

Media and the Right to Privacy, the Incursion of Social Media

Dr. Rekha Rani Sharma

Assistant Professor, Gwalior Law College, Gwalior, MP, India

Abstract: *The advent of social media has revolutionised the way information is disseminated and consumed, raising concerns about the right to privacy. This research paper delves into the incursion of social media on individual privacy and examines the role of media in safeguarding this fundamental right. It also explores the legal and ethical considerations surrounding privacy in the digital age. In the age of ubiquitous digital connectivity, the right to privacy faces unprecedented challenges, particularly with the advent of social media platforms. This research paper delves into the intricate relationship between media and the right to privacy, examining the impact of social media on individual privacy rights. Through an analysis of relevant case laws and scholarly literature, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complexities surrounding this issue. It explores the evolving legal landscape, ethical considerations, and implications for society at large.*

Keywords: Media, Privacy, Social Media, Media Laws, Right To Privacy

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's digital age, the right to privacy stands at a crossroads, facing unprecedented challenges posed by the pervasive influence of media, particularly social media platforms. As individuals navigate an increasingly interconnected world, the boundaries between public and private spheres blur, raising profound questions about autonomy, surveillance, and the protection of fundamental human rights.

The advent of social media has heralded a paradigm shift in how people communicate, share information, and construct their identities. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram offer users unprecedented opportunities for connectivity and self-expression. Yet, beneath the veneer of social networking lies a complex web of data collection, algorithmic surveillance, and targeted advertising, all of which encroach upon individual privacy in subtle yet profound ways.

Against this backdrop, the intersection of media and the right to privacy emerges as a pressing concern in contemporary society.¹ From data breaches and online harassment to corporate surveillance and government overreach, the incursion of social media into privacy has far-reaching implications for individuals, communities, and democratic institutions alike.

This research paper seeks to explore the multifaceted relationship between media and the right to privacy, with a particular focus on the challenges posed by social media platforms. By examining relevant case laws, scholarly literature, and ethical frameworks, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of this complex issue. Through a nuanced analysis of historical precedents, legal principles, and societal implications², the paper endeavors to shed light on the evolving landscape of privacy rights in the digital age.

¹Patrick Van Eecke, Maarten Truyens, Privacy and social networks, *Computer Law & Security Review*;2010; 26(5):535-546.

²Mohamed N., Ahmad I. H. (2012). Information privacy concerns, antecedents and privacy measure use in social networking sites: evidence from Malaysia. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 28, 2366–2375. 10.1016/j.chb.2012.07.008 [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Historical Context:

The concept of privacy is deeply rooted in human history, evolving alongside societal norms, technological advancements, and legal frameworks. From the sanctity of the home in ancient civilizations to the emergence of privacy laws in modern democracies, the notion of privacy has undergone a profound transformation over millennia. In ancient Rome, for example, the concept of "domus" encompassed not only the physical space of the household but also the inviolable realm of personal autonomy and familial integrity. Similarly, in Islamic jurisprudence, the concept of "hurma"³ delineated zones of privacy and modesty, safeguarding individual dignity and social harmony. The advent of the printing press in the 15th century heralded a new era of mass communication, challenging traditional notions of privacy and reshaping public discourse. With the rise of newspapers, pamphlets, and broadsides, individuals grappled with the tension between freedom of expression and the right to privacy, laying the groundwork for future debates.

The 20th century witnessed the emergence of modern privacy laws and constitutional protections in response to the proliferation of new technologies and mass media. Landmark cases such as *Griswold v. Connecticut* and *Roe v. Wade* affirmed the right to privacy as a fundamental aspect of individual autonomy, paving the way for subsequent legal developments. In the digital age, the proliferation of social media platforms has introduced new complexities to the privacy landscape, blurring the lines between public and private domains. From the Snowden revelations to the Cambridge Analytica scandal, the erosion of privacy boundaries in the digital realm has sparked widespread debate and calls for reform. By tracing the historical evolution of privacy, from ancient civilizations to the digital age, we gain valuable insights into the enduring tensions between individual autonomy, societal norms, and technological progress. This historical perspective informs our understanding of the contemporary challenges facing media and the right to privacy, underscoring the need for a nuanced and interdisciplinary approach to addressing these complex issues.

