IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 3, January 2024

Overview of the Algorithms used in Wireless Sensor Networks for Enhancement of Energy Efficiency Routing Protocols

Sangita Mahendra Rajput[1] **and Dr Ram Mohan Singh Bhadoria**[2]
Research Scholar, Department of Electronics Engineering[1]
Associate Professor, Department of Electronics Engineering[2]
Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: *Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) play a crucial role in various applications, including environmental monitoring, healthcare, and industrial automation. Maximizing the network lifetime and energy efficiency are paramount for ensuring the sustainability and effectiveness of WSNs. In this review, we analyze recent research efforts aimed at optimizing WSNs' lifetime through clustering algorithms. We delve into various metaheuristic algorithms such as the Grey Wolf Algorithm, Firefly Algorithm, Whale Optimization Algorithm, Dragonfly Algorithm, and others, adapted and enhanced specifically for WSNs. Additionally, we explore application-specific protocols and energy-efficient communication strategies tailored for WSNs. Through a comprehensive review, we identify research gaps and challenges in the existing literature and propose future directions for enhancing WSNs' performance and sustainability*

Keywords: Wireless Sensor Networks, clustering algorithms, metaheuristic algorithms, energy efficiency

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) have garnered substantial attention in recent years due to their pivotal role in various domains such as environmental monitoring, healthcare, and industrial automation. Maximizing energy efficiency and network lifetime are paramount objectives in WSNs to ensure prolonged operation and sustainability. To address these challenges, researchers have explored a myriad of clustering algorithms and communication protocols tailored for WSN optimization. These studies aim to enhance network lifetime, energy efficiency, and data transmission reliability. The literature review highlights the significance of metaheuristic algorithms in optimizing WSN performance, with various algorithms offering unique advantages in terms of convergence speed and solution quality.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF PROTOCOLS IN WSN

Energy balancing protocols play a crucial role in ensuring the longevity and stability of wireless sensor networks (WSNs). These protocols are designed to evenly distribute energy consumption among sensor nodes, thereby preventing some nodes from depleting their energy resources faster than others. By maintaining balanced energy levels across the network, energy balancing protocols help prolong the overall network lifetime and enhance its resilience to node failure .

WSN protocols can be classified into different categories based on their functionalities and objectives. Some common classifications include: **Communication Protocols:** These protocols govern how sensor nodes communicate with each other and with the base station or sink. **Routing Protocols:** Routing protocols determine the paths along which data packets are forwarded from source nodes to the sink. **Clustering Protocols:** Clustering protocols organize sensor nodes into clusters to facilitate efficient data aggregation and transmission. **Energy Balancing Protocols:** These protocols aim to evenly distribute energy consumption among sensor nodes to prevent premature node failure and extend network lifetime.

- **Objective**: The primary objective of energy balancing protocols is to prolong the network lifetime by evenly distributing energy consumption among sensor nodes. This objective is achieved by dynamically adjusting the roles and responsibilities of individual nodes to prevent energy depletion in specific regions of the network.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-15585O

- **Algorithmic Approaches**: Energy balancing protocols employ various algorithmic approaches to achieve their objectives. These approaches may include adaptive clustering, dynamic routing, load balancing, and energy-aware scheduling techniques. By intelligently managing node activities such as data sensing, processing, and communication, these protocols strive to optimize energy utilization across the network.
- **Cluster Formation**: Many energy balancing protocols utilize clustering techniques to organize sensor nodes into clusters. Clustering helps in reducing overhead and improving network efficiency by aggregating data at cluster heads before forwarding it to the sink. Energy-aware cluster formation algorithms ensure that clusters are evenly distributed throughout the network, preventing hotspots of energy consumption.
- **Routing Optimization**: Routing plays a crucial role in energy balancing, as it determines the paths along which data packets are transmitted in the network. Energy-balanced routing protocols aim to minimize energy consumption by selecting optimal routes that consider factors such as residual energy levels, distance, and traffic load. These protocols often employ techniques like multi-hop routing, data aggregation, and route diversification to enhance energy efficiency.
- **Dynamic Adaptation**: Energy balancing protocols are typically designed to adapt dynamically to changes in network conditions and energy levels. They continuously monitor the energy status of individual nodes and adjust network parameters, such as cluster head selection, routing paths, and data transmission rates, accordingly. This dynamic adaptation ensures efficient energy utilization and maximizes network lifetime in response to varying environmental conditions and application requirements.
- **Fault Tolerance**: In addition to energy balancing, these protocols often incorporate fault tolerance mechanisms to mitigate the impact of node failures or energy depletion. By redistributing tasks and responsibilities among neighboring nodes, energy balancing protocols can maintain network connectivity and functionality even in the presence of node failures or disruptions.

