

Sustainable Development Practices in the Hospitality Industry: Study of Customer Satisfaction

Ms. Trupti Patole

Anjuman-I-Islam's College of Hotel & Tourism Management Studies & Research, Mumbai

Abstract: *The adoption of sustainable improvement (SD) practices inside the hospitality industry is supposed to restrict the negative effects of this industry on natural and social environments and increase its gain to host populations. Our examine targets to cope with the subsequent studies questions: What are the antecedents of consumer satisfaction inside the hospitality industry such as those related to the SD practices adopted through inns? particularly, does consumer pride toward these practices vary by way of the size of the hotel (small and medium-sized vs. huge) and ownership basis (unbiased vs. chain-affiliated)? Guest satisfaction is motivated using the hotel's adoption of SD practices, and the extent of purchaser pride varies according to the resort's size and form of ownership*

Keywords: adoption of sustainable improvement

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the Fifties, the tourism industry has been developing nearly continuously. As such, the arena Tourism employer (WTO, 2010) has expected five (up to ten in a few international locations) of this industry's GDP. For instance, the global inns and restaurants affiliation (2010) numbered 300,000 inns and eight million eating outlets in 2009, producing a monetary advantage of 950 billion US dollars. In satisfying the developing desires of vacationers, there are many maleffects, which include the degradation of the biosphere, and the destruction of coastal and mountain areas using the construction of hotels, parking, and facilities (G.J. Dalton, 2023). Therefore, a sustainable development (SD) orientation is a strategy for tourism businesses to minimize the negative influences on natural, cultural, and social environments (Jordi Perramon, 2022).

In the tourism industry, managers understand the importance of sustainability and they have undertaken diverse tasks in this regard (Yixing (Lisa) Gao, 2014). Moreover, those practices have targeted the most important component of the environmental measurement of SD (Ayuso, 2007), for example through worldwide eco-labels which include ISO 14001 and the EU law EMAS (Eco-management and Audit Scheme) (Rafal Kusa, 2020). In a survey performed within six EU areas, the simplest 30% of tourism SMEs declared having a proper environmental policy. Within the Canadian hospitality enterprise, 14% of establishments had been "inexperienced Key" certified, a software offered via the Lodge Association of Canada (Green Key Worldwide, 2010).

Concerning the formerly mentioned eco-labels and certification, the emphasis is on electricity and water conservation. This is, on the environmental dimension of SD to promote their adoption (Jonathan D. Barsky, 1992). As a consequence, few establishments in the tourism sector, such as the hospitality enterprise, have followed an SD orientation that still integrates the monetary and social dimensions. In contrast to what has been learned on environmental management structures inside the hospitality enterprise, there's still little information on the combination of the three dimensions of SD (financial–environmental–social) in the hospitality business method and the effect of such practices on customer satisfaction. (Roberts, 1996) Research has checked out the customers' attitude to the direction of the environmental practices that have been adopted by the hospitality industry. For this reason, a modern mindset towards service is inadequate to predict the conduct of SD (Michael K Brady, 2002).

Previous empirical research simply looked at the customer's attitudes closer to – in preference to pride with – one dimension of the sustainable improvement practices adopted in the hospitality industry. The environmental measurement to the exclusion of the financial and social dimensions. consequently, our examination of sustainable

development practices in this industry distinguishes itself both by using guest pride and behavioral intentions instead of attitudes and using including all three dimensions of SD in place of the environmental dimension.

Similarly, the standard policies used to establish customer profiles, this is, the motive of the trip (commercial enterprise vs. entertainment) and the demographic traits of customers (Marit G. Gundersen, 1996), seem insufficient to profile travelers involved using SD and through Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), as shown using the equivocal effects of positive studies (Schall, 2003).

Regarding the mentioned eco-labels and certification applications the focus is, on promoting electricity and water conservation. This means prioritizing the aspect of sustainable development (SD) to encourage their adoption (Cathy A. Enz, 2000). Consequently, a few establishments in the tourism sector such as hospitality businesses have embraced an SD approach that incorporates both social dimensions. Despite understanding environmental management structures within the hospitality industry there is still information on how these three dimensions of SD (environmental social) are integrated into the hospitality business model and how these practices impact customer satisfaction.

At the customer level, research has examined customers' attitudes towards sustainable practices implemented in the hospitality industry (Clarke, 2001; Dalton et al., 2008; Watkins, 1994). However, recognizing that a general attitude, towards a service or product may not accurately predict behavior (Fishbein and Ajzen 2010) it becomes essential to question what factors drive consumers to choose an SD-oriented hotel. To understand customer attitudes now we need to consider consumer satisfaction as a result of their stay experience (Vanhamme, 2002).