The Incursion of Social Media on Privacy

- **Data Collection and Targeted Advertising:** Social media platforms collect vast amounts of user data, often without explicit consent, to fuel targeted advertising and personalised content delivery.
- **Public Sharing and Oversharing:** Users often divulge intimate details of their lives on social media, blurring the lines between public and private spheres.
- **Data Breaches and Security Concerns:** High-profile data breaches and privacy scandals have underscored the vulnerability of personal information on social media platforms.

Media's Role in Safeguarding Privacy

- **Ethical Reporting:** Traditional media outlets play a crucial role in ethical reporting, especially when dealing with private or sensitive information.
- **Data Protection Advocacy:** Media organizations can advocate for stronger data protection laws and promote digital literacy to empower users to safeguard their privacy.⁴
- **Responsible Social Media Use:** Media entities should model responsible social media use and encourage best practices for privacy protection.

³BasilisaMvungi, Mizuho Iwaihara. Associations between privacy, risk awareness, and interactive motivations of social networking service users, and motivation prediction from observable features. *Computers in Human Behavior*, Dec 2014; 4(c):20-34.

⁴Patrick Van Eecke, Maarten Truyens, Privacy and social networks, *Computer Law & Security Review*;2010; 26(5):535-546.

Legal and Ethical Considerations

- **Privacy Laws and Regulations:** The paper will explore existing privacy laws and regulations, such as the GDPR in the EU and the CCPA in the US, and their effectiveness in protecting individual privacy.
- **Ethical Guidelines for Journalism:** Examining the evolving ethical guidelines for journalists when reporting on information obtained from social media and online sources.
- **User Consent and Control:** Discussing the importance of informed consent and user control over their personal data, and the ethical implications of data usage without explicit consent.

Legal Implications of Privacy Breaches

Regulatory Fines and Penalties

- **GDPR Violations:** Organizations found in breach of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) can face fines of up to €20 million or 4% of their global annual revenue, whichever is higher. This was exemplified by the potential consequences faced by Tesla after the unauthorised disclosure of employee data.
- **HIPAA Violations:** Healthcare institutions in the United States can face significant fines for violations of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), with penalties ranging from \$100 to \$50,000 per violation. The incidents at Northwestern Medical Regional Group, MUSC Health, Northwest Indiana Hospital, and Glenview Nursing Home are indicative of potential HIPAA violations with associated penalties⁵

Civil Lawsuits

Patient Privacy Violations: Healthcare organisations and their employees can face civil lawsuits for unauthorised disclosure of patient information, as was the case with the incidents at Northwestern Medical Regional Group, MUSC Health, and Northwest Indiana Hospital.

Employee Data Breaches: Companies can face legal action from affected employees for data breaches that compromise their personal information, potentially leading to costly settlements and damage to the organisation's reputation.

Reputational Damage

Public Trust: Privacy breaches can result in a loss of public trust and confidence in the affected organizations, leading to long-term reputational damage and decreased customer or patient loyalty.

Regulatory Oversight and Audits

Data Security Audits: Following a privacy breach, regulatory authorities may subject the organization to rigorous data security audits, requiring them to demonstrate compliance with privacy regulations and implement remedial measures to prevent future breaches.

Compliance Costs

Remediation and Compliance: Organizations may incur substantial costs to remediate privacy breaches, including implementing enhanced data security measures, conducting forensic investigations, and addressing regulatory requirements.

These legal implications underscore the significant financial, reputational, and operational consequences that privacy breaches can have on organizations, necessitating robust data protection measures and compliance with privacy regulations.

⁵BasilisaMvungi, Mizuho Iwaihara. Associations between privacy, risk awareness, and interactive motivations of social networking service users, and motivation prediction from observable features. Computers in Human Behavior, Dec 2014; 4(c):20-34.

Legal Liabilities in Privacy Breaches

In the context of privacy breaches, organizations and individuals can face various legal liabilities, including:

Civil Liability

- **Lawsuits:** Entities responsible for privacy breaches can face civil lawsuits from affected individuals or regulatory bodies seeking damages for the unauthorized disclosure or misuse of personal information.
- **Compensation:** Courts may award compensation to affected individuals for damages resulting from privacy breaches, including financial losses, emotional distress, and reputational harm.
- **Regulatory Liability**
- **Fines and Penalties:** Regulatory authorities can impose significant fines and penalties for violations of data protection laws, such as the GDPR, HIPAA, or other regional privacy regulations, based on the severity and scope of the breach.