Overall, energy balancing protocols play a critical role in optimizing the performance and longevity of wireless sensor networks by ensuring equitable energy distribution and efficient utilization of available resources. Their adaptive nature, combined with clustering and routing optimizations, enables WSNs to operate effectively in dynamic and resource-constrained environments while meeting the demands of diverse applications.

III. LITERATURE OVERVIEW

Bacanin et al. [1] introduced an "Opposition-based Initialization Bat Algorithm" for energy-efficient clustering in WSNs, providing a novel approach to optimize clustering. Zivkovic et al. [2] proposed an "Enhanced Grey Wolf Algorithm" specifically designed for energy-efficient wireless sensor networks, showcasing advancements in metaheuristic optimization. Another study by Zivkovic et al. [3] focused on "Wireless sensor networks life time optimization based on the improved firefly algorithm," demonstrating the efficacy of firefly algorithms in prolonging network lifetime.

Localization in WSNs is crucial for many applications, and Bacanin et al. [4] presented a study on "Wireless sensor networks localization by an improved whale optimization algorithm," emphasizing the significance of accurate localization techniques. Additionally, Zivkovic et al. [5] introduced an "Enhanced Dragonfly Algorithm" adapted for wireless sensor network lifetime optimization, further contributing to the repertoire of metaheuristic algorithms in WSN optimization.

Heinzelman et al. [6] proposed an application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks, laying the groundwork for efficient communication in resource-constrained environments. Shigei et al. [7] investigated energy-efficient clustering communication strategies based on the number of neighbors, addressing energy consumption concerns in WSNs.

Ranida et al. [8] introduced a bio-inspired clustering strategy to avoid buffer overflow in IoT sensors, showcasing innovative approaches to mitigate resource constraints. Yan et al. [9] presented a clustering routing algorithm based on energy balance, highlighting the importance of energy-aware routing protocols in IoT perception layers.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-15585O

Ghosal et al. [10] proposed a distributed on-demand clustering algorithm for lifetime optimization in wireless sensor networks, contributing to the development of dynamic clustering strategies. Seema et al. [11] focused on efficient data transfer in clustered IoT networks, emphasizing cooperative member nodes' role in enhancing network efficiency.

Trupthi et al. [12] introduced an improved routing protocol for heterogeneous WSNs for IoT-based environmental monitoring, addressing the challenges of diverse sensor node capabilities and environmental conditions. Hussain et al. [13] presented a block-based reinforcement learning approach for distributed resource allocation in clustered IoT networks, showcasing advancements in resource management techniques.

Abdallah et al. [14] explored data transmission reduction schemes in WSNs for efficient IoT systems, highlighting the importance of data optimization in resource-constrained environments. Sinde et al. [15] proposed refining network lifetime using energy-efficient clustering and reinforcement learning-based sleep scheduling, offering novel approaches to enhance WSN performance.

Xuanxia et al. [16] introduced an improved clustering algorithm for IoT data analysis, emphasizing the importance of data processing techniques in extracting meaningful insights from sensor data. Al-Otaibi et al. [17] hybridized metaheuristic algorithms for dynamic cluster-based routing protocols, showcasing advancements in adaptive routing strategies.

