

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

# **Extension of Some Polynomial Inequalities to the Polar Derivative and the Generalized Polar Derivative**

Adeeb T. Al-Saeedi<sup>1</sup>, Dhekra M. Algawi<sup>2</sup> and Alaa A. Abdallah<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aden University, Faculty of Education, Aden, Yemen. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India saeediadeeb@gmail.com, dmmalgawi24680@gmail.com, maths.aab@bamu.ac.in.

Abstract: In this paper certain polynomial inequalities for the polar derivative and the generalized polar derivative with restricted zeros are given, which generalize and refine some well-known polynomial inequalities due to Lax, Turán, AlSaeedi, Rather, Ali, Shafi, and Dar and others.

**Keywords:** Inequalities, restricted zeros, polynomials, polar derivative, generalized polar derivative.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n*, then it is well known Bernstein's inequality [6], on the derivative of a polynomial, we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le n \, \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \, . \, (1.1)$$

This result is best possible and equality holding for a polynomial that has all zeros at the origin.

If the polynomial P(z) of degree *n* not vanishing in |z| < 1, then Erdös [8] conjectured and Lax [13] proved that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . (1.2)$$

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials which have all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then it was proved by Turán [20], that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . (1.3)$$

The inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) are also best possible and become equality for polynomials which have all its zeros on |z| = 1.

Let  $D_{\alpha}P(z)$  denote the polar derivative of a polynomial of degree *n* with respect to a complex number  $\alpha$ , then

$$D_{\alpha}P(z) = n P(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)$$
,(see [15])

The polynomial  $D_{\alpha}P(z)$  is of degree at most (n-1), and it generalizes the ordinary P(z) of P(z) in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left[\frac{D_{\alpha}P(z)}{\alpha}\right] = P'(z),$$

uniformly with respect z for  $|z| \le R$ , R > 0.

For each positive integer n, let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree n over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex number,  $\partial P_n$  denote the collection of all monic polynomials in  $P_n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  be the set of all  $n - \text{tuples } \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$  of non - negative real numbers (not all zeros) with

$$_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n = \Lambda$$
.

Let  $D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)$  denote the generalized polar derivative of the polynomial P(z) as  $D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) = \Lambda P(z) + (\alpha - z)P^{\gamma}(z)$ , where  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i$ , for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , (see [18]). Noting that for  $\gamma = (1, 1, 1, ..., 1), D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) = D_{\alpha}P(z).$ 

Zygmund [21] extended Bernstein's inequality (1.1) to  $L^{P}$  norm as

$$\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq n \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q}, (1.4)$$

for any polynomial P(z) of degree *n* and for any  $q \ge 1$ .

Malik [14] obtained the  $L^{P}$  extension of (1.3) due to Turán [20] by proving that, if P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for any q > 0,

Copyright to IJARSCT

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653

www.ijarsct.co.in





# IJARSCT Impact Factor: 7.301

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

IJARSCT

$$n\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| . (1.5)$$

In this paper we will extend and generalize of inequalities (1.2), (1.3) and (1.5) to the class of polar derivative and generalized polar derivative of polynomials.

#### **II. LEMMAS**

In this section, we introduce somelemmas that we need to prove the following theorems .The first Lemma is due to Govil and Rahman [10].

Lemma 2.1. If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n*, then on |z| = 1,  $|P'(z)| + |q'(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ , where  $q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ . (2.1)

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. (2.2)$$

This lemma is due to [1]. The following Lemma is due to [16].

Lemma 2.3. If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree n, then for  $R \ge 1$  $\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \le R^{n} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . (2.3)$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_v z^v$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ , k > 0, then

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \ge R^s \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \text{, for } k > 1 \text{ and } k < R < k^2(2.4)$$

where *s* is the order of possible zeros of P(z) at z = 0. This Lemma is due to Jain [12]. The following Lemma is due to Rahman and Schmeisser [17] (see also [11]).

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* which dose not vanish in |z| < 1, then for every  $R \ge 1$  and q > 0, we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(R \ e^{i\theta})|^{q} \ d\theta \le \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + R^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} \ d\theta\right\}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} \ d\theta\right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} \ d\theta \ . (2.5)$$

Next Lemma is a special case of a result due to Aziz and Rather [4, 5] .

