

Management of Employee Participation and Engagement in Addition to Performance Analysis

Kumaraswamy B¹ and Dr. Naresh Kumar Gupta²

Research Scholar, Department of Commerce and Management¹

Research Guide, Department of Commerce and Management²

Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: *Managing performance Employee involvement Managing talent Staff work conditions Modern companies are relying more on performance management tools to improve work performance. Implementing a performance management system that encourages employee participation may be the best way to increase performance. To do this, we use a novel performance management strategy that analyzes employee engagement and its major factors at every level. Our engagement management methodology combines the article's core themes with an alternate viewpoint on employee engagement creation and administration to improve job performance.*

Keywords: performance analysis, performance management.

I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate performance requires performance management (Cardy, 2004). As the main route to accomplish work, it is the "Achilles Heel" of managing human capital (Pulakos, 2009), hence managers should prioritize it (Lawler, 2008). Employee satisfaction surveys rank performance management as one of the least important topics, and less than one-third of employees think their employer's system benefits them (Pulakos, 2009).

Due to present difficulties, many firms are focused on performance management systems and ways to boost employee performance (Buchner, 2007). This study suggests that making employee engagement a performance driver enhances performance management. We study performance management components that may boost employee engagement and provide a solution. Performance management research informs our engagement management.

A broad conceptualization of performance management

Performance management includes organizational rules, processes, and design that impact employee performance, but Cardy (2004) argues performance assessment is vital. Configurational strategic HRM thinks HR activity patterns, not procedures, may achieve organisational goals (Delery & Doty, 1996). Performance management may include HR, says Armstrong (2000). HR architecture is improved by "bundled" HR services, according to research (Pfeffer, 1998). Performance management only works when all system components collaborate, state Verweire and Van Den Berghe (2004). HR alignment helps positive cultures accomplish objectives (MacDuffie, 1995).

Task and contextual performance management may have unintended implications (Borman & Motowildo, 1993). Faster pre-performance cognitive, affective, and conative impacts. Internal motivation affects developmental goal-setting, feedback, and self-reported achievement, writes Kuvaas (2007). Kinicki, Prussia, Wu, and McKee-Ryan (2004) discovered cognitive skills affected worker performance and stimulus reactivity. Emotional commitment affected feedback and contextual performance, or organizational civic behavior, according to Norris-Watts and Levy (2004). Performance management requires intermediate outcomes before improvement. Performance management promotes hard work and goal-setting, say VerWeire and Van Den Berghe (2004). Managing performance demands tighter results. Success increasingly depends on employee involvement (Macey, Schneider, Barbera, & Young, 2009). Mone and London (2010) suggest performance management process design improves employee engagement and performance. We believe making employee engagement the key aim and consequence of work performance would improve performance management.

According to Macey & Schneider (2008), work satisfaction and organizational commitment may lead to new staff participation (Macey et al., 2009). Process-related engagement has improved in performance management literature but not shown (Sparrow, 2008). Positive psychology is used by several organizational academics to explain occurrences (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; Lopez & Snyder, 2009 Positive organizational behavior and scholarship (Luthans, 2002a,b; Cameron & Caza, 2004; Cameron, Dutton, & Quinn, 2003). Linley, Joseph, Maltby, Harrington, and Wood (2009) think employee engagement works. Thus, organizational science has shaped employee engagement performance management literature.

Performance management and employee involvement may improve performance more than performance alone. Standard performance evaluation is suggested by Banks and May (1999) for stable occupations with set schedules. Job security has diminished (Singh, 2008). Modern work concepts and performance goals vary (Fletcher & Perry, 2001). Complex and dynamic performance is emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1998) and task vs. contextual performance (Borman & Motowildo, 1993) (Fletcher and Perry, 2001). Adding initiative, inventiveness, and flexibility is simple. Beliefs affect behavior (Macey et al., 2009).

Employee engagement

Over the last five years, consulting firms and the public have focused on employee engagement. A key driver of an organization's performance and competitive advantage. Schaufeli and Salanova (2007) say contemporary organizations' multiple obstacles make employee involvement "essential" (p. 156). Many authors believe engagement is crucial to corporate success, productivity, retention, financial performance, and individual attitudes, behavior, and performance. In actuality, Macey et al. (2009) found that the top 25% of 65 firms across multiple sectors had better profitability, ROA, and shareholder value than the bottom 25%. Employee disengagement is rising while worker participation is falling (Bates, 2004; Richman, 2006). Nearly half of American workers are disengaged, generating the \$300 billion "engagement gap," which reduces productivity.

