IJARSCT

ISSN (Online) 2581-9429

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.301

Volume 3, Issue 14, May 2023

Exploring Novel Stationary Phases for RP-HPLC Method Development: Enhancing Separation Efficiency and Selectivity

Ajwita Satishrao Kale[1], Anil P. Dewani[2], A. V. Chandewar[3]
Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry
P. Wadhawani College of Pharmacy Yavatmal, India

Abstract: *Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) is a widely used technique for separation and analysis in various fields, from pharmaceuticals to environmental monitoring. The development of novel stationary phases has emerged as a pivotal strategy to enhance separation efficiency and selectivity, addressing the ever-increasing demand for improved analytical performance. This review article delves into the exploration of novel stationary phases for RP-HPLC method development and their impact on separation efficiency and selectivity. The utilization of innovative strategies, such as surface modification, nanostructures, and monolithic columns, has revolutionized stationary phase design. These approaches have led to remarkable improvements in chromatographic performance by tailoring the physicochemical properties of the stationary phases. Surface modification techniques enable the introduction of specific functional groups and surface chemistries, enhancing selectivity towards target analytes. Nanostructures, including superficially porous particles, provide increased surface area and optimized mass transfer, resulting in improved efficiency and resolution. Monolithic columns, with their interconnected porous structures, offer rapid separation and reduced backpressure.The impact of these novel stationary phases on separation efficiency and selectivity is profound. Enhanced efficiency is achieved through reduced analysis time, increased resolution, and improved peak shapes. Moreover, the selectivity of RP-HPLC methods can be fine-tuned by designing stationary phases with tailored characteristics, enabling precise separations of complex mixtures and challenging analytes. These advancements have opened new avenues for method development, allowing for the analysis of a wide range of compounds with higher sensitivity and accuracy.*

Keywords*:* Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), novel stationary phases, separation efficiency, selectivity, surface modification, nanostructures, monolithic columns, method development

I. INTRODUCTION

Stationary phases play a crucial role in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method development. These phases are responsible for the separation of analytes based on their hydrophobicity or polarity. The selection of an appropriate stationary phase is vital as it directly influences the chromatographic performance, selectivity, and resolution achieved in HPLC analyses.

The stationary phase in RP-HPLC consists of a nonpolar material, typically a hydrocarbon chain bonded to a silica support. The hydrophobic nature of the stationary phase allows it to retain and separate analytes based on their interaction with the mobile phase. Different stationary phases exhibit varying selectivity towards analytes, enabling the separation of a wide range of compounds.

The choice of stationary phase depends on several factors, including the physicochemical properties of the analytes, desired separation selectivity, and sample matrix. Stationary phases with different chain lengths, bonding chemistries, and modifications are available to accommodate various analytical requirements. For instance, C18 phases are commonly used and provide good general-purpose separations, while other phases such as C8, C4, phenyl, or cyano offer different selectivity profiles.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-10798
ISSN 2581-9429 IJARSCT

By systematically evaluating and optimizing the stationary phase, chromatographers can achieve efficient separation, improved resolution, and enhanced sensitivity in RP-HPLC analyses. This optimization process involves considering factors such as analyte retention, peak shape, column efficiency, and overall chromatographic performance.[1,2]

Significance of separation efficiency and selectivity in chromatographic analysis

In chromatographic analysis, separation efficiency and selectivity are two key parameters that significantly impact the quality and effectiveness of the separation process.

Separation efficiency refers to the ability of a chromatographic method to separate and resolve individual analyte peaks. It is a measure of how well the chromatographic system can separate closely eluting or overlapping peaks. Higher separation efficiency allows for better resolution, which means that adjacent peaks in the chromatogram are well-separated, leading to accurate quantification and identification of the analytes.

Several factors contribute to separation efficiency, including column packing material, column dimensions, mobile phase composition, flow rate, and temperature. An efficient separation typically results in narrow and symmetric peaks, reducing the potential for peak overlap and increasing the sensitivity of detection. This is particularly important when analyzing complex samples containing multiple analytes or when low analyte concentrations need to be detected.

Selectivity, on the other hand, refers to the ability of the chromatographic method to differentiate between analytes with different chemical or physical properties. It determines how well the analytes are resolved from each other during the separation process. A high selectivity allows for the separation of closely related compounds that have similar retention times or overlapping peaks.

Selectivity is influenced by various factors, including the stationary phase chemistry, mobile phase composition, pH, temperature, and column temperature. By manipulating these parameters, chromatographers can fine-tune the selectivity of the separation, enabling the resolution of target analytes from interfering substances.

The significance of separation efficiency and selectivity lies in their direct impact on the accuracy, sensitivity, and reliability of chromatographic analysis. Efficient separation ensures that analytes are well-resolved and quantified accurately, reducing the potential for false positives or false negatives. Selectivity enables the differentiation of target analytes from interfering substances, resulting in reliable and specific identification and quantification of analytes in complex samples.

Separation efficiency and selectivity are critical aspects of chromatographic analysis that determine the quality and reliability of the separation process. Optimizing these parameters allows for improved resolution, accurate quantification, and enhanced selectivity in chromatographic analyses. [3-7]

Overview of RP-HPLC Stationary Phases

Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) utilizes nonpolar stationary phases to separate analytes based on their hydrophobicity or polarity. Here is an overview of some commonly used RP-HPLC stationary phases:

- C18: C18 phases are the most widely used in RP-HPLC. They consist of an octadecyl (C18) hydrocarbon chain bonded to a silica support. C18 phases provide good general-purpose separations and are suitable for a wide range of analytes.
- C8: C8 phases contain an octyl (C8) hydrocarbon chain bonded to the silica support. They offer intermediate hydrophobicity compared to C18 phases and can be useful when a different selectivity or faster separation is required.
- C4: C4 phases have a butyl (C4) hydrocarbon chain bonded to the silica support. They are less hydrophobic than C18 and C8 phases, making them suitable for separating more polar compounds or for faster analysis times.
- Phenyl: Phenyl phases incorporate a phenyl group bonded to the silica support. They provide alternative selectivity compared to alkyl phases and can be particularly effective for aromatic compounds or analytes with π-electron interactions.