Xiaoqing et al. [18] proposed dynamic IoT device clustering and energy management with hybrid NOMA systems, addressing energy efficiency and spectrum utilization challenges in IoT networks. Jagannathan et al. [19] introduced collision-aware routing using multi-objective seagull optimization algorithms, highlighting advancements in collision mitigation techniques.

Jibreel et al. [20] presented an enhanced heterogeneous gateway-based energy-aware multi-hop routing protocol for wireless sensor networks, contributing to the development of energy-efficient routing strategies. Reddy et al. [21] proposed a hybrid optimization algorithm for security-aware cluster head selection processes, addressing security concerns in hierarchical routing.

Guleria et al. [22] introduced an enhanced energy-proficient clustering algorithm for relay selection in heterogeneous WSNs, emphasizing the importance of relay node selection in optimizing energy efficiency. Marjan and Akbar [23] proposed double-leveled unequal clustering with considerations for energy efficiency and load balancing, showcasing innovative approaches to cluster formation in dense IoT networks.

Lata et al. [24] introduced a fuzzy clustering algorithm for enhancing reliability and network lifetime in wireless sensor networks, showcasing advancements in data clustering techniques. Kumar and Vidyarthi [25] presented a green routing algorithm for IoT-enabled software-defined wireless sensor networks, emphasizing the importance of environmentally friendly routing strategies.

Zengwei et al. [26] proposed an efficient preference-based sensor selection method in the Internet of Things, addressing sensor selection challenges in IoT applications. Jain [27] presented a coherent approach for dynamic cluster-based routing and coverage hole detection and recovery in bi-layered WSN-IoT, contributing to the development of adaptive routing strategies.

Yun and Yoo [28] introduced a Q-learning-based data-aggregation-aware energy-efficient routing protocol for wireless sensor networks, showcasing advancements in reinforcement learning-based routing strategies. Zhang et al. [29] proposed a grid-based clustering algorithm via load analysis for the industrial Internet of Things, addressing load balancing challenges in IoT networks.

The literature on WSN optimization encompasses a diverse array of clustering algorithms, communication protocols, and optimization techniques aimed at maximizing energy efficiency, network lifetime, and reliability. These studies demonstrate the interdisciplinary nature of WSN research and highlight the ongoing efforts to address the challenges posed by resource-constrained environments and diverse application scenarios.

IV. CHALLENGES

Despite significant advancements, several challenges persist in WSN optimization, including:

Scalability: Ensuring efficient operation as the network size increases.

Heterogeneity: Managing diverse sensor nodes with different energy levels and capabilities.

Dynamic Environment: Adapting algorithms to fluctuating network conditions and environmental changes.

Security: Protecting sensitive data and ensuring secure communication within the network.

1. Scalability: Scalability refers to the ability of a system to handle increasing amounts of work or data efficiently. In the context of WSNs, scalability is crucial as networks may expand in size over time, encompassing a larger geographical area or accommodating a higher density of sensor nodes. One challenge is maintaining efficient communication and coordination among nodes as the network grows. Traditional clustering approaches may struggle to scale gracefully, leading to increased overhead and reduced performance. Addressing scalability requires the development of distributed algorithms and protocols that can effectively manage large-scale networks while minimizing resource consumption and overhead.

2. Heterogeneity: Heterogeneity in WSNs arises from variations in sensor node capabilities, including processing power, memory, communication range, and energy availability. Managing heterogeneous nodes poses several challenges, such as ensuring fair resource allocation, optimizing energy consumption across different node types, and accommodating diverse application requirements. Traditional approaches designed for homogeneous networks may not be suitable for heterogeneous environments, necessitating the development of adaptive algorithms and protocols capable of dynamically adjusting to node heterogeneity.