Given the importance of employee engagement to organizations and rising disengagement, engagement promotion is vital. Management should enhance involvement since disengagement, or alienation, affects employees' lack of commitment and desire (May, Gilson, and Harter, 2004) (p.13).

Enhancing employee engagement

Several methods for increasing employee engagement are available in literature. Kahn (1990) discovered that psychological meaningfulness, safety, and availability precede personal involvement in ethnography.

"Psychological meaningfulness" is role-playing value. Motivating involvement and obtaining anything from "self-in-role." Feeling important and respected may provide someone psychological significance. Kahn (1990) discovered task, job, and work linkages influenced meaningfulness.

A person's psychological safety is their ability to take a stand without fear of status, reputation, or career implications. It is connected to secure, predictable social environments where people feel safe expressing themselves and where right behavior is established. Group and intergroup dynamics, norms, management style, and interpersonal interactions influenced psychological safety, according to Kahn (1990).

Integrating performance management and employee engagement

Performance agreement

Engagement management begins with performance agreement. Setting objectives and assessing and agreeing on the psychological contract are the main duties now..

Goal setting

Setting goals boosts employee enthusiasm, attention, and involvement. According to Macey et al. (2009), "the feeling of engagement cannot occur without a specific purpose or objective" (p. 20). They think engagement demands business-personal fit. This consciously ties employee participation with business aims. Employees must now understand company aims.

Performance management literature states agreements address employee goals. Pulakos (2009) proposes development, but job objectives should mirror corporate goals. Goal-setting should include employees' interests, objectives, and

aspirations to enhance participation. Armstrong (2000) thinks objectives should help companies succeed and workers reach their goals. Represent employees' values to inspire, not achieve. According to self-concordance model research (Sheldon & Elliot, 1999) (Xanthopoulou, Bakker, Heuven, Demerouti, & Schaufeli, 2008), goals that fit values and interests boost engagement and well-being. This involves self-ownership and integration, assert Sheldon and Elliot (1999). Goals stop it. Identity-incompatible goals promote neither enjoyment nor engagement (Sheldon & Kasser, 1998). But personal goals count. Hyvönen, Feldt, Salmela-Aro, Kinnunen, and Mäkikangas (2009) related career stage-matched personal objectives and professional involvement among Finnish managers.

Performance and engagement appraisal and feedback

Performance management starts with employee assessment. This method should evaluate work performance and employee engagement traits including tenacity, initiative, role expansion, and flexibility. Performance feedback is top importance. Fairness and trust boost involvement.

Trust and justice during performance appraisals

Dobbins, Cardy, Fecteau, & Miller (1993) identified inadequate performance evaluations. Unfair, unnecessary choices annoy employees. Performance and engagement need faith in leadership and organization. Without boss-subordinate trust, performance dialogues fail (Pulakos, Mueller-Hanson, & O'Leary, 2008). Macey and Schneider (2008) say participation needs trust because employees want value for their time, energy, and resources. Macey et al. (2009) propose fairness and trust for employee engagement.

Kahn (1990) says "predictable, consistent, clear, and nonthreatening" surroundings boost confidence (p. 708). Continuous, non-hypocritical, helpful management builds confidence. Fair, predictable management fosters trust.

Fair performance management is essential, argue Latham et al. (2005). Fairness in distributive, procedural, interactional, and voice justice. Distributive, procedural, and interactional fairness affect task and context performance (Cropanzano, Bowen, & Gilliland, 2007). Finally, voice may involve performance management and employees' understanding their opinions count (Latham et al., 2005). Performance management enhanced fairness, satisfaction, and performance (Cawley, Keeping, and Levy, 1998). Postsession justice options boosted employee voice during performance appraisals, according to Elicker, Levy, and Hall (2006).

Engagement appraisal

Performance reviews and engagement reviews allow managers and employees evaluate behavioral engagement and employee development agreement compliance. Schaufeli and Salanova (2008) advise EDA budgeting and review.

Managers should teach role expansion, proactivity, tenacity, and flexibility via performance and engagement assessments. Possible EDA engagement behavior objectives. Managers reward participation. Kahn (1992) believes meaningfulness needs incentives and official and informal reward systems must foster psychological engagement.

