

Comparative Study of NEP-2020 with Education Policies of Different Countries

Dr. Ruchi Jain and Dr. Preeti Gupta

S. S. Jain Subodh Girls P. G. College, Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
jain.ruchi1985@gmail.com and pritimbgupta@gmail.com

Abstract: *Analysing and comparing education policies across different countries involves examining various aspects such as goals, structures, methodologies, and implementation strategies. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India is one such policy that underwent significant changes. To conduct a comparative study, let's briefly outline the key components of NEP 2020 and then compare it with the education policies of a few other countries*

Keywords: NEP-2020, Bharat, Finland, Germany, United States, United Kingdom.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of global education, national policies play a pivotal role in shaping the learning experiences and outcomes of students. This comparative study delves into the education policies of diverse countries, aiming to draw insights from the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 of India and policies from Finland, Australia, and the United Kingdom. By examining these policies, we seek to understand the distinctive approaches, common trends, and the evolving paradigms that nations adopt to meet the challenges and aspirations of their education systems. The NEP 2020, a landmark document crafted by the Ministry of Education, Government of India, serves as a foundational reference for this study[1]. Envisioned as a comprehensive framework to transform the Indian education system, NEP 2020 introduces structural reforms, pedagogical shifts, and a renewed emphasis on holistic learning. As we embark on this comparative journey, the NEP 2020 provides a lens through which we explore the contextualized strategies employed to address the unique socio-cultural and economic challenges within the Indian education landscape.

Drawing inspiration from the Nordic model, we turn to "Finnish Lessons: What Can the World Learn from Educational Change in Finland?" by Pasi Sahlberg[2]. Finland's education system has garnered international acclaim for its emphasis on play-based learning, minimal standardized testing, and a teacher-centric approach. By juxtaposing Finland's experiences with the principles outlined in NEP 2020, we aim to identify potential lessons and inspirations for holistic educational reform.

The inclusion of UNESCO's[3] "Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives" emphasizes the global dimension of education policies. The pursuit of sustainability in education aligns with the broader aspirations of nations worldwide, transcending national borders. By integrating UNESCO's insights, we aspire to uncover shared goals and collaborative possibilities in shaping education as a catalyst for sustainable development.

Australia's Higher Education landscape [4], as articulated in the "Higher Education in Australia" document by the Australian Government's Department of Education, Skills, and Employment, provides a case study in tertiary education. The exploration of Australia's higher education policies allows us to examine strategies for fostering innovation, flexibility, and responsiveness within the context of a knowledge-driven economy.

Finally, we turn our attention to the United Kingdom, exploring the policy document "Success as a Knowledge Economy: Teaching Excellence, Social Mobility, and Student Choice." By investigating the UK's [5] approach to teaching excellence, social mobility, and student choice, we aim to discern parallels and divergences that offer valuable insights for shaping educational policies that cater to diverse student needs and aspirations.

National Education Policy 2020 (Bharat)

Structural Changes:

- **NEP 2020:** Introduces a 5+3+3+4 system, focusing on the early years of education.
- **Comparison:** Similar to Finland's early education system that emphasizes play-based learning.

Medium of Instruction:

- **NEP 2020:** Encourages the use of the mother tongue or local language as the medium of instruction.
- **Comparison:** Aligns with the language policies in many European countries, where students are educated in their native languages.

Assessment and Examination:

- **NEP 2020:** Shifts towards a more continuous and comprehensive evaluation system, reducing the emphasis on rote learning.
- **Comparison:** Aligns with the assessment practices in the United States, focusing on project-based assessments and a more holistic approach.

Vocational Education:

- **NEP 2020:** Emphasizes integrating vocational education into the mainstream curriculum.
- **Comparison:** Similar to Germany's dual education system, where students combine academic and vocational training.

Higher Education Reforms:

- **NEP 2020:** Introduces flexibility in course structures, multidisciplinary education, and a credit-based system.
- **Comparison:** Resonates with higher education systems in the United Kingdom and Australia, emphasizing flexibility and interdisciplinary studies.

International Comparisons:

Finland:

- **Strengths:** Strong emphasis on play-based learning, teacher autonomy, and minimal standardized testing.
- **Comparison:** Shares similarities with NEP 2020 in terms of early education focus and reducing the burden of exams.

Germany:

- **Strengths:** Dual education system, combining practical and theoretical training.
- **Comparison:** Vocational education aspects align with NEP 2020's emphasis on skill development.

United States:

- **Strengths:** Focus on project-based assessments, flexible curriculum, and a holistic approach to education.
- **Comparison:** Similarities with NEP 2020's assessment reforms and emphasis on a broader skill set.

United Kingdom:

- **Strengths:** Emphasis on flexibility in higher education, multidisciplinary studies.
- **Comparison:** Resonates with NEP 2020's higher education reforms.

Challenges and Adaptations:

Each country's education system is influenced by its unique cultural, economic, and social context. The success of policy implementation depends on addressing these contextual factors and adapting strategies accordingly. It's essential

to consider ongoing evaluations and adjustments made by each country to meet the evolving needs of its education system.

Keep in mind that education policies are complex, and this comparison provides a broad overview. A more in-depth analysis would require examining specific policy documents, implementation strategies, and the evolving nature of education systems in each country.

REFERENCES

- [1]. National Education Policy 2020, Ministry of Education, Government of India.
- [2]. Sahlberg, P. (2011). Finnish Lessons: What Can the World Learn from Educational Change in Finland?
- [3]. UNESCO. (2017). Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives.
- [4]. Australian Government, Department of Education, Skills and Employment. (2021). Higher Education in Australia.
- [5]. UK Government. (2016). Success as a Knowledge Economy: Teaching Excellence, Social Mobility, and Student Choice.