3. Dynamic Environment: WSNs often operate in dynamic environments characterized by fluctuating network conditions, environmental changes, and unpredictable events such as node failures or mobility. Adapting algorithms and protocols to dynamically changing conditions is essential for maintaining network performance, reliability, and energy efficiency. Challenges include dynamically adjusting transmission power and routing paths to optimize communication in response to changing network topology, as well as efficiently reallocating resources to mitigate the impact of environmental factors such as interference, noise, and signal attenuation.

4. Security: Security is a critical concern in WSNs, particularly in applications where sensor data is sensitive or where the network may be vulnerable to malicious attacks. Protecting data confidentiality, integrity, and availability is paramount to ensure the trustworthiness of WSNs. Security challenges include securing communication channels against eavesdropping, tampering, and denial-of-service attacks, as well as establishing secure authentication and key management mechanisms to prevent unauthorized access to network resources. Additionally, ensuring the resilience of WSNs against physical attacks, node compromise, and malicious behavior requires robust intrusion detection and response mechanisms.

Addressing these challenges requires a combination of algorithmic innovation, protocol design, and system optimization tailored to the specific requirements and constraints of WSNs. Ongoing research efforts aim to develop scalable, adaptive, and secure solutions capable of overcoming these challenges and unlocking the full potential of WSNs in diverse application domains.

V. RESEARCH GAPS

While existing literature provides valuable insights into WSN optimization, some research gaps remain: **Lack of Standardization:** The absence of standardized protocols and evaluation metrics hinders comparative analysis and reproducibility. **Real-World Deployment:** Limited studies address the practical deployment and scalability of proposed algorithms in real-world scenarios. **Multi-Objective Optimization:** Future research should explore multi-objective optimization techniques to balance conflicting objectives such as energy efficiency and data latency.

1. Lack of Standardization: One of the significant challenges in WSN optimization is the absence of standardized protocols, benchmarks, and evaluation metrics. Without standardized frameworks, researchers often use different methodologies, datasets, and performance metrics, making it challenging to compare and reproduce results across studies. This lack of standardization hinders the advancement of the field by impeding the systematic evaluation and validation of proposed algorithms and protocols. Future research should focus on developing standardized protocols, datasets, and evaluation metrics tailored to specific application scenarios to facilitate fair comparisons and promote reproducibility in WSN optimization research.

2. Real-World Deployment: While numerous optimization algorithms and protocols have been proposed in the literature, limited studies address their practical deployment and scalability in real-world WSN deployments. Real-world deployment introduces additional challenges such as environmental variability, hardware constraints, energy limitations, and network dynamics that may not be adequately addressed in simulation-based studies. Furthermore, scaling up algorithms from small-scale testbeds to large-scale deployments poses unique challenges related to resource management, communication overhead, and network reliability. Future research should focus on bridging the gap between theoretical developments and practical deployment by conducting extensive field trials, case studies, and experimentation in real-world WSN environments.

3. Multi-Objective Optimization: Many optimization problems in WSNs involve multiple conflicting objectives, such as maximizing network lifetime while minimizing energy consumption or reducing data latency while maximizing throughput. Traditional single-objective optimization approaches may not adequately capture the trade-offs between these competing objectives, leading to suboptimal solutions. Future research should explore multi-objective optimization techniques that can effectively balance conflicting objectives and provide decision-makers with a range of Pareto-optimal solutions representing trade-offs between different performance metrics. Multi-objective optimization algorithms, such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and evolutionary algorithms, offer promising avenues for addressing these complex optimization problems in WSNs.

Addressing these research gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration between researchers from various domains, including computer science, electrical engineering, communication systems, and environmental science. By overcoming these challenges, researchers can advance the state-of-the-art in WSN optimization and develop robust, scalable, and efficient solutions capable of meeting the diverse requirements of emerging IoT applications.

VI. OBJECTIVES AND FUTURE SCOPE

The primary objectives for future research in WSN optimization include: Developing novel clustering algorithms with improved scalability, adaptability, and robustness.