**Lemma 2.6**. If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$  and  $(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ , then for |z| = 1,  $|q'(z)| \le |P'(z)|$ .

Lemma 2.7. If 
$$P(z)$$
 is a polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ , then for every  $q > 0$  and  $\gamma$  real 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} P'(e^{i\theta})|^q \ d\theta \ d\gamma \le 2\pi \ n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^q \ d\theta \ .$$
(2.6)

The above lemma proved by [5]. The next lemma proved by [9].

**Lemma 2.8.** If pand q are arbitrary positive real numbers such that  $q \ge px$ , where  $x \ge 1$ , and if  $\gamma$  is any real number such that  $0 \le \gamma < 2\pi$ , then

$$\frac{q+py}{x+y} \le \left| \frac{q+pe^{i\gamma}}{x+e^{i\gamma}} \right| \text{, for each } y \ge 1. (2.7)$$
DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

The following Lemmas is due to Rather el at. [18].

**Lemma 2.9.** If 
$$P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$
 is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , then for  $|z| = 1$   
 $|Q^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)| \text{and} |P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|$ , where  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* which dose not vanish in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then

 $|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k |P^{\gamma}(z)|$ , for |z| = 1, where  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/z)}$ . (2.8)

The following Lemma is due to [2].

**Lemma 2.11.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k, k \ge 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^n$  and on |z| = 1

 $|D_{\alpha} P(z)| \ge (|\alpha| - k^n) |P'(z)|$  (2.9)

#### **III. MAIN RESULTS**

In this section, some theorems have been established and proved.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^{\mu}$ 

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}}\right) \left(|\alpha|-k^{\mu}\right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . (3.1)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/z)}$ , then |Q'(z)| = |n P(z) - z P'(z)| on |z| = 1, we have for |z| = 1 $|D_{\alpha}P(z)| = |n P(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)| = |\alpha P'(z) + n P(z) - zP'(z)| \ge |\alpha P'(z)| - |Q'(z)|$ 

Using Lemma 2.1 in above, we get

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge |\alpha P'(z)| + |P'(z)| - n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| (3.2)$$

Since P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . From inequality (2.2), we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \quad (3.3)$$

Now, using (3.3) in (3.2), we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge n \left[ \frac{(|\alpha|+1)}{1+k^{\mu}} - 1 \right] \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

This implies

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}}\right) (|\alpha|-k^{\mu}) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem.

**Remark 3.1.** If we divide both sides of inequality (3.1) by  $|\alpha|$  and  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get inequality (2.2). It is worth to remark that by involving  $min_{|z|=k}|P(z)|$ , the generalized version of Theorem 3.1 can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^{\mu}$ 

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}}\right) \left[ (|\alpha|-k^{\mu}) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu}} (|\alpha|+1) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right]. (3.4)$$

**Proof.** Since P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . If P(z) has a zero on |z| = k, then m = 0 and result follows Theorem 3.1, we suppose that P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , so m > 0. From Rouche's Theorem  $P(z) - m\beta z^n$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k, k \ge 1$ , for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{k^n}$ . Now, applying Theorem 3.1 to the

polynomial  $P(z) - m \beta z^n$ Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

#### Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}\{P(z) - m \beta z^{n}\}| \geq \frac{n (|\alpha| - k^{\mu})}{(1 + k^{\mu})} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z) - m \beta z^{n}|$$
$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z) - \alpha n m \beta z^{n-1}| \geq \frac{n (|\alpha| - k^{\mu})}{(1 + k^{\mu})} \left[\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - m |\beta|\right]. (3.5)$$

Let  $z_0$  be a point on the unit circle such that  $\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| = |D_{\alpha}P(z_0)|$  in (3.1).