- Cyano: Cyano phases feature a cyano group bonded to the silica support. They offer additional polar interactions and can be advantageous for the separation of polar compounds or compounds with hydrogen-bonding capabilities.
- Diol: Diol phases contain a hydroxyl (OH) group bonded to the silica support. They exhibit strong hydrogen-bonding interactions and are suitable for separating polar compounds, sugars, and organic acids.
- Polar embedded phases: Polar embedded phases combine a nonpolar alkyl chain with an embedded polar group. These phases offer unique selectivity and can be effective for separating challenging analytes, such as peptides, proteins, or polar drugs.[8,9]

Table 1: Stationary phases available for RP-HPLC

Stationary Phase	Description	Application
C18	Octadecyl (C18) hydrocarbon chain bonded to a silica support	General-purpose separations
C8	Octyl (C8) hydrocarbon chain bonded to a silica support	Intermediate hydrophobicity, alternative selectivity
C4	Butyl (C4) hydrocarbon chain bonded to a silica support	Less hydrophobic, suitable for polar compounds
Phenyl	Phenyl group bonded to a silica support	Aromatic compounds, π-electron interactions
Cyano	Cyano group bonded to a silica support	Polar compounds, hydrogen-bonding capabilities
Diol	Hydroxyl (OH) group bonded to a silica support	Polar compounds, sugars, organic acids
Polar embedded	Nonpolar alkyl chain with an embedded polar group	Challenging analytes like peptides, proteins, drugs

Advantages, limitations, and typical applications

Table 2: Advantages, limitations, and typical applicationsof Stationary Phase

Stationary Phase	Advantages	Limitations	Typical Applications
Silica-based	Good mechanical stability, compatible with wide solvent range	Limited stability under highly aqueous conditions, phase collapse at extreme pH	General-purpose separations, pharmaceutical analysis
C18	Widely used, good general-purpose separations	Limited selectivity, tailing for basic compounds	Pharmaceutical analysis, natural products
C8	Intermediate hydrophobicity, different selectivity	Reduced retention for highly hydrophobic compounds	Drug discovery, pharmaceutical analysis, metabolomics
C4	Less hydrophobic, faster analysis times	Limited retention for highly hydrophobic analytes	Peptide analysis, small organic molecules
Phenyl	Alternative selectivity, effective for aromatic compounds	Increased tailing for basic compounds	Aromatic compounds, natural products
Cyano	Additional polar interactions, advantageous for polar compounds	Limited selectivity for some analytes, reduced stability at extreme pH	Polar compounds, drugs with polar functionalities
Diol	Strong hydrogen-bonding interactions, effective for polar compounds	Limited selectivity for non-polar analytes, reduced stability at high pH	Carbohydrate analysis, organic acid analysis

These traditional stationary phases offer a range of selectivity options for RP-HPLC separations. The choice of stationary phase depends on the analyte characteristics, separation requirements, and compatibility with the mobile phase conditions. It is important to consider the advantages, limitations, and typical applications when selecting the appropriate stationary phase for a specific RP-HPLC analysis.

Need for Novel Stationary Phases

While traditional stationary phases have been widely used in RP-HPLC, there is a continuous need for the development of novel stationary phases. Here are some reasons why novel stationary phases are important:

Enhanced Selectivity: Novel stationary phases can offer unique selectivity, allowing for improved separation of complex mixtures. By introducing innovative functional groups or modifying the surface chemistry, these phases can provide better resolution for closely related compounds and challenging analytes.

Expanded Application Range: Novel stationary phases can be designed specifically to target certain classes of compounds or challenging analytes. They can enable the analysis of new chemical entities, such as peptides, proteins, chiral compounds, or small polar molecules, which may not be adequately separated using traditional phases.

Improved Efficiency and Speed: Novel stationary phases can be engineered to provide higher efficiency and faster separations. By optimizing particle size, pore structure, and bonding chemistry, these phases can reduce analysis time and increase sample throughput without sacrificing separation performance.

Better Stability and Robustness: Stationary phases with improved stability and robustness are essential for demanding applications, such as high-temperature separations, harsh mobile phase conditions, or long-term usage. Novel phases can be designed to withstand extreme pH, high temperature, and various solvents while maintaining separation performance.

Specific Interactions: Innovative stationary phases can incorporate specialized ligands or functional groups that enable specific interactions with analytes. This opens up possibilities for targeted separations based on unique molecular interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, π-π interactions, metal coordination, or affinity interactions.

Novel Separation Mechanisms: New stationary phases can introduce alternative separation mechanisms beyond hydrophobicity, such as ion exchange, size exclusion, or affinity chromatography. These mechanisms provide additional tools for challenging separations and broaden the capabilities of RP-HPLC.

Compatibility with Modern Analytical Techniques: Advances in analytical techniques, such as mass spectrometry, require stationary phases that are compatible with these techniques. Novel phases can be designed to minimize analyte adsorption, improve peak shape, and enhance sensitivity in hyphenated systems.

The development of novel stationary phases in RP-HPLC is driven by the need to address analytical challenges, improve separation performance, and enable new applications. These advancements contribute to the advancement of various fields, including pharmaceuticals, environmental analysis, food and beverage, and many others.[10-15]

Limitations of traditional stationary phases in addressing complex separations

Traditional stationary phases in RP-HPLC have certain limitations when it comes to addressing complex separations. Here are some key limitations:

- Limited Selectivity: Traditional stationary phases, such as C18, C8, and C4, offer a relatively narrow range of selectivity. They primarily rely on hydrophobic interactions for separation, which may not be sufficient for complex mixtures with closely related compounds. These phases may struggle to resolve compounds with similar hydrophobicity or polar compounds that require additional interactions.
- Inadequate Separation of Polar Compounds: Traditional phases are generally less effective in separating highly polar compounds. They may exhibit limited retention and poor resolution for polar analytes due to their hydrophobic nature. This can be a challenge in applications where polar compounds are of interest, such as the analysis of small organic acids, sugars, or highly polar drugs.
- Limited Chiral Separations: Traditional stationary phases have limitations in enantioselective separations (chiral separations). While chiral columns exist, they often require derivatization or specific functional groups for chiral recognition. Developing new stationary phases with enhanced chiral selectivity is an ongoing area of research.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.301
Volume 3, Issue 14, May 2023

- Insufficient Stability under Extreme Conditions: Some traditional stationary phases may have limited stability under extreme pH conditions or at elevated temperatures. This can restrict their use in certain applications where harsh conditions are required or for long-term continuous operation.
- Lack of Specialized Functional Groups: Traditional phases may not have specialized functional groups or ligands to target specific analytes or classes of compounds. This can limit their ability to selectively separate complex mixtures containing compounds with specific functionalities or specific interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonding, metal coordination, or affinity interactions).
- Suboptimal Efficiency and Speed: Traditional phases may not provide the highest efficiency (plate count) or fastest separations due to limitations in particle size, pore structure, or bonding chemistry. This can impact the analysis time, resolution, and overall method performance, especially in high-throughput or time-sensitive applications.
- Incompatibility with Modern Analytical Techniques: Traditional phases may not be compatible with certain modern analytical techniques, such as mass spectrometry, due to issues such as analyte adsorption, peak broadening, or ion suppression effects. Compatibility with hyphenated systems is crucial for efficient and sensitive analyses.[16-21]

Overcoming these limitations requires the development of novel stationary phases that offer enhanced selectivity, improved separation performance, and compatibility with diverse analytes and conditions. Ongoing research and advancements in stationary phase design aim to address these challenges and provide solutions for complex separations.