II. CONCLUSION

These ideas, particularly engagement management, require empirical investigation. This article shows how each problem promotes engagement and performance, which may help organizations improve performance management. Further study would show which components perform best for certain employees and settings. Work happiness, organizational commitment, and performance management system satisfaction might benefit from these notions. We'll evaluate our method, worker engagement, and output. We believe employee involvement in performance management offers organizations the best competitive advantage.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Armstrong, M. (2000). Performance management: Key strategies and practical guidelines. London, UK: Kogan Page Limited.
- [2]. Ashford, S. J., & Black, J. S. (1996). Proactivity during organizational entry: The role of desire for control. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81(2), 199–214. Atwater, L. E., Brett, J. F., & Cherise-Charles, A. (2007). Multisource feedback: Lessons learned and implications for practice. *Human Resource Management*, 46, 285–307.
- [3].

- [4]. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands–resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22, 309–328. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13, 209–223.
- [5]. Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Verbeke, W. (2004). Using the job demands–resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management*, 43, 83–104.
- [6]. Bakker, A. B., Hakanen, J. J., Demerouti, E., & Xanthopoulou, D. (2007). Job resources boost work engagement, particularly when job demands are high. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99, 274–284.
- [7]. Banks, C. G., & May, K. E. (1999). Performance management: the real glue in organizations. In A. I. Kraut & A. K. Korman (Eds.), *Evolving practices in human resource management* (pp. 118–145). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- [8]. Baron, R. (1988). Negative effects of destructive criticism: impact on conflict, self-efficacy, and task performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 199–207. Bass, B. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. New York: Free Press.
- [9]. Bateman, T. S., & Crant, J. M. (1993). The proactive component of organizational behavior: A measure and correlates. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 14, 103–118.
- [10]. Bates, S. (2004, February). Getting engaged. *HR Magazine*, 49(2), 44–51.
- [11]. Baumruk, R. (2004). The missing link: The role of employee engagement in business success. *Workspan*, 47, 48–52.
- [12]. Bono, J. E., & Judge, T. A. (2003). Self-concordance at work: Toward understanding the motivational effects of transformational leaders. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46, 554–571.
- [13]. Borman, W. C., & Motowildo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt & W. C. Borman (Eds.),
- [14]. *Personnel selection in organizations* (pp. 71–98). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- [15]. Buchner, T. W. (2007). Performance management theory: A look from the performer's perspective with implications for HRD. *Human Resource Development International*, 10, 59–73.
- [16]. Cameron, K. S., & Caza, A. (2004). Contribution to the discipline of positive organizational scholarship. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 47, 731–739. Cameron, K. S., Dutton, J. E., & Quinn, R. E. (2003). *Positive organizational scholarship*. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers Inc.
- [17]. Cardy, R. L. (2004). *Performance management: Concepts, skills, and exercises*. Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe.
- [18]. Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., Miller, C. J., & Fulford, D. (2009). Optimism. In S. J. Lopez & C. R. Snyder (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 303–311).
- [19]. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [20]. Cawley, B. D., Keeping, L. M., & Levy, P. E. (1998). Participation in the performance appraisal process and employee reactions: A meta-analytic review of field investigations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 615–633.
- [21]. Cropanzano, R., Bowen, D. E., & Gilliland, S. W. (2007). The management of organizational justice. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 21, 34–48. Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31, 874–900.
- [22]. Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. New York: Harper & Row.
- [23]. Dalal, R. S., Brummel, B. J., Wee, S., & Thomas, L. L. (2008). Defining employee engagement for productive research and practice. *Industrial and organizational psychology*, 1, 52–55.
- [24]. Das, H. (2003). *Performance management*. Toronto, Ontario: Prentice Hall.
- [25]. Delery, J. E., & Doty, D. H. (1996). Modes of theorizing in strategic human resources management: Test of universalistic, contingency, and configurational performance predictions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39, 802–835.
- [26]. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands–resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 499–512.

- [27]. Demerouti, E., & Cropanzano, R. (2010). From thought to action: Employee work engagement and job performance. In A. B. Bakker & M. P. Leiter (Eds.), *Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research* (pp. 147–163). Hove, East Sussex: Psychology Press.
- [28]. Dobbins, G. H., Cardy, R. L., Fecteau, J. D., & Miller, J. M. (1993). Implications of situational constraints on performance evaluation and performance management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 3, 105–128.
- [29]. Elicker, J. D., Levy, P. E., & Hall, R. J. (2006). The role of leader–member exchange in the performance appraisal process. *Journal of Management*, 32, 531–551.
- [30]. Fletcher, C., & Perry, E. L. (2001). Performance appraisal and feedback: A consideration of national culture and a review of contemporary research and future trends.