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z_0) - \alpha n m \beta z_0^{n-1}| \ge \frac{n (|\alpha| - k^{\mu})}{(1 + k^{\mu})} [\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - m |\beta|]. (3.6)$$

We choose the argument of  $\beta$  in (3.6) such that

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z_{0}) - n \alpha m \beta z_{0}^{n-1}| = |D_{\alpha}P(z_{0})| - n |\alpha|m|\beta|.$$
  
$$\max_{|z|=1}|D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \frac{n (|\alpha| - k^{\mu})}{(1+k^{\mu})} \max_{|z|=1}|P(z)| + n m |\beta| \left\{ |\alpha| - \frac{(|\alpha| - k^{\mu})}{1+k^{\mu}} \right\}. (3.7)$$

Letting  $|\beta| \rightarrow \frac{1}{k^n}$  in inequality (3.7), we obtain

$$\max_{\substack{|z|=1\\ z|=1}} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}}\right) \left[ (|\alpha|-k^{\mu}) \max_{\substack{|z|=1\\ z|=1}} |P(z)| + \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu}} (|\alpha|+1) \min_{\substack{|z|=k\\ z|=k}} |P(z)| \right].$$

Dividing both sides of inequality (3.4) by  $|\alpha|$  and taking  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}}\right) \left[\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu}} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|\right]. (3.8)$$

If we take  $\mu = 1$  in inequality (3.8), we get

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n*having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k, k \ge 1$ , then  $\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \left(\frac{n}{1+k}\right) \left[\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|\right]. (3.9)$ 

**Remark 3.2.** For k = 1 in inequality (3.9), we get

 $\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} [\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|],$ which is result due to [3]. We present integral inequalities concerning polynomial.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* has no zeros in |z| < 1, then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 1$ 

$$\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| D_{\alpha} P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + n \, m \, \Big|^{q} \, d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq n \, (1 + |\alpha|) C_{q} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + m \, \Big|^{q} \, d\theta \right]^{1/q} \,, (3.10) \right]^{1/q} = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| 1 + e^{i\gamma} \right|^{q} \, d\gamma \right]^{-1/q} \,.$$

where  $C_q = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^q d\gamma\right]^{-1/2}$ 

**Proof.** Since P(z) has no zeros in |z| < 1. Supposing  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ ,  $P(z) = z^n \overline{Q(1/\overline{z})}$ . Form Rouche's theorem the polynomial  $F(z) = P(z) + \lambda m$  has no zeros in |z| < 1.

If  $G(z) = z^n \overline{F(1/\overline{z})} = Q(z) + \overline{\lambda} m z^n$ , then apply Lemma 2.6, we have  $|F'(z)| \le |G'(z)|$ , for |z| = 1

that is,

$$|Q'(e^{i\theta})| \le |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + \bar{\lambda} n m e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \le |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda} n m|, \text{ for } |z| = 1 (3.11)$$

 $|P'(e^{i\theta})|$ for each  $\theta$  ( $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ ),

$$n P(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} P'(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i(n-1)\theta} \overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})},$$

as well as for polar derivative

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

$$D_{\alpha}P(e^{i\theta}) = n P(e^{i\theta}) + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})P'(e^{i\theta}),$$
  
DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

#### Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using these equalities, we obtain

$$D_{\alpha}P(e^{i\theta})| \le |nP(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta}P'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha||P'(e^{i\theta})| = |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha||P'(e^{i\theta})| . (3.12)$$
Using Lemma 2.8, we obtain  
take  $p = |P'(e^{i\theta})|, q = |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda}nm|, x = 1$  and  $y = |\alpha| \ge 1$  if  

$$[(|Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda}nm|) + |\alpha||P'(e^{i\theta})|](|1 + e^{i\gamma}|) \le (1 + |\alpha|) [||Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda}nm| + e^{i\gamma}|P'(e^{i\theta})||]$$

$$[|Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha||P'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda}nm|](|1 + e^{i\gamma}|) \le (1 + |\alpha|) [||Q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\gamma}|P'(e^{i\theta})| + |\bar{\lambda}nm||] (3.13)$$
Since for each  $q \ge 1$  and arbitrary  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  the equality  

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(\alpha + \alpha + \alpha)} e^{i(\alpha + \alpha + \alpha)} e^{i(\alpha + \alpha)} e^{i($$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |a + e^{i\gamma} b|^{q} d\gamma = \int_{0}^{2\pi} ||a| + e^{i\gamma} |b||^{q} d\gamma$$