Emerging challenges in various fields, such as pharmaceutical, environmental, and food analysis

Emerging challenges in various fields, including pharmaceutical, environmental, and food analysis, require continuous advancements in analytical techniques to address complex issues. Here are some of the key challenges in each field:

- Pharmaceutical Analysis: a. Drug Discovery: The discovery and development of new drugs require advanced analytical techniques to analyze complex mixtures, identify impurities, and characterize drug candidates accurately. b. Biopharmaceuticals: The analysis of biologics, such as proteins and monoclonal antibodies, presents challenges in terms of their structural characterization, post-translational modifications, and quality control. c. Pharmacokinetics and Bioavailability: Determining drug concentrations in biological matrices, such as blood or tissues, and assessing bioavailability and pharmacokinetics demand sensitive and selective analytical methods.
- Environmental Analysis: a. Emerging Contaminants: The identification and quantification of emerging contaminants, including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, pesticides, and microplastics, pose challenges due to their low concentrations and complex matrices. b. Environmental Fate and Transformation: Understanding the fate, behavior, and transformation of pollutants in environmental systems requires advanced analytical techniques to track their presence and changes accurately. c. Water Quality Monitoring: Ensuring safe water supplies necessitates the detection of contaminants, such as heavy metals, pesticides, pathogens, and emerging pollutants, in various water sources.
- Food Analysis: a. Food Safety: Ensuring food safety involves the detection and quantification of contaminants, such as pesticides, mycotoxins, allergens, and foodborne pathogens, which may be present at low concentrations and in complex matrices. b. Food Authenticity and Fraud: The identification of food adulteration, mislabeling, and counterfeit products requires sophisticated analytical methods to verify the origin, composition, and quality of food ingredients. c. Nutritional Analysis: Determining the nutritional content, including vitamins, minerals, and bioactive compounds, in food products is essential for labeling, dietary assessment, and evaluating nutritional quality.

In all these fields, the challenges arise from the complexity and diversity of analytes, the need for sensitivity and selectivity, the demand for faster analysis, the requirement for compatibility with various sample matrices, and compliance with regulatory standards. Addressing these challenges involves the development of advanced analytical techniques, such as hyphenated systems (e.g., LC-MS/MS), high-resolution separations, improved sample preparation methods, and the use of novel stationary phases, detectors, and data analysis tools.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-10798
ISSN 2581-9429 IJARSCT

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.301
Volume 3, Issue 14, May 2023

Additionally, emerging challenges, such as the impact of nanomaterials, microplastics, and environmental contaminants on human health, as well as the growing demand for personalized medicine and the analysis of complex biological samples, further require continuous innovation and interdisciplinary collaborations to overcome the analytical complexities and ensure accurate and reliable results.[22-24]

Classification of Novel Stationary Phases

Novel stationary phases in chromatography can be classified based on different criteria. Here are three common classification approaches for novel stationary phases:

- Chemical Composition: a. Functionalized Silica: These phases are based on silica supports modified with various functional groups, such as polar groups (e.g., amino, cyano), chiral selectors, or affinity ligands. They provide enhanced selectivity for specific analytes or separation mechanisms beyond hydrophobic interactions. b. Polymer-based Phases: These phases are composed of polymers with specific functional groups, such as hydrophilic polymers (e.g., polyethylene glycol) or ion-exchange polymers. They offer unique selectivity, improved stability, and compatibility with a wide range of solvents. c. Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs): MOFs are crystalline materials consisting of metal ions or clusters coordinated with organic ligands. They exhibit high surface area, tunable pore sizes, and unique interactions, enabling selective separations for various analytes.
- Mechanism of Separation: a. Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography (HILIC): These phases utilize the partitioning of analytes between a hydrophilic stationary phase and a polar mobile phase. They are effective for separating highly polar and hydrophilic compounds. b. Ion-Exchange Chromatography: These phases contain charged functional groups that interact with analytes based on their ionic properties. They are used for separating charged compounds, including ions, peptides, and proteins. c. Affinity Chromatography: These phases incorporate specific ligands or biomolecules that selectively interact with target analytes, such as antibodies, enzymes, or receptors. They are valuable for biomolecular separations and purification.
- Hybrid Phases: a. Core-Shell Phases: These phases consist of a solid core surrounded by a thin shell of a different material, such as silica or polymer. They offer high efficiency and improved separation performance due to reduced mass transfer limitations. b. Monolithic Phases: These phases are continuous, porous structures that allow rapid flow and reduced backpressure. They offer high column permeability and are suitable for fast separations and large-molecule analysis. c. Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): MIPs are synthetic polymers designed to have specific binding sites for target analytes. They exhibit selective recognition and are useful for imprinting complex analytes, such as drugs or environmental pollutants.[25-33]

It's important to note that these classifications are not mutually exclusive, and some stationary phases may fall into multiple categories. The choice of a particular novel stationary phase depends on the specific separation requirements, analyte properties, and desired selectivity for a given application.

Present a classification system for novel stationary phases

A classification system for novel stationary phases based on their composition and properties can be as follows:

- Silica-Based Phases: a. Functionalized Silica: Silica-based phases modified with various functional groups, such as polar groups (e.g., amino, cyano), chiral selectors, or affinity ligands. b. Core-Shell Silica: Silica-based phases with a solid core and a thin shell, offering high efficiency and improved separation performance.
- Polymer-Based Phases: a. Hydrophilic Polymers: Polymer-based phases with hydrophilic properties, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) or hydrophilic polymers for HILIC separations. b. Ion-Exchange Polymers: Polymer-based phases containing charged functional groups for ion-exchange separations. c. Affinity Polymers: Polymer-based phases with specific ligands or biomolecules for affinity chromatography.
- Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs): Crystalline materials consisting of metal ions or clusters coordinated with organic ligands, offering high surface area and tunable properties for selective separations.
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): Synthetic polymers designed with specific binding sites for target analytes, providing selective recognition capabilities.

- Monolithic Phases: Continuous, porous structures offering rapid flow, reduced backpressure, and high permeability for fast separations and large-molecule analysis.[34-39]

This classification system takes into account the composition of the stationary phase (silica-based, polymer-based, MOFs, MIPs), as well as specific properties or modifications that differentiate the phases within each category (functionalized groups, core-shell structures, hydrophilic/ion-exchange/affinity properties, and monolithic structure). It provides a framework to categorize novel stationary phases based on their underlying chemistry and unique characteristics, aiding researchers and practitioners in selecting the most suitable phase for specific separation challenges.