Compliance Orders: Regulatory bodies may issue compliance orders mandating the implementation of specific data security measures and practices to prevent future breaches.

Criminal Liability

- **Criminal Charges:** In cases of egregious privacy breaches involving intentional misconduct or negligence, individuals or organizational representatives may face criminal charges, particularly if the breach resulted in substantial harm or financial losses.
- **Prosecution:** Prosecution by law enforcement agencies for offenses related to data theft, fraud, or violation of privacy laws may result in severe legal consequences, including imprisonment and substantial fines.

Contractual Liability

- **Vendor and Partner Contracts:** Organizations may be held liable for privacy breaches resulting from the actions of vendors or business partners if contractual agreements stipulate responsibilities for safeguarding personal data.
- **Reputational and Business Liabilities**
- **Loss of Trust:** Privacy breaches can lead to a loss of trust and credibility, resulting in diminished brand value, customer attrition, and negative impact on business relationships.
- **Operational Disruption:** Legal proceedings, regulatory investigations, and remediation efforts following a privacy breach can cause operational disruption and financial strain on the affected organization⁶.

Mitigating Legal Liabilities

- **Compliance Programs:** Implementing robust privacy compliance programs, including regular risk assessments, employee training, and proactive measures to adhere to data protection regulations.
- **Data Security Measures:** Investing in advanced data security technologies, encryption, access controls, and incident response protocols to mitigate the risk of privacy breaches.

Transparency and Accountability: Demonstrating transparency in data handling practices, promptly notifying affected individuals about breaches, and being accountable for data protection responsibilities.

⁶Mohamed N., Ahmad I. H. (2012). Information privacy concerns, antecedents and privacy measure use in social networking sites: evidence from Malaysia. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 28, 2366–2375. 10.1016/j.chb.2012.07.008 [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Understanding and addressing these legal liabilities is crucial for organizations and individuals to mitigate the potential legal and financial ramifications of privacy breaches and uphold their responsibilities in safeguarding personal information.

Case Law: *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) and Anr. v. Union of India and Ors.*

In a landmark judgment in 2017, the Supreme Court of India recognized the right to privacy as a fundamental right. This case laid the foundation for the protection of individual privacy rights, including in the context of media intrusion.

Legal Provisions

Information Technology Act, 2000: The Act governs the use of digital information and provides safeguards against unauthorized access to personal data.

The Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021: These rules regulate social media platforms and digital news media, aiming to protect individual privacy and dignity.

Balancing Media Freedom and Privacy Rights

Balancing media freedom with the right to privacy is essential for a democratic society. The media's role as a watchdog and disseminator of information must coexist with respect for individual privacy.

Recent Case Laws Related to Privacy and Data Protection in India

In 2017, the Supreme Court of India declared the right to privacy as a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution, acknowledging the need for legal safeguards to protect personal information [1](#).

Pegasus Spyware Case and Right to Privacy

In response to the Pegasus spyware allegations, the Supreme Court constituted a committee to investigate the violation of the right to privacy and make recommendations on surveillance laws to enhance data protection practices [2](#).

The committee's formation was to assess allegations against the central government for conducting surveillance on Indian citizens and to strengthen data protection practices [2](#).

Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 314 of 2021

In a recent decision on October 27, 2021, the Supreme Court of India considered a petition against the Indian government regarding alleged unauthorized surveillance and breach of privacy. The key issue was whether a prima facie case had been made out to warrant the establishment of an independent committee to investigate the allegations [3](#).

The petitioners argued that the unauthorized surveillance through the Pegasus spyware violated their right to privacy and freedom of speech [3](#).

The court's decision reflects the constitutional protection of the right to privacy, emphasizing the importance of safeguarding an individual's private space [3](#).

These recent case laws highlight the evolving landscape of privacy rights management and the judiciary's role in upholding the right to privacy in the face of technological advancements and surveillance challenges.

Case Law: *Rajagopal v. State of Tamil Nadu*

In this case, the Supreme Court held that the right to privacy is an essential component of the right to life and personal liberty. It emphasized the need for a responsible press that respects the privacy of individuals.