, using (3.12) in (3.13) and letting  $\lambda \to 1$ , we get for each  $q \ge 1$  holds  $\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^{q} d\gamma \int_{0}^{2\pi} ||D_{\alpha}P(e^{i\theta})| + n m|^{q} d\theta \le (1 + |\alpha|)^{q}$ 

$$\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|Q'(e^{i\theta})+e^{i\gamma}P'(e^{i\theta})|^{q}\,d\gamma\,d\theta+\int_{0}^{2\pi}|n\,m|^{q}\,d\theta\,\right].(3.14)$$

Using Lemma 2.7 in (3.14), we get

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^{q} d\gamma \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| D_{\alpha} P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + n m \right|^{q} d\theta \le (1 + |\alpha|)^{q} n^{q} 2\pi \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + m \right|^{q} d\theta$$

For each  $q \ge 1$ ,

$$\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| D_{\alpha} P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + n m \right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq n \left(1 + |\alpha|\right) C_{q} \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + m \right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.3.

We use Holder's inequality for the polar derivative and the generalize polar derivative of polynomial having all zeros inside a disk.

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^n, q > 1, r > 1, s > 1$  with  $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$  and  $k < R < k^2$ 

$$n(|\alpha| - k^{n}) R^{s} \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq B_{q} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta\right\}^{1/qr} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}P(Re^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta\right\}^{1/qs}, (3.15)$$
  
where  $B_{q} = \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}^{1/q}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}^{1/q}}.$ 

**Proof.** The polynomial G(z) = P(kz) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$  and H(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ ,  $H(z) = z^n \overline{G(1/\overline{z})}$  will has all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ .

### $|H'(z)| \le |n H(z) - z H'(z)|$ , for |z| = 1. (3.16)

Also since G(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , by Gauss - Lucas theorem all the zeros of G'(z) also lie in  $|z| \le 1$ . This implies that the polynomial  $z^{n-1} \overline{G'(1/\overline{z})} \equiv n H(z) - z H'(z)$  dose not vanish in |z| < 1. Therefore, it follows from (3.16) that the function

Let 
$$w(z) = \frac{z H'(z)}{(n H(z) - z H'(z))}$$

is analytic for  $|z| \le 1$  and  $|w(z)| \le 1$  for |z| = 1. Furthermore, w(0) = 0. Thus the function 1 + w(z) is subordination to the function 1 + z. Hence by a well-known property of subordination [11], we have for each q > 0,

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653





#### International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

IJARSCT

#### Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + w(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta . (3.17)$$

Now,

$$(1+w(z)) = \frac{n H(z)}{(n H(z) - z H'(z))}.$$
 (3.18)

And

$$\left| G'(z) \right| = \left| z^{n-1} \overline{G'(1/\overline{z})} \right| = \left| n H(z) - z H'(z) \right|, \text{ for } |z| = 1. (3.19)$$

Therefore, by (3.18), for |z| = 1, we have

n |H(z)| = (|1 + w(z)|) (|n H(z) - z H'(z)|).

Which by employing (3.19), yields the following

n |H(z)| = (|1 + w(z)|) |G'(z)|, for |z| = 1.(3.20)

From (3.17) and (3.20), we deduce, for q > 0, that  $n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} (|1 + e^{i\theta}|^q |G'(e^{i\theta})|^q) d\theta$ , for |z| = 1. This gives with the help of Holder's inequality for r > 1, s > 1 with  $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ 

$$n^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta \right\}^{1/r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |G'(e^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta \right\}^{1/s} (3.21)$$

Since H(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* which dose not vanish in |z| < 1, using Lemma 2.5 with  $R = k \ge 1$  to H(z), we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(k e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta$$

From the fact that  $|H(k e^{i\theta})| = k^n |P(e^{i\theta})|$  it follows that

$$n^{q}k^{nq}\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}} n^{q}\int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta , k \geq 1$$
(3.22)

Using inequality (3.21) in inequality (3.22), we get

$$n^{q}k^{nq}\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q}d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q}d\theta\right\}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{q}d\theta\right\}} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{qr}d\theta\right\}^{1/r} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |G'(e^{i\theta})|^{qs}d\theta\right\}^{1/s} (3.23)$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