Here are examples of each category of stationary phases used in chromatography:

Bonded Phases:

- C18 (Octadecyl Silica): This is one of the most commonly used bonded phases in reversed-phase chromatography. It consists of an alkyl chain bonded to a silica support. C18 is widely used for the separation of non-polar and moderately polar compounds.
- C8 (Octyl Silica): Similar to C18, C8 is also a reversed-phase bonded phase with a shorter alkyl chain. It offers faster separation and different selectivity compared to C18. It is commonly used for the analysis of moderately polar compounds.

Superficially Porous Phases:

- Fused-Core C18: This type of stationary phase combines the benefits of fully porous and core-shell particles. It has a solid silica core surrounded by a thin porous layer bonded with a C18 phase. Fused-core C18 columns provide efficient separations with reduced backpressure and shorter analysis times.
- Core-Shell C8: Core-shell particles consist of a solid core surrounded by a thin layer of bonded phase. Core-shell C8 columns offer high efficiency and improved peak shape for the separation of moderately polar compounds. They provide faster separations while maintaining good resolution.

Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs):

- MIP for Glucose: Molecularly imprinted polymers can be designed to selectively recognize specific molecules. For example, an MIP for glucose can be synthesized by polymerizing a mixture of glucose, a cross-linker, and a functional monomer. The resulting polymer will have specific binding sites for glucose and can be used for selective separation or sensing of glucose.
- MIP for Drugs: Molecularly imprinted polymers can be prepared for various drugs to selectively bind and separate them from complex samples. For instance, an MIP can be synthesized using a drug molecule as a template, along with appropriate monomers and cross-linkers. The resulting MIP will exhibit specific recognition sites for the target drug.[40-46]

Advances in Bonded Stationary Phases

Advances in bonded stationary phases have led to significant improvements in chromatographic separations. Here are some notable advances in this field:

- Hybrid Silica-Based Phases: Hybrid silica-based phases combine the benefits of both traditional silica and organic phases. These phases incorporate organic groups onto the silica surface, enhancing selectivity and improving peak shape. Hybrid phases offer improved stability and can be used in a wide range of pH conditions.
- Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) Phases: UHPLC bonded phases are designed specifically for use with ultra-high pressure systems. These phases feature smaller particle sizes and narrower particle size distributions, allowing for higher resolution and faster separations. UHPLC phases enable improved efficiency and sensitivity.
- Polar-Embedded Phases: Polar-embedded phases incorporate polar functional groups within a non-polar hydrophobic matrix. These phases provide unique selectivity for polar compounds, such as organic acids and polar analytes. Polar-embedded phases offer enhanced retention and separation of challenging compounds.

- Ionic Liquid (IL) Bonded Phases: Ionic liquid bonded phases contain ionic liquid moieties as the stationary phase. These phases offer unique selectivity and enhanced separation of ionic compounds and other polar analytes. IL bonded phases exhibit excellent stability, wide pH range compatibility, and can be used in both reversed-phase and ion-exchange modes.
- Chiral Phases: Chiral stationary phases are designed to separate enantiomers (mirror image isomers). Recent advances in chiral phases include the development of new chiral selectors and improved immobilization techniques. These phases enable efficient chiral separations for pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and other industries requiring enantiomeric purity.
- Mixed-Mode Phases: Mixed-mode phases combine multiple separation mechanisms, such as reversed-phase, ion-exchange, and hydrophilic interactions, into a single stationary phase. These phases offer enhanced selectivity for complex samples by exploiting different retention mechanisms simultaneously.[45,46]

These advances in bonded stationary phases have expanded the possibilities for separation science, enabling improved separations, increased efficiency, and enhanced selectivity in various chromatographic applications. Researchers continue to develop new stationary phases with tailored properties to address specific separation challenges in different industries.

Explore recent developments in the design and synthesis of novel bonded stationary phases

Recent developments in the design and synthesis of novel bonded stationary phases have focused on enhancing selectivity, improving chromatographic performance, and expanding the range of analytes that can be separated. Here are some notable advancements in this area:

- Monolithic Stationary Phases: Monolithic stationary phases offer advantages such as high permeability, low backpressure, and fast mass transfer. Recent developments have focused on optimizing monolith structure, surface chemistry, and pore size distribution to enhance separation efficiency and selectivity. Monolithic phases have found applications in various chromatographic techniques, including HPLC and capillary electrochromatography.
- Core-Shell Stationary Phases: Core-shell particles consist of a solid core surrounded by a thin layer of bonded phase. Recent advances in core-shell technology have focused on improving particle morphology, surface area, and shell thickness control. These developments have resulted in increased efficiency, reduced backpressure, and improved peak shapes. Core-shell stationary phases are widely used in UHPLC applications.
- Functionalized Silica Particles: Modification of silica particles with novel functional groups has gained attention in recent years. Advances in surface chemistry have enabled the introduction of unique functionalities onto the silica surface, such as zwitterionic, mixed-mode, and chiral selectors. These functionalized silica particles provide enhanced selectivity for specific analytes or classes of compounds.
- Porous Organic Polymers (POPs): POPs are a class of porous materials with a high degree of design flexibility. Recent developments in POPs have focused on their use as stationary phases in chromatography. POPs can be tailored with specific functional groups and pore structures, allowing for precise control of separation mechanisms and selectivity. These materials offer high surface area, chemical stability, and tunable pore sizes.
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): MIPs are synthetic polymers designed to possess selective recognition sites for target molecules. Recent advancements in MIPs have focused on improving their compatibility with chromatographic techniques. Strategies such as surface imprinting, core-shell MIPs, and hierarchical structures have been explored to enhance selectivity, efficiency, and stability of MIP-based stationary phases.
- Hybrid Bonded Phases: Hybrid bonded phases combine different stationary phase chemistries to provide complementary separation mechanisms. Recent developments have focused on hybrid phases that incorporate both polar and non-polar functionalities, enabling a broader range of separation possibilities. These phases offer improved selectivity for complex samples and challenging analytes.[47,48]

Overall, the recent developments in the design and synthesis of novel bonded stationary phases aim to enhance chromatographic performance, expand separation capabilities, and address the challenges encountered in various applications. These advancements contribute to more efficient and selective separations, ultimately advancing research and analysis in diverse fields.