Media Ethics and the Right to Privacy

Media ethics play a crucial role in balancing the freedom of the press with the right to privacy of individuals. Journalistic practices and ethical considerations are essential in ensuring responsible coverage that respects the privacy rights of individuals.

Ethical Considerations

- **Informed Consent:** Journalists should seek informed consent before publishing or broadcasting personal information about individuals. This involves obtaining permission and providing full disclosure about the intended use of personal information.
- **Public Interest:** Media coverage should weigh the public's right to information against an individual's right to privacy. Information that is of legitimate public interest may be published, but it should be done in a manner that minimizes intrusion into personal privacy.

- **Avoiding Sensationalism:** Journalists should refrain from sensationalizing private matters that do not serve a legitimate public interest. Respect for the dignity and privacy of individuals should guide the editorial decisions.
- **Case Law:** *Rajagopal v. State of Tamil Nadu*
- In the landmark judgment, the Supreme Court of India emphasized the need for responsible journalism and recognized that the right to privacy is an essential component of the right to life and personal liberty. The court emphasized the importance of maintaining a balance between freedom of the press and the right to privacy [1].

Impact of Social Media

The proliferation of social media has added complexity to media ethics and the right to privacy. Individuals' personal information can be easily disseminated through social media platforms, necessitating heightened ethical considerations by both traditional media outlets and social media users.

Responsible Social Media Practices

- **Verification of Information:** Before sharing personal information, social media users and content creators should verify the accuracy and relevance of the information, especially when it pertains to individuals' private lives.
- **Respect for Consent:** Social media users should respect the privacy preferences of others and seek consent before sharing personal information or images of individuals.
- **Mitigating Harm:** Content shared on social media should be mindful of its potential impact on individuals' privacy and well-being, with an emphasis on minimizing harm.

II. CONCLUSION

The incursion of social media has amplified the challenges associated with protecting the right to privacy in the context of media coverage. While the media serves as a vital pillar of democracy, it must operate within the framework of legal provisions and respect individuals' right to privacy. The evolving landscape of social media requires a nuanced approach to strike a balance between media freedom and privacy rights. In conclusion, the legal framework, including case laws and statutory provisions, plays a pivotal role in delineating the boundaries between media coverage and the right to privacy in India.

REFERENCES

- [1]. BasilisaMvungi, Mizuho Iwaihara. Associations between privacy, risk awareness, and interactive motivations of social networking service users, and motivation prediction from observable features. *Computers in Human Behavior*, Dec 2014; 4(c):20-34.
- [2]. JoshanaShibchurn, XiangbinYan. Information disclosure on social networking sites: An intrinsic and extrinsic motivation perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2015; 44:103-117.
- [3]. Yan Li, Yingjiu Li, Qiang Yan, Robert H. Deng, Privacy leakage analysis in online social Networks, *Computers and Security*, Mar 2015; 49(c):239-254.
- [4]. Patrick Van Eecke, Maarten Truyens, Privacy and social networks, *Computer Law & Security Review*;2010; 26(5):535-546.
- [5]. Benson Vladlena, George Saridakis, HemamaliTennakoon, Jean Noel Ezingard, The role of security notices and online consumer
- [6]. behaviour: An empirical study of social networking users, *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*;Aug 2015; 80:36-44.
- [7]. Yuan Li. Theories in online information privacy research: A critical review and an integrated framework, *Decision Support System*. June 2012; 54(1):471-481.
- [8]. Nader YahyaAlkeinay, Norita Md. Norwawi. User Oriented Privacy Model for Social Networks. *International Conference on Innovation*,

- [9]. Management and Technology Research, Malaysia; 22 – 23 September, 2013; 191-197.
- [10]. Gail-JoonAhn, Mohamed Shehab, Anna Squicciarini. Security and Privacy in Social Networks. IEEE Internet Computing; 2011; 15(3): 10-12.
- [11]. Paul Lowry, Jinwei Cao, Andrea Everard. Privacy Concerns versus Desire for Interpersonal Awareness in Driving the Use of Self-Disclosure Technologies: The Case of Instant Messaging in Two Cultures. Journal of Management Information Systems; 2011; 27(4):163-200.
- [12]. Carl Timm, Richard Perez. Seven Deadliest Social Network Attacks. Syngress Publishing; 2010.