IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 3, Issue 4, May 2023

Virtual Care: The Future of Virtual Healthcare

Nikhil Sharma, Rakshit Malhotra

Students, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Dronacharya college of Engineering, Gurugram, Haryana, India

Abstract: Virtual clinics are a new form of healthcare delivery that has emerged due to technological advancements. These clinics provide access to healthcare services remotely, enabling patients to receive medical care without having to visit a physical facility. This paper explores the concept of virtual clinics, including their history, benefits, challenges, and the technologies that support them. We also discuss the impact of virtual clinics on healthcare delivery, patient outcomes, and healthcare professionals.

Keywords: Virtual clinics, healthcare delivery, technological advancements, remote access, medical care

REFERENCES

- [1]. Greenhalgh T, Stones R. Theorising big IT programmes in healthcare: strong structuration theory meets actornetwork theory. Soc Sci Med 2010;70:1285–94. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.12.034CrossRef PubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar
- [2]. Giddens A. The Constitution of Society. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984. Google Scholar
- [3]. Barley SR. Technology as an occasion for structuring: evidence from observations of CT scanners and the social order of radiology departments. Adm Sci Q 1986;31:78–108. doi:10.2307/2392767Google Scholar
- [4]. Feldman MS, Pentland BT. Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. Adm Sci Q 2003;48:94–118. doi:10.2307/3556620Google Scholar
- [5]. Orlikowski WJ, Yates JA, Okamura K, et al. Shaping electronic communication: the metastructuring of technology in the context of use. Organ Sci 1995;6:423–44. doi:10.1287/orsc.6.4.423CrossRefGoogle Scholar
- [6]. Bourdieu P. The forms of capital. In: Richardson JG, ed. Handbook for theory and research for the sociology of education. New York: Greenwood Press, 1986:241–58.Google Scholar
- [7]. Habermas J. The theory of communicative action. Boston: Beacon, 1987.Google Scholar
- [8]. Garfinkel H. Studies in ethnomethodology. Engelwood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1967. Google Scholar
- [9]. Goffman E. The presentation of self in everyday life. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh, Social Sciences Research Centre, 1958.Google Scholar
- [10].Greenhalgh T, Stones R, Swinglehurst D. Choose and book: a sociological analysis of 'resistance' to an expert system. Soc Sci Med 2014;104:210–19. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.12.014CrossRefPubMed Google Scholar
- [11].Greenhalgh T, Sotnes R, Swinglehurst D. Rethinking 'resistance' to big IT: a sociological study of why and when healthcare staff do not use nationally mandated information and communication technologies. Leeds: National Institute for Health Research, 2013.Google Scholar
- [12].General Medical Council. Making and using visual and audio recordings of patients—guidance for doctors. London: GMC, 2002. http://www.gmc-

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-9890

uk.org/guidance/ethical guidance/making audiovisual.aspRecordingsGoogle Scholar