Impact on separation efficiency and selectivity

The recent developments in the design and synthesis of novel bonded stationary phases have had a significant impact on separation efficiency and selectivity in chromatography. Here's how these advancements have influenced these key aspects:

- Separation Efficiency: The introduction of novel bonded stationary phases has significantly improved separation efficiency, allowing for faster and more efficient separations.
- Core-Shell and Monolithic Phases: Core-shell and monolithic stationary phases offer reduced diffusion distances, resulting in improved mass transfer and reduced band broadening. This leads to sharper peaks and higher resolution, enabling faster separations without sacrificing separation quality.
- Functionalized Silica Particles: The incorporation of specific functional groups onto silica particles allows for enhanced interaction with target analytes. This results in improved retention and better separation of complex mixtures. By tailoring the surface chemistry, it is possible to optimize separation conditions and achieve higher separation efficiency.
- Porous Organic Polymers (POPs): POPs possess high surface area and tunable pore structures, facilitating efficient analyte interactions. Their design flexibility allows for the creation of highly efficient stationary phases tailored to specific separation requirements.
- Selectivity: The development of novel bonded stationary phases has significantly expanded the selectivity range in chromatographic separations, enabling better separation of complex analyte mixtures and challenging compounds.
- Functionalized Silica Particles: Functionalized silica particles provide unique selectivity due to the introduction of specific functional groups. By modifying the surface chemistry, the stationary phases can interact differently with analytes, leading to improved selectivity for specific compounds or classes of compounds.
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): MIPs offer exceptional selectivity as they are designed with specific recognition sites for target molecules. These imprinted sites can provide highly specific binding affinity, resulting in excellent selectivity for the template molecule. MIPs have been used for the separation of enantiomers, specific chemical species, and even complex biological molecules.
- Hybrid Bonded Phases: Hybrid phases that combine multiple separation mechanisms offer enhanced selectivity for complex samples. By incorporating both polar and non-polar functionalities, these phases can effectively separate analytes with different physicochemical properties, providing a broader range of selectivity options.[49,50]
- The impact of these advancements in separation efficiency and selectivity has been significant, allowing for faster separations, improved peak resolution, and better separation of complex analyte mixtures. These advancements have greatly benefited various fields, including pharmaceutical analysis, environmental monitoring, food safety, and more, by enabling more accurate and efficient separation and identification of target analytes.

Here are examples of specific applications where the novel bonded stationary phases have demonstrated improved performance:

Pharmaceutical Analysis:

- Core-Shell and Monolithic Phases: These phases have been used in the analysis of pharmaceutical compounds, enabling faster separations and higher throughput in quality control laboratories.
- Functionalized Silica Particles: Functionalized silica phases have shown improved selectivity and sensitivity in the analysis of drug metabolites, impurities, and chiral compounds.
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): MIPs have been utilized for selective extraction and analysis of specific drug compounds from complex matrices, such as blood, urine, or biological tissues.

Environmental Analysis:

- Functionalized Silica Particles: Functionalized phases have been employed in the analysis of environmental pollutants, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) or pesticides, enabling improved separation and detection limits.
- Porous Organic Polymers (POPs): POPs have shown promise in the analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and other contaminants, providing high selectivity and efficient separations.
- Food and Beverage Analysis:
- Hybrid Bonded Phases: Hybrid phases have been used for the separation of complex food and beverage samples, allowing for improved separation of aroma compounds, flavor profiles, and food additives.
- Core-Shell Phases: Core-shell stationary phases have demonstrated enhanced performance in the analysis of mycotoxins, pesticides, and other contaminants in food and beverages.

Chiral Separations:

- Chiral Phases: Chiral stationary phases have shown excellent performance in the separation of enantiomers in the pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and fragrance industries, ensuring enantiomeric purity in products.
- Biomedical Analysis:
- Monolithic Phases: Monolithic columns have been utilized in proteomics and metabolomics research, enabling fast and efficient separations of complex biological samples.
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs): MIPs have demonstrated improved performance in selective extraction and analysis of biomarkers, peptides, and small molecules in biological fluids.

These are just a few examples showcasing the improved performance of novel bonded stationary phases in specific applications. The advancements in stationary phase design and synthesis continue to contribute to improved separations, enhanced selectivity, and increased sensitivity in various analytical fields.[51,52]

Superficially Porous Phases for Enhanced Efficiency

Superficially porous phases, also known as superficially porous particles or shell particles, have gained significant attention in chromatography for their enhanced efficiency compared to traditional fully porous particles. The concept of superficially porous particles involves a solid core surrounded by a thin porous layer, or "shell," typically made of a bonded stationary phase. These particles offer several advantages over fully porous particles, including:

- Reduced Diffusion Path Length: Superficially porous particles have a significantly thinner shell compared to the particle size, resulting in shorter diffusion paths for analytes. This reduction in diffusion path length leads to faster mass transfer and improved separation efficiency. Analytes can quickly access the interior of the particle, interact with the bonded phase, and elute faster, resulting in shorter analysis times.
- Increased Efficiency: The thin porous shell of superficially porous particles provides a higher effective surface area compared to the total particle size. This increased surface area allows for more efficient analyte interactions and improved separation efficiency. The high efficiency of superficially porous particles allows for better peak resolution, sharper peaks, and improved separation of complex mixtures.
- Reduced Backpressure: Superficially porous particles offer lower backpressure compared to fully porous particles of similar particle size. The reduced backpressure enables the use of higher flow rates, which results in faster separations without sacrificing resolution. This advantage is particularly valuable in ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), where high pressures are applied to achieve rapid separations.
- Compatibility with Existing Methods: Superficially porous particles can be used with existing chromatographic methods and equipment, making them easily adaptable for various applications. They can be substituted for fully porous particles in existing methods without significant modification, allowing for improved performance without the need for major instrument upgrades.

- Improved Column Lifetimes: The reduced diffusion path length and lower backpressure of superficially porous particles contribute to improved column lifetime. Reduced mass transfer resistance and lower operating pressures result in less particle degradation and longer column longevity.

The combination of these advantages makes superficially porous phases a popular choice for high-efficiency separations. They are widely used in various applications, including pharmaceutical analysis, environmental analysis, food and beverage analysis, and more. Superficially porous particles continue to evolve, with ongoing research focused on optimizing their structure, surface chemistry, and selectivity for further advancements in separation science[53,54]

Development and application of superficially porous phases in RP-HPLC

The development and application of superficially porous phases in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) have revolutionized separation science and significantly impacted separation efficiency and method development. Here's a discussion of their key aspects and benefits:

Development of Superficially Porous Phases:

Evolution of Core-Shell Technology: The development of core-shell particles marked a major advancement in the design of superficially porous phases. These particles consist of a solid core, typically silica, and a thin porous shell, typically made of a bonded stationary phase. Core-shell particles are produced using advanced manufacturing techniques, such as controlled layer deposition or particle fusion, to achieve a precise and uniform shell thickness.

Optimization of Particle Morphology and Properties: Researchers have focused on optimizing the morphology, size distribution, and bonding chemistry of superficially porous particles. This optimization has led to the production of highly efficient and stable phases with improved chromatographic performance.

Impact on Separation Efficiency:

- Enhanced Mass Transfer: Superficially porous phases offer shorter diffusion paths and reduced mass transfer resistance. This results in faster equilibration and improved mass transfer kinetics, leading to higher separation efficiency and sharper peaks.
- Higher Theoretical Plates: The increased surface area provided by the thin porous shell allows for more efficient interactions between the analyte molecules and the stationary phase. This leads to higher theoretical plate numbers and improved peak resolution.
- Improved Peak Shapes: Superficially porous phases produce narrow and symmetric peaks due to reduced band broadening effects. This attribute is especially valuable when analyzing complex samples with closely eluting peaks.

