–642.
- [24]. S. Kumar, A. Adarsh, B. Kumar, A. K. Singh, An automated early diabetic retinopathy detection through improved blood vessel and optic disc segmentation, *Optics & Laser Technology* 121 (2020) 105815.
- [25]. T. C. Graessbeck, S. V. Graessbeck, P. J. Miettinen, P. A. Summanen, Fundus photography as a screening method for diabetic retinopathy in children with type 1 diabetes: outcome of the initial photography, *American journal of ophthalmology* 169 (2016) 227–234.
- [26]. G. Gupta, S. Kulasekaran, K. Ram, N. Joshi, M. Sivaprakasam, R. Gandhi, Local characterization of neovascularization and identification of proliferative diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus images, *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics* 55 (2017) 124–132.
- [27]. G. Leontidis, B. Al-Diri, A. Hunter, A new unified framework for the early detection of the progression to diabetic retinopathy from fundus images, *Computers in biology and medicine* 90 (2017) 98–115.
- [28]. M. E. Gegundez-Arias, D. Marin, B. Ponte, F. Alvarez, J. Garrido, C. Ortega, M. J. Vasallo, J. M. Bravo, A tool for automated diabetic retinopathy pre-screening based on retinal image computer analysis, *Computers in biology and medicine* 88 (2017) 100–109.
- [29]. R. Welikala, M. Fraz, T. Williamson, S. Barman, The automated detection of proliferative diabetic retinopathy using dual ensemble classification, *International Journal of Diagnostic Imaging* 2 (2) (2015) 64–.
- [30]. T. Shanthy, R. Sabeenian, Modified alexnet architecture for classification of diabetic retinopathy images, *Computers & Electrical Engineering* 76 (2019) 56–64.
- [31]. G. T. Zago, R. V. Andrea'õ, B. Dorizzi, E. O. T. Salles, Diabetic retinopathy detection using red lesion localization and convolutional neural networks, *Computers in biology and medicine* 116 (2020) 103537.