Integrating machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques to enhance WSN performance and autonomy. Conducting comprehensive experimental evaluations to validate proposed algorithms in real-world WSN deployments. Exploring multi-objective optimization approaches to achieve a balance between conflicting performance metrics.

1. Developing Novel Clustering Algorithms: Clustering plays a crucial role in organizing sensor nodes into groups to facilitate efficient data aggregation, routing, and management in WSNs. Future research should focus on developing novel clustering algorithms with improved scalability, adaptability, and robustness to address the evolving requirements of WSN applications. These algorithms should be capable of dynamically adjusting cluster formation based on network conditions, energy levels, and application-specific objectives. Moreover, they should consider heterogeneity among sensor nodes and be resilient to node failures, communication disruptions, and environmental changes. Advanced clustering techniques, such as hierarchical clustering, fuzzy clustering, and density-based clustering, can offer promising solutions for enhancing WSN performance and energy efficiency.

2. Integrating Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence: Machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques have shown great potential for enhancing WSN performance and autonomy. Future research should explore the integration of ML and AI algorithms into WSN optimization frameworks to enable intelligent decision-making, predictive analytics, and autonomous network management. ML models can be trained to predict network behavior, detect anomalies, and optimize resource allocation in real-time, thereby improving network efficiency and reliability. Reinforcement learning algorithms can enable sensor nodes to adaptively adjust their behavior based on feedback from the environment, leading to self-optimizing WSNs capable of autonomously optimizing performance metrics such as energy consumption, throughput, and latency.

3. Conducting Comprehensive Experimental Evaluations: While simulation-based studies provide valuable insights into the performance of WSN optimization algorithms, comprehensive experimental evaluations in real-world deployments are essential to validate their effectiveness, scalability, and practical utility. Future research should prioritize conducting field trials, testbed experiments, and case studies to assess the performance of proposed algorithms under diverse operating conditions, environmental scenarios, and network topologies. These experimental evaluations can provide valuable feedback on algorithm behavior, identify implementation challenges, and validate simulation results, thereby bridging the gap between theoretical developments and practical deployment in WSNs.

4. Exploring Multi-Objective Optimization Approaches: Many optimization problems in WSNs involve multiple conflicting objectives, such as maximizing network lifetime, minimizing energy consumption, and reducing data latency. Future research should explore multi-objective optimization approaches that can effectively balance these conflicting objectives and provide decision-makers with a range of Pareto-optimal solutions representing trade-offs between different performance metrics. Multi-objective optimization algorithms, such as evolutionary algorithms, swarm intelligence techniques, and multi-criteria decision-making methods, offer promising avenues for addressing these complex optimization problems in WSNs and enabling more informed decision-making in network design, deployment, and management.

By addressing these primary objectives, future research in WSN optimization can contribute to the development of robust, scalable, and energy-efficient solutions capable of meeting the diverse requirements of emerging IoT applications.

VII. CONCLUSION

This review provides a comprehensive overview of recent advancements in clustering algorithms for WSN optimization. While significant progress has been made, several challenges and research gaps remain to be addressed. Future research efforts should focus on developing innovative solutions to enhance WSN performance, scalability, and sustainability, ultimately contributing to the widespread adoption of WSNs in various applications.

Firstly, the review highlights the significance of ongoing efforts to address challenges such as scalability, heterogeneity, dynamic environmental conditions, and security concerns in WSN optimization. These challenges underscore the need for innovative clustering algorithms that can efficiently organize sensor nodes, adapt to changing network dynamics, and ensure reliable and secure communication within the network.

Moreover, the review identifies several research gaps, including the lack of standardization in protocols and evaluation metrics, limited real-world deployment studies, and the need for multi-objective optimization techniques. Addressing these gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration and the development of novel methodologies to benchmark and evaluate clustering algorithms accurately.