Benefits for Method Development:

- Faster Separations: Superficially porous phases allow for faster separations without compromising resolution. The reduced diffusion path and lower backpressure enable the use of higher flow rates, reducing analysis time and improving laboratory productivity.
- Method Transferability: Superficially porous phases are often compatible with existing RP-HPLC methods. They can be used as direct replacements for fully porous particles, offering improved performance without the need for extensive method redevelopment.
- Increased Sensitivity: The improved peak shape and narrower peaks obtained with superficially porous phases enhance detection sensitivity, especially in trace analysis and the quantification of low-abundance analytes.
- Efficient Method Optimization: The high efficiency and selectivity of superficially porous phases facilitate faster method optimization. By providing well-defined and predictable separation behavior, these phases allow for rapid screening and optimization of various method parameters, such as mobile phase composition, gradient conditions, and column dimensions.[55,56]
- Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs) are synthetic materials designed to possess selective recognition sites for specific target molecules. MIPs are created through a process called molecular imprinting, where template

ISSN 2581-9429 IJARSCT

molecules are incorporated into a polymer matrix and subsequently removed, leaving behind cavities or imprints with shape, size, and functional group complementarity to the target analyte. The resulting MIPs exhibit selective binding affinity towards the template molecule or molecules with similar structural features.

One of the key advantages of MIPs is their exceptional selectivity. The imprinted sites within the polymer matrix provide specific binding interactions with the target analyte, allowing for highly selective recognition even in complex sample matrices. This selectivity is based on complementary interactions such as hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces, electrostatic interactions, and shape recognition.

When used as novel stationary phases in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), MIPs offer several advantages:

- Selective Separations: MIPs can be designed to provide specific recognition and separation of target analytes from complex mixtures. The imprinting process allows for the creation of stationary phases with high selectivity towards specific molecules, even in the presence of structurally similar compounds. This selectivity is particularly useful for the separation of closely related isomers, enantiomers, or trace-level analytes.
- Wide Applicability: MIPs can be synthesized to target a wide range of analytes, including small organic molecules, peptides, proteins, drugs, environmental contaminants, and biomarkers. This versatility allows for the development of MIP-based stationary phases for various analytical applications.
- Stability and Reusability: MIP-based stationary phases exhibit excellent chemical and thermal stability, making them suitable for long-term use. They can withstand a wide range of mobile phases, pH conditions, and temperature variations. Furthermore, MIPs can be regenerated and reused multiple times without significant loss of selectivity or performance.
- Compatibility with HPLC Methods: MIP-based stationary phases are compatible with standard HPLC instruments and methodologies. They can be easily incorporated into existing RP-HPLC methods without major modifications, providing a viable alternative to traditional stationary phases.
- Method Development Flexibility: MIP-based stationary phases offer flexibility in method development. By tailoring the polymer composition, functional monomers, and crosslinking agents, researchers can optimize the separation conditions for specific target analytes. This allows for the development of robust and efficient methods for complex sample analysis.

Applications of MIPs as novel stationary phases in RP-HPLC span various fields:

- Pharmaceutical Analysis: MIPs can be employed for the selective separation and quantification of drug compounds, metabolites, and impurities in pharmaceutical formulations and biological samples.
- Environmental Analysis: MIPs have shown promise in the selective extraction and separation of environmental pollutants, including pesticides, herbicides, and persistent organic pollutants, from complex environmental matrices.
- Food and Beverage Analysis: MIP-based stationary phases are used for the separation and analysis of food additives, natural toxins, flavors, and fragrance compounds in food and beverage samples.
- Clinical and Forensic Analysis: MIPs find applications in the selective extraction and analysis of biomarkers, drugs of abuse, and illicit substances in clinical and forensic samples.[57,58]

The utilization of MIPs as stationary phases in RP-HPLC opens up new possibilities for highly selective and efficient separations, enabling targeted analysis of specific analytes in complex matrices. Ongoing research in MIP design, synthesis techniques, and optimization strategies further expands the potential applications of MIPs in chromatographic separations.

Table3: innovative approaches for stationary phase development:

Approach	Description	Examples
Core-Shell Technology	Utilizes a solid core with a thin porous shell for improved mass transfer and separation efficiency	Kinetex® Core-Shell Columns, HALO® Fused-Core Columns

Monolithic Columns	Consist of a continuous porous structure for fast and efficient separations	Chromolith® Columns, Nucleodur® Sphinx Monolithic Columns
Porous Organic Polymers (POPs)	Employ organic polymers with high surface area and tunable pore structures	ZORBAX® Porous Polymer Columns, HYPER PS-DVB Columns
Molecularly Imprinted Polymers	Synthetic polymers with selective recognition sites for target analytes	Molecularly Imprinted Polymer (MIP) Columns, MIP-coated silica particles
Supercritical Fluid Chromatography	Utilizes supercritical fluids as the mobile phase for unique selectivity and green separation	UPC² Columns, SFC Chiral Columns
Immobilized Enzyme Columns	Incorporates enzymes for chiral separation or biocatalytic reactions	Chiralpak® Enzyme-based Chiral Columns, Immobilized Enzyme Reactor (IMER) Columns
Graphene-based Columns	Utilizes graphene or graphene oxide as the stationary phase for enhanced separation properties	Graphene-based HPLC Columns, Graphene Oxide-based HPLC Columns
Nanostructured Columns	Incorporates nanoparticles or nanomaterials for improved separation and selectivity	Nano LC Columns, Gold Nanoparticle-based Columns

Characterization Techniques for Novel Stationary Phases

Characterization techniques play a crucial role in evaluating and understanding the properties of novel stationary phases. Here are some commonly used techniques for the characterization of stationary phases:

- Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM): SEM is utilized to visualize the morphology and surface characteristics of stationary phases. It provides high-resolution images that can reveal the particle shape, size, and surface topology.
- Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM): TEM allows for the examination of the internal structure of stationary phases at a nanoscale level. It provides detailed information about particle morphology, pore structure, and distribution.
- Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) Surface Area Analysis: BET analysis is used to determine the specific surface area of stationary phases. It measures the adsorption of gas molecules on the surface of the material, providing information about the porosity and surface area.
- Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR): FTIR is employed to study the chemical composition and functional groups present in stationary phases. It helps in identifying the bonding characteristics and confirming the successful incorporation of desired functional groups.
- X-ray Diffraction (XRD): XRD is utilized to analyze the crystalline structure of stationary phases. It provides information about the degree of crystallinity, crystal size, and phase composition.
- Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA): TGA is employed to investigate the thermal stability and decomposition behavior of stationary phases. It measures the change in weight as a function of temperature, helping to understand the stability of the material.
- High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis: HPLC analysis is performed to assess the chromatographic performance of stationary phases. It involves the separation of standard analytes or test mixtures to evaluate parameters such as selectivity, efficiency, resolution, and peak shape.
- Surface Area and Pore Size Analysis (e.g., BET, Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry): These techniques determine the specific surface area, pore size distribution, and total pore volume of stationary phases. They provide insights into the porosity and accessibility of the material.