 $\max_{\substack{|z|=R\geq 1}} |P(z)| \le R^n \max_{\substack{|z|=1}} |P(z)|$ Since G(z) = P(kz), then G'(z) = k P'(kz) which is of degree (n-1), we get  $\max_{\substack{|z|=1}} |G'(z)| = k \max_{\substack{|z|=k}} |P'(z)|.$ 

Which on using inequality (2.3) implies

$$\max_{|z|=1} |G'(z)| \le k^n \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| . (3.24)$$

Now of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \le \frac{1}{R^s} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) \max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| , (3.25)$$

where s is the order of possible zeros of P(z) at z = 0. On applying (3.25) to the polynomial P'(z), we obtain

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{1}{R^s} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) \max_{|z|=R} |P'(z)| \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore, now using (3.26) in (3.24), we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |G'(z)| \le \frac{k^n}{R^s} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) \max_{|z|=R} |P'(z)| \quad (3.27)$$

Appling Lemma 2.11 in (3.27), we get

Copyright to IJARSCT

www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653





#### International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

#### Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

$$|G'(z)| \le \frac{k^n}{R^s(|\alpha| - k^n)} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) |D_{\alpha} P(Rz)| , \text{ for } |z| = 1 \ (3.28)$$

Using (3.28) in (3.23), we get

$$n^{q}k^{nq} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}}{\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right\}} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+e^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta\right\}^{1/r} \left(\frac{k^{n}}{R^{s}(|\alpha|-k^{n})}\right)^{q} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right)^{q} \left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}P(Re^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta\right\}^{1/s}.$$

Which is equivalent to (3.15) for q > 1. This proves Theorem .

**Theorem 3.5.**If  $P(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree *n* having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \le 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$ , q > 0 and r > 1, s > 1 with  $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ 

$$\Lambda(|\alpha|-k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+ke^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta \right)^{1/qr} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](e^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta \right)^{1/qs} (3.29)$$

**Proof.** Since P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, from Lemma 2.8, we have

$$|Q^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)| \text{and} |P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|, \text{ for } |z| = 1 (3.30)$$

From Lemma 2.9, we have

$$|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k |P^{\gamma}(z)|$$
, for  $|z| = 1$  (3.31)

Using (3.30) in (3.31), we get for |z| = 1,

 $|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k (|\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|) (3.32)$ 

From (3.30) and (3.31) for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$\left| D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) \right| \ge |\alpha| |P^{\gamma}(z)| - (|\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)|) = |\alpha| |P^{\gamma}(z)| - |Q^{\gamma}(z)| \ge (|\alpha| - k) |P^{\gamma}(z)| (3.33)$$

Since P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ , it follows from Rather el at. [19], we have Every convex set containing all the zeros of P(z) also contains the zeros of  $P^{\gamma}(z)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Therefore, from (3.30) that the function

$$w(z) = \frac{z Q^{\gamma}(z)}{k \left( \Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z) \right)}$$

is analytic for  $|z| \le 1$  and  $|w(z)| \le 1$  for |z| = 1. Furthermore, w(0) = 0. Thus the function 1 + k w(z) is subordination to the function 1 + k z. Hence by a well-known property of subordination [11], we have for each q > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + k \, w(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} \, d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + k e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} \, d\theta \, (3.34)$$

Now

$$(1 + k w(z)) = \frac{\Lambda Q(z)}{(\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z))}$$

And  $|P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|$ , for |z| = 1therefore for |z| = 1,  $\Lambda |Q(z)| = (|1 + k w(z)|)(|\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|) = (|1 + k w(z)|)|P^{\gamma}(z)|$ Equivalent,

 $\Lambda \left| z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})} \right| = (|1 + k w(z)|) |P^{\gamma}(z)|.$  This implies

$$\Lambda |P(z)| = (|1 + k w(z)|) |P^{\gamma}(z)|, \text{ for } |z| = 1. (3.35)$$

