

Analysis of the Private and Public Sectors in the Indian Insurance Industry

Prof. Gaurav Mishra and Padaya Priti Devji

Jai Bharat College of Commerce (Night), Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: *The investigation into the Indian economy and analysis of the traits of the Indian insurance sector are based on the sector's advantages and disadvantages. It is required to reassess the Private and Public Players in India's insurance business and perform a fresh literature assessment as a result of the deregulation of the insurance market. Additionally, the rise in foreign direct investment from 26% to 49% suggests that India's insurance sector will grow, but it will compete fiercely with countries throughout the world, notably those in Asia. Therefore, it's possible that commercial and state insurance companies will differ from one another. This study attempted to compare private and public insurance companies in India based on insurance education, mergers and acquisitions, the percentage of foreign investments in the insurance industry, premium, and performance evaluation. The performance will be assessed using key performance indicators (KPIs) specific to the insurance sector, including operating costs, commission costs, retention ratio, new policies issued, registered insurers, premium underwritten, distribution of life insurer offices, market share, incurred claims ratio, investment income, and leverage. The time frame being examined is 2000–01–2014–2015. The results are clarified and generalised to the performance of the insurance sector. An analysis of secondary data sources, including insurance firm annual reports, the journal of the Insurance Regulatory Development Authority (IRDA), and insurance journals, would be used to undertake the study. As statistical techniques, the study will include growth trends, percentage analysis, and descriptive statistics. According to the study's hypothesis, there is no difference in the growth and effectiveness of public and private insurance organisations. The study is exploratory, descriptive, and empirical in nature.*

Keywords: Insurance, private companies, and public companies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The financial services sector has seen major changes as a result of liberalisation and globalisation. Another one of India's most significant sectors is insurance. The Indian insurance business is flourishing as a result of deregulation, and there are more insurance companies than ever before. Additionally, the rise in foreign direct investment from 26% to 49% suggests that India's insurance sector will grow, but it will compete fiercely with countries throughout the world, notably those in Asia. Consequently, there could be a distinction between private and state insurance businesses. The presence of private players has increased competitiveness. Public and private businesses compete to implement new creations and unique product characteristics in order to draw clients and meet customers' wants. In light of this, the goal of this study is to compare private and public companies in terms of market conditions, rivalry, product innovations, delivery and distribution systems, technology use, product variety, creative bundling of insurance with other financial services, aggressive marketing, and improved customer service. In addition, a thorough evaluation of the performance of the Indian insurance sector is carried out using a range of performance metrics. This essay is organised as follows: Section 2 gives a summary of prior studies on the insurance sector. The final section provides a SWOT analysis of the Indian insurance market. The research approach is explained in Section 4. The comparison of the two based on a number of variables is provided in Section 5 along with a description of the content analysis of Private and Public Players in the Indian Insurance Industry. The article also discusses the performance of Indian insurance companies in this part. Finally, Section 6 brings the paper to a close and describes the range of potential future research that might arise from the gaps noted in this report.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The corporate monopoly and government dominance of the Indian insurance sector ended more than a century ago. The whole insurance landscape of the nation was revolutionised by the creation of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority in 1999 and the following admission of international and private players. The hitherto slow and complacent players have been forced to embrace customer-satisfaction-oriented strategies that are progressive in nature by professionalism and the technologies supplied by the international players (Hole and Misal, 2013).

The bulk of methods, however, are much more focused on expansion than on survival. Although businesses assert that they are doing everything possible to optimise consumer pleasure, the truth is often different. Customers-focused products and marketing techniques are required by the fact that insurance offers social security to both employees and non-employees (Davar and Singh, 2014).

Additionally, it heightens competitiveness among them and promotes emotional intelligence growth. A number of international studies (Lagrange & Roodt, 2001; Slaski & Cartwright, 2002; Sitarenios, 1998; Rapisarda, 2002; Donald-Feidler & Bond, 2004) and Indian studies (Jain & Sinha, 2005; Sinha & Jain, 2004; Srinivas and Anand, 2012; and Kumar, Mishra & Varshney, 2012) indicate that insurance coverage, in