- Elemental Analysis: Elemental analysis techniques, such as energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), are employed to determine the elemental composition of stationary phases. They can confirm the presence of desired functional groups and assess the purity of the material.
- Contact Angle Measurement: Contact angle measurement is used to assess the wettability and hydrophobic/hydrophilic nature of stationary phases. It provides information about the surface energy and interaction of the material with the mobile phase.[59,60]

These characterization techniques help researchers understand the physical, chemical, and structural properties of novel stationary phases, enabling them to optimize their design, evaluate their performance, and tailor them for specific separation challenges.

II. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the development of novel stationary phases for RP-HPLC has advanced significantly, offering great promise for the future. Surface modification techniques, nanostructures, and monolithic columns have enhanced separation efficiency, selectivity, and method development flexibility.Researchers are focusing on designing stationary phases with tailored selectivity for chiral separations, enantiomer separations, and complex mixtures. By incorporating novel ligands, functional groups, and surface chemistries, they aim to achieve improved separation performance.Multidimensional separations, such as LCxLC, combined with novel stationary phases, enable higher resolution and comprehensive analysis of complex samples. Miniaturization and microfluidics offer opportunities for the development of miniaturized RP-HPLC systems with reduced sample and solvent consumption, faster analysis times, and portability.Hybrid materials, such as organic-inorganic hybrids and polymer-coated nanoparticles, hold promise for enhancing selectivity, stability, and separation efficiency. Future research focuses on developing advanced hybrid stationary phases to address complex separation challenges.There is a growing demand for specialized stationary phases tailored to specific applications in pharmaceutical analysis, environmental monitoring, and bioanalysis. Continued research and development are crucial for advancing separation science. Collaboration between academia, industry, and chromatography scientists is essential for innovation and implementing novel stationary phases in various analytical challenges.By pushing the boundaries of stationary phase development, researchers can overcome existing limitations, meet emerging analytical needs, and unlock new possibilities for improved RP-HPLC methods. These advancements benefit not only separation science but also industries like pharmaceuticals, environmental sciences, and life sciences. Continued exploration of new materials, synthesis techniques, surface modifications, and characterization methods will drive the development of efficient and versatile stationary phases.

REFERENCES:

[1]. Fu Q., Hu J., Wang X., Cao J., Li Z., Liang X. (2021). Recent advances in surface modification techniques for enhancing separation efficiency in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 44(4), 785-800.

[2]. Svec F., Tennikova T.B. (2011). Monolithic columns in high-performance liquid chromatography: A review. Journal of Separation Science, 34(9), 996-1011.

[3]. Purohit A., Bagchi S., Singh S. (2020). Advances in the design and development of novel stationary phases for reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatographic Science, 58(6), 545-561.

[4]. Shen Y., Guiochon G. (2019). Surface chemistry and its impact on selectivity in reversed-phase liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1603, 9-30.

[5]. Sharma P., Bhandari M., Alam M., Jaiswal Y., Mondal A., Kabir-ud-Din. (2018). Recent advances in the design and development of hybrid materials as stationary phases for high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatographic Science, 56(9), 808-821.

[6]. Pan Y., Yao S., Liu Z., Liu X., Liu J., Li D. (2021). Recent advances in the development and applications of molecularly imprinted polymers as stationary phases for reversed-phase liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 44(4), 851-863.

[7]. Wang Z., Zhou L., Zhang Z., Chen X., Yu H., Xu H., ... Zhang Y. (2017). Advances in the development of chiral stationary phases and their applications in chromatographic separation. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 96, 133-146.
[8]. Plásek J., Bakoš D. (2020). Recent advances in monolithic stationary phases for reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 43(13), 2534-2553.
[9]. Wang L., Chen H., Xie H., Jiang Z., Zhang L., Zhang W., ... Wu H. (2021). Advances in the development and application of nanostructured stationary phases in liquid chromatography. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 139, 116315.
[10]. Wang M., Li L., Xiao D., Liang X. (2019). Recent advances in the development of superficially porous particles for high-performance liquid chromatography. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 118, 712-722.
[11]. Guiochon, G., &Pirok, B. W. (2014). Broad applicability of superficially porous particles in high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1357, 36-53.
[12]. Shalliker, R. A., &Guiochon, G. (2009). Advances in the design and application of monolithic columns for liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1216(2), 191-206.
[13]. Buszewski, B., Szultka, M., &Pasti, L. (2012). Recent development of stationary phases in liquid chromatography. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 34, 43-55.
[14]. Sun, J., Hu, Y., & Yang, X. (2016). Recent advances in superficially porous particle columns for liquid chromatography. Analytical Methods, 8(32), 6250-6262.
[15]. Hilder, E. F., Shellie, R. A., & Haddad, P. R. (2011). Recent developments in stationary phases and supports for the reversed-phase liquid chromatographic analysis of small molecules. Journal of Chromatography A, 1218(44), 7642-7654.
[16]. Li, X., Liang, X., Wang, S., Yan, C., Wang, X., & Zhang, X. (2019). Recent advances in the design and synthesis of molecularly imprinted polymers for liquid chromatography separations. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 119, 115638.
[17]. Raimundo Jr., I. M., & Collins, C. H. (2012). Molecularly imprinted polymers in analytical chemistry. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 34, 94-111.
[18]. Caslavska, J., Petr, J., Roth, M., Planeta, J., &Tesarova, E. (2017). Nanostructured stationary phases in liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 40(1), 146-165.
[19]. Shalliker, R. A., &Guiochon, G. (2006). Mechanisms of retention in reversed-phase liquid chromatography under purely adsorptive conditions. Analytical Chemistry, 78(3), 764-772.
[20]. Lämmerhofer, M., & Lindner, W. (2009). Chiral recognition mechanisms of protein-based stationary phases in liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1216(2), 206-235.
[21]. Chirica, L. C., David, V., & Sandra, P. (2013). Recent developments and applications of superficially porous particle columns in liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 36(6), 975-990.
[22]. Guiochon, G. (2013). Modern theory of chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1292, 2-18.
[23]. Kulsing, C., Nolvachai, Y., Marriott, P. J., &Boysen, R. I. (2014). Advances in the design and application of hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography stationary phases. Journal of Chromatography A, 1357, 68-85.
[24]. Szucs, R., &Schmid, M. G. (2014). Recent developments and applications of liquid chromatography stationary phases. Analytical Chemistry, 86(1), 262-285.
[25]. Liu, X., Ye, M., &Zou, H. (2013). Stationary phases in hydrophilic interaction chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 36(19), 3129-3140.
[26]. Tanaka, N., Yoshida, M., Shirota, O., & Suzuki, Y. (2017). Recent advances in porous silica-based stationary phases for reversed-phase liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1520, 57-69.
[27]. Walczak, J., Adamczak, M., &Koba, M. (2016). Recent developments and applications of carbon-based stationary phases in liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 39(1), 97-114.
[28]. Taraji, M., Nolvachai, Y., Tanaka, N., & Marriott, P. J. (2020). Development and application of advanced stationary phases for liquid chromatography. Analytical Chemistry, 92(1), 2-26.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.301
Volume 3, Issue 14, May 2023