Looking ahead, future research efforts should prioritize the development of innovative solutions aimed at enhancing WSN performance, scalability, and sustainability. This entails exploring new clustering approaches that leverage emerging technologies such as machine learning, artificial intelligence, and multi-objective optimization. By integrating these advanced techniques into WSN optimization frameworks, researchers can develop more adaptive, autonomous, and energy-efficient solutions capable of meeting the evolving demands of IoT applications.

Furthermore, comprehensive experimental evaluations in real-world WSN deployments are essential to validate the effectiveness and practical utility of proposed algorithms. These experiments will provide valuable insights into algorithm behavior, performance under diverse operating conditions, and scalability in large-scale deployments.

In summary, future research in WSN optimization should strive to develop innovative clustering algorithms that address existing challenges, bridge research gaps, and pave the way for the widespread adoption of WSNs in various applications. By addressing these challenges and research gaps, researchers can contribute to the advancement of WSN technology and its integration into diverse IoT ecosystems, ultimately enabling the realization of the full potential of WSNs in the era of pervasive computing

REFERENCES

[1] N. Bacanin, U. Arnaut, M. Zivkovic, T. Bezdan, and T. A. Rashid, "Energy efficient clustering in wireless sensor networks by opposition-based initialization bat algorithm," in *Computer Networks and Inventive Communication Technologies*, S. Smys, R. Bestak, R. Palanisamy, and I. Kotuliak, Eds., vol. 75 of *Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies*, Springer, Singapore, 2022.

[2] M. Zivkovic, N. Bacanin, T. Zivkovic, I. Strumberger, E. Tuba, and M. Tuba, "Enhanced Grey wolf algorithm for energy efficient wireless sensor networks," in *2020 Zooming Innovation in Consumer Technologies Conference (ZINC)*, pp. 87–92, Novi Sad, Serbia, 2020.

[3] M. Zivkovic, N. Bacanin, E. Tuba, I. Strumberger, T. Bezdan, and M. Tuba, "Wireless sensor networks life time optimization based on the improved firefly algorithm," in *2020 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*, pp. 1176–1181, Limassol, Cyprus, 2020.

[4] N. Bacanin, M. Antonijevic, T. Bezdan, M. Zivkovic, and T. A. Rashid, "Wireless sensor networks localization by improved whale optimization algorithm," G. Mathur, M. Bundele, M. Lalwani, and M. Paprzycki, Eds., Springer.

[5] M. Zivkovic, T. Zivkovic, K. Venkatachalam, and N. Bacanin, "Enhanced dragonfly algorithm adapted for wireless sensor network lifetime optimization," in *Data Intelligence and Cognitive Informatics. Algorithms for Intelligent Systems*, I. Jeena Jacob, S. Kolandapalayam Shanmugam, S. Piramuthu, and P. Falkowski-Gilski, Eds., Springer, Singapore, 2021.

[6] W. B. Heinzelman, A. P. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 660–670, 2002.

[7] N. Shigei, H. Morishita, and H. Miyajima, "Energy-efficient clustering communication based on the number of neighbors for wireless sensor networks," in *International Multi-Conference on Engineers and Computer Scientists (IMECS)*, Hong Kong, 2010.

[8] H. Ranida, A. Zibouda, A. Adamou, and G. Mourad, "An efficient clustering strategy avoiding buffer overflow in IOT sensors: a bio-inspired based approach," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 156733–156751, 2019.

[9] X. Yan, Y. Zhanwei, and L. Lingling, "Clustering routing algorithm and simulation of internet of things perception layer based on energy balance," Tech. Rep., IEEE Access, 2019.

[10] A. Ghosal, S. Halder, and S. K. Das, "Distributed on-demand clustering algorithm for lifetime optimization in wireless sensor networks," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 141, pp. 129–142, 2020.

[11] B. Seema, N. Yao, A. Carie, and S. B. H. Shah, "Efficient data transfer in clustered IoT network with cooperative member nodes," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 79, no. 45-46, pp. 34241–34251, 2020.