All cultures and nations face some level of risk. The methods and steps used to reduce the danger, however, differ. After multiple failed or inadequate attempts, it was established that risk cannot be completely eliminated but can only be significantly diminished by various actions. Insurance is a step in this process. Because it provides a range of products to shield people, families, and businesses from risk and secure their financial security, insurance is currently recognised as the foundation of a country's risk management system (Krishnamurthy et al., 2005). Insurance is currently acknowledged as a key financial intermediary not only inside the nation but also around the world as a source of long-term capital that can be used for building social and physical infrastructure as well as carrying out long-term initiatives. The need for insurance has grown as a result of an increase in the number of unfinished projects for various causes. Likewise, risks associated with human activity, the atmosphere, and the sea are present in international trade. Meeting the rising domestic need for risk management while consumers are reluctant to utilise insurance as a risk management instrument, however, is the biggest challenge. The government has made a variety of steps to improve the quality, scope, and appeal of the insurance sector in recognition of its potential to mobilise savings for beneficial uses and to offer job security and social safety. As a result, both domestic and foreign players were allowed access to the sector. It is obvious that the Indian economy and insurance sector have undergone a fundamental shift as a result of the liberalisation, privatisation, and globalisation processes. Private insurers have increased their presence in rural and underserved areas by increasing the number of policies, the amount of premium, and altering commission and operational costs (Chand, 2014). As a result of the growing rivalry from domestic and foreign players, there is healthy competitiveness and a range of levels of job satisfaction among the employees. However, employees in both the public and private sectors must cooperate properly in order for both to compete and thrive. Although increased competition has boosted contentment, it has also demanded creative marketing techniques and customer satisfaction practises. These practises depend on employees having more job happiness, which will be made feasible by social security, or insurance, (Kaur, 2012).

Along with other industries, the insurance sector is essential to the economic growth of our nation since it offers a variety of helpful services like mobilising savings, encouraging investment, stabilising financial markets, and managing social and financial risk. Despite these benefits, India is still viewed as having inadequate insurance on a worldwide basis. It has gone a long way and achieved tremendous development since 2009, when it held the 18th place among markets for life insurance and the 28th position among markets for non-life insurance. However, due to its rapidly growing population and demographic dividend, it has a tremendous amount of unrealized potential that has yet to be discovered and harnessed. With the exception of the period between 2001 and 2009, when it rose from 2.71 to 5.20 percent, not even the creation of IRDA and the openness of markets have helped the expansion of insurance penetration. Since then, it has fallen to 3.96 percent in 2012, a considerable decline from the 6.5% of GDP average for the world. The insurance density declined to 53.20 in 2012–13 after rising from 11.50 in 2001 to 64.40 in 2010, and it is still decreasing now. This is as a result of the regulator tightening regulations, the fall in household savings, and the decline in premium collections (Ganesh, 2014). Following the detariffing of non-life insurance products in 2007, the market was liberalised, creating a fair playing field that encouraged players to be flexible, profitable, and competitive

(Sharma and Sikidar, 2014). Insurance companies in India still face a number of difficulties, including paying out outstanding claims, despite the market's opening up and detariffing. This is largely due to their strong national brand, presence, sound financial position, at-ease solvency position, diversified investment portfolios, and strong reinsurance ties. In addition, the primary source of worry continues to be domestic insurance market developments brought on by increased competition and a steep decrease in interest rates. Also under strain are their profitability, operational costs, claim management, and service standards due to the advent of a dynamic environment. Additionally, their position has been eroded by systemic inefficiencies and the inadequate tariff structure in some industry sectors.

Understanding the importance and extent of the insurance industry is the goal of the literature review. Additionally, it looks into the peculiarities of the public and private sectors and how they affect the insurance business. To identify and summarise the pertinent comparison criteria between private and private insurance businesses, a study of prior studies is also done. The identification and discussion of pertinent performance evaluation parameters within the framework of insurance follows. Finally, certain outstanding research questions and gaps are brought to light. Some of these issues are tried to be addressed in this paper.

Indian Insurance Market: Private and Public Participants

The National Insurance Academy in Pune, which specialised in teaching, research, and consulting services for the insurance industry, is one of the organisations that provide specialised education for the sector. A postgraduate diploma in Life and General Insurance, Risk Management, and Actuarial Sciences is provided by the Institute of Insurance and Risk Management in Hyderabad. The Amity School of Insurance, Banking, and Actuarial Science (ASIBAS) offers M.Sc./B.Sc. and MBA studies in insurance, banking, and actuarial science. actuarial sciences, as well as a postgraduate diploma in the subject. An MBA in insurance management is offered at the University of Pondicherry. The Birla Institute of Management Technology offers the PGDM-IBM programme in insurance business management. BIMTECH's educational partner is the Life Office Management Association (LOMA) of the United States, and BIMTECH is a licenced LOMA testing facility. The BIMTECH PGDM-IBM programme has received credit accreditation from the Chartered Insurance Institute (CII), located in the United Kingdom. For engineering graduates, NLU, Jodhpur provides a two-year MBA and a one-year MS in insurance. One of the barriers to the widespread adoption of insurance has been found to be a lack of understanding of it. In order to conduct this analysis, the websites and annual reports of insurance firms were examined. This is done to assess the steps taken by specific insurance companies to increase public awareness of the sector and its offerings.

It has been noted that IRDA provides the majority of insurance knowledge, education, and training as opposed to individual insurance companies. Few people provide their insurance information. The agent who acts as the company's representative informs people about particular policies. In order to reach consumers, the bulk of public life insurers train their representatives on insurance products. The majority of private insurers keep their data online.