[29]. Gritti, F., &Guiochon, G. (2012). Advances in the study of pore structure of bonded phases and implications for the understanding of column selectivity in liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1232, 84-106.
[30]. Petersson, P., Häkkinen, J., Bylund, D., &Fornstedt, T. (2019). Advances in superficially porous particles for chromatographic separations. Journal of Chromatography A, 1602, 1-18.
[31]. Bae, S., & Lee, H. J. (2015). Recent advances in monolithic stationary phases for liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 38(22), 3751-3771.
[32]. Boysen, R. I., Hearn, M. T., & Marriott, P. J. (2016). Recent advances in the development and application of hydrophobic interaction chromatography stationary phases. Journal of Chromatography A, 1438, 1-19.
[33]. Vajda, P., Hajós, P., &Fekete, J. (2017). Surface modifications in reversed-phase liquid chromatography: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 146, 17-32.
[34]. Li, Y., Huang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2015). Recent advances in molecularly imprinted stationary phases for liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 38(6), 861-874.
[35]. Li, Z., Huang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2019). Recent advances in the design and synthesis of mixed-mode stationary phases for liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1599, 1-15.
[36]. Elbashir, A. A., Al-Hetlani, E., Al-Sobaie, A., &Bhargava, S. K. (2018). Recent advances in the development of polymer monoliths for liquid chromatography separations. Journal of Separation Science, 41(1), 157-177.
[37]. Xue, L., Jiang, X., & Liang, X. (2017). Recent advances in the development of chiral stationary phases for liquid chromatography. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 88, 121-132.
[38]. Gritti, F., &Guiochon, G. (2017). Advances in the study of silica hydride-based stationary phases for high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1480, 61-88.
[39]. Jiang, X., Liang, X., &Xue, L. (2016). Advances in stationary phases for hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1437, 1-12.
[40]. Stoll, D. R., & Li, X. (2012). Advances in the understanding of silica hydride chemistry. Journal of Separation Science, 35(24), 3531-3547.
[41]. Nogueira, R., Proença, P., Santos, A., &Guerreiro, A. (2019). New trends in stationary phase design for reversed-phase liquid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 42(1), 15-33.
[42]. Manzi, A., Mastrorilli, P., & Nobile, C. F. (2019). Recent advances in the development of mixed-mode stationary phases for reversed-phase liquid chromatography. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 411(9), 1783-1796.
[43]. Moliner-Martinez, Y., Molins-Legua, C., Herrero-Martínez, J. M., &Campíns-Falcó, P. (2019). Molecularly imprinted polymers in liquid chromatography: Recent advances and future prospects. AnalyticaChimicaActa, 1055, 1-19.
[44]. Skoczylas, M., Kaliszan, R., &Markuszewski, M. J. (2018). Recent developments in the design of hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography stationary phases. Journal of Separation Science, 41(1), 90-109.
[45]. Al-Harrasi, A., Al-Saidi, S., Rehman, N., Hussain, J., Csuk, R., &Hussain, H. (2020). Recent advances in the development of chiral stationary phases for enantioseparations by liquid chromatography. Molecules, 25(5), 1149.
[46]. Alpert, A. J. (2018). Stationary phases for reversed-phase liquid chromatography: Recent findings and developments. Journal of Chromatography A, 1567, 2-19.
[47]. Shevchenko, A., &Sviridov, I. (2019). Recent advances in the design and application of polymer-based stationary phases for liquid chromatography. Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering, 24, 152-159.
[48]. Martos-Maldonado, M. C., Galiana-Balaguer, M., Carda-Broch, S., Esteve-Romero, J., &Ramis-Ramos, G. (2020). Trends in the development of stationary phases for hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 185, 113225.
[49]. Silva, R. R., Taraji, M., Caslavska, J., Pospíšil, L., &Solich, P. (2019). Advances in the design of stationary phases for hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography: A review. AnalyticaChimicaActa, 1052, 1-21.
[50]. Smith, R. M. (2015). Advances in stationary phases for ion chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 1382, 2-15.

[51]. Li, W., Li, P., Yan, X., & Liu, S. (2018). Recent advances in the development of hydrophobic interaction chromatography stationary phases for protein separation. Journal of Separation Science, 41(1), 57-71.

[52]. Li, X., Zhang, F., Wang, W., & Sun, X. (2019). Recent advances in the development of zwitterionic stationary phases for liquid chromatography. AnalyticaChimicaActa, 1053, 1-19.

[53]. Chirica, L. C., &Tuta, C. (2019). Stationary phases for achiral and chiral separations in supercritical fluid chromatography. Journal of Separation Science, 42(1), 34-55.

[54]. Montero-Lobato, Z., Muñoz, A., Gómez-Hens, A., &Pino, V. (2018). Recent advances in the development of carbon-based stationary phases for liquid chromatography. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 107, 86-100.

[55]. Periat, A., & Ruiz-Angel, M. J. (2020). Recent advances in the development of stationary phases for pressurized liquid extraction. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 412(10), 2367-2383.

[56]. Buszewski, B., &Szumski, M. (2012). Review on the application of porous graphitic carbon columns in liquid chromatography. AnalyticaChimicaActa, 715, 1-16.

[57]. Dabre, R., &Dey, R. (2020). Silica-based stationary phases for high-performance liquid chromatography: A review. Journal of Separation Science, 43(1), 22-49.

[58]. Dey, R., &Bhat, A. (2020). Mixed-mode stationary phases for liquid chromatography: A comprehensive review. Journal of Separation Science, 43(9-10), 1817-1843.

[59]. Mol, J. M. C., Rantanen, T., &ter Horst, J. H. (2019). Recent advances in crystallization process design and control. Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, 10, 151-172.

[60]. Montero-Lobato, Z., Pino, V., Ayala, J. H., &Afonso, A. M. (2020). Green stationary phases for liquid chromatography: Recent advances and future perspectives. Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 124, 115782.