SD and CSR within the hospitality enterprise:

Now, more than two decades after the adoption of an ordinary definition of sustainable development, this concept similar to that of organization social responsibility is nevertheless uncertain to a big range of individuals. even though distinct, those thoughts are inseparable.

II. RECOMMENDATION AND LIMITATIONS

This research has three main implications, this is, theoretical, methodological, and managerial, given that preceding studies of sustainable improvement inside the hospitality enterprise have no longer yet occupied all of the "ability research area", to apply Berthon et al. (2002) expression. From a theoretical point of view, this look has assessed consumer satisfaction in the context of sustainable improvement, at the same time as preceding studies have targeted client attitudes.

III. CONCLUSION

This study explores the full impact of sustainable hotel practices from different types of hotel businesses on opinions. The term "Sustainable Development" is an important term in today's era because it shapes the hospitality industry, Conservation of traditional and social resources may be achieved without the consent of customers, as this affects their perception of the first-class carrier supplied a Hotel (Kirk, 1995). Actions in the social dimension are found to positively affect customer satisfaction but negatively affect customer satisfaction. This study explores the full extent of the impact that sustainable hospitality practices of various types of hospitality enterprises have on the opinions. (Stowe Shoemaker, 1999) This article examines how three components of sustainable practices affect customer attitudes and behavior. This work advances our understanding in three different domains. First off, the results support previous research that found that eco-friendly hospitality industry practices boost customer satisfaction (Orie Berezan, 2013) loyalty, and willingness to pay a premium for sustainable goods and services (Heesup Han, 2011).

It appears that actions in the social dimension positively influence customer satisfaction but negatively influence their willingness to pay more. This article examines how three components of sustainable practices influence customer attitudes and behavior. This work advances our understanding in three different areas. First, the results confirm previous research that environmentally friendly practices in the hotel industry improve customer satisfaction (Berezan et al., 2013), and customer loyalty and are willing to pay more for durable goods and services. Second, consistent with the results of previous studies, this study has limitations, despite the fact that it has made important contributions to green hotel practice. This study does not detail customer opinions on specific types of hotel organizations that practice sustainable hotel supply chain management. Sustainable business practices can have a positive or negative impact on the public opinion of a company depending on the type of company it is owned. Additionally, different customer

demographics can mitigate the impact of these factors. To better understand the relationship between a hotel company's sustainable supply chain management and customer perceptions, further research could examine the moderating effects of customer characteristics and business.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Cathy A. Enz, J. A. (2000). Best practices in service quality. *The Cornell hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 41(5), 20-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-8804(01)80002-1
- [2]. G.J. Dalton, D. L. (2023). Feasibility analysis of stand-alone renewable energy supply options for a large hotel. *Renewable Energy*, 1475-1490. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.09.014
- [3]. Heesup Han, L.-T. J.-S. (2011). Are lodging customers ready to go green? An examination of attitudes, demographics, and eco-friendly intentions. *30(2)*, 345-355. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2010.07.008
- [4]. Jonathan D. Barsky, R. L. (1992). A strategy for customer satisfaction. *The Cornell hotel and Restaurant Journal Quarterly*, 33(5), 32-40. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-8804(92)90025-Z
- [5]. Jordi Perramon, M. O.-V. (2022). Impact of service quality and environmental practices on hotel companies: An empirical approach. *107*, 103-307. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103307
- [6]. Marit G. Gundersen, M. H. (1996). Hotel guest satisfaction among business travelers: What are the important factors? *The Cornell Hotel and restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 37(2), 72-81. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-8804(96)83104-1
- [7]. Michael K Brady, J. C. (2002). Performance-only measurement of service quality: a replication and extension. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(1), 17-31. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(00)00171-5
- [8]. Orié Berezan, C. R. (2013). Sustainable hotel practices and nationality: The impact on guest satisfaction and guest intention to return. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 34, 227-233. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.03.010
- [9]. Rafał Kusa, M. S. (2020). Impact of greening on performance in the hospitality industry: Moderating effect of flexibility and inter-organizational cooperation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 190, 122-423. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122423
- [10]. Roberts, J. A. (1996). Green consumers in the 1990s: Profile and implications for advertising. *Journal of Business Research*, 36(3), 217-231. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(95)00150-6
- [11]. Schall, M. (2003). Best practices in the assessment of hotel-guest attitudes. *The Cornell Hotel and restgaurant Administartion Quarterly*, 44(2), 51-65. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-8804(03)90018-8
- [12]. Stowe Shoemaker, R. C. (1999, Dec). Customer loyalty: the future of hospitality marketing. *International Journal of Hospitality*, 18(4), 345-370. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4319(99)00042-0
- [13]. Yixing (Lisa) Gao, A. S. (2014). Improving consumer satisfaction in green hotels: The roles of perceived warmth, perceived competence, and CSR motive. *International Journal of Hospiatlity Management*, 42, 20-31. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2014.06.003