From (3.33) and (3.35), we deduce that for q > 0,

$$\Lambda^{q}(|\alpha|-k)^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+kw(e^{i\theta})|^{q} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](e^{i\theta})|^{q}\right) d\theta$$
the help of Helder's inequality and (2.24) for  $n \geq 1, n \geq 1$  with

This gives with the help of Holder's inequality and (3.34) for r > 1, s > 1 with  $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ ,

$$-k)^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k e^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](e^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta\right)^{1/s},$$

equivalent , Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

 $\Lambda^q(|\alpha|$ 

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653





#### International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

IJARSCT

#### Volume 3, Issue 5, June 2023

$$\Lambda(|\alpha|-k)\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k|e^{i\theta}|^{qr} d\theta\right)^{1/qr} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](e^{i\theta})|^{qs} d\theta\right)^{1/qs}$$

Which proves the desired result.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

We established some Turan-type derivatives inequalties whereas Zygmund-type and Malik-type integral inequalities for the polar derivative and generalize polar derivative of polynomials that are vanishing in a disk or not vanishing in disk.The obtained results sharpen and improvement some already known estimates that relate to polar derivative and integral inequalities for the polar derivative and generalize polar derivative of polynomials.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. T. Al Saeedi. On Inequalities, Derivative and Maximum Modulus of Complex polynomial. D. Phil. Thesis, Dept. Math. J.N.V. University, Jodhpur (India), 2008.
- [2]. T. Al Saeedi and D. M. Algawi. Integral Inequalities for the Polar Derivative and the Generalized Polar Derivative of Complex Polynomials, Univ. Aden J. Nat and Appl. Sc. Vol. 27, No. 2, 2023.
- [3]. Aziz and Q. M. Dawood. Inequalities for a Polynomial and its derivative, J. Approx. Theory, 1988.
- [4]. Aziz and N. A. Rather. Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial with restricted zeros, Math. Balkanica 17, 15-28, 2003.
- [5]. Aziz and N. A. Rather. Some Zygmund type $L^p$  Inequalities for polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 289, 14 29, 2004.
- [6]. S. Bernstein.Leconssur les proprie'te'sextremales et la meilleure dune functions rella. Paris., 1926.
- [7]. R. P. Boas and Q. I. Rahman.L<sup>p</sup>Inequalities for polynomials and entire functions Arch. Ration. Mach. Anal. 11, 34-39, 1962.
- [8]. P. Erdös. On extremal properties of derivatives of polynomials, Ann. Math. 41, 310-313, 1940.
- [9]. N. K. Govil and P. Kumar. On L<sup>p</sup> Inequalities involing polar derivative of a polynomial. Acta. Math. Hung. 152, 130-139, 2017.
- [10]. N. K. Govil and Q. I. Rahman. Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half Plane and related polynomials. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 137, 501-517, 1969.
- [11]. E. Hille. Analytic Function Theory, Vol. II, Ginn and Company, New York, 1962.
- [12]. V. K. Jain. On polynomials having zeros in closed exterior or closed interior of a circle, Indain. J. Pure. Appl. Math. 30, 153-159, 1999.
- [13]. P. D. Lax.Proof of a conjunction of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Mathematics Soc. 50, 509–513, 1944.
- [14]. M. A. Malik. An integral mean estimate for polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91, 281-284, 1984.
- [15]. M. Marden. Geometry of Polynomial, Math. Survey No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, Rl, 1966.
- [16]. G. Polya and G. Szegö.Aufgaben and Lehrsatzeaus der Analysis, Vol.1, p.137, problem 269, New York 1945.
- [17]. Q. I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser. L<sup>p</sup> Inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 53, 26 32,1988.
- [18]. N. A. Rather, L. Ali, M. Shafi and I. Dar. Inequalities for the generalized polar derivative of a polynomial, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11 (3), 549-557, 2022.
- [19]. N.A. Rather, A. Iqbal and I. Dar. On the zeros of a class of generalized derivative, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser. 2020.
- [20]. P. Turán. Uberdie Ableitung Compositio Math. 7, 89 95, 1939.
- [21]. A. Zygmund. A remark on conjugate series, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 341, 392 400, 1932.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-11653