Leverage shows the capital structure position and denotes the solvency of the insurers. In this section, the quantity of borrowing and the implementation of new policies serve as indicators of debt utilisation. The total amount borrowed will show how much debt is owed, and the new policies will show how much money must be made to pay off the obligation. The value of new policies issued to date is 4688.79 lakhs, while the insurance business has borrowed 17490 lakhs thus far. The most loans were obtained in 2008–2009, and the most insurance was purchased in 2009–2010. Borrowing has declined during 2009–2010, a sign that the insolvency of insurance businesses has improved. It suggests that they have the resources necessary to cover policyholder claims. In 2010–2011, the rate of new policies likewise declined as borrowing did.

Operating costs are those that are incurred during regular business operations. Low operational costs will be a sign that management is doing a good job and that the company is more competitive than its rivals. Public companies have higher operational expenses than their private counterparts in the life and non-life insurance industries. Public life insurers' operating expenses in 2009–2010 were lower than those of private life insurers. The non-life insurance sector, however, saw the opposite. Due to IRDA compliance requirements for upholding statutory business management criteria, operating costs in the public sector are higher.

III. CONCLUSION

There is no doubt that India's insurance sectors have seen major changes as a result of opening the market and insurance industry to private and foreign firms. It has contested LIC and GIC's hegemony in the non-life insurance market. Similar to that, but not as expected, these two government behemoths' market share has shrunk. The current state of these businesses' market share is essentially unchallenged thanks to the global financial crisis, which has reversed the development. Although the sector is improving in terms of professionalism, technology, product diversity, and operational efficiency thanks to private players, it has not yet reached the level of the rest of the world. India has a higher percentage of life insurance than non-life insurance, in contrast to developed and other rising nations, which needs to be reversed to put it on pace with its Asian rivals, let alone its Western competitors. Additionally, LIC and GIC's dominance has not yet been seriously challenged by the professionalism and customer-focused strategy of private players. People in India still place more trust in publicly traded corporations than in privately held ones. This is a result of the existing private players' disproportionate emphasis on profit and after-sales service. They must concentrate on their position and duty to society in order to have their own space and make coexistence a reality. Their business must serve the public interest, not the other way around, and they must earn back the people's trust. They must also alter their mindset and the way they run their company. Although we have made progress, there is still LIC's dominance in the life insurance sector and private companies in the non-life segment, according to the overall analytical data and examination of prior research. Despite our expansion and business potential, we still lack health insurance experts. Instead of half-baked and soulless fire-fighting strategies, the time is now for structural solutions that support the market and serve society. In order to fully utilise insurance, which may offer a social safety net for the populace and national liquidity, the problem of under and inadequate insurance must be handled on a war footing. Life insurance will only continue to thrive if its products provide pure protection, a wide range of options, are easy to understand, are customer-centric, and always work to enhance their services. Innovative distribution methods are needed to improve market penetration in rural areas and deepen penetration into current markets because the flow of cash into insurance is connected with family savings. This study looked at performance in relation to parameters important to the insurance sector. In order to compare the current situation of public and private insurance businesses in India, this research constructed a comparative framework. The public sector outperforms the commercial sector in both life and non-life insurance. It can be as a result of their dominance in the industry and the dependability of their clients. Because it aids decision-makers in determining the types of insurance companies that are profitable or unsuccessful in the market, this study has practical consequences. The results of this study will help policymakers make the required adjustments to the existing policy and legislation that could speed up the sector. Three steps can be taken to further this effort. This project's first growth will be sector-specific. Private and public insurance businesses in India can be contrasted in terms of their offerings for life insurance and non-life insurance. Asian countries could experience a similar extension. Finally, new comparison criteria that may not be included in this study can be the subject of future research.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Koeniger, W.; Bertola, G. (2008). Evidence comparing public and private insurance, along with a model
- [2]. Working paper, CEPR, University of London and Università di Torino, pp. 1–30.
- [3]. Bhat, R. (1993). the ratio of private to public healthcare in India. 8 (1), 43–56. Health policy and planning.
- [4]. Joshi, R., Soni, V., & Bapat, H. B. (2014). The IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), 16 (4), 32–41, "A Study of Product Quality of Selected Public and Private Sector Life Insurance Companies."
- [5]. Bhat, R. (2005). Vikalpa, 30 (3), 94–96. Insurance Industry in India: Structure, Performance, and Future Challenges.
- [6]. Chend, M. Indian Life Insurance Industry: Private Players' Role, International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services & Management Research, 3(6), 170-183 (2014).
- [7]. S. Chaudhary, P. Kiran, and others (2011). Current Situation of the Life Insurance Industry in India.
- [8]. 146–150 are included in International Journal of Management & Business Studies, 1(3).
- [9]. The Key Performance Indicators for Insurance Companies, D. Cross.<http://smallbusiness.chron.com/key-performance-indicators-insurance-companies-71236.html>