[12] B. Trupthi, M. Sushantha, S. Umesh, S. Mohammed, and A. G. Mahmoud, "I-SEP: an improved routing protocol for heterogeneous WSN for IoT-based environmental monitoring," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 710–717, 2019.

[13] F. Hussain, R. Hussain, A. Anpalagan, and A. Benslimane, "A new block-based reinforcement learning approach for distributed resource allocation in clustered IoT networks," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 2891–2904, 2019.

[14] J. Abdallah, S. Ayman, and I. Mohamed, "Data transmission reduction schemes in WSNs for efficient IoT systems," *IEEE Journal in Selected Area Communications*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1307–1324, 2018.

[15] R. S. Sinde, F. Begum, K. N. Njau, and S. F. Kaijage, "Refining network lifetime of wireless sensor network using energy-efficient clustering and DRL-based sleep scheduling," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 5, article 1540, 2020.

[16] Y. Xuanxia, W. Jiafei, S. Mengyu, K. Huafeng, and N. Huansheng, "An improved clustering algorithm and its application in IoT data analysis," *Computer Networks*, vol. 159, pp. 63–72, 2019.

[17] S. T. Al-Otaibi, A. Al-Rasheed, R. F. Mansour, E. Yang, G. P. Joshi, and W. Cho, "Hybridization of metaheuristic algorithm for dynamic cluster-based routing protocol in wireless sensor networksx," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 83751–83761, 2021.

[18] S. Xiaoqing, Y. Chungang, C. Danyang, Z. Nan, and F. Richard, "Dynamic IoT device clustering and energy management with hybrid NOMA systems," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 4622–4630, 2018.

[19] P. Jagannathan, S. Gurumoorthy, A. Stateczny, P. B. Divakarachar, and J. Sengupta, "Collision-aware routing using multi-objective seagull optimization algorithm for WSN-based IoT," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 24, article 8496, 2021.

[20] F. Jibreel, E. Tuyishimire, and M. I. Daabo, "An enhanced heterogeneous gateway-based energy-aware multi-hop routing protocol for wireless sensor networks," *Information*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 166, 2022.

[21] D. L. Reddy, C. Puttamadappa, and H. N. Suresh, "Hybrid optimization algorithm for security aware cluster head selection process to aid hierarchical routing in wireless sensor network," *IET Communications*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1561–1575, 2021.

[22] K. Guleria, A. K. Verma, N. Goyal, A. K. Sharma, A. Benslimane, and A. Singh, "An enhanced energy proficient clustering (EEPC) algorithm for relay selection in heterogeneous WSNs," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 116, article 102473, 2021.

[23] F. Marjan and G. Akbar, "Double leveled unequal clustering with considering energy efficiency and load balancing in dense IoT networks," *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 106, pp. 1183–1207, 2019.

[24] S. Lata, S. Mehfuz, S. Urooj, and F. M. Alrowais, "Fuzzy clustering algorithm for enhancing reliability and network lifetime of wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 66013–66024, 2020.

[25] N. Kumar and D. P. Vidyarthi, “A green routing algorithm for IoT-enabled software-defined wireless sensor network,” *IEEE Sensors of Journal*, vol. 18, no. 22, pp. 9449–9460, 2018.

[26]Z. Zengwei, T. Yanyan, C. Yuanyi, Z. Fengle, and C. Dan, “An efficient preference-based sensor selection method in internet of things,” *IEE ACESS Journal.*, vol. 7, pp. 168536–168547, 2019.

[27] J. K. Jain, “A coherent approach for dynamic cluster-based routing and coverage hole detection and recovery in bi-layered WSN-IoT,” *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 519–543, 2020.

[28] W. Yun and S. Yoo, “Q-learning-based data-aggregation-aware energy-efficient routing protocol for wireless sensor networks,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 10737–10750, 2021.

[29] J. Zhang, X. Feng, and Z. Liu, “A grid-based clustering algorithm via load analysis for industrial internet of things,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, 2018.